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## Foreword

It is remarkable that, in this age of unprecedented global communication and interaction, the majority of the world's languages are as yet not adequately described. Without basic grammars and dictionaries, these languages and their communities of speakers are in a real sense inaccessible to the rest of the world. This state of affairs is antithetical to today's interconnected global mindset.

This series, undertaken as a critical part of the mission of the University of Maryland Center for Advanced Study of Language (CASL), is directed at remedying this problem. One goal of CASL's research is to provide detailed, coherent descriptions of languages that are little studied or for which descriptions are not available in English. Even where grammars for these languages do exist, in many instances they are decades out of date or limited in scope or detail.

While the criticality of linguistic descriptions is indisputable, the painstaking work of producing grammars for neglected and under-resourced languages is often insufficiently appreciated by scholars and graduate students more enamored of the latest theoretical advances and debates. Yet, without the foundation of accurate descriptions of real languages, theoretical work would have no meaning. Moreover, without professionally produced linguistic descriptions, technologically sophisticated tools such as those for automated translation and speech-to-text conversion are impossible. Such research requires time-consuming labor, meticulous description, and rigorous analysis.

It is hoped that this series will contribute, however modestly, to the ultimate goal of making every language of the world available to scholars, students, and language lovers of all kinds. I would like to take this opportunity to salute the linguists at CASL and around the world who subscribe to this vision as their life's work. It is truly a noble endeavor.

## Series Editors' Preface

This series arose out of research conducted on several under-described languages at the University of Maryland Center for Advanced Study of Language. In commencing our work, we were surprised at how many of the world's major languages lack accessible descriptive resources such as reference grammars and bilingual dictionaries. Among the ongoing projects at the Center is the development of such resources for various under-described languages. This series of grammars presents some of the linguistic description we have undertaken to fill such gaps.

The languages covered by the series represent a broad range of language families and typological phenomena. They are spoken in areas of international significance, some in regions associated with political, social, or environmental instability. Providing resources for these languages is therefore of particular importance.

However, these circumstances often make it difficult to conduct intensive, in-country fieldwork. In cases where such fieldwork was impractical, the authors of that grammar have relied on close working relationships with native speakers, and, where possible, corpora of naturalistic speech and text. The conditions for data-gathering-and hence our approach to it-vary with the particular situation.

We found the descriptive state of each language in the series to be different from that of the others: in some cases, much work had been done, but had never been collected into a single overview; in other cases, virtually no materials in English existed. Similarly, the availability of source material in the target language varies widely: in some cases, literacy and media are very sparse, while for other communities plentiful written texts exist. The authors have worked with the available resources to provide descriptions as comprehensive as these materials, the native speaker consultants, and their own corpora allow.

One of our goals is for these grammars to reach a broad audience. For that reason the authors have worked to make the volumes accessible by providing extensive exemplification and theoretically neutral descriptions oriented to language learners as well as to linguists. All grammars in the series, furthermore, include the native orthography, accompanied where relevant by Romanization. While they are not intended as pedagogical grammars, we realize that in many cases they will supply that role as well.

Each of the grammars is presented as a springboard to further research, which for every language continues to be warranted. We hope that our empirical work will provide a base for theoretical, comparative, computational, and pedagogical developments in the future. We look forward to the publication of many such works.
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## 1 About this Grammar

### 1.1 Introduction

This book describes the grammar of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki, three Indo-Aryan languages of Pakistan, treating their phonology, orthography, morphology, and syntax. The grammar is descriptive, not pedagogical or prescriptive. It is presented in a theory-neutral way to the greatest extent possible. The three languages described here represent closely related, geographically contiguous language varieties. In some cases, it may be hard to determine, for example, where one type of Panjabi ends and Hindko begins. As they share many common features, we have decided to present them together in a single work where general patterns that hold for all three can be described in detail and then language-specific patterns can be added to the general description. This kind of approach further recommends itself as many potential users of the current work with an interest in Hindko or Saraiki will already be familiar with Panjabi; so comparing this with the description of the other varieties will hopefully make them more accessible.

This grammar may be used in several ways:

- as a reference tool for understanding the major grammatical constructions in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki;
- as a linguistic record of documentation of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki;
- as a template for writing similar grammars of other languages;
- as a resource which is easily converted into computational tools.

While Panjabi is a major language as measured by number of speakers-having perhaps the world's twelfth-highest number of first-language speakers-published grammatical descriptions of it are surprisingly few, and those that do exist are often out of date. References for the related Hindko and Saraiki languages are even fewer. We hope that, with this grammar, we have made a contribution to the description of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. This is also the first major English-language grammar to provide exemplification of these languages in Perso-Arabic script.

### 1.2 Scope of the present work

This Descriptive Grammar of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki focuses, where possible, on the variety of Hindko spoken in Abbottabad, the variety of Panjabi spoken in Lahore, and the variety of Saraiki spoken in Multan-all in Pakistan. It covers the orthography, phonology, morphology, and syntax of the languages. It is meant as a reference tool; however, the coverage of grammatical constructions is by no means exhaustive. As
noted in Chapter 2, there is significant variation from dialect to dialect and even from speaker to speaker within dialects. The current work does not attempt to describe the full range of variation, but rather presents a necessarily simplified "snapshot" of particular instantiations of each named variety.

Since Lahore is the largest urban center of Punjab, it has attracted people from all parts of Punjab and Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa, though relatively fewer from Sindh and Balochistan. Thus the Panjabi of Lahore, in addition to being subject to heavy Urdu and English influence, also contains elements of varieties from farther west or south, usually associated with Hindko or Saraiki. It is by no means a monolithic or "pure" variety.

### 1.3 Past work and references consulted

The current work has consulted several published studies of Panjabi, including reference materials such as Malik (1995), Gill and Gleason (1969), Bhatia (1993), and Cummings and Bailey (1912). For Hindko, the following sources were consulted: Hallberg and O'Leary (1992); Rensch, Hallberg, and O'Leary (1992); Shackle (1980); Shackle (1983); Varma (1936); Bahri (1962); and Bahri (1963). For Saraiki, the main published sources referenced are Shackle (1976) and Zahoor (2009).

Pedagogical materials have also been consulted, including Bhardwaj (1995), Ahmad (1992), Shackle (1972), and Kalra, Purewal, and Tyson-Ward (2004 [1999]).

Additionally, we have made use of the following dictionaries: Bashir and Kazmi (2012), Khan (2009), and Advanced Centre for Technical Development of Punjabi Language (2012). For Hindko, the Sakoon (2002) dictionary has been helpful; and for Saraiki, we have consulted Mughal (2010).

Linguistic work on the languages covered here is sparse. A few further publications are mentioned in the "References Cited or Consulted" section.

### 1.4 Sources

Each example is labeled with the language illustrated: Hk for Hindko, Pj for Panjabi, and Sr for Saraiki. The source of each example is indicated in parentheses following the example.

Each of the authors has made different contributions to the grammar. Thomas Conners and Brook Hefright wrote the draft chapters on Panjabi. These chapters were reviewed and edited by Elena Bashir. Elena Bashir wrote the sections on Hindko and Saraiki. These were reviewed and edited by Thomas Conners. Elena Bashir's collected field notes and knowledge represent a significant source that has been relied upon as a reference for the present work, including the source of some examples. Examples provided by her are marked with (EB).

Additionally, Elena Bashir conducted field work for four months in 2015 specifically working on data collection for the Hindko and Saraiki sections of the current work. During this time, she worked with two native speakers, Abdul Wajid Tabassum for Hindko and Umaima Kamran for Saraiki. Examples that are due to them are marked (AWT) and (UK), respectively. Additionally, the entire manuscript was reviewed by Nasir Abbas Syed. Without their significant contributions, the coverage of Hindko and Saraiki would not have been possible.

The authors take collective responsibility for all aspects of the grammar.

### 1.5 Acknowledgements

Elena Bashir would like to acknowledge the informal but extensive contributions of Nasir Abbas Syed and Ali Hussain Birahimani on Saraiki, and of Maqsood Saqib on Panjabi during the course of the writing of this book.

Thomas Conners and Brook Hefright have benefited from the insight of their coauthor, Elena Bashir, and the assistance provided by a number of colleagues at the University of Maryland Center for Advanced Study of Language. In particular, they would like to thank Mohini Madgavkar, with whom they studied and analyzed Panjabi and Urdu; Michael Maxwell, Aric Bills, Evelyn Browne, Shawna Rafalko, and Nathaniel Clair, who dedicated many hours preparing the manuscript; and Karen Fisher-Nguyen who played a large role in providing their original understanding of Panjabi-we thank them all.

The authors also thank Amalia Gnanadesikan for her dedication and attention to detail as the Series editor overseeing this volume.

### 1.6 Chapter organization

Each chapter of the current work covers in detail a specific aspect of the grammar of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki, such as Phonology or Nouns. The initial section in each chapter discusses features common to Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. After this, differences among the languages are discussed. In cases where we do not have sufficient information, a note is added.

### 1.7 Examples

In this grammar, we make use of both in-line text examples and interlinear text examples. In-line text examples are used when a single form is being referenced or explicated in the text. The format is as follows: the first section is in Perso-Arabic script, the second section renders it in phonemic transcription (between slashes), and the
third section provides an English gloss (in single quotation marks). This is illustrated in Figure 1.1.


Figure 1.1: In-line text example

The format for an interlinear example is as follows: the first line is in Perso-Arabic script, the second line renders it in phonemic transcription, the third line provides a morpheme-by-morpheme gloss (including any grammatical category labels) and the fourth line gives a free translation into English.

$\begin{array}{cllll}\text { Phonemictranscription } & \text { mẽ } & \text { katāb } & n \tilde{u} & \text { vekh-i } \bar{a}\end{array} \quad e \quad$.

Figure 1.2: Interlinear example

### 1.8 Glossing and formatting conventions

Where possible, we have followed the Leipzig Glossing Conventions, which can be found at http://www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/resources/glossing-rules.php.

The following formatting conventions are used throughout the grammar:
Simple italics are used to indicate emphasis, often when contrasting two or more technical points.

Bold is used in the transcription and gloss lines to draw attention to the grammatical form being illustrated.

### 1.9 List of abbreviations and symbols

Commonly used abbreviations and symbols in this grammar include the following:

| * | ungrammatical form |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sim$ | variation in forms |
| - | morpheme boundary in a transcription or gloss-line; indicates joining direction for Perso-Arabic character |
| - | a period indicates a mismatch between the number of |
|  | Hindko/Panjabi/Saraiki elements and the number of elements in the |
|  | English gloss |
| / / | phonemic transcription |
| [] | phonetic transcription |
| < > | transliteration |
| () | marginal phoneme or morphological form |
| ' | stress on following syllable |
| , | high tone |
| - | low tone |
| 1 | first person |
| 2 | second person |
| 3 | third person |
| ABL | ablative |
| ACC | accusative |
| ALLIT | alliterative element |
| C | consonant |
| CAT | catenative participle |
| CONN | connective participle |
| CONT | continuous |
| CP | conjunctive participle |
| CS | causative |
| DAT | dative |
| DIR | direct |


| DIST | distal |
| :---: | :---: |
| ECHO | echo word |
| EMPH | emphatic |
| ERG | ergative |
| EZ | ezafat |
| F | feminine |
| FUT | future |
| GEN | genitive |
| GRDV | gerundive |
| HON | honorific |
| HORT | hortative |
| IMP | imperative |
| INF | infinitive |
| IP | imperfective participle |
| LOC | locative |
| M | masculine |
| NEG | negative |
| NMLZ | nominalizer |
| OBL | oblique |
| ONOM | onomatopoetic |
| P | perfective |
| PASS | passive |
| PF | present-future stem |
| PL | plural |


| POL | polite |
| :---: | :---: |
| PP | perfective participle |
| PRES | present |
| PS | pronominal suffix |
| PST | past |
| REDUP | reduplication |
| REFL | reflexive |
| REL | relative marker |
| RHYM | rhyming |
| SBJV | subjunctive |
| SG | singular |
| STAT | stative particle |
| Tnnn | reference to entry in Turner (1962-1966) |
| TOP | topicalizer |
| TOT | totalizing (aggregating) |
| V | vowel |

## 2 Linguistic Context

### 2.1 Introduction

The question of whether a particular speech form constitutes a "dialect" or a "language" is deeply fraught, not only in the context of South Asia or Pakistan. We follow Joseph (1982) in treating the terms "dialect" and "language" as social facts, rather than linguistic ones; where it is useful to distinguish characteristic linguistic regularities, we prefer the terms "language variety" or "variety". Important social facts about the terms "dialect" and "language" in the South Asian context are that "dialect" is often used negatively to describe unstandardized or non-standard varieties, while "language" is often used positively to describe standard varieties that are used or recognized by government authorities. Given the social fact that speakers of varieties of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki are increasingly aware of and describe their speech varieties as languages, we think it is appropriate to do so in this work as well.

We feel that the current book addresses a real need. There are so far no comprehensive descriptive English-language grammars of contemporary (2018) Hazara Hindko, Lahore Panjabi, or Multan Saraiki. Important existing grammars of Majhi Panjabi are mostly based on the Ludhiana or Amritsar dialects as they were before 1947. Bhatia (1993), for instance, is "primarily based on the Majhi dialect spoken in Lahore (Pakistan) and Amritsar, and the Gurdaspur district of the state of Punjab, India, as it was before the partition of the Indian subcontinent in 1947" (p. xxxii). Bahl (1969) is based on the Majhi dialect of Amritsar (pre-partition). Malik (1995) is "based mainly on the Majhi dialect spoken in the districts of Amritsar, Lahore, and Gurdaspur which constituted the central districts of [...] unpartitioned Panjab" (p. viii). Interestingly, of major published works, Cummings and Bailey (1912), though based on Bailey (1904b), which is subtitled "A brief grammar of Panjābī as spoken in the Wazīrābād District", comes closer to describing contemporary Lahore Panjabi (minus the heavy Urdu influence) than the other works mentioned. Perhaps this is because Lahore Panjabi is now a considerably mixed variety, and Wazirabad Panjabi of 1904 fell into that class of varieties considered by Bahl (1970) as extensive transitional areas between Lahnda in the west and Panjabi.

Although Peshawar Hindko has a better-established written literary tradition, Hazara Hindko has been chosen for treatment here because the largest number of Hindko speakers speak this cluster of varieties. "Hindko is most widely used in Hazara Division. [...] Abbottabad district in particular is heavily weighted toward Hindko, with more than 176,000 (92.31 percent) households speaking it as a first language. Mansehra, the other district in Hazara Division, also has a large Hindko population, accounting for 73,500 ( 46.8 percent) households and representing the largest single linguistic group" (Addleton 1986: 38). Also, it is more different from Lahore Panjabi than is Peshawar Hindko, which, like Lahore Panjabi, shows some characteristics of "big
city" speech-that is, speech which draws its features from a variety of sources. ${ }^{1}$ Also, while there are at least two English-language discussions of Peshawar Hindko available (Shackle 1980 and Toker 2014), there is as yet, to our knowledge, no such published description of Hazara Hindko.

The Saraiki of Multan belongs to Shackle's Central Saraiki classification. Central Saraiki varieties are spoken in Districts Multan and Muzaffargarh, and northern Dera Ghazi Khan and Bahawalpur (Shackle 1976: 6). It has been chosen for renewed attention here because it is the major vehicle of literary expression in Saraiki. Multan is also the home of important Saraiki literary and cultural organizations, like the Saraiki Adabi Board. Shackle's 1976 grammar is comprehensive and authoritative, but it does not include analyzed and glossed examples of Saraiki written in Perso-Arabic script.

### 2.2 The language names

In his Linguistic Survey of India (1919), Grierson used the term Lahnda, 'west', to refer to the languages spoken to the west of Panjabi, including those today referred to as (varieties of) Hindko and Saraiki, as well as some still referred to by their local namesfor example, Riyasati for the speech of Bahawalpur. No speakers of these varieties referred to their own languages as Lahnda; rather, they used names referring to local communities-for example, Awankari, the language of the Awan tribe, Shahpuri, the language of the town of Shahpur (near Sargodha), or Multani, the language of Multan (today's Saraiki). Grierson (and others among his contemporaries) considered these language varieties as constituting a group called Lahnda, and considered it clearly different from Panjabi. Some of these are now simply classified as Panjabi. Even District Gujranwala in Grierson's day was considered a partially Lahnda-speaking area.

The name Panjabi (also Punjabi) derives from the name of the geographical area in which it has traditionally been spoken, the (Persian) panj-āb ‘[land of] five waters'that is, the five tributaries of the Indus that flow through modern-day northwest India and eastern Pakistan. ${ }^{2}$ Hindko contains the element hind-, cognate with sind- 'river', as in Hindustan. It is thought to have originally designated the languages of the Indus Valley, as opposed to Iranian languages like Pashto.

The preferred spelling for the name of the language of southern Punjab in Pakistani universities today is Saraiki (originally Siraiki, also Seraiki). ${ }^{3}$ Two etymologies are proposed for this name. The first derives it from siro 'a name for Upper Sindh', and

[^0]the second from the ancient city name Sauvira. It is possible that the first derivation is relevant for the variety of Siraiki spoken in northern Sindh, and the second for the Saraiki language of southern Punjab to which it is now applied. Grierson explained the ambiguity present in the word Siraiki as follows. "From 'Siro' is derived 'Siraiki', which thus means 'the language of the upstream country'. It is evident that this can have two meanings. Either it may mean 'the Sindhi spoken in Upper Sindh,' or it may mean 'the Lahnda spoken higher up the Indus than Sindh,' and, as a matter of fact, it is used in Sindh in both these senses (1894-1928: 9)". Raza (2016) advocates the second explanation, arguing for a derivation sauvira > sauvira + the language-name suffix -ki> saraiki (by simplification). Whatever the origin of the name, today in 2019, the current name, Saraiki, clearly designates the language of the middle Indus Valley or southern Punjab. It was adopted in the 1960s as a result of cultural activities initiated by Riaz Anwar, a lawyer from Muzaffargarh (Rahman 1995).

### 2.3 The languages and their speakers



Figure 2.1: Map of Pakistan and environs with political boundaries

The languages in the Hindko-Panjabi-Saraiki (H-P-S) language area share many linguistic features, and are mutually intelligible to a greater or lesser degree. In addition to contact phenomena involving these languages, they have also undergone intensive contact from superstratal languages for many centuries, particularly Persian and, more recently, Urdu and English. Given this degree of language convergence, it would be difficult to delineate clear dividing lines between varieties of Panjabi and other languages spoken in adjacent regions.

Shackle (1979) discusses the complexities of language classification in Punjab. The term Hindko, for example, is applied variously to the Indo-Aryan language spoken in parts of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (the former North West Frontier Province), the Potohar Plateau, and Hazara District, as well as, occasionally, to what is now usually referred to as Saraiki (Grierson 1968[1916]). There are, in fact, many varieties of Hindko. Shackle (1980) describes differences between the Hindko spoken in non-urban areas in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, especially Kohat city, and that of Peshawar. (See also Lothers and Lothers 2010 for detailed discussion of other varieties.)

Boundaries between the H-P-S area, however, and Dardic languages to the north, Pashto to the west, and Sindhi and Balochi to the south and southwest are relatively clear (Shackle 2003: 583). Its southeastern boundary is somewhat less clear, as H-P-S forms the northwestern part of a linguistic continuum which includes Urdu, the central Indic varieties from Bihar to Rajasthan collectively referred to as Hindi, and some of the closely related languages of northern India, such as Gujarati and Marathi.

Panjabi is spoken in both Pakistan and India (See Figure 2.1). Saraiki is spoken in the central Indus Valley, in southern Punjab; and Hindko is found to the north and west of Panjabi extending as far west as Peshawar (See Figure 2.2). ${ }^{4}$

4 In places, Panjabi, Hindko, and Pashto border on Gujari-speaking areas (Hallberg and O’Leary 1992: 90).


Figure 2.2: Language varieties of the Punjab region

### 2.3.1 Hindko

In this work, Hindko refers to the language varieties spoken mostly in the Mansehra, Abbottabad, Haripur, Peshawar, Kohat, and Dera Ismail Khan Districts of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and in the Attock and Rawalpindi Districts of Punjab (Rensch, Hallberg, and O'Leary 1992: 7). The majority of Hindko-speakers live in Abbottabad and Mansehra Districts of Hazara; we therefore focus here on Hazara Hindko.

In the 1981 census Saraiki and Hindko were listed for the first time as separate categories; in previous censuses, both had been included together with Punjabi (Addleton

1986: 35). According to this census, 2.43 percent of Pakistani households listed Hindko as the primary language spoken. In the 1998 census, however, Hindko speakers were, once more, not counted separately (Pakistan, Government of 2001: 339). Lewis, Simons, and Fennig (2015) gives a 1993 estimate of about three million total Hindko speakers in Pakistan.

Currently, the Gandhara Hindko Board and Gandhara Hindko Academy, based in Peshawar (http://www.gandharahindko.com), are active in organizing cultural events and conferences promoting the recognition and use of the Hindko language.

### 2.3.2 Panjabi

In Pakistan, there are some 77 million speakers of Panjabi, where it is by far the most widely spoken first language. The varieties of Panjabi spoken in Pakistan are collectively referred to as Western or Pakistani Panjabi. According to 1998 census figures, 44.1 percent of Pakistanis speak Panjabi as their first language, making it the most widely spoken first language. In India, Panjabi is the official language of the state of Punjab, and also one of the national languages recognized in the Eighth Schedule of the Indian Constitution. Large numbers of Panjabi speakers also live in the neighboring states of Haryana and Himachal Pradesh, as well as the cities of Delhi and Chandigarh. In total, there are some 33 million Panjabi speakers in India. There are also large Panjabispeaking expatriate and diasporic communities in the United Kingdom, Canada, and the United States, as well as throughout the Persian Gulf.

### 2.3.3 Saraiki

Saraiki is spoken mainly in and around the cities of Multan, Muzaffargarh, Mianwali, Rahimyar Khan, and Bahawalpur in the southern region of Pakistani Punjab, and in District Dera Ismail Khan in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Since Independence, Pakistan has held six official censuses-in 1951, 1961, 1972, 1981, 1998, and 2017. Saraiki was included with Panjabi in the 1951, 1961 and 1971 (held in 1972) counts, and only became an independent option in the 1981 census. According to the 1998 national census, it is spoken as a first language by around eleven million people, or 10.5 percent of respondents, in Pakistan as a whole, making it the fourth most widely spoken first language in Pakistan. The 1998 Punjab Population Census Report lists Saraiki as the first language of 17.4 percent of respondents in Punjab (Javaid 2004: 46). In the 2017 census, Saraiki is listed as mother tongue by $12.19 \%$ of the population on the national level and by 20.68\% of the population in Punjab Province (https://defence.pk/pdf/threads/census-2017-language-data.560777/). Since the 1960s a Saraiki nationalist movement has been active in Pakistani politics (Rahman 1995: 4; Javaid 2004).

### 2.3.4 Other related languages

Although in this work we focus on Hazara Hindko, Lahore Panjabi, and Multan Saraiki, it is worth noting several other closely related varieties which, for reasons of space, time, and available data, we have not addressed in this work.

### 2.3.4.1 Pothwari

Pothwari (also spelled Pothohari)-Pahari refers to a complex continuum of varieties spoken from the Potohar Plateau in western Punjab to Jhelum District and north to the Rawalpindi and Murree Districts, as well as in Mirpur, in Pakistan-controlled Kashmir. This hyphenated term reflects the fact that it covers numerous varieties spread over a wide area; some people, especially those in the Murree hills, refer to their language as "Pahari" (r. war Plateau are often called "Pothwari" or other local names like "Ghebi". Estimates put the total number of Pothwari speakers at around 2.5 million in Pakistan, with an additional half million outside of Pakistan (Lothers and Lothers 2010: 9).

### 2.3.4.2 Dogri

In 2001 there were approximately 2.3 million speakers of Dogri in India (Census of India 2001). ${ }^{5}$ In India, where Dogri enjoys a vibrant literary and cultural scene, it is the main language of Jammu Province. Like Panjabi, Dogri has phonemic tone. It is also spoken in some parts of northern Punjab in Pakistan, but the number of speakers is difficult to estimate, since there is no separate category for Dogri on the Pakistani census forms, and it would fall into the "others" category.

### 2.4 Historical background

These languages were much more different from each other in the past than they are today (2019). Several earlier writers have noted this. For instance, according to the Ain-i-Akbari, in the time of the Mughal emperor Akbar I ("Akbar the Great"), who ruled from 1556 to 1605, the languages of Delhi and Multan were not mutually intelligible (Bahawalpuri and Bashir 1981: 3). Jukes (1900: v) noted that "The Western Punjabi or Jatki language is quite a different language from that spoken in the Eastern Punjab." Grierson (1915: 226) said: "The whole Panjab is the meeting ground of two entirely distinct languages, viz., the Piśâcha parent of Lahndâ which expanded from the Indus Valley eastwards, and the old Midland language, the parent of the modern Western

[^1]Hindî, which expanded from the Jamna Valley westwards. In the Panjâb they overlapped." Grierson grouped Lahnda and Sindhi together as Northwestern Indo-Aryan languages, in contrast to Panjabi, which he considered a Central Indo-Aryan language.

In 1979, Shackle found "a maximal contrast between Siraiki, which has the typical complexities of conservatism, and Panjabi (closely allied to Pothohari), which has many innovating simplifications, with Hindko occupying an intermediate position" (Shackle 1979: 203). Today these languages continue to converge, and there is considerable mutual intelligibility among them, the degree varying with the degree of education and exposure to other languages of the individual speakers involved. For example, most speakers of Multan Saraiki can understand most of Lahore Panjabi, and most speakers of Lahore Panjabi can understand some Multan Saraiki.

As long ago as 1962, Hardev Bahri foresaw the developments that are reflected in this book: "Although Sir George Grierson has rightly excluded a part of Montgomery and Gujranwala and whole of Lahore and Sialkot Districts from the Lahndi tract, the time is not far off when these areas will be totally affected by Lahndi dialects lying to their west. The migration of population since the partition of India and the formation of West Pakistan into a single unit are some of the factors which are bound to shift the eastern boundary of Lahndi to the political border. For centuries, it has been noted, eastern Punjabi has pushed Lahndi further to the west, but the events since 1947 have not only stopped that encroachment by eastern Punjabi, but given Lahndi a chance to retrieve its position in the eastern districts of West Pakistan which has now no communication with the Indian tracts where eastern Punjabi is vastly spoken" (Bahri 1962: $\mathrm{x})$.

The linguistic situation in Lahore is particularly complex because of the massive migration which took place in 1947, when the partition of British India split the province of Punjab between India and Pakistan. Nearly 12.5 million people in and outside of Punjab were displaced as a result of the partition, with many Muslim Punjabis relocating from India to Pakistan and many Sikh and Hindu Punjabis moving from Pakistan to India.

Contemporary (2019) Lahore Panjabi has diverged considerably since 1947 from the Panjabi spoken in India, so that the speech of Lahore is now quite different from that of Amritsar and Gurdaspur, all three of which were formerly considered together as the Majhi variety (Malik 1995: viii). The varieties on the Indian side of the border have come under the heavy influence of Hindi and Sanskrit, while Lahore Panjabi and, to a somewhat lesser extent, Hindko and Saraiki, have been influenced by Urdu. Additionally, since Lahore is the major urban center of Punjab Province in Pakistan, features of varieties spoken farther west and south of Lahore have entered the language. Lahore Panjabi thus displays typical features of "big city speech".

Panjabi and Saraiki literary languages can be traced through a continuous literary tradition dating back to the twelfth century. There is a long and rich tradition of Mus$\lim$ Sufi literature and poetry in Panjabi and Saraiki that extends to the present day. Beginning in the sixteenth century, Sikhism developed in the Punjab, and much of the

Sikh canon, including the Adi Granth, is written in an early form of a mixed language which includes elements of Panjabi, Khari Boli, and what has become today's Saraiki. Shackle (1983: ii) stresses the mixed character of the language, as the Adi Granth scriptures contain many archaic forms and draw on a number of local languages; these are discussed in detail in Shackle (1977) and Shackle (1978).

Today (2019) Panjabi has a robust literary life in India, but this has been less so in Pakistan. The first Panjabi-language newspaper, Sajjan, survived only from February 1989 to September 1990. Recently, some online Panjabi-language newspapers have appeared; these include Bhulekha, with a presence on Facebook and Lokaai (http:// lokaai.com). At present, only Khabran, based in Lahore, appears to have a print edition. ${ }^{6}$ The website apnaorg.com publishes a quarterly Panjabi magazine Sānjh, with identical content in Gurmukhi and Perso-Arabic versions. Saraiki, despite its smaller number of speakers, has a relatively large literary production and three regularly published newspapers: Kook (Karachi), Jhok (Multan, and with a Facebook presence) and Al-Manzoor (Taunsa Sharif). Peshawar Hindko is used in The Hindkowan, The Gandhara Voice, Sarkhail, and a children's magazine Tarey. Abbottabad Hindko so far has less published literature or journalism.

Prior to Partition, colonial policy in the Punjab promoted the use of Persian and, later, Urdu in official contexts (Mir 2010: passim). After Partition, Urdu became even more closely associated with Muslim identity, and specifically with South Asian Islam. Despite being the most widely spoken language in Pakistan, Panjabi has no official status there. Historically relegated to use in informal, personal contexts, Panjabi and other local languages began to gain support during the administration of Prime Minister Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto (1973-1977). Bhutto, who came from Sindh, promoted local vernaculars, including Panjabi. During this period, the state established regional literary boards including the Pakistan Punjabi Adabi Board, and the University of Punjab established a Department of Punjabi. However, this period came to an end following the coup that brought General Zia ul-Haq to power in 1977, and many Punjabi writers and film makers saw their works censored or banned. Since the mid-1980s, however, Panjabi literature and film and the valorization of Punjabi identity have begun to revive in Pakistan (Ayres 2009: passim).

Nevertheless, Panjabi itself continues to be absent from official discourse. Urdu is the only national language of Pakistan, as decreed in the Constitution, although both Urdu and English can be used for official purposes. ${ }^{7}$ Urdu and English remain the prestige languages of the Pakistani elite (Ayres 2009: 73).

[^2]
### 2.5 Writing systems

In India, Panjabi is written in Gurmukhi ('speech of the mouth of the Guru') script, which ultimately derives from the Brahmi script. Gurmukhi has been in use since possibly the eleventh century, but came to be standardized in the sixteenth century by the second Sikh guru, or teacher, Guru Angad Dev Ji. Sikhs consider learning Gurmukhi a religious duty, as it enables them to read the Sikh holy text, the Adi Granth (Rahman 2007: 28).

In Pakistan, Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki are all written in a modified Perso-Arabic script, preferably in the Nasta' ${ }^{\text {liq } q ~ c a l l i g r a p h i c ~ s t y l e, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ s o m e t i m e s ~ c a l l e d ~ " S h a h-~}$ mukhi" or 'speech of the mouth of the king', a name modeled on "Gurmukhi" 'mouth of the Guru'. Muslim writers of Panjabi have been using this script since the seventeenth century. In most respects, it is identical to the script used to write Urdu; some writers, however, have tried to introduce conventions to capture phonological contrasts not present in Urdu (see Malik 1995). Panjabi spelling in Perso-Arabic has not yet been entirely standardized (Shackle 2003: 598) (See Section 3.6 for more detailed discussion). Orthographic conventions for Saraiki are more firmly established than those of Hindko. While representation of most Saraiki consonant sounds is identical to that of Panjabi, Saraiki writers have adopted an additional five distinct, non-Urdu letters to represent the four implosive consonants and the retroflex nasal (see Section 3.6.5 on Saraiki orthography). Orthographic conventions for Hindko are less standardized; most Hindko writers use the same set of letters used in Urdu and Panjabi to represent their language, but there is considerable variation in spelling, especially of vowel sounds (see Section 3.6.4 on Hindko orthography).

[^3]
## 3 Phonology and Orthography

### 3.1 Introduction

This chapter introduces the phonology, or sound patterns, of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki; defines the transcription, in letters familiar to readers of English in the Roman script that is used in this grammar; and relates the sounds and their transcription to the orthography, or writing system used to represent these languages. In Pakistan, all three languages are written in the Perso-Arabic script, originally used to represent Arabic, expanded with additional letters to accommodate the sounds of Persian, and then further modified to represent sounds and phonological contrasts present in Urdu. Writers of Panjabi have mostly continued to use the unmodified Urdu script, though there is concern in some circles that two of the salient sounds of Panjabi are not represented in Urdu script-that is, retroflex $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l}$, which we represent in this grammar as $/ n /$ and /l!/. This is less of a concern for Hindko, whose writers do represent retroflex $/ \mathrm{n} /$ as a nasalized retroflex /ṛ/, and not at all for Saraiki, since the Saraiki-speaking community has developed and adopted unique letters to represent the sounds of their language.

### 3.2 Transcription: Definitions and conventions

In this grammar, we provide all words and example sentences in both Perso-Arabic orthography (described in Section 3.6) and in a Roman transcription. Transcription is distinct from transliteration. Transcription is a way of representing the sounds ${ }^{1}$ of a language using a single letter or pair of letters for each; we have chosen letters that are likely to guide readers familiar with English to an approximation of the pronunciation of these languages, with some additions from the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA). Transliteration, by contrast, is a way of representing the letters of one language's writing system using the letters of another language's writing system. The goal of transliteration is to simplify the representation of written text of one language using the writing system of another language, preferably in a way that allows knowledgeable readers to recover the original written version. The goal of transcription, however, and the goal of this grammar, is to help the reader understand how words and sentences are pronounced, regardless of how they may be written. To assist the reader in this, we have normalized the romanization of examples taken from secondary sources to the system used in this grammar.

[^4]Two aspects of these languages make transcription preferable to transliteration. First, due to inheritance of letters from Arabic and Persian for sounds which are not part of the phonology of Indo-Aryan languages like Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki, in several cases there are multiple letters for one sound-four characters for the sound $/ \mathrm{z} /$, three for $/ \mathrm{s} /$, and two for $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and for $/ \mathrm{t} /$. Therefore, any transliteration system for these languages that would allow readers to recover the original text would also have to assign multiple Roman letters to the same sound. We feel that if the goal is to pronounce and understand the spoken language, such transliterations would not be helpful. Second, the orthography of these languages-like most orthographies based on the Perso-Arabic writing system-marks centralized, or "short," vowels only sporadically. By providing both Perso-Arabic orthography and a transcription for each word and example sentence, we can present the languages as they are normally written in Perso-Arabic script, without centralized vowel symbols, while fully representing centralized vowels in the transcription.

In this grammar, material transcribed from Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki is placed in slanting brackets, like this: /transcription/. In cases where it is necessary to emphasize a difference between our transcription and the orthography, we place a transliteration in angled brackets, like this: <transliteration>. In cases where it is necessary to emphasize a difference between our transcription and a word's pronunciation, the pronunciation is given in square brackets, like this: [pronunciation].

- ريس 'live.PP.SG.M’

Transcription: /ryā/
Transliteration: <rahiyā>
Pronunciation: [ryắa ${ }^{2}$

### 3.3 Segments

In phonology, a segment is understood as a discrete unit that is clearly identifiable in a linear sequence of sounds and thus separable for purposes of analysis and discussion. We first discuss consonantal segments, and then vowels and diphthongs.

2 The transcription and the pronunciation in this example use the acute accent to mark high tone. See Section 3.4.1.2 on tone in Panjabi and Hindko and Section 3.6.2.3 on the historical spellings that indirectly indicate tone.

### 3.3.1 Consonants

Observations in this section are relevant for the consonant systems of all three languages, both individually and from a comparative perspective. The consonant systems of Panjabi and Hindko are quite similar, but that of Saraiki is significantly different.

The following tables present the consonant segments that are contrastive in Hindko and Panjabi-that is, sounds that distinguish one word from another. In traditional linguistic terminology, these are the phonemes of the language. Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 present the consonants in terms of places and manners of articulation for all three languages-that is, the parts of the mouth (and/or nose or throat) where the sounds are produced, and how they are produced-whether, for example, by stopping the airflow (as in a plosive) or by causing turbulence in the airflow (as in a fricative). For Saraiki consonants, see Table 3.7 below. In these three tables, the sounds are represented according to the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA).

Symbols in parentheses represent sounds that are marginal in the Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki sound systems. In Panjabi and Saraiki, the fricatives $/ \mathrm{x} /$ and $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ and the voiceless uvular plosive /q/ occur only in words originating in Arabic and Persian, while the fricatives /f/, /ž/, and /z/ also occur, increasingly frequently, in English loans as well as in words of Perso-Arabic origin. Most urban language users have no problem in pronouncing /f/, which can also merge with or be pronounced as / $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} /$, or in pronouncing / $\mathrm{z} /$, which can also merge with / $\mathrm{d} /$ /. For those Panjabi and Saraiki speakers unfamiliar with Urdu, however, / $\mathrm{x} /$ tends to be pronounced as $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{as} / \mathrm{g} /$. For practically all speakers of these languages, original /q/is pronounced as $/ \mathrm{k} /$ (Shackle 2003: 589; Bhatia 1993: 331). In this grammar, each of these sounds is represented according to the normal educated pronunciation-i.e., we retain $/ \mathrm{x} /$ and $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ in transcriptions of Perso-Arabic $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\dot{\mathscr{V}}$ if if they are really pronounced in that way, but use <kh> or <g> if the words are pronounced with these sounds. On the other hand, we represent orthographic /ق// as $/ \mathrm{k} /$, as it is always pronounced. This reflects the historical origin of the lexical items in which they appear, their representation in present-day orthography, and their actual pronunciation.

|  |  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Dental | Retroflex | Palatal | Velar | Uvular | Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | voiceless unaspirated | p |  | t | t |  | k | q |  |
|  | voiceless aspirated | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ |  | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | $t^{\text {h }}$ |  | $k^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |
|  | voiced | b |  | d | d |  | g |  |  |
| Nasal |  | m |  | n | $\tilde{\text { I }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Tap or Flap |  |  |  | r | โ |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative | voiceless |  | f | S |  | J | x |  |  |
|  | voiced |  |  | z |  | (3) | 8 |  | ¢ |
| Affricate | voiceless unaspirated |  |  |  |  | t |  |  |  |
|  | voiceless aspirated |  |  |  |  | $t^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | voiced |  |  |  |  | ds |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  | 0 |  |  | j |  |  |  |
| Lateral approximant |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.1: Consonants of Hindko (IPA representation)

|  |  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Dental | Retroflex | Palatal | Velar | Uvular | Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | voiceless unaspirated | p |  | t | t |  | k | (q) |  |
|  | voiceless aspirated | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ |  | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {n }}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {b }}$ |  | $k^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |
|  | voiced | b |  | d | d |  | g |  |  |
| Nasal |  | m |  | n | $\eta$ |  |  |  |  |
| Tap or Flap |  |  |  | r | ¢ |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative | voiceless |  | f | 5 |  | 5 | (x) |  |  |
|  | voiced |  |  | z |  | (3) | (y) |  | ¢ |
| Affricate | voiceless unaspirated |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | voiceless aspirated |  |  |  |  | $t^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | voiced |  |  |  |  | ds |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  | 0 |  |  | j |  |  |  |
| Lateral approximant |  |  |  | 1 | l |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2: Consonants of Panjabi (IPA representation)

Retroflex /n/ and /ḷ/ ( $\mathrm{n} /$ and / // in IPA) contrast with dental $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} /$ in Lahore Panjabi, although this distinction is weakening with the younger generation of urban speakers. In this grammar we represent the retroflexion of nasals and laterals, while bearing in mind that in the current Panjabi orthography / $\mathrm{n} /$ is represented only sporadically, and / $1 /$ is not represented at all. Retroflexes in Hindko and Panjabi, as well as Saraiki, are not as strongly retroflexed as those in Hindi or the Dravidian languages.

Certain consonants have predictable variant pronunciations, or allophones, when they co-occur with other consonants. The dental nasal /n/ may be realized as a velar nasal [ n ] when it occurs before velar plosives $/ \mathrm{k} /$, /kh/ or / $\mathrm{g} /$, or as a palatal nasal [ n ] when it occurs before palatal affricates /c/, /ch/, and /j/ (Shackle 2003: 590; Bhatia 1993: 333-334). Similarly, the voiceless palatal fricative /š/ may be realized as a voiceless retroflex fricative [ s ] in clusters with the voiceless retroflex plosive /t!/ (Shackle 2003: 590), although this particular cluster is rare, occurring mostly in learned or Eastern Panjabi words. See also the discussion of consonant clusters in Section 3.5.

- ز"color’

Transcription:/rang/
Pronunciation: [rang] before another vowel, [ray] in isolation

- تُ:

Transcription: /cúnj/
Pronunciation: [cúnj]

Transcription: /mašṭanḍā/
Pronunciation: [mastand̄̄]
In the text of this grammar, the consonants of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki are transcribed using the Roman letters and combinations of letters shown in Table 3.3.

| Sound in IPA | Transcription | Sound in IPA | Transcription |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | p | $\eta$ | ṇ |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ | ph | โ | ก |
| b | b | ¢ | ! |
| 6 | 6 | l | $!$ |
| m | m | $f$ | $f$ |
| f | f | ऽ | š |
| 0 | v | 3 | ž |
| t | t | $t$ | c |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {n }}$ | th | $t^{\text {h }}$ | ch |
| d | d | ds | j |
| n | n | j | $y$ |
| r | r | k | k |
| s | s | $k^{\text {h }}$ | kh |
| z | z | g | g |
| 1 | 1 | g | g |
| t | t | x | x |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {b }}$ | ṭh | 8 | 8 |
| d | d | q | q |
| d | d | f | h |

Table 3.3: IPA representation and transcription of consonant sounds

### 3.3.1.1 Hindko consonants

The only two analyses of Hindko phonology available to us are separated from today's (2018) Hazara Hindko by either time (Varma 1936) or space (Rashid and Akhtar 2012). Therefore, any statements about Hazara Hindko phonology made here must be understood as tentative. Clearly, instrumental study and both phonetic and phonological analysis are needed for (all varieties of) Hindko.

The consonant phonemes of Abbottabad Hindko are mostly the same as those of Panjabi, and are represented by the same Perso-Arabic letters that are used for Panjabi. However, the voiceless velar fricative / $\mathrm{x} /$ appears to have become a native sound in Hindko, since in Hindko / x / spontaneously appears in words which in Panjabi or Urdu have $/ \mathrm{kh} /$ (see Table 3.3). The retroflex / $\mathrm{n} /$ sound is perceived by many as a nasalized retroflex /ṛ/ and spelled by most writers of Abbottabad Hindko as $b ;$ (as is done in some areas for Pashto and Peshawar Hindko). ${ }^{3}$ However some (for example, Sakoon 2002) use the character $\mathcal{U}$, which is regularly used for Saraiki retroflex /ṇ/. There is no retroflex /! / in Hazara Hindko.

### 3.3.1.2 Sound correspondences between Hindko and Panjabi

There are some regular sound correspondences between Panjabi and Hindko. For example, many words which have /v/ in Panjabi have /b/ in Hindko (Table 3.4).

| Gloss | Panjabi | Hindko |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'in' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vic } \\ & \text { vic } \end{aligned}$ | そ bic |
| 'also' | وى | $\begin{aligned} & 3 . \\ & \text { bī } \end{aligned}$ |
| 'hours, o'clock' | $\underset{\text { vaje }}{2}$ | $\underset{\text { baje }}{s}$ |
| 'bride’ |  |  |

Table 3.4: Correspondences between /v/ and /b/in Panjabi and Hindko

[^5]Aspirated /kh/ in Panjabi often corresponds to /x/ in Hindko in word-medial position following a stressed vowel. ${ }^{4}$ The words in Table 3.5, in which the stressed syllables are in boldface type, illustrate this.

| Gloss | Panjabi | Hindko |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'to see, look at' | و. <br> vekhṇā | 1\% dexب̣ā |
| 'to place, put, keep' | ركه rakhṇā | رخم1 raxب̣ā |
| 'to say' | 6.6 <br> ākhṇā |  |

Table 3.5: Correspondences between /kh/ and/x/ in Panjabi and Hindko

Word-initial /h/ in Hindko frequently corresponds to Panjabi /s/ or $\varnothing$, as exemplified in Table 3.6.

| Gloss | Panjabi | Hindko |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'one' | וֹ |  |
| 'to be able' | 6 sakṇā |  |

Table 3.6: Correspondences between word-initial vowel or /s/, and /h/ in Panjabi and Hindko

4 Varma (1936: 77) discusses the /kh/ >/x/ change, but mentions this change only before plosives; e.g. /likh ke/ ~ /lix ke/ 'having written' (Varma 1936: 82), /'ākhda/ ~ /āxda/, 'saying', or /likh ca/ ~/lix ca/ 'just write'. Perhaps this change has expanded its scope in Hindko since Varma's time. Nasir Abbas Syed (p.c.), hereafter abbreviated as NAS, comments that this does not happen in Multan Saraiki.

### 3.3.1.3 Saraiki consonants

There are significant differences between Saraiki phonology and that of Hindko and Panjabi. In the consonant system, shown in Table 3.7, the main points of difference are:

1. Saraiki has four voiced implosive stops: bilabial / $6 /$, alveolar / $\mathrm{d} /$, palatal /f/, and velar $/ \mathrm{g} /$. The pronunciation of implosives involves the larynx being lowered, creating negative pressure in the mouth, and the breath being very briefly drawn in before being released (Catford 1982: 73-77). None of these implosive stop consonant sounds occur in either Panjabi or Hindko, and since they are difficult for non-Saraiki speakers to pronounce they are the primary shibboleth for Saraiki, and are a major focus of many accounts of the language by Saraiki writers.
2. Retroflex /l!/ is not found in Saraiki.
3. Aspiration of voiced consonants (also known as "breathy voice") has not been lost in Saraiki, as it has in Hindko and Panjabi, and even the nasals, laterals, and semivowels have aspirated : unaspirated pairs. Aspiration in Saraiki shows many interesting features, including the loss of historical aspiration without the development of tone after a preceding aspirate, e.g. /ṭhaḍhā/ >/ṭhaḍḍā/ طُمْ 'cold (adj.)'; occasional spontaneous loss of historical aspiration, e.g. /caṛhaṇ/
 nants to form voiced aspirates, e.g. /pandrãh/ > /pandhrã/ تپپ '15’ (Shackle 1976: 30-36). ${ }^{5}$
4. Retroflex /ṇ/ is robustly present and is now represented consistently in the orthography with which emphasizes the phonemic contrast with $\cup \mathcal{U}$ rather than representing the phonetic nature of /n/ as [ṛ], that is, a nasalized retroflex /ṛ/. Some earlier writers represented this phoneme by using the digraph ${ }_{j}$, but this


According to Shackle (1976: 18), Shackle (2003: 590), Latif (2003: 94-95), and Syed and Aldaihani (2014), palatal and velar nasals are distinct phonemes in Saraiki. Contrastive pairs supporting this analysis include: velar vs. alveolar nasal, /\%, /ran/ 'color'
 similar to’. Compare also وg /vaf// 'strike’. This point has been debated among Saraiki writers, but the view advocating separate letters for these two sounds has not prevailed, and the currently accepted orthography does not include separate letters to

[^6]represent the palatal and velar nasals (see Shackle 2003: 598 for some of the proposed characters). This has resulted in some (according to the analysis in Shackle 1976, for example) phonologically inaccurate but forced spellings, e.g. the spelling of the stem
 resenting actual /vãj-/ with a nasalized /a/ and the palatal fricative (in the absence of a unique character for the palatal nasal). This question is still not settled, but could perhaps be resolved by instrumental studies.
Table 3.7: Consonants of Saraiki, adapted from Shackle (1976) and Syed and Aldaihani (2014) (IPA representations)

|  |  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Dental | Alveolar | Retroflex | Palatal | Velar | Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | voiceless unaspirated | $p$ |  | t |  | t | c | k |  |
|  | voiceless aspirated | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ |  | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ |  | $t^{\text {h }}$ | $c^{\text {h }}$ | $k^{\text {h }}$ |  |
|  | voiced | b |  | d |  | d | $\dagger$ | g |  |
|  | voiced aspirated | $b^{\text {h }}$ |  | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {h }}$ |  | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {h }}$ | $f^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {h }}$ |  |
| Implosive | unaspirated | 6 |  |  | d |  | $f$ | g |  |
| Nasal | unaspirated | m |  |  | n | $\eta$ | n | $\eta$ |  |
|  | aspirated | $\mathrm{m}^{\text {h }}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {h }}$ | $\eta^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |
| Fricative | voiceless |  | $f$ |  | s |  | 」 | X |  |
|  | voiced |  | 0 |  | z |  | 3 | 8 | f |
|  | voiced aspirated |  | $v^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flap or Trill | unaspirated |  |  |  | $r$ | โ |  |  |  |
|  | aspirated |  |  |  | $\mathrm{r}^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{l}^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |
| Lateral | unaspirated |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | aspirated |  |  |  | $l^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  |  |  | j |  |  |

Minimal pairs for the implosive stops and for the palatal and velar nasals are given here (Latif 2003: 94-95; Kalanchvi 1979/1981). ${ }^{6}$

- /b/:/6/

U/bas/ ‘bus': $J^{\prime} /$ bas/ ‘enough’

- /g/:/g/

Yو /gol/ 'round': لو: /gol-/ 'search'

- /j/: /f/

جالا

- /ḍ/: /d/:/d/

- /n/:/ṇ/

- /n/:/n/

ر/ran/ ‘wife’: رنَ /ran/ ‘color’
Minimal pairs for unaspirated and aspirated labiodental approximants follow (Nasir Abbas Syed, p.c.):

- /v/:/vh/

روال/ravã̃/ 'running, functional': روهال/ravhã// 'cowpeas, a species of legume, Vigna unguiculata'

- /v/:/vh/


6 A very few words having an aspirated alveo-palatal nasal sound exist. The three such words found by Nasir Abbas Syed are 1 كنح: /kañhā/ 'later variety of fruit; tree which yields fruit after the
 until now'. The problem in representing words with this sound is that a unique Perso-Arabic character for the alveo-palatal nasal has not (yet) been accepted into the Saraiki alphabet in general use. The solution adopted, which is not unanimously accepted, is to spell the palatalized
 minimal pairs for these words are found. These are words from an agricultural society, and are still in use by rural Saraiki speakers. However, as NAS notes, with increasing cultural change, their frequency is likely to decline. Since these are the only words showing this sound that he was able to find, if these few words are lost, this sound will no longer be present in the language.

A phonetic development frequently observed in rapid or rural speech is that $/ \mathrm{m} /$ is followed by an intrusive [b], as in ${ }^{6}$ /مبر /ambrīkā/ 'America', or ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ / /jambēlā/ 'Jamila'.

### 3.3.2 Vowels

The vowel segment inventories of all three languages under consideration are quite similar. Both Saraiki and Hindko show frequent elision of vowel sequences, which is often represented in writing.

### 3.3.2.1 Hindko vowels

Varma (1936: 55) finds that "Lahnda," which includes northern (Hazara) Hindko, has ten oral (that is, non-nasal) vowel phonemes: peripheral /i/, /e/, /a/, / $\Lambda /, / \mathrm{o} /$, and $/ \mathrm{u} /$; and centralized /i/, /æ/, /ъ/, and /ə/. In addition, according to Varma (1936: 90), all the oral vowels, plus some of the many diphthongs he identifies, can be nasalized. However, Varma makes no statement addressing the matter of whether nasalization is phonemic or not. (For nasalization, see also Section 3.4.1.1.1 below.) Varma's analysis is that of a native-speaker phonetician, and, although it was done almost eighty years ago, is still to be considered very reliable. The information in Varma's diagram of the tongue positions of these vowel sounds is represented in Table 3.8 and Table 3.9.

|  | Front | Central | Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High (tense) | i |  | u |
| High (lax) | I | v |  |
| High-mid | e | $ə$ | 0 |
| Low-mid | $æ$ | $\wedge$ |  |
| Low |  | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |

Table 3.8: Vowels of Hindko, adapted from Varma (1936: 55-59)

A recent study, Rashid and Akhtar (2012), based on phonetic analysis of the Hindko of Muzaffarabad and Pakistan-administered Kashmir using Praat software, finds nine oral vowels: /i/, /ı/, /e/, /a/, /u/, /o/, /æ/, /ə/, and /v/; and five nasal vowels: /ĩ/, /ẽ/, /ã/, /õ/, and /ũ/. They characterize these vowels with regard to frontness and backness, and closeness and openness. The information in Rashid \& Akhtar's diagram of the


Table 3.9: Centralized and peripheral vowels: Varma (1936: 55-59)
vowel space (Rashid and Akhtar 2012: 67) is represented in Table 3.10 and Table 3.11. For uniformity of presentation, we omit the nasal vowels.

|  | Front | Central | Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High (closed) | i |  | u |
| High (half-closed) | e | u |  |
| Mid (half-closed) | e |  |  |
| Mid (half-open) | $æ$ |  | 0 |
| Low (open) |  | a |  |

Table 3.10: Vowels of Hindko: Rashid and Akhtar (2012: 67)

Vowel sandhi, often realized through elision, or coalescence, is a very salient feature of the spoken language in both Hindko and Saraiki. When two identical vowel sounds, or two similar sounds-for example, an oral vowel and a nasalized vowel-come together at a morpheme boundary, elision normally occurs. Vowel sandhi can result in a vowel intermediate to the original vowels, as in $/ \bar{a} /+/ \mathrm{e} />/ æ /$, or in a vowel combining the features of both the elided vowels as in $/ \overline{\mathrm{a}} /+/ \overline{\tilde{a}} />/ \overline{\tilde{a}} /$ and $/ \tilde{\tilde{a}} /+/ \mathrm{n} />/ \overline{\tilde{a}} /$. Elision is especially important in the pronunciation of some tense-aspect forms of verbs, especially those involving the present auxiliary. This is sometimes represented in writing and sometimes not-by different writers and even by the same writer. Elision is also heard in spoken Panjabi, but not usually represented in writing.


Table 3.11: Centralized and peripheral vowels: Rashid and Akhtar (2012)

### 3.3.2.2 Panjabi vowels

There are ten oral (non-nasal) vowels in Panjabi, as shown in Table 3.12. According to traditional terminology, seven of these are "long": /ā/, /e/, /ī/, /o/, / $\overline{\mathrm{u}} /$, /æ/, and /ァ/, and three are "short": /a/, /i/, and /u/. An alternate analysis, which we adopt here, categorizes these vowels in terms not of length, but of vowel type. In this grammar, we use the terms "centralized" in place of "short", and "peripheral" in place of "long" (following Shackle 1976: 12; Gill and Gleason 1969: 2; Shackle 2003: 587), shown in Table 3.12.


Table 3.12: Centralized and peripheral vowels of Panjabi (IPA representations)

In addition, / $/$ / has an important allophone [ $\Lambda$ ], a lower-mid back unrounded vowel, which is more open and farther back than [ə] and which occurs in stressed syllables and before long (i.e. geminated) consonants and consonant clusters. It corresponds
phonetically to the stressed vowel in the second syllable of the English word 'above' [ว.' bлv], and is seen, for example, in the first, stressed, syllable of $\breve{\psi}_{\Downarrow}$ [pıttā] ‘leaf’.

In this grammar, we transcribe the vowels of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki using Roman letters as shown in Table 3.13.

| Sound in IPA | Transcription | Sound in IPA | Transcription |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | $\bar{y}$ | $u$ | $\bar{u}$ |
| l | i | 0 | $u$ |
| e | e | 0 | 0 |
| æ | $æ$ | $\partial$ | $\partial$ |
| a | $\bar{a}$ | $ə / \Lambda$ | $a$ |

Table 3.13: Vowels in transcription

### 3.3.2.3 Saraiki vowels

According to Shackle (1976: 12), there are nine primary vowels in Saraiki, which can, as for Panjabi and Hindko, be characterized as peripheral ("long") and centralized ("short"). Shackle's system can be diagrammed as in Table 3.14.

As with Hindko and Panjabi, a length distinction, which we have presented as the peripheral/centralized distinction, exists in Saraiki vowels. This distinction between peripheral and centralized vowels can in places better be characterized in terms of a difference of quality (Shackle 1976: 13). Syed and Kula (forthcoming) presents the same nine-vowel inventory. Short [ě] and [ŏ] allophones of /i/ and/u/, respectively are phonetically prominent in the language, and are dialectally distributed. The vowel / $\partial /$ is considered as an allophone of / $\Lambda /$ appearing in unstressed syllables by both Shackle and Syed. Both $/ \Lambda /$ and $/ \partial /$ are transcribed in this book as <a>.

The speech of our consultant has both long and short [e]. Compare the forms for the second person plural present of 'be' (also used as an auxiliary), تسال [tussã hivvē] '2PL are' and 1 [ē hěn] ' 3 PL are'. These differences are sometimes reflected in the orthography (spelling), sometimes not. The vowel/o/ is phonemically long, but the centralized (short) back rounded vowel can vary between [ŏ] and [ŭ]. The Saraiki sound represented as $/ \Lambda /$ in Table 3.14 sometimes corresponds to a stressed [a] and sometimes to an unstressed [ $\partial]$. Our consultant characterizes some occurrences of (stressed) $/ \Lambda /$ as "tense, but not long." This description of $/ \Lambda /$ seem to correspond to the


Table 3.14: Saraiki vowels
distinction in Shackle (2001: 657), where he says: "There is a notable phonetic contrast between stressed [a] and the corresponding unstressed [ə]." Elsewhere he says: "accented / $\Lambda /$ is markedly more open and low back than the centralized neutral vowel / $\partial /$ of Panjabi, and nearer to /a/" (Shackle 1976: 13). Sometimes, because of Perso-Arabic spelling conventions, a tense (i.e. stressed but not long) vowel tends to be represented with a (long) vowel symbol, which can make for inconsistency in spelling.

Sometimes vowels which are peripheral in a Panjabi word are centralized but tense in some varieties of Saraiki, for example the word for 'eat', which is l: ك /khāṇā/, with a peripheral stem / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ in Panjabi, in some Saraiki varieties has a centralized but tense / $\Lambda$ /, i.e., [kh $\wedge n-]$, as in, for example 'the goat is eating leaves'. However, the infinitive of this word is spelled كُاونُ/khāvaṇ/ in perhaps the most widely available and accepted Urdu-Saraiki dictionary (Mughal 2010), reflecting the pronunciation with the peripheral vowel.

The fronting of /a/ before / $\mathrm{h} /$ which occurs in Panjabi (see Section 3.4.1.2.2) does not happen in Saraiki. So, for example, while Panjabi has ['ǽmad] for the name Ahmad (احم), Saraiki has [ 'ahmad] (Shackle 1979: 203).7

Elision is an important feature of Saraiki. Short forms of the present auxiliary (see Section 8.5.3.1.1) frequently coalesce with preceding word-final vowels, especially when these are unstressed and follow a stressed syllable. These elisions are important in the formation (especially the pronunciation, and sometimes in the written form as

[^7]well) of periphrastic tenses, especially those formed with a participle plus the short form of the present auxiliary, like the present imperfect (referred to as the present in Shackle 1976: 99). Orthography is inconsistent in such cases: sometimes such forms are written as two words, sometimes as one. Elisions are written in some, but not all cases (Shackle 1976: 94). For example:

- After /-ā/:

$$
\text { /-ā/ + /-ãa } />/-\overline{\tilde{a}} / \text {, as in }
$$

 /țurdæ/


- After /e/:
- After /-æ/:

$$
\text { /-ae/ + /-ěn/ > /-æn/, as in } \frac{1}{!} / \text { /gæ ěn/ ‘3PL have gone’ > گی: /gæn/ }
$$

### 3.3.3 Diphthongs

Identification and enumeration is more complicated for diphthongs than it is for simple vowels, since diphthongs involve movement of the articulators from one position to another. They are, however, a subset of the wider category of vowel sequences, which as a whole are characterized by movement from the position of an initial vowel sound to the position of a second vowel. The difference between diphthongs and other vowel sequences is that diphthongs function as single vowels in the nucleus of a single syllable, while other sequences are (usually) disyllabic. This difference, however, is not always clear, since complex vowel sounds may not be perceived or categorized in the same way by different observers and analysts. In fact, as Catford (1982: 215) points out, a diphthong may consist of two distinct elements with a rapid transition between them, or it may be a continuous gliding movement from a starting point to a finishing point. The frequency of elision in these languages further complicates the picture. Therefore, the descriptions of diphthong inventories in these languages are not strictly comparable and should be considered provisional, especially for Hindko and Saraiki. ${ }^{8}$

8 See Malik (1995: 21) for further discussion of the complexities of analyzing diphthongs and vowel sequences.

### 3.3.3.1 Hindko diphthongs

The problems of defining and identifying diphthongs apply most strongly to Hindko. Varma himself says that "These diphthongs vary in their degree of 'diphthongization’, and in some cases it becomes difficult to determine whether they are diphthongs or two separate vowels" (Varma 1936: 61). He also notes that most of his diphthongs arise from "flexion"-that is, from adding grammatical endings to word stems. Concerning fifteen of them, Varma (1936: 63) says that "we cannot say definitely whether they are rising, falling, or 'even' diphthongs-the difference of perceptibility between the first and the second element being not very striking." Discussing Awankari, another variety of "Lahnda," Bahri (1963: 66) says: "There are no less than forty-one diphthongs in Awankari." He, too, stresses the difficulty of identifying diphthongs and distinguishing them from vowel sequences (Bahri 1963: 68). It appears that by "diphthong" Varma and Bahri may have meant what we are here calling "vowel sequence," which would include both monosyllabic diphthongs and disyllabic vowel sequences. In addition, importantly, various Hindko vowel sequences are subject to frequent elision, giving rise to phonetic diphthongs that are not phonemic.

Published lists of Hindko diphthongs vary considerably. Varma (1936: 61) lists 31: /ei/, /ai/, /^i/, /əi/, /oi/, /ui/, /ie/; /عе/, /ae/, /^е/, /əе/, /ое/, /ue/; /iє/, /īa/, /ia/, /еа/, /oa/, /ua/, /ūa/; /īo/, /io/, /ео/, /ао/, /^о/, /əо/; /iu/, /عu/, /au/, /^u/, /əu/. Other lists include the 41 listed by Bahri (1963: 65-69), and Rashid and Akhtar's three: /oi/, /āī/, and /uā/, as in the words/loı/ 'wool blanket', /kəsai/ 'butcher', and /bva/ 'door' (Rashid and Akhtar 2012: 72).

The diphthongs of Hazara Hindko await detailed description and analysis.

### 3.3.3.2 Panjabi diphthongs

There is, by contrast, considerable consensus about the inventory of Panjabi diphthongs. According to Gill and Gleason (1969: 19), Bhatia (1993:337), and Shackle (2003: 588), Panjabi has eight diphthongs, shown in Table 3.15. ${ }^{9}$

All of these begin with a centralized vowel and end with a peripheral vowel. In the diphthongs / $\mathrm{Ia} /$, / $\frac{1}{} /$, /го/, /əi/, and /əe/, the first vowel is pronounced as an [ě] sound, similar to /e/, but of shorter duration. In other words, the vowel/I/ lowers to [ĕ] before a non-high vowel, and the vowel/ə/raises to [ĕ] before a non-low vowel. In the following examples, the transcriptions are in our transcriptional notation, and the pronunciations are given in IPA representation.

[^8]|  |  | Second vowel |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | i | e | a | ว | 0 | u |
|  | 1 |  | ıa | 13 | 10 |  | u |
| vowel | ə | әі | әе |  |  | әо | әu |
|  | $v$ | vа |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.15: Diphthongs of Panjabi

- (يّ 'go.PP-SG.M’

Transcription: <gayā>
Pronunciation: [gěa]

- يوي:father’

Transcription: <piyo>
Pronunciation: [pěo]

- ليو. 'to bring'

Transcription: <liyכṇā>
Pronunciation: [lĕəna]

- گै 'go.PP-SG.F'

Transcription: <gaī>
Pronunciation: [gěi]

- 'go.PP-PL.M’

Transcription: <gae>
Pronunciation: [gěe]

These sound sequences are analyzed as diphthongs here.
Panjabi also permits sequences of two or three different peripheral vowels, exclud-
 as single vowels, each vowel in a sequence such as /ā.ī/ constitutes a separate syllable, and in this case a separate morpheme (Gill and Gleason 1969: 20).

### 3.3.3.3 Saraiki diphthongs

Shackle (1976: 13) lists 45 vowel sequences, of which he identifies the following five combinations of peripheral plus central vowel as disyllabic sequences in Central Saraiki:

- /īı/ as in بيَ / /'bī. $\Lambda r /$ 'beer’
- /āi/ as in f́lش /'šā.ir/ 'poet'
- /eu/ as in ${ }^{\text {ran }}$ /'ge.um/ 'I went'
- / $\mathrm{u} \Lambda /$ as in ${ }^{\prime} /$ /'sū. $\mathrm{nr} /$ 'pig'
- /oi/ as in كو /'koi.nn/ 'not'

Shackle considered the following sequences to be diphthongs (Shackle 1976: 14-16) ${ }^{10}$ :
Beginning with peripheral vowels:

- /āī/ as in $\mathfrak{o}$ b /māī/ 'mother'
- /āe/ as in टُ بُ /b /bṇāe/ 'made.PL.M'
- /āæ/ as in بُّبُ / /bsṇāæm/ 'I made’
- /āo/ as in وْ بُّ
- /ãũ/ as in بنُّ بُّ /bıṇāãũ/ 'let us make’


- /īæ/ as in

- /īo/ as in وn**/'pīo/ ‘drink!’

- /eũu/ as in

[^9]

- /ūā/ as in لوُ /būā/ ‘aunt’
- /ūæ/ as in $\mathcal{L}$ لوُ./būæ/ ‘it’s auntie’
- /ūī/ as in





Beginning with centralized vowels:
- / $\Lambda \overline{\mathrm{i}} /$ as in $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{m} \overline{\mathrm{i}} /$ 'May’

- /iã/ as in كیّun/kuttiã/ 'female dogs'
- /in/ as in تربيَت /tırbint/ 'training’ (occurs in loans)
- /ie/ as in مرش /mırsie/ 'elegies'
- /iū/ as in وستّيُّ /vastiũ/ 'from the village’

- /ěã/ as in Uكّيك /kuttěã/ ‘dogs’
- /ěe/ as in $\xlongequal{\text { ch }}$ /milěē/ 'they met' (first element often dropped)
- /ĕu/ as in آكّيوم /ākhĕum/ ‘I said’

- /ĕo/ as in لكورُو /ghoṛĕo/ ‘O (male) horses’
- /uā/ as in 9 /duā/ 'prayer'
- /ue/ as in \%ُّهُ / /'cueṭh/ ‘sixty-four’ (Shackle 1976: 16)
- /uī/ as in كُوْ /kuī/ ‘someone’

Note that with those diphthongs beginning with peripheral vowels the peripheral vowel occurs in a stressed syllable, while in those beginning with centralized vowels, except for / $\Lambda \bar{i} /$ and $/ \Lambda 0$ /, the centralized vowel elements are unstressed. Shackle's designation (Shackle 1976) of some sequences beginning with peripheral vowels as diphthongs appears to differ from the analysis he employs for Panjabi (Shackle 2003). ${ }^{11}$

### 3.4 Suprasegmentals

Suprasegmentals are features that occur simultaneously with segments but may involve more than one consonant or vowel segment. Suprasegmental features affecting vowels in these languages are nasalization, tone, and stress. We discuss these features separately for each of the three languages.

### 3.4.1 Suprasegmentals affecting vocalic segments and syllables

### 3.4.1.1 Nasalization

Phonemic nasalization is part of the vowel systems of all three of the languages discussed here. Nasalization is an articulatory feature produced mainly by the lowering of the velum (soft palate), thus allowing air to exit through the nasal passage and producing a nasalized vowel sound. We represent nasalized vowels by writing a tilde over the basic vowel symbol; for example, oral /ā/, nasal / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$. Since nasalization is a feature that readily spreads either forward or backward from an inherently nasal segment, phonetic or automatic nasalization is also observed in all of these languages. However, automatic nasalization is not indicated in the transcriptions except in the phonetic (between square brackets) transcriptions where the nasalization is specifically being discussed.

[^10]
### 3.4.1.1.1 Nasalization in Hindko

Nasal vowels, both phonemic and phonetic, are perceptually very salient in spoken Hindko. According to Varma (1936: 90), all of the plain vowels and most of the diphthongs have nasal counterparts or can be contextually nasalized. Thus both peripheral and centralized vowels can be nasal in Hindko. Final vowels are frequently nasal, medial vowels less frequently. Varma analyzes nasal vowels in Hindko as either primary (independent) or secondary (dependent). Independent nasal vowels are those not induced by the presence of a nasal consonant in the same or an adjacent syllable. Dependent nasalization means nasalization induced by a preceding or succeeding nasal consonant either actually present in the same word or historically present (Varma 1936: $87)^{12}$. Because of the reference to historical conditions, this distinction is not necessarily equivalent to the difference between phonemic and phonetic nasalization in the modern language, and unfortunately Varma does not discuss the question of which oral/nasal vowel pairs are phonemically contrastive.

Independent nasalization generally occurs only in final position:
a. at the end of certain monosyllabic particles as $U$ ي. /yã// 'or', $4 /$ tã̃/ 'then';
b. in some one-syllable content words, where inherent nasalization is inherited from MIA (Pk. chāyaṇa-n. 'covering' T5017 ${ }^{13}$ ); for example, $\cup b^{2}$ _/chã̃/ 'shade'.

Dependent nasalization occurs in the vocalic endings of some words, the stems of
 inine singular ending shows nasalization after the $/ \tilde{\tilde{T}} /$ in the stem. This happens frequently in Abbottabad Hindko. In addition, spontaneous nasalization arises in some polysyllabic words. For instance, with polysyllabic words, the final / /a/ of some cardinal number names (see Section 5.1.4); and some feminine nouns can be phonetically
 sister’. The reflexive pronoun اليُّ /apṛā/is sometimes pronounced and spelled as اليُّال /aprãã/, also showing secondary nasalization. Representation of such secondary nasalization in writing is variable and unpredictable. It seems that this (secondary) nasalization is perceived as so salient that it may have become reinterpreted as inherent nasalization.

The contrast between oral and nasal vowels is phonemically significant in some cases, including those of centralized vowels. The following examples of minimal or near-minimal pairs are taken from Sakoon's Hindko-Urdu dictionary.

- /a/ vs. /ã/

12 Nasalization induced by nasal spreading from inherently nasal consonants or vowels is referred to by various authors as "phonetic", "dependent", or "contextual" nasalization. 13 Notations of the form 'Tnnnn' refer to the entries in Turner’s Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages (Turner 1962-1966).

U/lag/ ‘loneliness, desertedness’: لשׁ /lãg/ ‘line, row, rank' (Sakoon 2002: 219, 220)

- /ā/ vs. /ã̃/
 31)
- /o/ vs. /õ/
$\underset{\Downarrow}{\text { پ. }}$ /pocā/ 'clay wash for walls or floors’:


### 3.4.1.1.2 Nasalization in Panjabi

Each of the seven peripheral vowels in Panjabi have phonemically nasalized counterparts; centralized vowels do not.

Nasalization is only contrastive in final position. Phonetic, or automatic, nasalization occurs in all positions, however. Nasalization can spread from inherently nasal segments either forward, i.e. progressively, or backward, i.e. regressively (Bhatia 1993: 337). It can even spread across syllables as in 'whether' below. The pronunciation of $\dot{\sim}$ /ná/ 'not, don't' as [nã́] is a case of progressive nasalization, and bُنْ /ṭinḍ/ 'earthen pot' as [ṭinḍ] illustrates regressive nasalization. In this grammar, however, we mark only phonemically nasal final vowels. ${ }^{15}$

Transcription: /pà̀vẽ/
Pronunciation: [pằvẽ]

14 Discussing Muzaffarabad Hindko, Rashid and Akhtar (2012: 70) find that all the peripheral (long) vowels except /æ/ have nasal counterparts, which they claim are phonemically distinct from the corresponding oral vowels (they do not, however, supply minimal pairs). They do not mention nasal centralized (short) vowels or discuss phonetic or secondary nasalization.
15 The word 0 /mǘ/ 'mouth' is written without a long vowel letter, as though it contained only a short vowel, centralized /u/. However, this word definitely contains a peripheral, long /ū/, which is represented in its Gurmukhi spelling. Because the orthographically final o represents high tone, and the preceding $\cup$ represents nasalization, the vowel is actually the final segment of the word.

The above example shows that nasalization spreads backward in Panjabi until it encounters a blocking segment, and that semi-vowels do not block the spread of nasalization (Bhatia 1993: 337). Gill and Gleason (1969: 23) also say: "All types of nasalisation spread over any sequence of vowels not interrupted by a true consonant. /w/ does not limit the domain of nasalisation." Additionally, Zahid and Hussain (2012: 65) find that there is no significant difference in the strength of nasality between inherently nasal and contextually nasalized vowels.

According to Bhatia (1993: 347), vowels following nasal consonants are nasalized only if they have high or mid tone, thus ن /nã̃/ 'no!', Uن /nã / 'name', but نها /nà/ 'bathe!'

### 3.4.1.1.3 Nasalization in Saraiki

According to Shackle (1976: 12, 17), all six peripheral vowels have both oral and nasalized variants. He provides minimal pair examples for /e/ vs. /ẽ/ and /ā/ vs. /ã/. This nasalization contrast is phonemic, marking a difference in meaning. For example: رُ رُ


According to Latif (2003: 91) there are ten vowel phonemes: seven peripheral and three centralized, six of which have nasalized counterparts. According to Awan, Baseer, and Sheeraz (2012), Saraiki has ten vowel phonemes, eight of which have an oral/nasal contrast. However, Nasir Abbas Syed (p.c.) states that he has not been able to find examples of phonemically nasal /õ/. Syed and Kula (forthcoming) has nine vowel phonemes, six peripheral and three centralized. All of these have nasalized counterparts, except for / $\mathrm{o} /$. They consider [ $\mathrm{\partial}$ ] as an allophone of / $\Lambda /$, occurring in unstressed syllables.

Along with the oral/nasal contrast at the phonemic level, nasalization also spreads both backward and forward from an inherently nasal phoneme, either consonantal or vocalic, producing phonetically nasalized articulations which are not represented in writing, as also occurs in Hindko and Panjabi. Syed and Kula (forthcoming) is a detailed discussion of nasal spread in Saraiki. They find that semi-vowels and vowels are subject to nasalization, and liquids and less sonorous consonants block the spread of nasalization. A stressed syllable also blocks nasal spreading in Saraiki.

### 3.4.1.2 Tone

Many varieties of Panjabi, including the Lahore variety of Panjabi we describe here and all varieties of Hindko, are unusual among languages of the Indo-Gangetic plain in having phonemic tones, or characteristic differences in pitch that distinguish word mean-
ing. ${ }^{16}$ This feature, phonemic tone-its presence or absence, and its specific expressionis one of the most important differences in the phonologies of the three languages treated in this book. Both Hindko and Panjabi have phonemic tone, albeit with differing systems, but Saraiki does not.

### 3.4.1.2.1 Tone in Hindko

Tone in Abbottabad Hindko has not been discussed in previously published literature, except for a brief mention by Baart (2014: 5), who states that Abbottabad Hindko has three tones. ${ }^{17}$ He presents the Hindko words for 'leper', 'horse', and 'bitter' as evidence of this. These words are given in Table 3.16, in which the Hindko forms are from our consultant. For the sake of comparison, the Panjabi, Saraiki, and Urdu counterparts of these words are also given. Neither the Urdu nor the Saraiki words have tone; hence they are separated from the tonal examples by a vertical line in the table.

| Gloss | Tone | Hindko |  | Panjabi |  | Saraiki |  | Urdu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Roman | Perso- <br> Arabic | Roman | Perso- <br> Arabic | Roman | Perso- <br> Arabic | Roman | Perso- <br> Arabic |
| 'leper’ | high falling | kórā | كورّ! | kóṛā | كورُها | koṛh | كورّه | koṛhī | كورّ |
| 'horse' | low rising | kòrā | 1 |  | هوورُا | ghoṛā | كورُا | ghorā | وورُا |
| 'bitter' | level | kora | كورُا | kJṛā | كورّا | kJrā | كورًا | kaṛwā | كر |

Table 3.16: Tone comparison in Hindko, Panjabi, Saraiki, and Urdu

Notice that voiceless consonants resulting from the devoicing of initial voiced aspirates, as in 'horse,' are spelled differently in Hindko (with o choṭī he) than they are in

[^11]Panjabi (which follows Urdu spelling and retains the $\varnothing$ do cašmī he which represents historical aspiration).

These findings are confirmed by our own recordings of Abbottabad Hindko, although the low rising tone seems less pronounced than in Panjabi. In Abbottabad Hindko, inherited initial voiced aspirate sounds, for example/gh/, have become voiceless, but seem to retain a very slight aspiration in addition to developing low tone. The word for 'house, home,' for example, which in Panjabi is /kā̀r/ and has developed a strong low tone and lost aspiration completely, is in Hindko /k(h)àr/, with very slight, variable, non-distinctive aspiration, but spelled $\int$ <khar>, signalling its difference from Panjabi and Saraiki, as well as from Urdu. Similarly, ن ن - /tyā̀n/ 'attention', spelled <tihyān>, corresponds to Panjabi وهان /tyā̀n/ and Urdu وصان / و /dhyān/ (the latter two with identical spelling). It is the authors' impression that the low tone in Hindko is less pronounced than that of Panjabi, and that the retained aspiration mentioned above is very slight.

The high tone, on the other hand, is relatively salient. High tone has developed

 ways on the first syllable, and never on the second, as in [kórā̄] 'leper'. There is a small but significant number of minimal pairs for the high tone vs. toneless contrast; for
 nure', , /dā/ 'of (m.sg.)' but و /dā́/ 'ten '.

### 3.4.1.2.2 Tone in Panjabi

Unlike that of frequently discussed tonal languages like Chinese or Thai, the tonal system of Panjabi is relatively simple, having three tones: level, high, and low. The level tone is the unmarked pitch contour of a stressed syllable; the labels high and low describe two marked pitch contours which contrast with it. The high tone starts at a high pitch and falls throughout the syllable, while the low tone starts at a low pitch and rises throughout the syllable. In this grammar, we do not use a special symbol for the unmarked level tone. The high tone is indicated with the acute accent, for example / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$, and low tone with the grave accent, for example / $\grave{\bar{a}} /$. The following example, from Gill and Gleason (1969: 25), shows the three-way contrast between the tones:

- Level tone: $\underset{\Downarrow}{\text { / /cā/ 'enthusiasm’ }}$
- Low tone: 6ア. /cà/ 'peep'
- High tone: 0 چֶ /cá// 'tea’

As a rule, only stressed syllables bear high or low tone; however, not all stressed syllables have a high or low tone. High tone is usually accompanied by some phonetic shortening of the stressed vowel, while the low tone usually results in a phonetic lengthening of the vowel (Shackle 1979: 202). Both peripheral (long) and centralized (short) vowels can bear tone. The above examples illustrate the peripheral vowel case, while high and low tone on centralized vowels can be seen in $\mathcal{J}^{\zeta} /$ kál/ 'yesterday, tomorrow' and $/$ /kàl-/ 'send', respectively.

In Panjabi and Hindko, before either ochoṭī he or $\mathcal{Z}$ baṛī he (both of which represent (historical) /h/), historical /a/ is fronted to [æ], /i/ is lowered to [e], and $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is pronounced [o] or [3] (Bhardwaj 1995: 70). High tone appears on these vowels as a reflex of the following $/ \mathrm{h} /$. These changes do not take place in Saraiki.

- كَهنَا <kahṇā> /káṇā/ 'to say’
- كِهُرُ <kihṛā> /kéṛā/ 'who, which one’
- كُهرَّا <kuhṛā>/kórāā/'leper’
- شَهِر <šahir> /šǽr/ 'city’
- تَهُنچحنَا <pahuncnā> / póncṇā/ 'to arrive, reach’


### 3.4.1.2.3 Tone in Saraiki

As stated above, Saraiki does not have phonemic tone.

### 3.4.1.3 Stress

Stress refers to the relative prominence of a given syllable relative to other syllables in a word. In this grammar, stress is represented, when required, with a short, raised line preceding the stressed syllable in the transcription field.

### 3.4.1.3.1 Stress in Hindko

For stress in Hindko we rely mainly on Bahri (1963), which, though it describes a Hindko variety different from that of Hazara, is considered by Shackle (1980:487) "to be taken as typical of Hindko". Bahri (1963: 141) focuses on the strong stress accent in Hindko varieties, and considers the widespread elision of vowels found in Hindko to be due to this strong stress accent. Varma (1936: 92) further states that in "Lahnda" there is only one primary word stress; secondary word stress is not found.

As in Panjabi, stress interacts with other phonological features in multiple ways: (1) syllables uttered with high tone are always stressed (Bahri 1963: 191); (2) stress significantly affects the quality and length of vowels, so that vowels in syllables preceding
or following the stressed syllable are shorter than normal (Varma 1936: 71); (3) stressed centralized vowels are followed by geminated consonants.

### 3.4.1.3.2 Stress in Panjabi

Every monomorphemic ${ }^{18}$ word in Panjabi, except for unstressed clitics, carries lexical stress, which is realized through a combination of higher pitch, longer duration, and greater volume. ${ }^{19}$ Stress placement in monomorphemic words is largely predictable. It depends on the weight of a syllable and its position in the word. Syllables can be light (consisting of one mora ${ }^{20}$ ), heavy (consisting of two morae), or superheavy (consisting of three morae). Weight, in turn depends on vowel length and syllable structure. A syllable is light if it ends in a centralized (short) vowel, e.g. $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} /$ ' NEG ', or the first syllable in $4 . /$ ba.cā/ ‘save!’. Heavy syllables end in a peripheral (long) vowel, or consist of a consonant followed by a short vowel followed by a second consonant, e.g. the first and the second syllables in $7.6 / \mathrm{gā} . j a r / ~ ' c a r r o t '$ ' respectively. Syllables count as superheavy if they end in (a) a long vowel followed by a single consonant, e.g. the second syllable in مكط /ma.kān/ 'house' or آَ /āp/ ‘self'; (b) a long vowel followed by two consonants, e.g. ووسر /dost/ 'friend'; (c) two consonants followed by a long vowel,
 sonant, e.g. the final syllable of $/$ /\%رام/pro.grām/ 'plan'; or (e) a short vowel followed by two consonants, e.g. امبـ /amb/ 'mango'.

For purposes of stress placement, geminated consonants must be treated as a sequence of two identical consonants, occurring in sequences of the form VC.CV, as in the word on the penultimate (initial) syllable.

Stress assignment also depends on position. Stress is assigned to the penultimate (second to last) syllable, unless either (a) the ultimate (final) syllable is the heaviest, or (b) the antepenultimate (third from last) is heavier than the penultimate. For discussion see Bhatia (1993: 343), Malik (1995: 72, 79), and Dhillon (2007).

Monosyllabic words, except for certain clitics, carry inherent stress. Transitive/causative derivations in which the stem ends in $1 / \bar{a} /$ are regularly stressed on the stemfinal /-ā/, in most cases consistent with the generalizations in Table 3.17; e.g., ا /mar'vā.yā/ 'caused to be killed', in which the dot in the transcription shows the location of the stem boundary. Further, stress correlates with tone, since only stressed syllables receive high or low tone, as well as with gemination, as discussed in Section 3.4.2.

[^12]| Ante- <br> penul- <br> timate <br> syllable | Penulti- <br> mate | Ultimate | syllable | syllable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Stress $\quad$ Example

2-syllable words

| -- | Heavy | Heavy | Penultimate | Ul'mālī 'gardener' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -- | Heavy | Heavy | Penultimate | ?. ' 'gājar 'carrot' <br> , وصو.tòbaṇ 'washerwoman' |
|  | Light | Heavy | Ultimate | ¢. ba'cā ‘save!’ |
|  | Light | Superheavy | Ultimate | U6. ma'kān 'house' |
|  | Heavy | Superheavy | Ultimate | شٌ šal'wār ‘šalwar’ |
| 3-syllable words |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy | Light | Heavy | Antepenultimate |  |
| Heavy | Heavy | Heavy | Penultimate |  |

Table 3.17: Summary of Panjabi stress placement (Examples from Malik 1995: 73 and Bhatia 1993: 343)

In general, the relative prominence of a stressed syllable in Panjabi is greater than it is in either Urdu or Saraiki. Panjabi stress is forceful enough that vowels in unstressed syllables tend to be reduced preceding or following a stressed syllable. This results in predictable adaptations of borrowed words to native Panjabi phonology. For example, Urdu بازار /bāzār/ vs. Panjabi \% / /bazār/, in which the vowel in the syllable preceding the stressed syllable has been reduced from /ā/ to /a/; Urdu $\quad$ / /savāl/ ‘question’ vs. Panjabi $/$ / / svāl/, in which the centralized vowel of the first syllable has been elided; or Urdu الشا /išārā/ ‘signal’ vs. Panjabi ol /šārā/, in which the initial centralized vowel has been elided (Sharma 1971: 142).

Stress does to some extent help to distinguish the meanings of some words; with words one of which is a derived transitive stem including the inherently stressed transitive suffix $/-\bar{a} /$, we find pairs like:

However, there are few such near minimal pairs and stress is not the only factor distinguishing these two words. In چ. /'baccā/ 'child’ the consonant in the first syllable is
geminated, while in $\begin{array}{r}\text { ••/ba'cā/ it is not. Therefore, as Shackle (2003: 592) cautions, it is }\end{array}$ problematic to consider stress to be phonemic in Panjabi.

### 3.4.1.3.3 Stress in Saraiki

Saraiki monosyllabic and disyllabic words, except for unstressed postpositional, emphatic, and elided present tense auxiliary elements, have one primary stressed syllable, which tends to be somewhat longer than any other, unstressed syllable in the word. The stressed syllable can be distinguished by having a long vowel, or by the occurrence of a geminated consonant following it. The most common word pattern is of a two-syllable word, with the stress on the first syllable; e.g., $\quad$ /'tussã// 'you.PL'. All words have initial stress except for a few classes: (1) two-syllable words with a centralized vowel in the first syllable and either (a) a final peripheral vowel in the second, e.g., |PR. /bhirā/ 'brother', (b) a peripheral vowel + consonant in the second, e.g., كوالـ /savāl/ 'question', (c) a centralized vowel + consonant in the second, e.g., /ghasun/ 'punch (blow)'; (2) three-syllable words with a centralized vowel in the first syllable and either (a) a peripheral vowel, e.g., وچارا /vicārā/ 'poor fellow', or (b) a centralized vowel + geminate consonant, e.g., \%ry\%/cuhattar/ ‘seventy-four' in the second syllable, which have stress on the second syllable (Shackle 1976: 28-29).

The only pairs in which stress (partially) distinguishes meaning belong to the very small class of two-syllable words with a centralized vowel in the first syllable and a peripheral (long) vowel in the second syllable. Yet even in such pairs the vowel in the first syllable differs or the consonant is geminated. For instance:

- اطلاع /itt.'lā/ 'information, notification'
- لÜl/'it.lā/ 'so much'
- 1P./bhi.'rā/ 'brother'
- 1.P. /'bha.rā/ 'fulfilled '(Shackle 1976: 29)

Words with three syllables which have stress on the initial syllable can also have a secondary stress on the third syllable, e.g. ابّرّغت / /ub.bar., fut/ 'suddenly', where a short lower vertical line indicates the position of the secondary stress (example from Shackle, spelling from Mughal 2010: 43). Shackle (1976: 28) gives /a6aryut/.

### 3.4.2 Suprasegmental features affecting consonants: Gemination

Consonants can be lengthened, or geminated. We treat this phenomenon as a suprasegmental feature, either as lengthening of or stress on a consonant, rather than as a cluster consisting of two identical consonants. Gemination is an important feature of all three languages described here. It arose historically from the simplification of Old Indo-Aryan (OIA) consonant clusters in Middle Indo-Aryan (MIA). For example, Sanskrit (OIA) dughda 'milk' > Pali (MIA) duddha > Panjabi /dúd(d)/ 'milk. 'This feature distinguishes these languages from more easterly languages, which have replaced this MIA gemination with vowel lengthening, e.g., Urdu and Hindi gورص /dūdh/ 'milk'. Additionally, much more recently, some Perso-Arabic loans widely shared among all these three languages also have inherently geminate consonants, e.g., غ'ச/izzat/ 'respect'. Gemination is widespread and phonemic in all three of these languages; however, even when it is phonemic it is not usually indicated in writing, even in some dictionaries-even though the mechanism, the use of tašdīd $\stackrel{\text {, , is available and sim- }}{ }$ ple.

### 3.4.2.1 Gemination in Hindko

Gemination is phonemic, and very frequent, in Hindko; compare $\uplus_{\Downarrow} \sim \psi_{\Downarrow} /$ patā/ 'information, knowledge’ with $\overbrace{\Downarrow}$ /pattā/ 'leaf, playing card’. Bahri (1963: 58) notes for Awankari that geminated consonants do not occur at the beginning of a word or in stressed syllables, but rather follow stressed syllables-the same situation that obtains in Panjabi and Saraiki. ${ }^{21}$ He gives the examples و'g/va'ṭā/ 'exchange, change!' vs. وو' /'vatṭā/ ‘stone'. The corresponding pair in Abbottabad Hindko is بُ /'batṭā/ 'stone' vs. بط بط /ba'ṭā-/ 'change, exchange'.

### 3.4.2.2 Gemination in Panjabi

Gemination is also phonemic in Panjabi. This can be seen by comparing pairs like: 6,

 'shop' and ${ }^{\text {ren }} /$ 'haṭī/ 'moved.aside.SG.F'. From the examples of 'century' and 'someone', it can be seen that a stressed initial syllable does not necessarily correlate with gemination on the following syllable.

[^13]Almost all consonant sounds in Panjabi can be geminated in word-medial or wordfinal position. Shackle (2003: 591-592) has stated that $/ \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{l}, / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h} /$, and $/ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{can}-$ not be geminated in these positions, and Malik (1995:46) lists only $/ \mathrm{p} /, / \mathrm{b} /, / \mathrm{t} /, / \mathrm{t} / / \mathrm{m} /$, /n/, /s/,/d/, /ḍ/, /c/ /j/, /k/,/g/, /v/ and /l/ as occurring geminated. Gemination does not occur word-initially. Geminated aspirates reduce to a cluster of an unaspirated consonant followed by its aspirated counterpart, e.g. /cch/. However, words such as
 ‘sound of tearing cloth’, ورّا /darrā/ 'mountain pass/valley', and ثرّ /musarrat/ ‘happiness' indicate that $/ \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{l} /$, and $/ \mathrm{v} /$ do, in fact, occur geminated in word-medial or final position. Interestingly, several words of this type are of Perso-Arabic origin, e.g. /rرّت / مرّت /tasavvur/ 'concept, idea' and the increasing number of Perso-Arabic origin words used in Panjabi, generalizations about gemination need to be revised.

Gemination interacts with vowel quality and stress. In monosyllables, following a stressed short vowel, consonants are often pronounced with a force that causes a doubling of the consonant sound, as in سرت/sat(t)/ ‘seven', $\downarrow / \operatorname{~ك~}$ 1904a: 3). In polysyllabic words, gemination follows a stressed syllable containing a centralized vowel which precedes a disyllabic word with a peripheral vowel nucleus in the second syllable, or a trisyllabic word with a centralized vowel in the second syllable. For instance:

- י̌'/'haț̣īl/ ‘shop’
- है /'makkhī/ ‘fly’
- ! $/$ /'kubbā/ 'humpback'
- پ̌/'cakkā/ 'wheel’
- أُمُط /'ukkharnā/ 'to become loose, be uprooted'

This effect of stress is very strong, and some speakers also produce geminate consonants even following stressed peripheral vowels in polysyllabic words with no inherent or historical gemination, for example:


- עُّى /'roṭ̣ī// 'bread’ (Shackle 2003: 591)

This relation between stress and geminaton is also the case for Saraiki (see Section 3.3.2.3) and for Hindko (Section 3.3.2.1).

In stem-final position, gemination is audible most clearly when the word inflects to add an extra syllable after the geminated segment, or if the word is followed by another word. In this grammar, gemination is represented by doubling the geminated element in the transcription, like this: ビ /tattā/ 'hot'.

In the case of aspirated consonants, the plosive is written twice, but /h/ once, like this: ir /hatth/ 'hand'.

### 3.4.2.3 Gemination in Saraiki

In Saraiki, according to Shackle (1976), all consonants except /h/ and /y/, /n/, and /ṛ/ can be geminated. ${ }^{22}$

Gemination occurs only when a consonant follows a stressed central vowel; nonphonemic gemination can also occur in this environment. Consequently, gemination can never occur at the beginning of a word (Shackle 1976: 27). For example, the first and second person plural pronouns اسال/assã̃/ 'we' and تسال/tussã// 'you.PL' have gemination of /s/ after a stressed first syllable. However, realization of gemination in Saraiki is weaker than it is in Panjabi (Shackle 1976: 27). So, according to Shackle (1976: 27) there is no real contrast in Saraiki between pairs like $\downarrow$,/'dillī/ 'Delhi' and $\downarrow$, /'dilī/
 of stress more than of gemination. Compare this analysis with the treatment for Hindko immediately above.

### 3.5 Phonotactics

Phonotactics refers to the characteristic ways sounds combine to form syllables and words, and ways in which the occurrence of some sounds and syllable types is constrained to certain positions in a syllable or word. In the following two sections, syllable types and consonant clusters in these three languages are discussed. We employ a simple definition of syllable as an uninterrupted segment of speech consisting of a simple vowel or diphthong with or without preceding or following consonants, which is the domain to which stress may be assigned. A consonant cluster is a sequence of two different consonants pronounced together without an intervening vowel sound. Here, geminated consonants are not treated as consonant clusters.

22 Shackle (1976: 27) says that /n/ and / $/$ / cannot be geminated, but Nasir Abbas Syed disagrees, providing the following examples including these sounds: (

### 3.5.1 Hindko phonotactics

### 3.5.1.1 Hindko syllable types

Our data for Abbottabad Hindko yield the following syllable types, which are the same as those found for Panjabi:

- V, e.g., $\tilde{U} / \tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ 'ACC-DAT case ending, or marked feminine plural ending’
- CV. e.g., ر/rā́/ ‘way, path’
- VC, e.g., ات /it/ 'here’
- VCC, e.g., امب /amb/ 'mango’
- CVC, e.g., نك /nak/ 'nose’
- CCV, e.g., گران/grã// ‘village’
- CVCC, e.g., رْ /dand/ ‘tooth’; كُ /khanḍ/ ~ /khãḍ/ ‘sugar’



### 3.5.1.2 Hindko consonant clusters

Consonant clusters occur syllable initially, as in ; /træ/ 'three' or lage'; medially, as in $6 \times 5 / \mathrm{kutkā} /$ 'pestle'. In syllable-final position the picture is less clear. Words like اگ־/ag(g)/ 'fire', involving geminates, or borrowings like گوشت /gošt/ 'meat' are quite common. But if geminates are not considered as clusters, and borrowings are excluded, it appears that except for sequences involving a nasal + a dental, or labial plosive, e.g. /nd/ as in $\quad$ /dand/ 'tooth', or /mb/ as in امب /amb/ 'mango', which might also be analyzed as nasalized vowels preceding non-velar stops, Hindko does not allow syllable-final clusters in native words. This was the conclusion of Varma (1936: 84), who said that "Lahnda has no consonant-groups at the end of words."

### 3.5.2 Panjabi phonotactics

### 3.5.2.1 Panjabi syllable types

Panjabi syllables consist, at minimum, of a single vowel (V)-either central or peripheral. This vowel may be preceded or followed by up to two consonants (C), yielding the following syllable types:

- V, e.g., T /ā/ 'come!'
- CV, eg., ḷ /jā/ ‘go!’
- VC, e.g., آت /āp/ ‘self’
- CVC, e.g., 厄 $/$ /vic/ 'in’
- CCV, e.g., lp./prà̀/ 'brother'
- VCC, e.g., امب /amb/ 'mango'

- CVCC, e.g., ووست /dost/ 'friend'

Phonemic nasalized vowels only occur in word-final position; however, according to Sharma (1971: 30), Panjabi shows a strong preference for (phonetic) nasalization with
 sus Urdu نام /nām/ 'name', or Panjabi Ul/mã/ versus Saraiki l/mā/ 'mother'.

Peripheral vowels generally do not occur in the first syllable of a disyllabic word in which the second syllable is closed and has a peripheral vowel. This phonotactic constraint accounts for the difference between; for example, Urdu بإز/ /bāzār/ and Panjabi \% / /bazār/ 'bazaar' or Urdu بمار /bīmār/ and Panjabi كار//bimār/ 'ill’’ (Sharma 1971: 12). Centralized vowels, as a rule, do not occur in word-final position. There are, however, some exceptions to this generalization:

1. A stressed vocative particle $/-\mathrm{a} /$ sometimes occurs after a consonant-final name, e.g., (سليم / /salīm-'á/ 'hey, Salim'.
2. A few high-frequency monosyllabic function words, e.g., $\int / \mathrm{ki} /$ 'that', ك /ku/ 'about, approximately' end in centralized vowels.
3. Another class of exceptions are words originating in Urdu (< Persian) that end in o choṭī he. While one would expect these words to end in /ā/, in fact the final vowel is "half-long" and closer to /a/, e.g., the adjectival form شاوك ش / /šādī
 are treated as marked masculines-i.e., as though they ended in $1 / \bar{a} /$.

All consonants can occur in word-initial position except for the retroflex consonants
 tion word medially, e.g., ا七̣ /jāṇā/ 'to go'.

### 3.5.2.2 Panjabi consonant clusters

Consonant clusters can occur in syllable-initial, medial, and final positions. Initial clusters include /pr/, /kr/, /gr/, /ṭr/, /tr/, /sr/, /sy/, /sv/, /fr/, /sl/, /ky/, /khy/, /ty/, /py/, /by/, /vy/, /gv/. They occur in both indigenous words, e.g., تُريُ /trer!/ ‘dew’, and borrowed words, e.g., 户ُر/țrak/ 'truck'. Initial clusters tend to occur when the syllable peak has a peripheral vowel, i.e., CCV́, as inolg /vyáa/ 'wedding' (Sharma 1971: 57). Some initial clusters result from the elision of a centralized vowel preceding a stressed syllable, e.g., Panjabi سوال /svāl/, corresponding to Urdu /ووال /savāl/ 'question'. The word 乙lص ‘advice’, pronounced either as /slá/ or as /sală/, is an interesting case. In the first pronunciation it shows elision of a centralized/a/ preceding a stressed syllable in the borrowed Perso-Arabic word, originally /salāh/, yielding the syllable pattern CCV̄, as well as high tone induced by the post-vocalic /h/. The pronunciation retaining the centralized /a/ probably reflects knowledge of Urdu.

However, there is simultaneously a strong tendency to simplify some initial consonant clusters, especially those beginning with the sibilant/s/plus a retroflex or velar plosive, i.e., /st!/ or /sk/ in loanwords. For example, in the English word station /sṭešan/ the initial /sṭ/ cluster is usually simplified to /ṭ/, yielding /ṭešan/; alternatively, an epenthetic vowel may break up the cluster, yielding /saṭešan/. However initial /sk/ in English loans is only sometimes treated in this way, since we have both /skūl/ and


Medial clusters can occur in monomorphemic words, e.g., $\begin{array}{r}\text { / /caskā/ 'taste/crav- }\end{array}$ ing for', or can arise at morpheme boundaries. A simple case of the latter is when a consonant-initial suffix is attached to a consonant-final stem. For instance, $\int / \mathrm{kar} /$ 'do.STEM' + , /-dā/ ‘IP.SG.M’ > / /kardā/ ‘do-IP.SG.M’, giving rise to the cluster /rd/. Another important source of such emergent clusters is the schwa-deletion rule. This rule plays an important part in Panjabi phonotactics. In the configuration CVCVC +V , which occurs in plural formation of polysyllabic unmarked feminine nouns, e.g.
 deleted, giving rise to the cluster/rk/. In these two types of emergent clusters, the two consonants that have come together to form a cluster remain in separate syllables.

Final clusters consist of at most two consonants. Gill and Gleason (1969: 13-14) identify four patterns:

1. /l/ + plosive, sibilant, or nasal, e.g., $\int^{\wedge} /$ puls/ 'police’
2. /r/ + plosive, sibilant, lateral, or nasal, e.g., چ /mirc/ 'pepper'
3. /r + plosive, e.g., رطّك/rị̂k/ 'trouble, enmity’
4. sibilant + plosive or nasal, e.g., هس /mast/ 'intoxicated’

Our own observations also include /nṭ/ in the English loan منر /minṭ/ 'minute'. Most of these occur in Lahore Panjabi, but for some of them in each class most speakers insert an epenthetic schwa between the two consonants. For example, in class (1) غl /galt/ 'wrong' is usually pronounced as /galat/; in class (2) برف /barf/ is usually pronounced /baraf/; in class (3) رُر /riṛk/ would be pronounced as/rirak/ ; in class (4) رلم /rasm/ 'custom' is pronounced/rasam/. Some types of clusters, though, are not possible in word-final position. Kalra (1982: 102) finds that no clusters of the following four types are found in final position:

1. plosive + fricative/liquid/nasal/glide
2. fricative + nasal/liquid/glide
3. nasal + liquid
4. liquid + glide

### 3.5.3 Saraiki phonotactics

### 3.5.3.1 Saraiki syllable types

The following syllable types are attested in Saraiki:

- V, e.g., $\sim$ /e/ 'hey!' (vocative particle)
- VC, e.g., ات /it/ ‘so much’
- VCC, e.g., امب /amb/ 'mango’
- CV, e.g., l/mā/ 'so much; mother’
- CVC, e.g., لا /bār/ ‘burden, weight’
- CVCC, e.g., كُ ك /khanḍ/ ‘sugar’
- CCV, e.g., $/$ /træ/ 'three'
- CCVC, e.g., ;" /truṭ-/ 'break (intr. stem)’
- CVCCC, e.g., چֶنر /candr/ ‘moon’
- CCVCC, e.g., سُ سُ /skutr/ ‘stepson²3

23 Example courtesy of Nasir Abbas Syed, who also states that this is the only example of this syllable type that he could find.

### 3.5.3.2 Saraiki consonant clusters

Saraiki allows initial, medial, and final clusters-all of which can be found in indigenous words. The most frequent initial clusters are /tr/,/dr/, and /dhr/, as seen in ترُّ /truṭaṇ/ 'to break (intr.)', وره /drabh/ ' a kind of grass', and و ${ }^{\text {, /dhrukaṇ/ 'to run' }}$ (Shackle 1976: 24). Two-element medial clusters can involve almost any pair of consonants. Various types of final clusters occur in Saraiki, and are more frequent than initial clusters. They include:

1. dental plosive $+/ \mathrm{r} /$, , $\mathrm{\imath}$ / putr/ 'son’;
2. /n/ + dental plosive + /r/, گֶּ /candr/ 'moon’;
3. nasal + sibilant, ${ }^{\text {U }}$ /sæhins/ 'a thousand';
4. /ṇ/ + stop, ونٌ /vaṇj ~ vaṇaj/ 'trade';
5. voiceless velar plosive + sibilant, $\dot{J}^{\dot{\circ}} /$ nakš/ ‘sign, pattern, impression'
6. voiceless fricative + voiceless plosive, ووّر /dost/ 'friend'.

Implosives do not occur in final clusters (Shackle 1976: 24-26). Some other final clusters are also found in loans used in standard Saraiki, e.g., ${ }^{\text {b/ر/ /gārḍ/ 'guard', but most }}$ final clusters in borrowed words are subject to vowel epenthesis.

In the Saraiki of Multan, the choice of epenthetic vowel is frequently determined by progressive vowel-harmony from the stressed syllable. Thus, the Perso-Arabic loans
 /'fi.kir/, and /'šu.kur/. This contrasts with consistent use of epenthetic /a/ in Panjabi, giving /garam/, /fikar/, /šukar/; and consistent use of epenthetic /u/ in the Awankari variety of Lahnda, yielding /g 1 rum/, /fikur/, and /šukur/ (Shackle 1979: 206). See also Syed and Aldaihani 2014.

### 3.6 Orthography

Two aspects of the written language will be discussed here: (1) script, and (2) spelling. In Pakistan, all three languages-Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki-use the Perso-Arabic script. This script is based upon the original 28 -letter Arabic alphabet, modified to include additional letters to represent sounds of Persian not found in Arabic (\%/p/, 今 $/$ ž/, 飞̈/c/, and $\check{\zeta} / \mathrm{g} /$ ), and then again later to include letters to represent grammatical and phonological distinctions present in Indo-Aryan languages: the introduction
of <to uniquely represent final /e/, the sign of masculine plural or oblique singular, ${ }^{24}$ and the introduction of characters to represent aspirated and retroflex consonants. Writers of Panjabi, Hindko, and Saraiki apply most of the same modifications and general orthographic principles as do writers of Urdu, the national language of Pakistan. Many of the spelling patterns observed in Panjabi written in Perso-Arabic script are taken over directly from Urdu, which has, in almost all cases, retained historical spellings of Persian or Arabic loanwords.

Panjabi language users in India almost all write Panjabi in the Gurmukhi script, an Indic script related to Devanagari, the writing system used to represent Hindi. Gurmukhi and Devanagari are abugidas: almost all vowels are explicitly represented, with the exception of the centralized vowel /a/, which is left unwritten.

By contrast, the Perso-Arabic script is a modified abjad: peripheral vowels are explicitly represented, but the centralized vowels /a, i, u/ are usually left unrepresented. The Perso-Arabic script is written from right to left; numerals, however, are written from left to right. The script is inherently cursive, and letters may have up to four allographs, or different forms of the same symbol.

1. the independent form, which is unconnected to other letters;
2. the initial form, connected only on the left;
3. the medial form, connected on both sides; and
4. the final form, connected only on the right.

For those letters that do not connect leftward (as noted by "only joins right" in Table 3.18), the initial form is the same as the independent form, and the medial form is the same as the final form. After a letter that does not connect leftward a letter will be in its initial form.

Two types of Arabic calligraphy have been widely adopted for typography: Naskh and Nasta ${ }^{\prime}$ ìq. Naskh is characterized by a strictly horizontal orientation, and is used to print Arabic and (usually) Persian; in Pakistan, it is also used to print Pashto and Sindhi. In Nasta 'līq, words or ligatures slope within each ligature from the top right to the bottom left; this is the most widely used typeface for printing Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. This grammar follows the general practice in Pakistan and employs a Nasta 'līq typeface wherever it is technically possible; exceptions are noted where they occur.

Table 3.18 lays out the letters of the Perso-Arabic script, as used for Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. The table uses the Naskh style, as the font does a better job at showing where connecting letters attach (in all but the jīm class). The letters are shown in Nasta‘līq style in Table 3.19. Letters used only in Saraiki will be discussed further in Section 3.6.5.

24 Its function later expanded to represent /e/ representing the izāfat following words ending in I/ā/ or $9 / o, \bar{u} /$ in Persian-influenced izāfat constructions.

Table 3．18：Letters of the Perso－Arabic script，as used for Hindko，Panjabi，and Saraiki

| Name | Indepen－ <br> dent | Final | Medial | Initial | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| alif madd | $T$ |  |  | $T$ | initial only |
| alif | 1 | L |  | 1 | only joins right |
| be | ب | ب－ | － | ب |  |
| 6e |  | － | $\uparrow$ | ！ | Saraiki only |
| pe | پ | $\because$ | $\ni$ | پ |  |
| te | ت | $\because$ | － | ت |  |
| țe | ط | b | طـ | ط |  |
| se | ث | ث | $\star$ | ث |  |
| jīm | ج | T－ | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |
| ce | E | ङ | چ | $\rightarrow$ |  |
| fe | T | T | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | Saraiki only |
| baṛī he | $\tau$ | こ | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |
| xe | $\dot{\text { خ }}$ | خ | $\cdots$ | خ |  |
| dāl | 2 | 」 |  | 2 | only joins right |
| ḍāl | 2 | 」 |  | 2 | only joins right |
| đāl | ִ？ | ـِبٌ |  | ？ | Saraiki only；only joins right |
| zāl | j | j |  | ذ | only joins right |
| re | J | 5 |  | J | only joins right |
| re | $j$ | p |  | $j$ | only joins right |
| ze | j | j |  | j | only joins right |

Table 3.18: (continued)

| Name | Independent | Final | Medial | Initial | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| že | j | ز |  | j | only joins right |
| sīn | س | m | -n- | س- |  |
| šīn | ش | ش | ــ | شـ |  |
| svād | $ص$ | $ص$ | - | ص- |  |
| zvād | ض | ض | $\dot{\square}$ | ض- |  |
| toë | b | b | b | b |  |
| zoë | ظ | ظ | ظ | ظ |  |
| 'ain | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | 2 | ع |  |
| yain | $\dot{\varepsilon}$ | غ | - | غ |  |
| fe | ف | e | ف | فـ |  |
| $q a ̄ f$ | ق | ق | - | ق |  |
| kāf | $\leqslant$ | $\Omega$ | S | 5 |  |
| gāf | $\xi$ | ₹ | $\leqslant$ | 5 |  |
| gāf | \% | <ְ | $\underset{\sim}{<}$ | \% | Saraiki only |
| lām | $J$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\rfloor$ |  |
| mīm | P | $\Gamma$ | - | - |  |
| nūn | ن | ن- | - | - |  |
| ṇūn | نط | - | $\xrightarrow{-}$ | نُ | Saraiki only |
| vāv | 9 | 9 |  | 9 | only joins right |
| choṭī he | - | $\sim$ | - | - |  |

Table 3.18: (continued)

| Name | Independent | Final | Medial | Initial | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| do chašmī he | - | \& | $\star$ |  | does not occur word initially |
| choṭị ye | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ |  |
| baṛi ye | $\angle$ | $c$ |  |  | final only, in medial and initial position is identical to $\checkmark$ |

### 3.6.1 Segments in orthography

### 3.6.1.1 Consonants in orthography

Table 3.19 shows the representation of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki consonant sounds in the orthography, presented in the order of standard phoneme charts.

Table 3.19: Consonant sounds in orthography

| Transcription | Orthography |
| :---: | :---: |
| p | * |
| b | $\bigcirc$ |
| 6 | $\cdots$ |
| m | م |
| f | ف |
| v | , |
| t | $\underset{b}{*}$ |
| d | , |
| d | : |
| n | $\mathcal{*}$ |

Table 3.19: (continued)

| Transcription | Orthography |
| :---: | :---: |
| r | , |
| s | שֻ |
| z | $\dot{j} \dot{j}$ |
| 1 | J |
| š | $\dot{\uplus}$ |
| ž | \% |
| c | ङ |
| $f$ | て |
| j | て |
| t | ${ }^{6}$ |
| d | b |
| ก̣ | Written in Saraiki as نُ, in Panjabi as نز, and in Hindko as نز |
| ! | b |
| $!$ | Not distinguished from $ل$ |
| y | $\checkmark$ |
| k | $\zeta$ |
| g | $\because$ |
| g | Y |
| x | $\dot{\sim}$ |
| 8 | $\dot{\text { c }}$ |
| q | ق |

Table 3．19：（continued）

Transcription
h ochoṭī he is the usual representation of／h／．
$\propto$ do cašmī he represents aspiration in／ph／，／bh／，／th／，／dh／，／ṭh／，
／ $\mathrm{dh} / \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{ch} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{jh} /, / \mathrm{kh} /$ ，and $/ \mathrm{vh} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{mh} /$ ，／lh／，and nh／，and historic aspiration of voiced plosives in Panjabi．
乙 barī he represents／h／in Arabic and Persian loanwords．

In the modification of the Perso－Arabic script used to write Panjabi，Hindko，and Sa－ raiki a smallb toë is written over the Arabic letters $\boldsymbol{*} t e$, ，dāl，رre，and sometimes $ن$ $n u \bar{n}$ to represent the retroflex counterparts $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{r} \mathrm{r} /$ ，and $/ \mathrm{n} /$ of the dental sounds ／t／，／d／，／r／，and／n／．

Several letters can correspond to a single consonant sound．For example，the four letters $\dot{b} z o e ̈, \dot{\nu} z v a ̄ d, ; z a \bar{l}$ ，and $\dot{j} z e$ all represent the／z／sound．They have lost the distinct sounds they had in Arabic，since Indo－Aryan languages do not have those sounds，and the Arabic sounds have been assimilated to the perceived closest indige－ nous sounds．The letters 乙 baṛī he，b toë， $\mathcal{\rho}$ svād，ث se，ظ zoë，$\dot{\varphi}$ zvād，and ；zāl are almost always retained in loanwords borrowed either directly from Arabic，or from Arabic via Persian，and thus preserve historical information．

The use and function of $₫$ do cašmī he varies among these languages．In all three languages，after the voiceless plosives $p e$, ש $t e$ ，ש
 tively．In Panjabi，it also represents historic aspiration of voiced plosives，now evolved into tone．In Saraiki，where historic aspiration is maintained for both voiceless and voiced plosives，it consistently represents aspiration．${ }^{25}$

The letter ochoṭì he is distinguished from $\varnothing$ do cašmī he and is the default spelling of consonantal／h／in all three languages．乙 baṛī he is used in words of Arabic origin． In Panjabi，o choṭī he and 乙 baṛī he can also indicate high or low tone，depending on their position in a syllable，as in كهر／آرام／ārām dé／＇restful＇，where＇dust＇，or the o choṭī he indicates high tone，or in اصلا己／isláal＇reformation，correction＇，where 乙

25 Since $\varnothing$ do cašmī he is the initial form of ochoṭī he in Arabic and the Naskh style，it often happens that writers of these languages in Pakistan who are familiar with Arabic and／or Naskh use $\varnothing$ do cašmī he in initial position in words like $\varnothing / \mathrm{hæ}$／＇is＇．This contradicts the generalizations above about the use of $\varnothing$ do cašmī he for aspiration，but it is frequently encountered．
can indicate high tone for some speakers. In Hindko, o choṭī he appears in words with historically aspirated voiced plosives, similar to the way $\varnothing$ do cašmī he functions in Panjabi. For example, the word for 'daughter', which historically has /dh/, is وُ /dhī/ in Saraiki, رُّ/tì//in Panjabi, and

### 3.6.1.2 Vowels in orthography

The Perso-Arabic writing system has resources to represent both peripheral and centralized vowel sounds, and in cases where writers desire transparency and precisionfor example, religious texts and books for young readers or foreign learners-they may partially or fully vocalize the text by writing all the vowels. Fully vocalized writing makes use of three diacritics which represent each of the three centralized vowels /a, $i, u /$ by one of the three vowel diacritics written over (for $/ \mathrm{a} /$ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$ ) or under (for $/ \mathrm{i} /$ ) the consonant that precedes it, as shown in Table 3.20.

In this section illustrating the use of these diacritics, examples are printed in a Naskh typeface rather than the Nasta 'līq typeface used elsewhere in this grammar because vowel diacritics show up more clearly in horizontal Naskh than in sloping Nas-
 the diacritics are attached.

| Romanization | Orthography | Panjabi name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | zabar |  |
| i | zer |  |
| u | e | peš |

Table 3.20: Centralized vowel diacritics

In order to unambiguously represent peripheral vowels, these diacritics are combined with the letters $\mathcal{G}$ ye,,$v \bar{a} v$, and $\mid$ alif, which in Arabic represent the vowels $/ \overline{1} /, / \bar{u} /$, and / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ respectively. The peripheral vowel / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{in}$ initial position is represented by / alif with an extra top stroke, known as T alif madd.

- آلًا /āṭā/ 'whole-wheat flour’

26 The possible reasons for this spelling convention are interesting. Perhaps it was adopted as a way of asserting Hindko identity, or perhaps it is intended to indicate a difference in the quality of Hindko and Panjabi low tone.

| Romanization | Initial Position | Medial/Final Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ī | $\leqslant!$ | $\checkmark$ |
| e | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ (medial) $\angle$ (final) |
| æ | آى | $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{N} \text { (medial) }}$ |
| ā | T | 1 |
| ū | اُ | و |
| 0 | , | , |
| o/au | g | , |

Table 3.21: Representation of peripheral (long) vowels using diacritics

In medial position, /ā/ is represented by the centralized vowel diacritic zabar followed by lalif.

- يَانَا /pāṇā/ 'to put'

However, in a few Arabic loanwords, for example the man's name Mustafa, /ā/in final position is represented by a shortened version of l alif, known as كُما' الف /kharāalif/, written over the final form of $\mathcal{\checkmark}$ choṭī ye.

- مُصطفَن /mustafā/ ‘[a proper name]’

The peripheral vowels $/ \overline{\mathrm{i}} /$ and $/ \overline{\mathrm{u}} /$ are represented in medial position by the centralized vowel diacritics, zer and peš, followed by semivowel letters $\mathcal{G}$ baṝ ye and $\boldsymbol{g} v \bar{a} v$, respectively. This graphically represents the analysis of $/ \bar{a} /$, $/ \overline{\mathrm{l}} /$, and $/ \overline{\mathrm{u}} /$ as the "long" counterparts of /a/, /i/, and / $\overline{\mathrm{u}} /$, respectively.

The peripheral vowels /e/ and /o/ are represented by $\mathcal{\checkmark}$ choṭi ye and,$~ v a ̄ v$ alone. Finally, the peripheral vowels /æ/ and / / are represented by the centralized vowel diacritic zabar followed by $\mathcal{G}$ baṛĩ ye and $\boldsymbol{g} v \bar{a} v$, respectively.

The implementation of these principles depends on the position of the vowel within a word. In word-initial position, centralized vowels require a silent "carrier" letter. This letter is typically I alif, but may also be $\mathcal{E}$ ain, which represents an Arabic sound that is described as a pharyngeal fricative or epiglottal approximant [ C$]$, but has no corresponding sound in any of these three Indo-Aryan languages, except in consciously Arabicized pronunciation. In word-medial position, centralized vowels are represented
only with diacritics in fully vocalized writing. As noted above, centralized vowels do not occur in final position (except for a few unstressed particles); however, the diacritic zer is sometimes placed under the final consonant in a word to represent the izāfat, a linking vowel in Persian loanwords. (See Section 3.6.3).

A number of words that historically ended in /-ah/ have lost the final $/ \mathrm{h} /$, and the quality of the remaining vowel has changed to a half-long /a/, which most analyses treat as an allophone of / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$. These words are often still spelled with their historical spelling as © zabar-choṭī he; however, they are pronounced with a final /ā/ or /a/. Because spelling in vernacular writing is variable, some words ending in final/ā/may be written either with I alif or with ॰ zabar-choṭī he. In this grammar, we transcribe both spellings as $/-\bar{a} /$. Unless otherwise indicated, the examples that follow in this section are from Panjabi. Most of them are used, however, in all three languages.

- كمه ~ كَمرَا /kamrā/ 'room'
- بنْ / /bandā/ 'man, person’

In word-initial position, all vowels require a carrier I alif or $\mathcal{E}$ ain. For / $\overline{\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{/} / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{/} / \mathrm{o} /$, and $/ \overline{\mathrm{u}} /$, the presence of $\mid$ alif preceding $\checkmark$ barī ye or $\boldsymbol{g} v \bar{a} v$ signals that the initial sound is a vowel, rather than a semivowel $/ \mathrm{y} /$ or $/ \mathrm{v} /$.

- عَربِى /arbī/ ‘Arabic’
- أوكهَا /okhā/ ‘difficult’
- عِيسائى /īsāī/ ‘Christian’

In word-medial position, $/ \overline{\mathrm{i}} /, / æ /, / \supset /$, and $/ \overline{\mathrm{u}} /$ are each represented in medial position by a diacritic followed by the medial forms of $\mathcal{G}$ ye or $9 v \bar{a} v$, respectively, while /e/ and $/ \mathrm{o} /$ are represented by just the medial forms of $\zeta$ ye and $9 v \bar{a} v$ alone.

- بِيمَ /bīmā/ 'insurance policy’
- يَرِ /pær/ 'foot'
- چَودَان /codã/ 'fourteen’
- ذُور /dūr/ 'far’
- كهيت /khet/ 'field’
- لور/ /loṛ/ 'need'

In final position, $/ \rho /$ and $/ \overline{\mathrm{u}} /$ are again represented by zabar or é peš, respectively, followed by the final form of,$v \bar{a} v$, while /o/ is represented by $g v \bar{a} v$ alone.

- نَ /no/ 'nine’
- آلُو /ālū/ 'potato’
- دو /do/ 'two’

However, in word-final position, 〔 barī ye represents /e/ and /æ/, while $\mathcal{G}$ choṭi ye represents $/ \overline{\mathrm{I}} /$.

- چَانِى /pān̄ī/ 'water’
- سادُهر/sấḍe/ '(an additional) one-half’

In Persian-origin words that contain the sequence $\dot{\dot{\gamma}} x e$-v $\bar{a} v$-alif, the letter,$v \bar{a} v$ represents centralized /u/ rather than the usual peripheral /ū/; for example خوش/xuš/ 'happy', as well as words derived from it.

In Section 3.3.3 we distinguish between diphthongs, which pattern as single sounds, and vowels which happen to occur together in a series. For both vowels in a series without an intervening consonant and for sounds perceived as diphthongs, the diacritic hamza is used. If the second vowel is $\checkmark$ ye representing / $\overline{\mathrm{I}} /$, /e/ or /æ/, hamza appears above a "seat" in the line of script similar to the "seats" that support the dots in the medial forms of the letters $\cup \cup \bar{u} n$ and $\boldsymbol{*} t e$, like this: Representation of diphthongs using hamza occurs frequently in the representation of English words in these languages, something which is increasingly being done. For example, the English word high, would appear as $\mathfrak{j}!{ }^{27}$

- گَئى /gaī/ 'go.PP.SG.F'

If the second vowel is $\boldsymbol{g} v \bar{a} v$, the position of ${ }^{\circ}$ hamza depends on whether $\boldsymbol{g} v \bar{a} v$ follows a letter to which it can join. If 9 vāv follows short vowel represented by hamza, the hamza occurs on a "seat," as in رُؤف /raūf/ ‘[a proper name]'. If و vāv follows a nonjoining letter, ' hamza occurs directly above وāv, as in جَاؤ /jāo/ 'go.IMP'.

As noted above, most written texts omit some or all centralized vowel diacritics, which requires the reader to supply the correct vowel from memory or context. This results in a certain degree of ambiguity, in which one letter or pair of letters can stand for more than one sound. These ambiguities are summarized in Table 3.22. Additional ambiguities arise from the fact that medial centralized vowels are generally not written at all.

From this point forward, we present examples as they normally appear in writing, without vowel diacritics.

27 Note the difference between the shape of the hamza in naskh or when quoted alone, and the hamza in connected Nasta'līq, in the middle of $\mathfrak{c}!$.

| Orthography | Transcription |
| :---: | :---: |
| initial ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| initial 1 | i, a, u |
| initial | ī, e, æ |
| initial g | $\bigcirc, 0, \mathrm{u}$ |
| medial 1 | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| medial $\checkmark$ | İ, e, æ |
| medial g | ग, au, o, ū |
| final l | ā |
| final | ī |
| final $\mathcal{L}$ | e, æ |
| final ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | , 0, ū |

Table 3.22: Symbol-sound correspondences in writing without vowel diacritics

### 3.6.2 Suprasegmentals in orthography

### 3.6.2.1 Gemination in orthography

The Perso-Arabic script has a special symbol, tašdīd, which may be written over consonant and semi-vowel letters to represent gemination. However, use of the symbol is variable and sporadic not only in texts written in all three of the languages being described here, but even in dictionaries, as is also true of Urdu.



### 3.6.2.2 Nasalization in orthography

As noted above, nasalization serves to distinguish meaning only in word-final position. Nasalization is indicated by placing the letter $\cup n \bar{n} \eta$ дunnā (the letter $\cup n \bar{n} n$ without a dot) after the word-final vowel.

- پיک / /pǽlã̃/ ‘before, earlier’
- لوكي /lokĩ// 'people’

Non-contrastive nasalized vowels do occur in word-initial and word-medial position; however, since $\cup$ nūn дunnā only occurs in final position, nasalization in these positions is written as a full $\cup$ nūn:

- . . /pã̀bar// 'large fire, blaze’ pronounced as [pằbbar] or [pà̀mbar].


### 3.6.2.3 Stress and tone in orthography

Stress is not represented in the orthography of any of these three languages. However, since it is often associated with vowel length of a syllable and gemination of the onset of a following syllable, written forms can provide clues about syllable stress.

Panjabi and Hindko orthography does not explicitly represent tone. However, since tones in these languages are the reflexes of syllables that either historically had voiced aspirated plosives or in which an orthographic < $\mathrm{h}>$ is variably realized as $/ \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{or}$ not pronounced, tone is represented indirectly in spelling. The Panjabi low tone occurs in syllables in which a voiced aspirated plosive or / $\mathrm{h} /$ preceded the vowel in a stressed syllable. The high tone is the reflex of syllables in which a voiced aspirated plosive or /h/ followed the vowel in a stressed syllable. ${ }^{28}$

Historical voiced aspirated plosives are spelled with the letters be, dāl, ${ }^{\prime}$ d dāl,
 $/ \mathrm{dh} /, \mathfrak{B} / \mathrm{jh} /$, and $/$ / $/ \mathrm{gh} /$, respectively. While the voiced aspirate sounds are retained in Saraiki, in Panjabi all historical voiced aspirated plosives have lost their aspiration. Whether or not they have also lost their voicing depends on where they appear in the syllable: syllable-initially, historical voiced aspirated plosives are realized as voiceless unaspirated plosives; syllable-medially and finally, they are pronounced as voiced unaspirated plosives (see Table 3.23).

Recall that tone generally coincides with stress in Panjabi and Hindko: if a syllable is unstressed, it cannot carry a low tone, and usually does not carry a high tone. However, the historically aspirated consonant or / $\mathrm{h} /$ need not occur immediately adjacent to the vowel of the stressed syllable. For example, if /h/ occurs anywhere before the stressed vowel, the tone will occur on the stressed syllable. For example, in /kabrā̀n̄ā/ 'to worry, be upset', the low tone occurs on the stressed syllable following that in which the historical voiced $/ \mathrm{g} /$ occurred.

28 Apparent exceptions are the high tone on monosyllabic stem imperatives like gégé/
 2003: 593).

| Position of historical voiced plosive | Voicing | Tone | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word－initial and before the stressed syllable | Voiceless | Low | 1P．＜bhrā＞［prà̀］＇brother＇ |
| Word－medial and before the stressed syllable | Voiced | Low | bسル＜sudhār＞［sudà̀r］＇reform＇ <br> ＜kaḍhvā＞［kaḍvā］＇have taken out＇ |
| Word－medial and after the stressed syllable | Voiced | High | ，${ }^{\infty}$ ，س＜sādhū＞［sádū］＇saint，holy man＇ 6 6 ＜ ＜sānjhā＞［sắnja］＇common，shared＇ |
| Word－final and after the stressed syllable | Voiced | High | ＜ganḍh＞［gánḍ］＇knot，bundle＇ s．l＜lābh＞［láb］＇profit，benefit＇ |

Table 3．23：Representation of Panjabi tones：historic voiced aspirated plosives（some examples from Bhardwaj 1995：199－200．）

Consonantal／h／is spelled o choṭi he or 乙 barī he．Pronunciation of orthographic＜h＞ is variable by linguistic environment：it is much more likely to be pronounced word－ initially than word－medially，where it usually indicates tone（Gill and Gleason 1969： 12）．In syllable－final position，o choṭī he and 乙 baṛī he are hardly ever pronounced as $/ \mathrm{h} /$ ，but rather indicate tone．In syllable－initial position，these letters are more likely to be pronounced as／h／in stressed syllables，either in monosyllabic words，such as ／hār／＇necklace’ or／hæ／＇is．EMPH＇，or polysyllabic words，such as وich／āho／＇yes＇． In an unstressed initial syllable，／h／is frequently dropped．For example，حِّن／hærān／ ＇surprised＇is often pronounced as／rà̀n／，with low tone replacing the dropped／h／and elision of the vowel of the first syllable．This varies by idiolect：orthographic＜h＞is more likely to be pronounced by people whose speech is influenced by Urdu than by others．And it is variable by register：it is more likely to be pronounced in formal than in informal situations．When speakers pronounce orthographic＜h＞，they may also pro－ duce a tone；conversely，however，when speakers do not pronounce orthographic＜h＞， they consistently replace it with a tone．Examples of the variable pronunciation of＜h＞ are shown in Table 3．24．${ }^{29}$

29 In writing，the two words با با／．／bāhar／＇outside＇and bār／＇spring＇look quite different： The word با syllable，but بمار．／bahār／＇spring＇has peripheral／ā／in the second syllable and is stressed on the second syllable．In normal pronunciation，however，both words are monosyllabic and differ only in tone：／bā́r／＇outside＇vs．／bà̀r／＇spring＇．See Table 3．24．

| Position of /h/ | Pronounced | Tone | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word-initial and immediately before the vowel of the stressed syllable | Usually yes; tone without /h/ pronunciation stigmatized by some, particularly for Arabic and Persian loanwords | Low | が~ \llhat (t)h> [hàt(t)h] or [àt(t)h] 'hand' $\vec{v}$ <ha(k)k> [hàk(k)] or [àk(k)] 'right (legal, moral)' |
| Word-initial but not immediately before the vowel of the stressed syllable | Usually yes; in tone without /h/ pronunciation, unstressed centralized vowel after / h/ often omitted as well. | Low | $\mathbb{l}$ <hilā> [hilā] or [hilà̀] or [lằ] 'shake' <br> <hakīm> [hakīm] or [hakìm] or [kìm] 'physician' |
| Word-medial and before the stressed syllable | Sometimes; /h/ without tone in Urdu-influenced pronunciation, tone without /h/, and /h/ with tone both possible. | Low | Uư <kahāni> [kahà̀ṇī] or [kà̀ñi] 'story’ ربه. <bahār> [bahār] or [bahā̀r] or [bà̀r] 'spring' |
| Word-medial and after the stressed syllable | Sometimes; tone without /h/ or /h/ without tone both possible, /h/ with tone impossible. | High | ```l.\<būhā> [būā] 'door' !l<<'bāhar> [bāhar] or [bā́r] `outside'``` |
| Word-final and after the stressed syllable | No. | High | of <cāh> [cá] 'tea' <br> ~~ <munh> [mū̃] ‘mouth' |

Table 3.24: Representation of Panjabi tones: the segment /h/ (after Bhardwaj 1995: 201-202)

### 3.6.3 Additional diacritics and spelling conventions

The Perso-Arabic script includes a number of additional diacritics, which are written above or below the consonant and semi-vowel letters. Some are optional; others are integral to the inherited spelling of the many Arabic and Persian loanwords.

In Persian, two words may be joined by a linking sound [e] known as izāfat, or 'addition'. Many Persian compounds with izāfat have been borrowed into Panjabi, and their spelling reflects the original Persian orthography. However, the use of diacritics is variable and sporadic, even in printed texts.

The spelling of izäfat compounds depends on the last letter of the first word in the compound. (1) If the first word ends in a consonant, the izäfat is spelled with a zer, written below the final consonant of the first word.

- وزير اكخم /vazīr-e āzam/ 'prime minister'

Recall that Panjabi words do not end in centralized vowels; therefore, this final zer can only signal izāfat.

With some words including an izāfat construction, the izāfat is always pronounced,
 e ilm/ 'student', it is never pronounced. However, this spelling of izăfat is just as likely as other short vowels to be omitted in Panjabi texts; indeed, izāfat is usually not written, and, except in highly formal or religions registers, frequently not pronounced.

- طالبِّمل /tālib-e ilm/ ‘student’, always pronounced /tālib ilam/.
(2) If the last letter of the first word is $\checkmark$ /choṭī ye/ or o/choṭī he/ choṭī ye, izāfat is spelled by hamza over that letter.
- لو6 /vaī̀-e kāmil/ 'perfect saint’
and (3) if the last letter of the first word is I/alif/ or g /vāv/ izāfat is written as < /baṛi ye/, with or without / hamza/ above.
- روسـعْز /rū-e zamīn/ 'surface of the ground'

A doubled form of zabar, known as tanvīn, appears over I alif in a number of borrowed Arabic adverbs. This combination of symbols is pronounced /an/. Tanvīn is usually spelled consistently in those words in which it occurs.

- فوراً /fəran/ ‘immediately’

The diacritic jazm can be optionally written above a consonant letter to indicate that the letter is not followed by a centralized vowel-that is, that it is part of a consonant cluster. This diacritic is never written over a final consonant, since centralized vowels do not occur in word-final position. The use of jazm occurs only when full vocalization is employed; this particular symbol is mostly found in religious texts largely written in Arabic. Therefore, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{jazm}$ is extremely infrequent in Hindko, Panjabi, or Saraiki texts.

### 3.6.4 Hindko orthography

Hindko employs the same alphabet and system of diacritics as does Panjabi. However, since Hazara Hindko is in the early stages of becoming a literary language, and there has been as yet little attention to the question of standardization, there is considerable variation in the way various writers spell certain Hindko words. For example, in the
 ابی户 /apṇā/ or إطّل /apṇã// by various people who write Hindko. An important HindkoUrdu dictionary was published in 2002 (Sakoon 2002); in this dictionary, Sakoon usually uses the character $\dot{\cup}$ for retroflex /n $/$. This dictionary also helpfully presents variant spellings for some words like پֶ /āpā/ and $ٓ$ T/āpã/ for 'elder sister’ (Sakoon 2002: 1, note the phonetic nasalization in the second variant).

However, most publications available in Hindko represent the sound usually referred to as a retroflex /ṇ/ as $\boldsymbol{j}^{j}$, that is, as a nasalized retroflex /r/, or $\mathfrak{r}$. Consequently, that representation is employed in this book.

The negative particle is spelled in various ways; for example, نَيْ (Sakoon 2009: 10), نيّن (AWT), or نیّ (AWT), all pronounced [nī̃]. This illustrates the fact that the distinction between the use of $\propto$ do cašmī he and o choṭī he is also not always clear or consistently maintained. One type of inconsistency in the use of $\infty$ arises from the fact that in Arabic $\varnothing$ do cašmī he is the initial form of o choṭi he, whereas in Urdu (and languages whose spelling is influenced by Urdu orthographic conventions), it is reserved to indicate (historical or present) aspiration. This inconsistency is also found in other words involving the $/ \mathrm{h} /$ sound. The oblique form of the third person plural distal pro-
 oblique form of the third person singular distal pronoun, usually $\mathrm{J}^{\prime} / \mathrm{is} /$, sometimes appears as $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{his} /$. This is an example of a tendency to have an initial /h/in forms which sometimes or elsewhere begin with vowels.

There is a tendency (even perhaps a preference) in Hindko spelling to write certain morphemes separately, even though according to the usual conventions of writing Perso-Arabic they could be written together (joined). For example: grances', in which the feminine plural morpheme ال~/ /-ã/ is written as though it were an independent word. In $\tau$ / $/$ /dūā/ ‘second, other.SG.M' and $\quad$ /dūiāã/ ‘other.PL.F', in which the masculine singular adjectival ending and feminine plural endings, respectively, are written separately. This appears to be one solution to the problem of writing two consecutive long vowels, adopted by some writers. Similarly, the dative/accusative postposition ال~ã/ is usually written separately; for example, ارب آل /adab च्a/ 'literature.ACC'.

### 3.6.5 Saraiki orthography

The Perso-Arabic representations of Saraiki consonants are largely the same as those for Hindko and Panjabi, with the important exceptions of the representation of the implosive consonants and of retroflex $/ \mathrm{n} /$. After an initial period of orthographic uncertainty and debate (see Shackle 1976: 41 and Mughal 2002, passim), most writers have adopted five distinct, non-Urdu letters, which represent the four implosive consonants and retroflex /ṇ/:

- $/ \mathrm{F} /$
- ${ }_{z}^{b} / \mathrm{d} /$
- Te/f/
- 
- U/n/

These are the unique (non-Urdu) characters adopted in Mughal (2002) and Mughal (2004: 24-25), and usually employed since then, for example in Zahoor (2009). When necessary, this book will use these characters to normalize examples from earlier authors to fit into this system. The palatal nasal and the velar nasal are represented by
 for Panjabi and Hindko voiceless aspirates, represented by digraphs consisting of the unvoiced member plus $\varnothing$ do cašmī he, e.g., $\varnothing_{\sharp} / \mathrm{ph} /$.

Saraiki orthography is not yet completely standardized, especially the spelling of the vowel sounds, mainly for the following reasons. First, the vowel system of Saraiki is different from those of Hindko, Panjabi, and Urdu. Second, the Perso-Arabic writing system, especially as it is used for Urdu (the orthographic practices of which influence spelling practices in other languages influenced by it), does not usually differentiate centralized vowels. Third, there is much dialectal variation within the Saraikispeaking region.

## 4 Nouns

### 4.1 The lexicon

Most words in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki are inherited from Middle Indo-Aryan (MIA), which developed from Old Indo-Aryan (OIA). The Indo-Aryan (IA) base lexicon of these languages has been augmented by several centuries of borrowing from Persian and Arabic and more recently from Urdu and English. Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki have evolved in mutual contact with each other and with other local languagesBalochi, Sindhi, and Pashto-as well as with other, superstratal languages-Arabic, Persian, Urdu, and English. These numerous contact situations, of varying type, length, and intensity, have resulted in a large number of words being borrowed into these languages-at earlier stages more directly, and recently through Urdu. Many words for cultural items and government originated in Persian, words for religious concepts in Arabic, and words for technology and modern politics in English. In some cases, borrowed words have kept their original meaning, form, and orthography; in other cases, and over time, words have adapted to patterns native to these Indo-Aryan languages.

The three languages have rich derivational morphology which produces a range of nominal forms, to which inflectional information is then added. Nominal inflection and derivation depend on the origin of the word, and each of the languages have both inherited historical forms as well as forms borrowed from various other languages. We therefore begin with a discussion of the lexicon, and then move on to a discussion of derivational morphology in Section 4.2, before providing a detailed discussion of nominal inflection in Section 4.3 and Section 4.4.

Many speakers of all three languages are bi- or multilingual. Educated speakers will generally speak Urdu and English as well as Hindko, Panjabi or Saraiki. In addition, many will have some degree of command over other languages of Pakistan like Pashto, Sindhi, or Balochi. Hindko speakers often know and use Panjabi, Urdu, and Pashto; Saraiki speakers command Urdu, Panjabi, and often Sindhi or Balochi. The choice of which language to use in any given situation depends on the context and the other speakers involved.

Urdu has borrowed more heavily from Arabic and Persian than have Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki; and since Panjabi is more influenced by Urdu than are Hindko or Saraiki, more Perso-Arabic origin words are frequently used in Panjabi than in the other two languages. Depending on the educational level of a person and the specific interaction and discourse context, a speaker may incorporate more or fewer Urdu and Urdu-mediated Arabic items into their Hindko, Panjabi, or Saraiki. The following discussion, therefore, necessarily includes elements which are common to Urdu rather than uniquely belonging to any of these three indigenous languages.

### 4.1.1 Persian loans

Many Persian nouns, as well as many derivational elements that can be added to both indigenous and loan words to form nouns, have made their way into Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. Many are very old borrowings (see Shackle 1978 on Persian elements in the Adi Granth), which had undergone phonological changes even by the time of the Adi Granth, e.g. Persian ثمس /masjid/ > Early Panjabi /masīti/> Modern Panjabi /masīt/ ${ }^{1}$, while an increasing number are recent and have come via Urdu into all three of these languages. In some cases words have been borrowed multiple times, at different stages; for example Persian وقت/vaqt/ 'time’ appears in the Adi Granth as /vakhatu/, whereas today it appears in Urdu as وتّ /vaqt/, in modern Panjabi as وقّ /vakat~ vaxat/, in Hindko as وخت /vaxt/, and in Saraiki to وقّ /vakt~vaxt/.

### 4.1.2 Words incorporating Arabic definite articles

A few borrowings-phrases and proper names-that include the Arabic definite article J/al-/ are used in all three languages. Although it is always written the same, ل/ /al-/ is frequently pronounced differently as a result of an Arabic assimilation rule whereby if the following word begins with a dental or alveolar consonant (or the palato-alveolar
 in Arabic), then /l/ assimilates to that consonant and is pronounced as a geminated consonant. For example: شَ ‘sun of the faith'); بسم اللّ الرُّن الرَّ/b-ism illāh ir-rahmān ir-rahīm/ 'in the name of God, the Most Compassionate, Most Merciful’ (often said when beginning something).

### 4.2 Derivational morphology

Derivational morphology is the process of adding affixes or other compounding elements to a word to derive another form class, in this case nouns. These languages have rich nominal derivational resources from various sources-Indo-Aryan, Persian, and Arabic. These elements vary in their current productivity; some can appear with any word, while others are restricted to a few fixed expressions. They also vary in frequency in the three languages being described here. Since adjectives are freely used as nouns in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki, many of the elements described here can equally well be considered as deriving adjectives (see Chapter 5). ${ }^{2}$

[^14]
### 4.2.1 Suffixal elements

### 4.2.1.1 Agent noun-forming suffixes (Indo-Aryan)

The gender of nouns typically formed with each of these suffixes is indicated in parentheses after the suffix. The language(s) in which each word is attested are indicated after the item.

## - \/-iyā/ (m)

This suffix forms nouns denoting agents, or persons associated with a specific thing, place, or characteristic. It is productive, appearing even on English loanwords.



/ المور / /lı̀r/ /lòriyā/ 'Lahore' 'person from Lahore' Pj
/ / gogar// 'pot belly' $\rightarrow$ / /\% / / gogriyā/ 'pot-bellied person' Pj
ك /lakkh/ '100 thousand' $\rightarrow$ ( ك of rupees' Hk
كمبرُ /kabāṛ/ ‘scrap goods ’ $\rightarrow$ كِّ

This suffix denotes a female counterpart of a male person or animal. It frequently forms nouns referring to ethnic or national groups, or to the females of animal species.


نی" /næn/ 'wife of a barber' Pj

- $\leqslant /-\mathbf{i} / \mathbf{~ ' ( f ) ' ~}$

This suffix denotes either the female counterpart of a male person or animal, or the smaller version of an inanimate object. It behaves similarly in all three languages.


/tokrī/ 'small basket' Pj
/rauḍā/ ‘shaven-headed male' $\rightarrow$ رورا/ /raudī// 'shaven-headed female’ Hk (Sakoon 2002)

- ارارا~ ار ار /-ār ~ -ārā~-ārī/ '(m)'

Variants of this suffix occur particularly in the domain of vocations and skilled trades. The suffix /-ār/ is found in some older formations, and appears not to be currently productive.


${ }_{\infty}^{\text {ك }}$ /likh-/ 'write' $\rightarrow$ (likhārī/ 'writer' Pi, Sr

## - $\quad /-\overline{\mathbf{u}} /(\mathrm{m})$

The suffix / $\bar{u} /$ forms agentive nouns often denoting a person or thing that has the quality or does the action of a verb, adjective, or noun. This suffix is productive.



/ا, لانا /lādṇā/ 'to load onto' $\rightarrow$ /lādū/ 'a pack animal judged able to carry loads' Hk (Sakoon 2002: 214)

- $6 T \sim \mathfrak{S} / \mathbb{T} /-\mathbf{a ̄ k u ̄} \sim$-ākā/ (m)

This suffix contains an (older) agentive suffix -ak, and is not currently productive.



Forms nouns referring to a person inclined to do an action related to the word (root):
كبر/ /kùl/ 'wrestle' $\rightarrow$ كبلمر /kuleṛ/ 'person or animal inclined to fight' Hk (Sakoon 2002: 194)
التيّ /saperā/ 'snake charmer’ Pj
:تحْير/ /macherā/ 'fisherman' Pj

### 4.2.1.2 Abstract noun-forming suffixes (Indo-Aryan)

- ${ }^{\prime \prime} /$ /-ās/ Pi (f), الج /-āj/ Sr (f)

This suffix derives abstract nouns from adjectives. The resulting nouns denote a quality, as in:



This suffix also attaches to certain verbs to form abstract nouns indicating a need to perform a bodily function, as in:

/rorạā/ 'to cry' $\rightarrow$ روزا /rawās/ 'weeping (as in mourning)' Hk (Sakoon 2002: 153)

- $\delta_{\sim}^{\sim} /-k \sim-k h /(f)$ or (m)

Added to adjectives this element yields abstract nouns; these are older Indo-Aryan formations.
 175)

s/du/ ‘(root meaning) bad' $\rightarrow$ 'رُ /dukh/ 'trouble, distress ' Hk, Pj (m), tress, pain, sorrow’ Sr (Mughal 2010: 436)
/ /aukh/ 'difficulty, hardship (f)' Pj
/ /saukh/ 'ease, convenience (f)' Pi

Added to verbal stems, this suffix forms the infinitive, or verbal noun, which is gram-
 finitives end in $\bullet /$ ṇā ~ nā/, while Saraiki infinitives end in $\dot{\cup} \sim \mathcal{U} / a n ̣ \sim$ an/. These verbal nouns denote the action of the verb (see Chapter 8).

 to write' Sr

This suffix is added to various roots to form abstract nouns expressing a state or a condition. These are old formations.




/khokhlā/ 'hollow' $\rightarrow$ كُوكمكا


 2002: 153
 /كورُاءّ / كن /kaurā/ ‘bitter /kaurāpaṇ/ ‘bitterness’ Pj

These suffixes form abstract nouns denoting the result of an action, or a lifestyle: بناوط / بن / /baṇnā/ 'to be made-āvaṭ/ ‘structure, manufacturing; invention; artificiality' $\mathrm{Pj}, \mathrm{Sr}$
\& رُن /rukṇā/ 'to stop' $\rightarrow$ ركو /ruk-āvat// 'hindrance, obstruction' Pj
 173)

- الْrt/-āhaṭ/ (f)

This suffix derives nouns indicating a state or quality.


/ / / / / /kabrà̀/ ‘worry, anxiety' / kabráṭ/ ‘nervousness, confusion, uneasiness’ Pj

- $1 /-\bar{a} /$

Added to verbal stems, /-ā/ forms abstract nouns denoting a state:

نبُّن /nibaṛnā/ 'to complete' $\rightarrow$ انبُ /nibārāā/ 'completion, settlement' Pj


- $\tilde{b}^{\prime} /-\mathbf{a ̄ i} /(\mathbf{f})$

Added to verb stems, this suffix forms abstract nouns which denote an action, process, or payment for a specific type of work:

ש./bīj-/ 'sow' $\rightarrow$ 亿ً


- $\quad /-\mathrm{at} / \mathbf{1}$ (f)

This IA-origin suffix forms abstract nouns denoting a manner or style. This is to be distinguished from $/$-at/2, which is a frequently occurring Perso-Arabic suffix (see Section 4.2.1.3 below).


Trرْ Târt/ ‘brokerage, agency’ Pj
/ / sangat/ ‘association, company, congregation’ Pj

- $\mathfrak{G} /-\mathbf{i} /(\mathbf{f})$

This suffix, with multiple origins (Indo-Aryan, Perso-Arabic), forms abstract nouns from both adjectives and other nouns; it is very productive in all three languages. (See also Perso-Arabic $\mathcal{C} /-\bar{i} /$ in Section 4.2.1.3.)
 noun $+/-\bar{i} / \rightarrow$ noun: استار / / ustād/ 'teacher, expert' $\rightarrow$ استارى /ustādī/ 'expertise’ Pj

### 4.2.1.3 Abstract noun-forming suffixes (Perso-Arabic)

- $\mathcal{U} /-\mathrm{i} /, \mathcal{V} /-\mathrm{gi} /(\mathbf{f})$

Added to adjectives, this suffix yields abstract nouns. $\sqrt{\text { /-gī/ appears after words }}$ that end in $\circ /-\mathrm{ah} / ; /-\overline{1} /$ is used elsewhere. Words with this suffix are found in all three languages and in Urdu, with generally the same meaning across languages. Examples:
○نز/zinda/ 'alive ' $\rightarrow$ زنیא /zindagī/ 'life'


- $/$ /-at/ 2 (f)

Forms abstract nouns from adjectives denoting states.

קورى /zarūrī/ 'necessary ' $\rightarrow$ غرور /zarūrat/ 'necessity'

- يت /-iyat/ (f)

Adding the suffix يت/-iyat/ to nouns and adjectives forms a feminine abstract noun; adding it to an English word can impart a slang connotation in Panjabi. This suffix is productive, as can be seen by the last example below, where it has been added to the English word 'bore'.
/šaxs/ 'person' $\rightarrow$ ثض $\rightarrow$ /šaxsiyat/ 'personality'
/insān/ ‘human being' انسانيت /insāniyat/ 'humanity '

.ور./bor/ ' boring ' $\rightarrow$ لوريت /bōriyat/ ‘boredom’

### 4.2.1.4 Diminutives


When applied to a human child, this suffix usually has an affectionate tone.
 2002)




These suffixes form nouns denoting a small object.
 عيّ / ثينك /

### 4.2.2 Persian compounding elements

These are partially grammaticalized nominal elements-neither free morphemes nor suffixes.

### 4.2.2.1 Agent-noun forming

- $\mathcal{U}_{*} /-\mathrm{ci} /(\mathrm{m})$

The element $\mathcal{\zeta}_{v} /-\bar{c} \bar{i} /$ is originally Turkic, and was borrowed into Persian, thence into South Asian languages. It is not productive in contemporary Indo-Aryan languages.


- ابك / / وان /-vān/-vān/ 'keeper, guardian' (m)


- / /-gar/ 'doer' (m)
/ سورا /sodā/ 'merchandise ' $\rightarrow$ / سورا/ /sodā-gar/ 'merchant'
جارو /jādū/ 'magic ' $\rightarrow$ جارو / $/$ /jādū-gar/ 'magician’
- $6 /$ /-kār/ 'doer' (m)

- وان /-dān/1 ‘knower of’ (m)


- وار/-dār/ 'possessor/owner’(m)

تما: تمانيمار /thāṇā/ 'police station' /thāṇe-dār/ 'police station in-charge’
صوبر /sūbah/ 'province' $\rightarrow$ صوبيرار /sūbe-dār/ 'rank in the military or police'
نُكُ /dukān/ ‘shop' ' $\rightarrow$ /dukān-dār/ ‘shopkeeper'

### 4.2.2.2 Locative-noun forming

These elements form nouns referring to places where something happens or is kept, or which are characteristic of something.

This element derives nouns with the meaning 'receptacle for X '. The masculine and feminine forms are more or less interchangeable in meaning except, perhaps, for a difference in size of the object. The element denoting $X$ appears in the oblique case (visible only with marked masculine nouns); as in كوريّاسـ/kūṛedān/ ‘garbage can’

كورًا /kūṛā/ 'garbage'. These Perso-Arabic origin suffixes are attached to both PersoArabic and Indo-Aryan origin words.
/namak/ ‘salt' $\rightarrow$ نْك /namak-dānī/ 'salt shaker' (f)

/كُرُّا/ /kūṛā/ 'rubbish, trash' $\rightarrow$ كُرُيران /kūre-dān/ 'rubbish bin, garbage can' (m)

- . $\mathrm{b} /$ /-gā/ 'place'(f)


- زار/-zār/ 'place where something abounds' (m)

Only a few borrowed words have this suffix. It is not productive in these languages.



- , آب /-ābād/ ‘a settlment; peopled’ (m)

This element derives place names.
/اسلام آبا, /islāmābād/ ‘city of Islam’

- $/$ /(i)stān/ 'place' (m)

Nouns meaning a place characteristic of something specific are formed with this suffix. When the first element ends in a consonant, /i/is inserted between the stem and the suffixal element.
 (lit.'land of the Baloch')'



### 4.2.3 Persian and Arabic conjunctive elements

These elements are used in a conjunctive process which yields collocations that function as single lexical elements. These elements generally appear first in Urdu and spread to the other languages of Pakistan.

### 4.2.3.1 g /-0-/ 'and'

The Arabic and Persian conjunction $9 /-\mathrm{o}$-/ 'and' is found in Urdu words and collocations used in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki.

```
/لزم وض:ط /nazm-o-zabt/ 'discipline,
/amn-o-amān/ 'peaceful state of affairs'
```


### 4.2.3.2 The enclitic /e/ 'izāfat’

The اضاضف ezafeh or izāfat, /-e-/ , is a clitic which joins two nominals. The first element is always the thing referenced, and is either a noun, pronoun, or verbal participle. The second element modifies or qualifies the first and can be either a noun or an adjective. When two nouns are joined, the izāfat conveys a possessive relationship: the first noun belongs to the second. As this construction is a borrowing from Persian, the اضاف izāfat is generally used only to join words of Perso-Arabic origin, however in spoken usage it is occasionally also used with words of Indic origin. Increasingly, اضاض izāfat is not pronounced in the spoken language, particularly when the first element ends in a short vowel + consonant, as in thālib ilm/ for /tālib-e-ilm/ ' student'. However, if the izāfat expression denotes a proper name or title, the izāfat is usually pronounced. Examples:
(وم آزارى|/yom-e-āzādī/ 'Independence Day,
وزير آتزم /vazīr-e-āzam/ 'Prime Minister ,

Compare this construction with the indigenous Indo-Aryan construction using a form
 government' . These Persian and indigenous constructions differ in both form and function. The word order is reversed, and the meanings differ: كومبت /hanumat-e-pākistān/ is a proper noun, referring to the Government of Pakistan as an official
 the generic idea of governance of Pakistan.

### 4.3 Nominal categories

Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki all have partially morphologically marked distinctions for number ${ }^{4}$, gender, and case. ${ }^{5}$ They all have direct, oblique, and vocative case forms regularly; ablative singular forms occur fairly frequently, usually with inanimates, but ablative plural case endings are not attested; and locative forms, always with inanimates, exist to varying degrees in the three languages. The citation form for nouns is the nominative singular. Distinctions include: number (singular or plural), discussed in Section 4.3.1; gender (masculine or feminine), discussed in Section 4.3.2; and case (discussed in Section 4.3.3).

Not all grammatical relations, however, are marked by case suffixes. Some functions, such as genitive (possession), dative (indirect object), ergative (agentive); and (some) direct objects (accusative), are indicated by postpositions following nouns in their oblique case form. On the other hand, some adverbial relations are indicated by the oblique case without a postposition.

Possessive (genitive) forms of nouns and third person pronouns consist of the oblique case of the noun or pronoun plus the adjectival postposition $/ \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{da} /$ 'of', which agrees in number, gender, and case with the noun the possessive phrase modifies, e.g.:



Therefore, these possessive forms, which are actually postpositional phrases, have not been included in the declension paradigms for any of the three languages. First and second person pronouns, on the other hand, have marked adjectival genitive endings in $/$ /-rā/.

Noun gender determines agreement with some adjectives and determiners (see Chapter 5), and some verb forms agree in gender and number with an argument of the sentence (see Chapter 9).

### 4.3.1 Number

Marked masculine and feminine nouns follow indigenous patterns of number marking showing a distinction between singular and plural, but an increasing number of words entering the languages through Urdu are unmarked. These either show no distinction in the direct case between singular and plural or take Persian and Arabic plural morphology.

[^15]
### 4.3.1.1 Persian and Arabic plural suffixes

 $/$-yān/) originally for nouns that denote animate beings, and (2) $!/ h a \bar{l} /$ for inanimates. Consonant-final animates take /-ān/; those ending in o/-a/ take $6 /$ /gān/; and those ending in I/-ā/ take ll $/$ /-yān/. Loanwords from Persian may take Persian plural endings, e.g. \%زرك /buzurg/ ' elder', plural /buzurg-ān/. Commonly used Persian loanwords may also take indigenous plurals, in which case a word like \%زبَ. /buzurg/ is treated as an unmarked masculine. Using Persian plural forms signals a formal or literary style.
/sāhab/ 'gentleman' $\rightarrow$ ساحبا $/$ ساكب /sāhabān/ 'gentlemen'
 peared people’

These Persian plural formations, as well as those in Arabic ات /-āt/ tend to have the sense of collective nouns.

### 4.3.1.1.1 ن־/-æn/ Arabic dual ending

A very few words include these Arabic accusative/genitive dual forms, now understood as plurals. The only one in common use is the word for 'parents'.
/vālid/ 'father' $\rightarrow$ والر/ والر /vāldæn/ 'parents'
/taraf/ 'side' $\rightarrow$ p p /tarfæn/ 'the two sides (of), sides in a legal case'

### 4.3.1.1.2 ن~/-īn/Arabic plural

This suffix is affixed to adjectives or nouns:
می゙


### 4.3.1.1.3 ات /-āt/ Arabic plural

This suffix is affixed to nouns of either gender or to adjectives.

\% / /jangal/ 'forest, wilderness area' $\rightarrow$. 7 /janglāt/ 'forests' (m)

6 6 /kāyaz/ 'paper' $\rightarrow$ 6 $/$ /kāyzāt/ 'documents, documentation, paperwork' (m)

6 This is an adjective and has no singular form as a noun.

### 4.3.1.2 Arabic broken plurals

Arabic broken plurals form their plurals by altering the vowel pattern of the singular noun. Arabic broken plurals appear more frequently in Urdu than in the languages treated here. Two examples should suffice.

- غرمت /xidmat/ ‘service’ $\rightarrow$ غضات /xidmāt/ ‘services’ (f)
ثج /xabar/ 'news' انجار /axbār/ 'newspaper'7

Borrowed nouns used with their original Arabic or Persian plural (or Arabic dual) morphology do not simultaneously take Panjabi, Hindko, or Saraiki case endings when used with postpositions. When Persian or Arabic loanwords take native plural endings, however, the usual inflectional suffixes apply. For example:

6 /kāyaz/ 'paper (m.sg.dir)'
\% 6 /kāyaz/ 'papers (m. pl. dir.) indigenous form'

كث / /kāyzāt/ 'documents (m.pl.dir) Arabic plural'
6 / /kāyzāt vic/ 'in the documents'

Loan words from other languages, such as English, do not usually bring their original morphology with them. ${ }^{9}$

رُ, /rikārḍ/ 'record'


### 4.3.2 Gender

Old Indo-Aryan (OIA) had three genders-masculine, feminine, and neuter; only feminine and masculine classes remain in modern Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. Many originally masculine or feminine Indo-Aryan words have remained masculine or feminine, respectively, in these languages, while originally neuter Indo-Aryan words have mostly become masculine, but occasionally feminine. For example, OIA /ŕkṣa / 'bear' (m) has developed into Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki ${ }^{\circ}$ /rātra/ 'night' (f.) (T10700) remains feminine in all three languages: رات /rāt/ (f). ${ }^{10}$

[^16]Middle Indo-Aryan (Pali) /pānīya/ 'water' (neuter) has become
 for 'fire' are feminine in all three of these languages: Hindko and Panjabi / /agg/ (f.), and Saraiki obr. /bhā/ (f). However OIA /agnī/ 'fire' was masculine (T55) while /bhạsá/ 'light', the source of Saraiki ob. /bhā/ 'fire', was masculine in both OIA and Prakrit (T9480). OIA /nasta/ 'nose' (m.) is masculine in Panjabi, ‘‘ّ /nakk/ (m.), and Hindko نك/nak/ (m.), but is feminine in Urdu.

Words borrowed from Arabic or Urdu usually maintain their original Arabic or Urdu genders; however, in some cases they can have different genders in these modern languages ${ }^{11}$. For example, انجار /axbār/ 'newspaper' is masculine in Urdu but feminine in Panjabi'; conversely, $\% \times / \mathrm{mez} /$ 'table' is masculine in Panjabi, but feminine in Urdu. English loanwords are assigned gender in various ways: sometimes influenced by the sound of the word and sometimes by the gender of a semantically related word. The
 /gādī/ Sr , all of which are feminine. When the English word 'car' is used in these languages, as it increasingly is, it has feminine gender.

### 4.3.2.1 Semantic criteria

In all three languages, some semantic characteristics can be helpful in determining the gender of nouns. With animate entities, words denoting biological males are masculine, and those denoting females are feminine, regardless of their phonological form: Ul/mã̃/ 'mother' Pj (feminine), mis/pyo/ 'father' Pj (masculine). With inanimate objects that can vary in size, the larger object is usually masculine and the smaller is feminine,
 (f.) (Sakoon 2002: 243).

Importantly, it is not the case, for either animates or inanimates, that either gender is always the unmarked (default) term and the other the marked term (more restricted in meaning). For some word pairs, the feminine is the semantically unmarked term and the masculine form is semantically marked, while for others the masculine is the unmarked term and the feminine is marked. Consider the case of 'cat'. The feminine term ‘َّ /billī/ ‘cat’ Hk, Pi or male cats. If one wants to specify a specific cat as male, the form used. Conversely, $\mathrm{H}^{\text {r }} / \mathrm{kuttā} /$ 'dog’ $\mathrm{Hk}, \mathrm{Pj}, \mathrm{Sr}$ (masculine) is the unmarked term, whereas /كُّ /kuttī/ 'bitch' (feminine) applies only to female dogs. With inanimate entities, in

[^17]Panjabi the word ${ }^{\mathcal{W}} \boldsymbol{p}^{\sim} * /$ churī/ 'knife' (feminine) is the unmarked term, and the corre-
 hand, وُّ وُّ / وُّ /ḍabbā/ ‘box, tin (container)’ (masculine) is the unmarked term, while /ḍabbī/ 'little box, container’ (feminine) refers to a notably small box.

Other semantic criteria apply to small sets of nouns. The names of most metals and precious stones are masculine in Panjabi, for example l'س / sonā/ 'gold', لو /lóyā/ ‘iron', and

In Panjabi, nouns relating to the year, months, days of the week, cardinal directions, celestial bodies, and many species of trees are masculine (Malik 1995: 209, Bhatia 1993: 217).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { لس/sāl/ 'year' } \\
& \text { وار/vār/ 'day of the week' } \\
& \text { "ورن /sūraj/ ‘sun’ } \\
& \text { •華/can/ 'moon' } \\
& \text { •نوْب. /janūb/ ‘south' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Some semantic classes consist of feminine nouns. For example, names of the lunar

 of a district', are feminine in all three languages.

### 4.3.2.2 Morphological criteria

Morphological patterns in some cases correlate with the gender of a noun; in others, there is no such correlation. The four patterns discussed in the following paragraphs originate in the IA stratum of these languages.

Generally in all three languages nouns ending in $1 /-\bar{a} /$ or $/ /-\overline{\tilde{a}} /$ in the singular direct case, are masculine, while nouns ending in $\mathcal{U} /-\overline{1} /$ or $U_{\mu} / \overline{\overline{1}} /$ are feminine. This is not an absolute rule, however, for any of the languages; consider 0 چ / cáa/ 'tea', ${ }^{13}$ which
 languages. Some nouns referring to humans behave as unmarked masculines with regard to case marking (that is, they have only one form for direct singular, direct plural, and oblique singular), but can take either masculine or feminine adjective and verb agreement depending on the sex of their referent. ${ }^{\circ}$ / $/$ ḍāktar// ‘doctor’' (< English) and / ووستر/dost/ 'friend' (< Persian) are two such cases. Note that neither of the source languages for these nouns has grammatical gender.

12 These are root patterns shown in the templatic morphology of Arabic, with a prototypical member to exemplify the pattern.
13 This word is also spelled as $\underset{\boldsymbol{q}}{4}$ by some writers of Hindko (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 119).

Some nouns with animate referents have two forms, which depend on the sex of the referent. There are three important types of such pairs. The first type has the masculine ending in $1 /-\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ and the feminine ending in $\mathcal{\cup} /-\overline{\mathrm{i}} /$. These are most common with some animals and kinship terms. For example, وار/ /dādā/ ‘paternal grandfather’ (m.), and $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ /dādī/ 'paternal grandmother' (f.).

The second type includes masculines in /- $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ / or / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} /$, often denoting occupational classes or some ethnic groups, which have feminine counterparts ending in Hindko /-ṛ/, Panjabi /-ṇ, -(a)ṇ, -āṇī/, or Saraiki /-iṇ, -āṇī/. For example:

 woman’

Ưن /nāī/ ‘barber' Hk, Pj, Sr

( وز / darzī/ 'tailor' Hk, Pj, Sr

3.4.4.

W

ぶ قَ /kasāī/ ‘butcher’ Hk, Pj, Sr
 655)

Note that this alternation has also been applied to the Persian loanword for 'butcher' ${ }^{14}$. Masculine nouns not ending in $\mathcal{V} / \overline{1} /$ can also form feminine counterparts with this suffix, e.g. ${ }^{j} / n o k a r / ~ ‘ s e r v a n t ' ~ a n d ~ j o k r a ̄ n i ̄ / ~ ' m a i d s e r v a n t ' . ~$

A third type of pair, which consists of masculines ending in $/-\bar{u} /$ with feminine counterparts in /-o/, is found in Panjabi. These can sometimes have slightly pejorative senses, as in:
(لُمُو /lambū/ 'unusually tall male person' 'لمُ /lambo/ 'unusually tall female person'

Sometimes, though, these suffixes function as diminutives, with an affectionate sense, and frequently appear in nicknames for male or female persons, e.g. بُّ $/$ /billū/, a nickname for a boy or a man named Bilaal, and one viewed as pretty or who has brown or hazel (i.e. light-colored) eyes.

14 The original spelling of this Persian-origin word is retained here. It is possible that some writers of these languages may spell it as $\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\text {r }}$ sometimes, reflecting its pronunciation.

However, although both masculine $/-\bar{u} /$ and feminine $/-\mathrm{o} /$ endings exist, they often are not in a symmetrical relationship or are not used equally frequently. For example, / ${ }_{\text {ֶ }}^{\text {/calāko/ 'clever female person’ appears in the frequently used Panjabi collocation }}$ چالكو الى /calāko māsī/ 'clever girl/woman’, often with an affectionate sense, whereas the masculine does not. The masculine form ُُبّ2 /buddhū/ ‘stupid/simple man’ is relatively frequently used, but not its feminine counterpart.

A fourth type of pair is found in Saraiki, where there are a few masculine-feminine associations persisting from an older pattern. In such Saraiki pairs, nouns with back vowels / $u$ / or /a/ in the second, unstressed syllable are masculine, while those with the front vowel /i/ in this position are feminine. The following examples are from Shackle (1976: 43).


. ${ }^{\sigma^{2}}$ */chohar/ 'boy' (m), and
In addition to the patterns of IA origin discussed above, a pattern originating in Arabic and transmitted through Persian and Urdu is found in these languages, most often in proper names and in a few pairs of common nouns. When a masculine name ends in a consonant other than o the feminine ends in o, for example, $\dot{\succ}^{\dot{\zeta}} / \mathrm{najam} /$ 'proper name for male' and .
 'Ms., Madame, lady (f)'; والر /vālid/ 'father (m)' and والده /vālda/ 'mother (f)'; جُوب /mæ(h)būb/ 'beloved (m)' and ${ }^{\text {r. } / \mathrm{m} \text { /h)būba/ 'beloved (f)'. }}$

### 4.3.3 Case

Case is both a morphological and a syntactic/semantic category. Indication of case relations in all three languages is accomplished by a multi-layer system (following Masica 1991). Layer 1 consists of elements which attach directly to the stem; for these languages this means the oblique case. Layer 2 elements are added to the oblique case; the ablative and vocative cases, and simple postpositions, are such elements. Grammaticalized locative/oblique nominals which function as postpositions are Layer 3 elements.

All three languages have direct and oblique cases, and can theoretically form vocatives, for all nouns. The direct case is the default case; thus the citation form of nouns is the singular direct case form.

### 4.3.3.1 Direct

In all three languages the direct case ${ }^{15}$ marks the grammatical subject of intransitive verbs, the subject of non-perfective tenses of transitive and ditransitive verbs, and most non-human, non-specific direct objects.

### 4.3.3.2 Oblique

In Panjabi and Saraiki, only marked masculines (Class I) show a distinct oblique case form in the singular. ${ }^{16}$ With feminines and unmarked masculines, the singular oblique case has a zero ending; its underlying obliqueness becomes apparent when such a noun appears in construction with a marked adjective; for example وُو /vaḍạe (SG.M.OBL) kàr (SG.M.OBL) vic/ 'in the big house'. For this reason, all nouns and pronouns that are followed by a postposition are considered here to be in the oblique case-either overt or covert. Plural oblique case is marked on all nouns.

All postpositions follow nouns or pronouns in the oblique case; however, the converse is not true; not all oblique nouns and pronouns are followed by a postposition.

Hindko is unique in that all masculine nouns, both Class I (marked) and Class II (unmarked), have an overt oblique singular in /-e/, or /-ẽ/ for nouns ending in /-ã̃/. This includes Hindko infinitives, whose oblique form ends in /-ṛe $\sim$-ne/.

### 4.3.3.3 Vocative

The vocative case marks a person, animal, or personified inanimate entity directly addressed. ${ }^{17}$

Although vocatives are constructible for all nouns, only those for animates are generally produced. Vocative endings follow the oblique form. They are presented separately for Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki.

### 4.3.3.3.1 Hindko vocative case endings

This information on Hindko vocative usages is due to Abdul Wahid Tabassum, and are shown in Table 4.1.

[^18]| Gender | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Masculine | $-\bar{a}$ | -0 |
| Feminine | -e | -0 |

Table 4.1: Hindko vocative case endings

### 4.3.3.3.2 Panjabi vocative case endings

For the vocative endings in Panjabi, we follow Bhardwaj 2016: 109-112; these endings are shown in Table 4.2.

| Gender | Stem | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine, marked |  | -ěā | -ěo |
| Masculine, unmarked | ā-final | -vā | -0 |
|  | İ-final | -ā | -0 |
|  | ū-final | - $\overline{\mathbf{a}} \sim \emptyset$ | -0 |
|  | consonant-final | -ā | -0 |
| Feminine, marked |  | -e $\sim \emptyset$ | -0 |
| Feminine, unmarked | ā-final | -e $\sim \emptyset$ | -0 |
|  | -̃alfinal | -ẽ | -īyo |
|  | ū-final | $\emptyset$ | -0 |
|  | e-final | $\emptyset$ | not attested |
|  | o-final | -e | not attested |
|  | consonant-final | -e | -0 |

Table 4.2: Panjabi vocative case endings

### 4.3.3.3.3 Saraiki vocative case endings

Vocative marking in Saraiki is quite complex. Variables like human vs. non-human addressee, common noun vs. proper name, and relative social status/relationship of the addressee as well as singular or plural number and gender are involved. With proper names, only singular number is involved. ${ }^{18}$ The following information is due to Nasir Abbas Syed; common nouns are shown in Table 4.3, and proper nouns in Table 4.4.

18 The proper name Nur is chosen to illustrate these endings since it can be either a woman's or a man's name.

| Characteristics of referent | Gender | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human common noun | Masculine | o chuhrā | -0 <br>  <br> o chuhro |
|  |  | 'o boy' | 'o boys' |
|  | Feminine | o chuhirā | \| o chuhirĩ |
|  |  | 'o girl' | 'o girls' |
| Non-human common noun | Masculine | \|o كوبا <br> o khotā <br> 'o male donkey’ | o khote <br> 'o male donkeys' |
|  | Feminine | اوكمون <br> o khotī <br> 'o female donkey' | -iyã̃ <br> اوكوتّا <br> o khotiyã <br> 'o female donkeys' |

Table 4.3: Saraiki common noun vocative case endings

| Gender | Nature of Relationship | Singular |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | Unmarked, neutral | $\emptyset$. او ور o nūr ‘o Nur' |
|  | addressee of lower status/younger | -ā. <br> اوورا <br> o nūrā <br> ‘o Nur' |
|  | expressing hatred of addressee/very low status of addressee | -ī اوون <br> o nūrī <br> 'o Nur' |
|  | affection or some respect for addressee | -ū. <br> او وورو <br> o nūrū <br> 'o Nur' |
|  | strong love for addressee | -aṇ. <br> اوورن <br> o nūraṇ <br> ‘o Nur’ |
| Feminine | unmarked, neutral | $\emptyset$. ارُى ور aṛī nūr 'o Nur' |
|  | addressee of lower status/younger/expressing hatred of addressee |  |
|  | for affection or love | -0. <br> الطى 'ورو <br> aṛī nūro <br> 'o Nur' |
|  | for a loved one | -ã <br> ارُى لوران <br> aṛī nūrã̃ <br> ‘o Nur' |

Table 4.4: Saraiki proper name vocative case endings

### 4.3.3.4 Vocative particles

In addition to the case endings, addressees' names are often preceded by a vocative particle, again varying by the gender and relationship of the speaker to the addressee.

### 4.3.3.4.1 Hindko vocative particles

In Hindko, vocative particles which precede the name of the person/thing addressed


 person, one might say والُّ ولو /vā buḍeo/ ‘o old man’, using the plural vocative case ending.

### 4.3.3.4.2 Panjabi vocative particles

Panjabi vocative particles include:

- man to man/men: (oe/
- woman/man to man or men (of junior status): و/ve/
- man/woman to junior woman/women or girl/girls: نیی/n


### 4.3.3.4.3 Saraiki vocative particles

 Jو اوـ /oe/‘hey’ are used. For non-humans, only او /o/ is used. Shackle (1976: 70) also gives اوس/ /oe/ 'hey’, and a set of vocative particles which he says are characteristic of rural speech, as follows:

- man to a man: و/o/
- man to a woman:
- woman to a man: $\quad$ /ve/
- woman to a woman: ونُ/vaṇ/ و ؤُ /vane/ (used in areas adjoining Panjabi-speaking areas)

In addition, under the influence of Urdu, educated people often address a person without using any vocative suffix. In these cases, they slightly prolong the second vowel in words with (CVCV(C)) syllable structures. For example, if an educated speaker calls out to a person named Khalid, he will produce the name with a long vowel in a final, otherwise short, syllable, i.e. /xalīd/.

### 4.3.3.5 Ablative

Aside from the three cases regularly formed for all nouns (direct, oblique, and vocative), the ablative occurs most frequently-in all three languages. The ablative case ending occurs only with singular nouns (including infinitives), which generally refer to places, times, events, or conditions. It is formed by suffixing the ablative case end-



The most basic concrete meaning of the ablative is direction or motion away from (SOURCE), which develops into abstract meanings of displacement, change of condition, involuntary causation, or comparison; for example, الّ لّول ووه /æs tõ vád(d)/ 'more than this', lit. 'more from this'. With animates, ablative relations are usually indicated with postpositions, which themselves can take the ablative ending.
 person)'

 'from inside; among'

The distinction in meaning between the form of a postposition or adverb with or without the ablative ending is sometimes minimal, as with $\frac{\wp^{\text {ºn }}}{\Downarrow}$ /picche/ 'after, behind' with /kadõ/ 'when?'. With plurals, postpositional expressions are always employed, as in example 4.1.

kuriy-ã mũḍ-eã nāḷõ zyādā kamm kīt-ā
girl-PL.DIR boy-PL.OBL than more work do.PP-SG.M
'The girls did more work than the boys.' (Pj) (EB)

The ablative infinitive appears in constructions like those in the following examples, one from Panjabi and one from Saraiki.

bas ik(k) gall das-ṇ-õ rǽn-d-ī e
only one thing[F] tell-INF.OBL-ABL remain-IP-SG.F be.PRES.SG
‘There is just one thing left to tell.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 663)

'It stopped raining.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 134)

### 4.3.3.6 Locative

The locative case is no longer fully productive, only a few nouns in each of these languages having distinct locative forms. Some high-frequency nouns with original (older)

 / / /kàr-e/ 'at home' Pi.

However, the locative is still somewhat productive in Hindko and Panjabi, since the locative ending also occurs with some unmarked masculine nouns, e.g. خارس.
 and even the English loanword 'school' in ${ }^{\text {Lu }}$ /skūl-e/ 'at/to school'. ${ }^{19}$


ṭà̀ kroc jātak skūl-e nun jān-d-e
2.5 ten-millions children school-LOC not go-IP-PL.M
'Twenty-five million children don't go to school. ${ }^{20}$ ( Hk )

The locative plural is formed by suffixing $U \sim / / \tilde{\overline{1}} /$ or /en/ to the stem; with vowel-final stems the final vowels merge with the ending. In Saraiki, usually $/ \overline{\tilde{i}} /$ appears with feminines and /-ẽ/ with masculines, but this is not necessarily the case in Panjabi or Hindko. A small number of nouns have both ablative singular and locative plural forms. Table 4.5 displays attested locative endings in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki.


Table 4.5: Locative endings in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki

The locative case has several functions.

19 We do not have information about whether or not this is also the case in Saraiki.
20 Example from: http://www.wichaar.com/news/117 /ARTICLE/29863/2013-08-28.html

- spatial location:


- temporal location, as in 4.5:
(4.5)

‘every five years’ ( Pj ) (Shackle 1972: 114)
- price for which something is obtained, as in 4.6:

é mæ-n $\quad$ ũ $d a s-\bar{\imath} \quad$ rūpa- $\tilde{\bar{\imath}} \quad$ mil-iyā
this 1SG-DAT/ACC ten-PL.LOC rupee-PL.LOC be.obtained-PP.SG.M
'I got this for ten rupees!' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 114)

The locative occurs in some common collocations with the verb $/$ /pæṇā/ 'to fall', as in 4.7 and 4.8.

```
*************
soc-\tilde{\imath}
thought-PL.LOC fall.INF
    'to fall into thoughts (i.e. to become thoughtful, pensive)' (Pj) (EB)
```

(4.8)

nazr- $\overline{\tilde{l}} \quad$ pæṇā
sight-PL.LOC fall.INF
'to fall into sight (i.e. to come suddenly into view)' (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 583)

Adjectives, especially numerals, can also take the locative plural ending, as in 4.6. However, modifying adjectives increasingly tend to appear in the oblique singular before nouns marked with the locative plural.

### 4.4 Declension classes and paradigms

Some declension classes (I, II, and III) are common to all three languages. Class IV, which includes most feminines other than those in Class III is similar but not identical in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. Classes V and VI may be unique to Hindko; and Classes VII, VIII, and IX are found in Saraiki. Table 4.6 lays these classes out to facilitate comparison between the declension systems of the three languages. The numbers assigned to declension classes are used consistently across the three languages. Numbers assigned here to Saraiki declensional classes are compared for the reader's convenience with Shackle's (1976) classification.

From the table it can be seen that the simplest declension system is that of Panjabi, with both Hindko and Saraiki retaining some smaller classes of nouns which reflect older patterns. It seems likely that increasing convergence will lead to simplification in the direction of the Panjabi pattern.

| Hindko | Panjabi | Saraiki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class I (masculines with sg. direct in /-ā/ or /ã/) | Class I (masculines with sg. direct in /-ā/ or /ã̃/) | Class I (masculines with sg. direct in /- $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ or $/ \tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ ) (compare Shackle's I) |
| Class II (all other masculines) | Class II (all other masculines) | Class II (all other masculines except those in Class VIII) (compare Shackle's II) |
| Class III (feminines with sg. direct in $/-\overline{\mathrm{I}} /$ or $/ \overline{\mathrm{I}} /$ ) | Class III (feminines with sg. direct in /-ī/ or /-ї/) | Class III (feminines with sg. direct in /-ī/ or /-ĩ/) (compare Shackle's IV) |
| Class IV (all other feminines except those in Classes V and VI) (declined the same as Class III) | Class IV (all other feminines; declined the same as Class III) |  |
| Class V (feminines with oblique/agentive form in $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and locative in / $-\mathrm{i} /$ /) |  |  |
| Class VI (masculines and feminines with oblique/agentive case forms in $/-\bar{u} / \sim /-\tilde{u} /$ |  |  |
|  |  | Class VII (feminines except those in Classes III, and IX ); includes stems with stem-internal unstressed /i/). Has pl.dir/obl in /-ī/ and sg. loc. in /ī/; (compare Shackle's V) |
|  |  | Class VIII (masculines with stem-internal unstressed /u/) (compare Shackle's III) |
|  |  | Class IX (two exceptional feminines, $\dot{\text { Cr }}$ ' hanj 'tear' and $2 \ddot{\prime \prime}$ tand 'fiber' (compare Shackle's VI) |

Table 4.6: Comparison of Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki declension classes

### 4.4.1 Hindko

### 4.4.1.1 Hindko declension classes

Hindko has marked masculines in unstressed /-ā/ (Class I), unmarked masculines (Class II), and marked feminines (Class III). However, it also has three additional classesmost other feminines (Class IV), feminines with oblique/agentive case forms in /- $\overline{\mathrm{i}} /$ (Class V), and masculines and feminines with oblique/agentive case forms in $/-\overline{\mathrm{u}} / \sim$ /- $\tilde{\tilde{u}} /$ (Class VI). Unfortunately, our Hindko data so far are very limited, and this work must be considered an exploratory study. Identifying and refining the description of Hazara Hindko declension classes demands much more work.

### 4.4.1.2 Hindko noun paradigms

All masculine nouns in Hindko of both Class I and Class II have unique direct, oblique, and vocative (used mainly with humans) forms. ${ }^{21}$ For some nouns, mainly inanimates denoting places, locative singular and ablative singular forms are also found. The locative seems to be employed with nouns signifying concrete place or time, or abstractions from these notions. It is sometimes used in the sense of an instrumental, as in تيّ oblique plural form plus a postposition. A small set of nouns have an oblique/agentive form in /-i/ Class V. Hindko's Class V should be compared with Saraiki's Class VII, a task requiring further detailed work on Hindko. Another small group of nouns of both genders, mostly kinship terms it appears at this point, have an oblique/agentive form
 and ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime} /$ /pyo/ 'father' (Class VI). The oblique form precedes all postpositions. The postposition ${ }^{b}$ /ú/suṛ̃/, sometimes marks the subject/agent of perfective tenses of transitive verbs. Dative and accusative case relations are indicated by the Layer 2 element $\sim$ $\tilde{U} / / \tilde{\tilde{a}} /$, which follows the oblique form of the noun.

### 4.4.1.2.1 Masculine nouns (Classes I and II)

Marked masculine I/-ā/-final nouns (Class I): ${ }^{22}$
Where locative forms of $l /-\bar{a} /$-final masculine nouns exist, they have the same form as the oblique singular. Thus a more economical synchronic analysis might be that the oblique form has oblique, locative, and instrumental functions.

[^19]|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | أُ bū-ā |  |
| Oblique | لوُ لُ | . bûe-ã |
| Vocative | لوُوبـا bū́-eā | . bú-eo |

Table 4.7: Marked masculine, I /-ā/ -final (Class I) noun !وُو. /būā/ ‘door’

An example of unmarked masculine nouns ending in vowels other than /-ā/ (Class II) is given in Table 4.8. Consonant-final, unmarked masculine nouns (Class II) are illustrated in Table 4.9. Consonant-final, unmarked masculine nouns having a singular locative and an ablative form (Class II) are illustrated in Table 4.10. For plurals and those nouns not having locative or ablative forms, however, a postposition attached to the oblique form serves these functions (see Table 4.10). ${ }^{23}$

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct ( = nominative) | آلو | T |
|  | ālū | ālū |
| Oblique | Tig <br> ālū-e | $\underbrace{\text { T }}_{\text {ālū-ã }}$ T |
|  | àu-e | àū-à |
| Vocative | T T | T |
|  | ālū-ā | ālū-o |

Table 4.8: Unmarked, vowel-final masculine noun ${ }^{\prime}$ T/ālū/ 'potato’ (Class II)

[^20]|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct（＝nominative） | ジ puttar | シُ puttar |
| Oblique／Agentive | $\underset{\text { puttar-e }}{\text { Cfir }}$ | تُ puttar－ã̃ |
| Vocative | Tr puttar－ā | و puttar－o |

Table 4．9：Unmarked，consonant－final masculine noun ُ／puttar／‘son’（Class II）

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct（＝nominative） | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { رlù } \\ \text { kầr } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { رừr } \\ \text { kấr } \end{array}$ |
| Oblique |  | kàr－ã̃ |
| Ablative | $\text { Vàr-õ }_{\text {Uõ }}$ |  <br> kàr－ã̃＋postposition |
| Locative |  |  <br> kàr－ã̃＋postposition |
| Vocative | $\pi_{r}$ kàr-ā | $\overbrace{\text { kảr-o }}$ |

Table 4．10：Unmarked，consonant－final（Class II）masculine noun $/$／kà̀r／＇house，home＇（～$\sim$ ／kàr／）

## 4．4．1．2．2 Feminine nouns（Classes III，IV，V，and VI）

Marked feminine，／－i／－final nouns（Class III）are illustrated in Table 4．11．
The direct and oblique singular forms for these Class III marked feminine nouns are the same，as are their direct and oblique plurals．This is the same as the Panjabi pattern．Class IV includes all other feminines except those in Classes V and VI． ／mấj／＇buffalo＇is an example of a Class IV noun．Class IV nouns are declined like Class III nouns．

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct ( = nominative) |  |  |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Vocative | $\underbrace{\text { rn }}_{\text {kuriy-e }}$ |  |

Table 4.11: Marked feminine, unstressed /-ī/-final noun

Some unmarked (feminine) nouns have an oblique/agentive form ending in $\mathcal{U} /-\bar{i} /$ (Class V); a few also have a locative form in $\cup \because \cdot /-\overline{\tilde{1}} /$; an example of a consonant-final Class V noun is $/$ /agg/ 'fire', shown in Table 4.12. A vowel-final Class V noun is /lao/ ‘sunlight/daylight'.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct ( = nominative) |  | U agg-ã |
| Oblique/Agentive | " agg-ī | U\| agg-ã |
| Locative |  | U agg-ã + postposition |
| Vocative | ! agg-e | S agg-o |

Table 4.12: Consonant-final feminine noun $/$ / $/ \mathrm{agg} /$ 'fire' (Class V)

Example 4.9 shows the oblique form of the noun ا/ת /agg/ 'fire' as the subject/agent of a transitive sentence in a perfective tense.

agg-ī mer- $\bar{a} \quad k \quad \overline{\bar{\imath}} r \quad$ barbād $k i \bar{t}-\bar{a}$
fire-SG.F.OBL my-SG.M house.SG.M destroyed do.PP-SG.M
'The fire destroyed my house.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 4.4.1.2.3 Class VI Hindko nouns (masculine and feminine)

A small class of Hindko nouns, most of our attested examples of which refer to male or female persons, have an oblique / agentive form ending in $/-\overline{\mathrm{u}} /$ or $/-\tilde{\bar{u}} /$ (Class VI). They
 . in Table 4.13 and Table 4.14, respectively.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct ( = nominative) | $\underset{\text { mã }}{\substack{\text { n }}}$ | كاوال mā-vã̃ |
| Oblique / Agentive | كاوّل mā-ū | 6 mā-vã |
| Vocative | $\sum_{\text {mā-e }}$ | او mā-o |

Table 4.13: Kinship noun $U /$ /mãa / 'mother’ (Class VI)

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct ( = nominative) | $\underset{\text { tì }}{\text { tín }}$ | UMition |
| Oblique / Agentive | $\underset{\text { titi-ū }}{\text { تِ }}$ | UMition |
| Vocative | $\frac{\stackrel{y y}{*}}{\text { tī-e }}$ |  |

Table 4.14: Kinship noun تr" /tì/ ‘daughter’ (Class VI)

Class VI includes both feminines and masculines. Example 4.10 illustrates the oblique/agentive form of the transitive verb 1 كُ /kuṭãa/ 'to beat'.
(4.10)


### 4.4.2 Panjabi

### 4.4.2.1 Panjabi declension classes

All Panjabi nouns fall into one of four declension paradigms: marked masculine (Class I), unmarked masculine (Class II), marked feminine (Class III), and all other feminines (Class IV).

### 4.4.2.1.1 Marked masculine (Class I)

In the singular direct case form, masculine nouns in Class I end in an unstressed $/-\bar{a} /$, spelled with $1, \circ, 乙$, or $\mathcal{E}$, or less commonly, in unstressed $1 / /-\bar{a} /$. The converse, however, is not true; not all nouns ending in /-ā/ or /-ã̃/ are masculine (see Section 4.4.2.1.3 below). Those ending in $\mathcal{\mathcal { Z }}$, or $\mathcal{E}$, are sometimes treated as unmarked, despite their final /-ā/. Such words are of Perso-Arabic origin. Examples of marked masculine nouns are:
/ مُ مُ /mũḍā/ 'boy'
حمل /hamlā/ 'attack, invasion’
て6ز /nikā/ 'Muslim marriage ceremony'

U'

## 4．4．2．1．2 Unmarked masculine（Class II）

Unmarked masculines end either in a consonant or any vowel other than unstressed $/-\bar{a} /$ or $/ \tilde{\bar{a}} /$ ．As noted above，unmarked nouns show no distinction in the direct case between singular and plural．${ }^{24}$ For example：
－consonant－final
／din／‘day’
／／kā̀r／＇house＇
－／āī／－final
ぶن／nāī／‘barber＇
－／ī／－final
3．${ }^{\text {，／tòbīl／＇washerman’ }}$
广洸
－／
ريّل／daĩً／＇yogurt，curds＇
－／$\overline{\mathrm{u}} /$－final
اُكّ／ullū／＇owl＇
S＇夕／dā̄kū／＇robber＇
كمّو／kaddū／＇variety of summer squash；simpleton（slang）＇
－／o／－final
＂
Two important classes of nouns ending in／－i／are masculine．These are（i）names for
 adjective／noun－forming suffix／－ī／as in $\dot{\zeta} \dot{\zeta}$ in Section 4．3．2．2．

24 A few words can take Persian or Arabic plural morphology，e．g．انْl｜／axbār／＇newspaper＇，the indigenous plural of which is the same as the singular，but which can sometimes occur as انْارات ／axbār－āt／＇newspapers＇，often with a collective sense，as in＇the press＇．

### 4.4.2.1.3 Feminine (Classes III and IV)

 $U \breve{m}$ other vowels (Class IV). Examples of Class IV nouns include:

- consonant-final

צُصپّ /tùpp/ ‘sunshine’

- /o/-final
, \%/glo/ 'species of vine'
ب/ /baggo/ lit. 'little white one’ (affectionate nickname for female child)
- / $\overline{\mathrm{u}} /-\mathrm{final}$

آبُو /ābrū/ 'honor, character, good reputation' (< Persian < Turkish)

- |æ/-final
* /šæ/ 'thing' (< Ar.)

Both Class III and IV feminine nouns are inflected in the same way (as opposed to Urdu). Saraiki and Hindko, however have additional feminine inflectional classes.

### 4.4.2.2 Panjabi noun paradigms

In this section, declensions of representative exemplars of each inflectional class identified in Panjabi are presented. As stated above, there are three completely productive cases in all three languages: direct, oblique, and vocative. All nouns will have possible forms in these cases (even if they are not generally produced). In addition, ablative and locative cases occur with some words (see Section 4.3.3.5 and Section 4.3.3.6 on their use). Note that forms for the ablative singular are provided for all words below; this is a more productive process than locative plural formation but ablative plural case endings are not found. Semantic relations not indicated by case endings are expressed with postpositions.

### 4.4.2.2.1 Marked masculine nouns (Class I)

The inflectional paradigm for 1 /مْ /mũḍā/ 'boy', a typical Class I noun, is given in Table 4.15. All other masculine nouns ending in $/-\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ follow the same pattern.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | منُ | － |
|  | mũḍ－ā | mũḍ－e |
| Oblique | من طـــــ | منوُ |
|  | mũḍ-e | mũḍ－ěã |
| Ablative | من¢ | منوُ |
|  | mũḍ－ěō | mũ̃ḍ－ěã＋postposition |
| Vocative | منرُّا | من مُ ． |
|  | mũḍ－ěā | mũḍ－ěo |

Table 4．15：Panjabi marked masculine noun l＇مُرْ／mũḍā／＇boy’（Class I）

## 4．4．2．2．2 Unmarked masculine nouns（Class II）

This class includes all masculines other than those in Class I．The paradigms for vowel－
 Table 4．17，respectively．All masculine nouns not ending in final unstressed／－ā／，in－ cluding both those with final consonants and those with final vowels，follow this pat－ tern．

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | む pāṇī | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{u} し \\ & \text { pāṇī } \end{aligned}$ |
| Oblique |  | U <br> pāniy－ã̃ |
| Ablative | Un＇ pāṇiy－õ | U pāṇiy－ã̃＋postposition |
| Vocative | し pāṇiy－ā |  |

Table 4．16：Paradigm for ©ֶ̀／pāṇī／＇water’（Class II）

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | $\begin{aligned} & \text { din } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { din } \end{aligned}$ |
| Oblique |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { din-ã } \\ & \text { din } \end{aligned}$ |
| Locative | $\sum_{\text {din-e }}^{j}$ |  |
| Ablative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ونون } \\ & \text { din-õ } \end{aligned}$ | , din-ã + postposition |
| Vocative | , din-ā | g, din-o |

Table 4.17: Paradigm for $\mathcal{H}$ /din/ 'day' (Class II)

### 4.4.2.2.3 Feminine nouns (Classes III and IV)

The inflectional paradigms of and $\mathbf{l y}$ : /havā/ 'wind' (Class IV) are given in Table 4.18, Table 4.19, and Table 4.20, respectively. Most feminine nouns end in /-ī/ and follow the pattern for كُّ /kurī/ 'girl'. Nevertheless, there are many feminines that end in consonants or other vowels. They follow the patterns illustrated by وُچچ / وُùpp/ ‘sunshine’ (Table 4.19) and /havā/ 'wind’ (Table 4.20).

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Oblique | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { K/ }}}_{\text {kurī }}$ |  |
| Ablative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kuṛiy-õong } \\ & \text { kun } \end{aligned}$ | kuriy-ã̃ +postposition |
| Vocative | $\underbrace{\sim}_{\text {kuṛiy-e }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { كُط... } \\ & \text { kuṛiy-o } \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4.18: Paradigm for /kuṛi/ ‘girl' ’(Class III)

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Oblique | $\begin{aligned} & \text { وصُ } \\ & \text { tüpp } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U(\$, } \\ & \text { tùpp-ã } \end{aligned}$ |
| Locative | $\underset{\text { 站p-e }}{\stackrel{y}{n}}$ | $\xrightarrow{\infty}$ tùpp-ĩ |
| Ablative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uùpp-õ } \\ & \text { tung } \end{aligned}$ | tùpp-ã̃ + postposition |
| Vocative | $\stackrel{\infty}{*}$ <br> tùpp-e | , ;وپ. <br> tùpp-o |

Table 4.19: Paradigm for ${ }_{\text {Y }}$ / tùpp / ‘sunshine’ (Class IV)

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | lo <br> havā | بواوال havā-vã̃ |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Locative | -- | Ung: havā-Ĩ |
| Ablative | : havā-õ | بواوال <br> havā-vã + postposition |
| Vocative | $\because$ havā-e | بواوَ <br> havā-o |

Table 4.20: Paradigm for lgr / havā/ 'wind' (Class IV)

### 4.4.2.2.4 Panjabi Inflectional paradigms

Table 4.21- Table 4.24 show the inflectional affixes abstracted for each declension class identified. Table 4.21 through Table 4.22 show the inflectional endings for masculine marked and unmarked nouns, respectively. No unique locative singular forms are regularly attested for Class I marked masculines; and the ablative only occurs in the singular and the locative generally only in the plural.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | $1 /-\bar{a} /$ | - \|-e/ |
| Oblique | - \|-e/ | U /-eã/ |
| Ablative | ل. /-eõ/ | -- |
| Vocative | ! $1-$ eā/ | 2. $/$-eo/ |

Table 4.21: Inflectional endings for marked masculine nouns (Class I)

Masculine nouns ending in ${ }^{\prime} /-\overline{\tilde{a}} /$ also follow the paradigm in Table 4.21, with nasalization maintained in the direct plural and the oblique singular and plural. Unmarked masculine nouns (Class II) have the same form in the direct singular and plural, and oblique singular. The stem appears without any ending (Table 4.22).

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| Oblique | $\emptyset$ | U /-- $/$ a |
| Locative | - $1-\mathrm{e} /$ rare | U. $/-\frac{\tilde{I} / \text { /rare }}{}$ |
| Ablative | , /-õ/ | -- |
| Vocative | $1 /-\mathrm{a} /$ | , /-0/ |

Table 4.22: Inflectional endings for unmarked masculine nouns (Class II)

Table 4.23 gives the inflectional affixes for all feminine nouns in Panjabi. Although there is a formal distinction between two classes of feminine nouns-those with a thematic final /-i/ (Class III), and all others (Class IV)-in Panjabi they are inflected uniformly, which we have recognized here.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | $\underbrace{}_{/ \overline{\mathrm{T}} / 25}$ | $\mid$ |
| Oblique | $\underbrace{\checkmark}_{/ \overline{\mathrm{I}} /}$ | $\bigcup_{/-\overline{\mathrm{a}} /} \\|^{2}$ |
| Locative | $<1-\mathrm{e} /$ rare | U. $/$ /ī $/$ non-productive |
| Ablative | / | /- $\mathrm{\tilde{a}} /+$ postposition |
| Vocative | - \|-e| | , \|-0/ |

Table 4.23: Inflectional endings for Panjabi feminine nouns (Class III and Class IV)

### 4.4.2.2.5 Some morphophonemic changes

When taking the inflectional endings in the above paradigms, some noun stems undergo phonological changes. Several general patterns can be identified. Masculine and feminine nouns ending in the long vowels $\leqslant /-\bar{i} /$ and,$/-\bar{u} /$ shorten the long vowels to $/-\mathrm{i} /$ and $/-\mathrm{u} /$ respectively before the ending. A y-glide usually appears between a resulting short /i/ and the ending, and a v-glide sometimes appears between shortened /u/ and the ending. A semivowel (glide) /-v-/ intervenes between two/- $\bar{a} /$ vowels in succession, for example $\underset{\forall}{\text { پ. }}$, /că/ 'tea', inserts a /-v-/ between the stem and the plural direct and oblique endings.

| Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: |
| U' gã 'cow' | \% gãv-ã 'cow' |
| ob cá 'tea' |  |

Table 4.24: Feminine nouns in $/-\overline{\tilde{a}} / /-\overline{\bar{a}} /$ (Class IV)

If a two-syllable singular noun has an unstressed peripheral vowel in the final syllable, this is lost in the plural, e.g. $\dot{户}$ /nazar/ 'view' (f) and / / / giddar/ 'jackal' (m) become,


25 Class IV direct and oblique singulars are zero marked.
instance of the automatic phonological process known as schwa-deletion, which can be summarized in the phonological rule / $/ \rightarrow \emptyset /(C) V C ~ \_C \bar{V}$, that is, in the environment (C)VC __ C $\bar{V}, / \partial /$ is deleted (See Ohala 1974). This rule also operates in Hindko and Saraiki. Note that this change is not detectable in the Perso-Arabic script, which does not usually represent centralized vowels.

Feminine nouns ending in a nasalized vowel other than $/-\tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ lose the stem-final nasalization in the plural:



### 4.4.3 Saraiki

### 4.4.3.1 Saraiki declension classes

In addition to marked masculines (Class I), unmarked masculines (Class II), marked feminines (Class III), and most unmarked feminines (Class IV), Saraiki has a second class of feminines (Class VII), in which stem-internal /i/ indicates feminines, with direct and oblique plurals in / $\tilde{\mathrm{I}} /$; a small class in which the back stem vowel /u/ marks masculines (Class VIII); and a vestigial class (IX) including only two (feminine) words. Classes VII, VIII, and IX are not found in Panjabi or, to our knowledge, in Hindko. ${ }^{26}$ In such Saraiki pairs, nouns with back vowels $/ \mathrm{u} /$ or $/ \mathrm{a} /$ in the second (unstressed) syllable are masculine, while those with the front vowel/i/ in this position are feminine. For example:

- in $\because$ /pinsil/ 'pencil' (f) (Class VII)
- Š /šukur/ 'thanks' (m) (Class VIII)
- كُ /kukur/ ‘cock, rooster' (m) (Class VIII), and /kukiṛ/ 'hen' (f) (Class VII)
 1976: 43)

26 Shackle (1976: 43) says that pairs of this type are found in "some Northern Lahnda dialects," however we have not been able to verify this for current Abbottabad Hindko. Existence of this pattern in Saraiki is one reason why scholars (Grierson 1919: 1) have commented on the similarity of "Lahnda" and Sindhi to the Dardic languages, where vowel fronting or raising marks feminines (Bashir 2003: 823).

### 4.4.3.2 Saraiki noun paradigms

Since Saraiki has no unique agentive case form, agents (subjects of transitive verbs in perfective tenses) take the oblique form. The oblique form also precedes postpositions, and thus enters into the possessive, accusative/dative, and various locative and temporal expressions. The ergative (agentive) postposition $\dot{L} / \mathrm{ne}$, which marks agents in Urdu and is used by most speakers in the third person in Panjabi, has not been traditionally used in Saraiki. However, Shackle (1976: 144) notes that $\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{ne} /$ sometimes occurs in educated colloquial speech as an agentive (ergative) marker in imitation of Urdu and Panjabi, but that it is considered incorrect in careful speech and writing. Written forms provided by our consultant (2015) sometimes included $\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{ne} /$ and sometimes did not.

Vocative case forms are, for practical purposes, restricted to animates. For a full discussion of Saraiki vocatives, see Section 4.3.3.3.3 and Section 4.3.3.4.3.

Locative and ablative forms exist for some but not all nouns. A few nouns referring to inanimates, from various declension classes, have locative case forms. Such nouns mostly denote place or time, and some such nouns have both singular and plural forms. Additionally, many adverbial forms ending in /-e/, which are now perceived as obliques of masculine nominals, were originally locatives. Ablative forms occur more frequently than locatives, and are not restricted to inanimates; however ablative plural forms do not exist. Ablatives are freely formed from infinitives and from most locative postpositions. However, since locatives and ablatives are not formed regularly for all nouns, some of the frequently occurring forms are presented here as lists, rather than as parts of regular paradigms (forms from Shackle 1976).

Ablative singular in - $\bar{u}$ :

- گُ /ghar-ü/ 'house-from' (m)
- بتّ

Locative singular in -e

-
Locative singular in - $\tilde{\overline{1}}$

- رار /rāt- $\overline{1} /$ 'night-at/in’ (f)

Locative plural in -ẽ

- ج • • /jangl-ẽ/ 'jungles-in’ (m)
- بتّهي /hath-ẽ/ 'hands-in' (m)

Locative plural in /- $\tilde{\overline{1}} /$
- را"يّN/rat- $\overline{1} /$ 'nights-at/in’ (f)
- مسّيتّ /masīt- $\overline{1} /$ 'mosques-in' (f) (Shackle 1976: 50)


### 4.4.3.2.1 Masculine nouns (Classes I, II, VIII)

There are three form classes of Saraiki masculines: (i) those ending in unstressed $/-\bar{a} /$ (Class I), (ii) most others-both consonant- and vowel-final (Class II)-except for a few disyllabic nouns whose stems end in $/ \mathrm{r} /, / \mathrm{r} /$, or $/ \mathrm{l} /$ and which have $/ \mathrm{u} /$ in the unstressed second syllable (Class VIII). Classes I and II include the vast bulk of Saraiki masculine nouns. Class VIII represents an older pattern, no longer productive, in which steminternal vowel alternation distinguished gender and number, and sometimes case. In modern Saraiki, nouns in this class have largely fallen together with Class II nouns (Shackle 1976: 46). Examples of Class VIII nouns are:

- شُ /šukur/ 'thanks’ (m)
- /ُرُ /kukuṛ/ 'rooster, cockerel' (m)
- تحُومب/ /chuhur/ 'boy’ (m)

These are to be compared with the Class VII feminines.
This pattern, according to Shackle (1979: 195) was formerly also found in some other varieties of "Lahnda"; however, we have not yet found it in Abbottabad Hindko; very possibly, more detailed fieldwork could discover more information about it.

Marked, unstressed /-ă/-final masculine nouns (Class I) are the only ones with a direct plural and oblique singular form different from the direct singular. The oblique singular and the direct plural are the same, as is also the case in Panjabi and Hindko.

### 4.4.3.2.2 Saraiki masculine noun paradigms

An example paradigm of a Class I noun ending in -ā, إُوچ\%/cūhā/ 'rat', is given in Table
 and a very frequently used Class II consonant-final noun, $\%$ /ghar/ 'house, home', is given in Table 4.27.
 forms in parentheses are from Shackle (1976: 46), and the others from UK.

27 Neither Shackle (1976) nor our consultant gave an ablative form for the word for boy.

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | \%وٌor | \%\% |
|  | cūh-ā | cūh-e |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Ablative |  |  <br> cūh-eã̃ + postposition |
| Vocative | :وُو cūh-ā |  |

Table 4.25: Saraiki masculine noun ending in -ā, بُوֶ/ /cūhā/ 'rat' (Class I)

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | \% |  |
|  | pyū | pyu-(v)ã |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Vocative |  | ******** |
|  | pyu-(v)ā | pyu-(v)o |

Table 4.26: Saraiki masculine noun ending in a non-/ā/vowel, وn"/pyū/ 'father' (Class II) ${ }^{27}$

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | ghar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ghar } \end{aligned}$ |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Ablative |  |  |
| Vocative | n.a. | n.a. |

Table 4.27: Saraiki consonant-final masculine noun /ghar/ 'house, home' (Class II)

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | * chuhar (chohur) | chuhar (chohar) |
| Oblique | با <br> chuhar (chohar) | * chuhar-ã̃ (chorhã̃) |
| Ablative | -طو chuhar-ũ (chorhū) | تطوبماU <br> chuhar-ã + postposition |
| Vocative | - تطوبَ chuhar-ā (chorhā) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chuhar-o (chorho) } \\ & \text { cher } \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4.28: Saraiki consonant-final masculine noun تُهوَ /chuhar/ 'boy' (Class VIII)

### 4.4.3.2.3 Saraiki feminine noun paradigms

Saraiki feminine nouns fall into three declension classes.
Class III marked /-ī/-final feminine nouns such as in Table 4.29. Class IV includes all other feminine nouns except those in the smaller Classes VII and IX. As in Panjabi, Class IV feminines are declined in the same way as Class III feminines. A vowel-final Class IV feminine noun, l/mā/ 'mother', and a Class IV consonant-final feminine noun, \%\%/chat/ 'roof' are shown in Table 4.30 and Table 4.31, respectively.

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | $\underset{\text { Sill-i }}{\substack{\text { run }}}$ | し <br> billi-yã̃ |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Ablative | إِّيّ billi-yũ | -- |
| Vocative | ب billi-yā | بِّ <br> billi-yo |

Table 4.29: Saraiki /-ī/-final feminine noun, كِّ / /billi/ 'cat' (Class III)

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l } \\ & \text { mā } \end{aligned}$ | كاوال mā-vã̃ |
| Oblique | ك māo | 6اوال mā-vã |
| Ablative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U'و́l } \\ & \text { mā-ū } 28 \end{aligned}$ | postpositional |
| Vocative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l } \\ & \text { mā } 29 \end{aligned}$ | و <br> mā-ō |

Table 4.30: Saraiki /-ā/-final feminine noun, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{mā} /$ 'mother’ (Class IV)

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct |  | $\cup)^{*}$ chatt-ã |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Ablative | $\begin{gathered} \text { chatt-ün } \\ \text { cün } \end{gathered}$ | chatt-ã + postposition |
| Vocative | n.a. | n.a. |

Table 4.31: Saraiki consonant-final feminine noun گ\% \% /chatt/ 'roof’ (Class IV)

### 4.4.3.2.4 Class VII (feminine) noun paradigm

A third feminine declension includes some words for female persons (especially relatives), and some other frequently occurring nouns. This class is distinguished by its di-
 'girl', illustrated in Table 4.32 and Table 4.33, respectively, belong to this class. ${ }^{30}$

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bheṇ } \\ & \text { bex. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Vocative | . <br> bhen-ā | كي بيز. <br> bheṇ-ō |

Table 4.32: Saraiki feminine noun كهِّثُ /bheṇ/ ‘sister’ (Class VII) (forms from Shackle 1976: 48)

28 This is an ablative form from Shackle (1976: 48), Nasir Abbas Syed, however, does not accept ablative case forms for any animate feminines.
29 With / $\bar{a} /$-final $6 / m \bar{a} /$ the vocative ending /- $\bar{a} /$ merges with the stem-final / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$.
30 A third feminine class (Class IX) includes only two words, /tand/ 'fiber' (Shackle 1976: 47) and will not be treated here.
31 This ablative form is from Shackle (1976: 48). Our consultant expressed the ablative singular relationship with a postposition in its ablative form.

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct |  |  |
| Oblique |  |  |
| Ablative | * chuhir-ũ ${ }^{31}$ | chuhir- $\tilde{I}+$ postposition |
| Vocative | تح <br> (æ) chuhir-ã̃ |  |

Table 4.33: Saraiki feminine noun \%\%وُو / /chuhir/ 'girl' (Class VII)

### 4.4.3.2.5 Class VIII (masculine) noun paradigm

Class VIII is small class of masculine nouns in which stem-vowel alternation signals changes in gender, number, and case. تصطؤر / /chuhir/ 'girl' (Table 4.33) is a feminine noun of Class VII and تهوُو \% /chuhar/ 'boy' (shown in Table 4.28 above) is an example of a Class VIII noun.

## 5 Adjectival and adverbial modification

Adjectival modifiers are elements which restrict or refine the meaning of nouns. Adverbial modifiers are semantically more various and complex; they can modify adjectives, other adverbs, verbs, or entire sentences. In Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki both adjectival and adverbial modifiers can consist of single words, phrases, or clauses. This chapter discusses, for each language, the sources of adjectives and adverbs, the form classes (inflecting or invariant) into which adjectives fall, and the semantic classes of adverbs. For discussion of clausal adjectival and adverbial modification, see Chapter 9. A sentence can contain multiple modifiers, of various types. For discussion of wordorder considerations in such cases, see Chapter 9, Section 9.1.1.2.

### 5.1 Adjectives and adjectival expressions

### 5.1.1 The adjectival lexicon: sources and derivation of adjectives

As with nouns, the adjectival lexicon consists of its inherited Indo-Aryan base and incremental additions from various languages at different time depths, including both words and derivational elements. Some derivational processes are synchronically productive in all three languages, yielding new adjectives-notably those employing the
 tives share much of their morphology with nouns, and most of them can also be used as nouns. Adjectives can be derived from nouns, adverbs, other adjectives, or verbs. The most productive derivational processes are suffixal.

## 

The adjective-forming suffix $/$ والvālā/ $\sim /$-vālā/(< OIA pāla 'keeper of'), with the alternate form $ل / I /$ āḷā/ $\sim / a \overline{l a ̄ / ~ w h i c h ~ a p p e a r s ~ f r e q u e n t l y ~ i n ~ H i n d k o ~ a n d ~ S a r a i k i, ~ i s ~ t h e ~}$ only productive derivational element which produces inflecting ("black") adjectives (for which see Section 5.1.1.6). It is one of the most versatile and widely used elements in these languages, especially in the spoken language. It makes inflecting, or marked, adjectives/nouns from a great variety of words or constructions. Suffixed to the oblique case of a lexical noun it denotes a person or thing connected in some way to that noun. Added to the oblique infinitive of a verb it generates forms which can function adjectivally, as agentive nouns, or in constructions which function as relative clauses. In all of these constructions, a modified noun, either expressed when the usage is adjectival or unexpressed when the usage is nominal, is part of the conception.

- noun + والا /-vālā/
 (n.)' Pj
/kot vāḷā/ 'pertaining to a coat; masculine entity connected in some way with a coat/coats.' Pi
/ كمُهاك / /kaḍhāī ālā/ 'embroidered’ sr


- adjective + والا /-vāḷā/:
/cãge vāle kapre/ 'the good clothes (as opposed to the inferior ones)'
- adverb + والا /-vālā/:
/utte vālāā/ 'upper; the one on top’ Pj
/r
/ سرب تون تمال وال /sab tõ thalle vāḷā/ 'the bottom-most one' pj
- • C nāl ālā kamrā/ ‘adjacent room’ нk
- oblique infinitive + والا /-vālā/:
 moves; mover, goer; about to go'

The oblique infinitive of a verb followed by والل /vālā/forms: (1) agent nouns, (2) verbal constructions meaning ‘about to V', e.g. جان وال /jāṇ vāḷā/ 'about to go' (Pj), (3) adjectives from clauses, which function as relative clauses (5.1). This suffix is attached to the oblique infinitive of the verb, often preceded by other elements of the underlying adjectivalized clause, as in 5.1, where it is glossed as "NMLZ".

kòr-ā kà khāṇ-vāḷā jānvar e horse-SG.DIR grass eat.INF.OBL-NMLZ.SG.M animal be.PRES.3SG
'The horse is a grass-eating animal. (an animal [which eats grass])' (Pj) (EB)

### 5.1.1.2 Suffixal elements: Persian

A number of suffixes which form adjectives from nouns are of Persian and/or Arabic origin.

### 5.1.1.2.1 $\mathcal{G} /$ I--/

The suffix $\checkmark /-\overline{1} /$ is identical to that found in some Arabic borrowings; it derives adjectives from nouns, with meanings corresponding roughly to the English suffixes -al, -ous, or -ish. It may be used with both indigenous Indo-Aryan and borrowed Persian or Arabic lexical items. In the following examples, native Indic word, and كثّاب /katāb/ 'book' is from Arabic. ${ }^{1}$

-     - $/$ /des/ ‘country’ + /-ī/ $\rightarrow$, $\rightarrow$ /desī/ 'indigenous’
- كثّاب / كثّ /katāb/ ‘book' + /-ī/ ك

- آز /āxar/ 'end, limit' + /ī/ $\rightarrow$ /āxarī/ 'final, last'
- 


The $\mathcal{\checkmark} / \overline{\mathrm{i}} /$ suffix may also derive secondary adjectives from existing adjectives, such as ا انْرون /andarūnī/ 'interior’' (adj.) from انروون /andarūn/ 'inner' (adj.), or from adverbs, e.g. اوپر / اوپppar/ 'above’ + /ī/ /ūparī/ ‘superficial, external'

### 5.1.1.2.2 $\tilde{i} / /$ ānā $/$

The suffix $\tilde{\sim} / /$-ānā/derives adjectives of quality from nouns; it is similar in function to the English suffix /-ly/. Adjectives in $\tilde{i} /$-ānā/ are not used to describe humans; rather, they are formed from nouns referring to people or types of people and describe characteristic behaviors or events, for example:

- ووست / ووستًا /dost/ 'friend' $\rightarrow$ /dostānā/ 'friendly (relationship, meeting)'
- קر /mard/ 'man' $\rightarrow$ P /mardānā/ 'masculine (clothes, behavior)'
- سال $\operatorname{ll}$ /sāl/ 'year’ /sālānā/ 'yearly, annual (event)’

[^21]5.1.1.2.3 $1 /$-nāk/ and

The suffixes $/$ "-gin/ and $ك$ / $/$ /nāk/ correspond roughly to English /-ful/;they create adjectives of quality from abstract nouns. Neither is currently productive.

- . 户 / xatarā/ 'danger’ $\rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ 伷; /xatarnāk/ ‘dangerous’

- شر /šarm/ ‘shame' $\rightarrow$ ك

In general, words with $\int \bullet /$-nāk/ refer to the cause of the resulting description (usually referring to something harmful), and those with $/$ گئن $/$ gin/ refer to its sufferer. Thus شمرنـ /šarmnāk/ means ‘causing shame', while /šarmgin/ 'bashful' means 'experiencing shame'. This example is offered only to contrast the general meaning of these two suffixes; the usual words for 'bashful' in these languages have indige-
 Sakoon 2002: 169).
 These suffixes form denominal adjectives, all with the general meaning of 'possessing X , characterized by X . The forms are found in all three languages, except $\boldsymbol{i}$ / $\mathrm{vand} /$, which occurs mostly in Saraiki. Words formed with them sometimes represent a more formal register than synonymous words formed with the suffix $6 /-\overline{1} /$, e.g.
 'famous'. Other examples include:
- 


 857, 910)

- $\quad$ / /hunar/ 'skill' $\rightarrow$ i $r$ /hunarmand/ 'skilled' Pj
- /ولتمن /dolatmand/ 'wealthy' нk, Pj
 269)

There is no predictable difference in meaning between these suffixes; where a stem may form adjectives with more than one of them, the precise meanings of the derived words have developed independently. Some words with the ور/-var/ suffix have been reanalyzed as nouns, such as باولو /jānvar/ 'animal (lit. possessing life)'. منر/-mand/ is not currently productive, but وار/-dār/ is used in new compounds with all classes of words-Indic and Perso-Arabic. Some of these are mostly used as nouns.


- يیی:/pædā/ ‘born, created’ $\rightarrow$ يماوار/pædāvār/ 'production' (n.)
- لor:/phal/ 'fruit' $\rightarrow$ هلری: /phaldār/ 'fruit-bearing' (adj.)

Perso-Arabic origin suffixes derive unmarked (non-inflecting) adjectives-mostly from non-Indic borrowings. Since new adjectives are increasingly being borrowed, including from English, the class of unmarked adjectives is growing.

### 5.1.1.2.5 The exclamation/exhortation ب! /-bād/ 'let it be, so be it'

Added to adjectives denoting a state, l $/ /$ bād/, a Persian subjunctive form meaning 'let it be', yields terms meaning 'may X be/remain in state Y '.


- קر /murdā/ ‘dead’ $\rightarrow$ بُ / مُ /murdā-bād/ 'death to X'


## 

This old inherited negative element occurs prefixed to IA roots in many words in these three languages. Examples include:

- إنُّ / /anpár / 'illiterate’ pj

- ان̣ان /aṇjāṇ/ 'ignorant, innocent' Pj
- انُّبُونُال /aṇhovaṇã/ 'unusual’ Sr (Mughal 2010: 632)
- الّْيتّا $/$ /aṇsucetā/ 'unaware, unconscious’ Sr (Mughal 2010: 631)
- ازُزُنى /aṛ̂suṇī/ 'unheard (of)’ Hk (Sakoon 2002: 23)
- السّستّا /aṇsītā/ ‘unstitched (m.sg.)’ pi , or /aṛ̃sītā/ 'unstitched’ Hk (Sakoon 2002: 23)


### 5.1.1.4 Prefixal elements - Perso-Arabic

Most of the prefixal elements now productive in these languages are of Perso-Arabic origin. Most words containing these elements have entered the languages through Urdu, and are found in all three languages, with perhaps minor spelling differences. The most frequently occurring of these are negative elements:

غ /үær/ 'not' (< Arabic, Persian)



- ثير اضر /Yærhāzar/ 'absent (lit. 'not present') ' Hk, Pj, Sr
ل/lā/ 'not' (< Arabic)
- لإواب /lājavāb/ 'the very best, irrefutable’ Hk, Pi, Sr ( 2 . /javāb/ 'answer')

- لا لllāpatā/ 'lost’ Hk, Pj, Sr ( (

७ /nā/ 'not' (< Persian)

- نايّن: /nāpasand/ ‘displeasing, disliked’ Pj

- ن. $ا$ • / /nāsamaj/ 'ignorant, foolish’ Pj
/be/ 'without' (< Persian)
- ب艹وّوف /bevkūf/ ‘stupid (lit. without knowledge)’ Pj
- / / belallā/ ‘stupid’ Hk (Sakoon 2002: 51)
- /besamajh/ 'without understanding’ Sr (Mughal 2002: 902)

י「 /ham/ ‘same, with’ (< Persian)
P/ ham/ is a compounding morpheme, rather than a prefix. The result is an adjective that can, as can all adjectives, also be used as a noun. The three words shown here are used in all three languages.




### 5.1.1.5 Persian past participles

Some nouns and adjectives, many of them Persian past participles, end in a 'silent' 0 choṭī hē. ${ }^{2}$ Masculine nouns ending in o choṭī hē usually inflect according to the marked (Class I) paradigm. Adjectives with this ending, however, are generally unmarked:


Some of these Persian past participles are used only as attributive adjectives, e.g. تسنزيره /pasandīdā/ 'favorite', while others, e.g. شارى شی /šādī šudā/ 'married' can be used either attributively or predicatively.

However, a few adjectives ending in o, for example 0 © $6 /$ /tāzā/ 'fresh', have been reanalyzed by many people as marked adjectives, and can thus behave either as marked or unmarked adjectives. This word is usually treated as a marked adjective in these three languages. It is difficult to generalize about which adjectives will be reanalyzed as marked adjectives; however, in general, words which have developed in this way tend to be high-frequency words referring to concrete things in daily life.


- $\dot{6} \dot{\zeta} \dot{\jmath}$ (")/tāzī xurmānī (F)/ 'a fresh apricot’ Pj
- تازكى روُ /tāzī roṭī (F)/ 'fresh bread’ Pj


### 5.1.1.6 Classes of adjectives

All three languages have two classes of adjectives, which have conventional, mnemonically motivated labels: (1) marked, or inflecting, adjectives that change their form to agree with the noun they modify, i.e. carry a distinctive mark of their gender and number (called by Shackle 1972: 25 "black" adjectives after $\sqrt{ } 6$ /kālā/ ‘black', a prototypical member of this class), and (2) unmarked, or non-inflecting, adjectives that are invariant in form (called "red" adjectives after لال /lāl/ 'red', a prototypical member of the class). Saraiki alone has a unique, third class of adjectives, called by Shackle (1976:50) Sh \% /phiṭokar/ 'unfast', maintaining the color-terms mnemonic nomenclature.

[^22]
### 5.1.1.6.1 Marked ("black") adjectives

Marked adjectives agree in gender, number, and case with the noun they modify; their citation form is the masculine singular direct case, as in $ل 6 / \mathrm{ka} a ̣ \bar{a} /(\mathrm{Pj}) \sim / k a ̄ l a ̄ / ' b l a c k '$ (Hk, Sr). The declension of marked ("black") adjectives is the same in all three languages. Marked adjectives take the same endings as marked masculine nouns (Class I) and marked $\cup / \overline{1} /$-final feminines (Class III). Unmarked adjectives have a single form regardless of the gender, number, or case of the nouns they modify.

One example of a typical, commonly used marked adjective is presented for each language (Table 5.1 for Hindko, Table 5.2 for Panjabi, and Table 5.4 for Saraiki). Note that the table for Hindko provides only those forms given explicitly in Sakoon (2002), since we do not want to give unattested (n.a.) forms even though we have a high degree of confidence that they exist. Feminine plural endings are given as /-iyã//instead of the underlying /ī̃̃/ because the long /ī/ preceding the long vowel /ã/ of the plural suffix is shortened and an audible /y/-glide appears. This / $\mathrm{y} /$-glide is consistently represented in the Perso-Arabic orthography.

| Case | Gender | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | Masculine | 15 <br> nikkṛā |  |
|  | Feminine | نكُ <br> nikkrī | نكطّا nikkriyã̃ |
| Oblique | Masculine | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Feminine | n.a. | n.a. |

Table 5.1: Marked Hindko adjective نرُّا $/$ nikkrāal ‘small’ (Sakoon 2002: 243)

Shackle (1972: 43) notes that in Panjabi, while marked adjectives used to agree with masculine nouns in the oblique plural, it is becoming common (under the influence of Urdu) for the adjective to appear in the oblique singular in such cases. Both of the following constructions are found:

- ل


| Gender | Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | Direct | merā | $\underbrace{\text { ميرـ }}_{\text {mere }}$ |
| Feminine | Oblique | mere | " merěã ~ mere |
|  | Direct | "ميرى <br> merī | " meriyã̃ |
|  | Oblique | م <br> merī | " meriyã |

Table 5.2: Marked Panjabi possessive adjective أمرّ' 'my, mine’

| Case | Gender | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | Masculine | زوان navã̃ | $\underset{\text { navẽ }}{U^{\prime}}$ |
| Oblique | Feminine | $\underset{\text { navī }}{\substack{j \\ j}}$ | ولو naviyã̃ |
|  | Masculine | U" ${ }^{j}$ navẽ |  <br> navẽ <br> زو <br> navěã |
|  | Feminine | $\underset{\text { navī }}{\mathcal{U}^{j}}$ | زو naviyã |



Marked adjectives that end in a nasalized $\tilde{U} / /-\overline{\tilde{a}} /$, e.g. $\dot{M}$ /navã/ 'new' maintain their nasalization throughout the declension in all three languages; otherwise they follow the normal paradigm. Table 5.3 shows the marked adjective $\dot{M}$ /navã// 'new'.

Saraiki marked adjectives ending in $/-\tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ maintain the nasalization in the feminine singular ending in $/ \overline{\tilde{1}} /$, as well as in the masculine plural and oblique singular ending in /-ẽ/. An important member of this class is the adjective Uبك/kehã/ 'what kind of', which also has stem-vowel modifications. Its forms are shown in Table 5.5.

| Case | Gender | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | Masculine | 16 | $\mathcal{L} 6$ |
|  |  | kālā | kāle |
|  | Feminine | 66 | U6 |
|  |  | kālī | kāliyã |
| Oblique | Masculine | L6 | $\mathcal{L \sim} \sim$ |
|  |  | kāle | kālěã ~ kāle |
|  | Feminine | 06 | U6 |
|  |  | kâlī | kāliyã̃ |

Table 5.4: Marked Saraiki ("black") adjective لV6 /kālā / ‘black'

| Case | Gender | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | Masculine | $\underset{\text { kehã̃ }}{\text { U }}$ | kuren |
| Oblique | Feminine | $\underset{\text { kahĩ }}{\substack{\text { In }}}$ | $\underset{\text { kehiviyã }}{\text { Ulun }}$ |
|  | Masculine | $\underset{\text { kahẽ }}{\substack{\text { en }}}$ | $\underset{\text { kahẽ }}{\substack{\text { en }}}$ |
|  | Feminine | $\underset{\text { kahĩ }}{\substack{\text { In }}}$ |  |

Table 5.5: Marked Saraiki ("black") adjective with nasalization and stem-vowel alternation كيها /kehã / 'what kind of'

### 5.1.1.6.2 Unmarked ("red") adjectives

All three languages have a second main adjective type, invariant adjectives, which have only one form-in both genders and numbers, and in all cases. Like the marked adjectives, this class of adjectives is sometimes named for one of its prototypical members, the adjective لע /lāl/ 'red’.

### 5.1.1.6.3 Saraiki stem-vowel alternating ("unfast") adjectives

A distinguishing feature of Saraiki is its third class of adjectival declension. Employing Shackle's color-term nomenclature system for adjective classes, this class is named for its prototypical member, the adjective $\int_{\text {hob }}^{\text {ho/phitokar/ 'non-fast (of color, dye)' (Shackle }}$ 1976: 50). There are only a few members of this class, which in the Multan variety of Saraiki inflect only for gender and thus have only two forms. These adjectives follow the same (archaic) gender marking pattern as the Class VII Saraiki nouns (e.g. 'boy’ and 'girl', see Section 4.4.3.2.5), having /a/as the final stem vowel in the masculine form and / $\mathrm{i} /$ in the feminine. This pattern is currently weakening in the language.

|  | Masculine | Feminine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct and oblique (singular and plural) | K\% | S.9.0. |
|  | phiṭokar | phiṭokir |
|  | 'unfast' | 'unfast' |

Table 5.6: Saraiki stem-vowel alternating ("unfast") adjectives

### 5.1.2 Adjectives in construction with nouns

When adjectives of any type occur in construction with nouns of any type, each element obeys the rules of the class (adjectival or nominal) to which it belongs. This holds true in all three languages.

### 5.1.2.1 Hindko example

The paradigm shown in Table 5.7, for a Hindko example, demonstrates that the unmarked adjective بيمر/bimār/ 'sick' obeys one sets of rules, remaining invariant, while the marked masculine noun كورُّ/ /kòṛā/ 'horse' follows another. ${ }^{3}$

[^23]| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct |  | bimār kò̀re |
|  | 'sick horse' | 'sick horses' |
| Oblique |  |  |
|  | 'sick horse' | 'sick horses' |

Table 5.7: Unmarked adjective with marked (Class I) noun (Hindko)

### 5.1.2.2 Panjabi example

The inflection of a marked Panjabi adjective \% /cãgā/ 'good' in construction with the Class I masculine noun / مُ /mũḍā/ 'boy' is shown in Table 5.8, and in Table 5.9 with the marked $\smile$ /-ī/-final feminine noun $/$ /kurī/ 'girl'.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | ** cãg-ā mũḍ-ā | cãg-e mũḍ-e |
| Oblique | تِّ cãg-e mũḍ-e |  cãg-ěyā̃ mũḍ-ěyã̃ ${ }^{4}$ |
| Vocative | تֶنّا منُ cãgĕ-a mũḍĕ-a |  |



4 The pronunciation of orthographic /i/ here is close to ě (short e).

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | シّنא كطى |  |
| Oblique | cãg-ī kựī | cãg-iyã̃ kuṛiy-ã |
| Vocative | $\underbrace{n}_{\text {cãg-iye kuriy-e }}$ |  cãg-iyo kuṛiy-o |

Table 5.9: Panjabi modified feminine nounç

### 5.1.2.3 Saraiki examples

Table 5.10 and Table 5.11 show the "black" adjective (ملم /lambā/ 'long, tall' in construction with the Class VII-feminine noun ${ }^{\text {y }}$ /chuir/ 'girl' in Table 5.10, and with the Type VII-masculine noun ${ }^{\text {VB }}$ /chuar/ 'boy' in Table 5.11. The pronunciation of the stem vowel in these two words varies dialectally between [ŏ] (Central, Shackle) and [u] (UK). Similarly, /h/ is dialectally pronounced (Central, Shackle) or not (Southern, UK). The forms in Table 5.10 and Table 5.11 are those supplied by UK. ${ }^{5}$ Sometimes the $/ \mathrm{h} /$-less pronunciation is spelled with hamza instead of $0 / \mathrm{h} /$.

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct / Oblique |  |  <br> lambiyã̃ (lambī) chuirī |
| Vocative |  | لمبيا <br> lambiyã̃ (lambī) chuireo |



Note that (under influence from Urdu), feminine plural nouns may sometimes be modified by a singular (invariant) feminine adjectival form, as shown in the parenthetical forms in Table 5.10.

For discussion of the order of multiple adjectival modifiers in a noun phrase, see Section 9.1.1.2.
$\overline{5}$ For the vocative of ${ }_{3}$

| Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct |  |  |
| Oblique |  |  lambe chuarã |
| Vocative | لمبيا پهُوْبَ <br> lambe chuarā |  <br> lambe chuaro |



### 5.1.3 Comparative and superlative constructions

A few marked adjectives display an older, morphological mechanism for forming comparatives. However, syntactic comparison is the main way of expressing comparison in all three of the modern languages.

### 5.1.3.1 Morphological comparison

The Indo-Aryan origin suffix /-'erā/ can be added to some marked adjectives, in all three languages, to convey a relative comparative sense. ${ }^{6}$ Addition of this suffix with its initial stressed vowel results in a peripheral stem vowel being "weakened" to a centralized vowel.
 From words for 'big', there are the following:


- ورّا /vaḍāā/ ‘big' $\rightarrow$ / وُّرا /vaḍerā/ ‘elder, ancestor, feudal landlord’ Pi
- وֶُا Other Panjabi forms with this suffix include:

- $\cdot$. /bót/ 'much' $\rightarrow$ |

[^24]Additionally, in educated speech, various loan words from Urdu employing the Persian-origin comparative suffix $; /$ /tar/ are used, e.g. $\quad$ • /behtar/ with the meaning

 an excellent school.' These relative comparative forms can be understood as conveying a type of emphatic meaning.

### 5.1.3.2 Syntactic comparison

In all three languages, comparisons are usually constructed syntactically. All three languages form their syntactic comparative and superlative constructions in parallel ways, employing the ablative case of postpositions with the oblique case of the noun naming the standard of comparison, i.e., the thing to which another thing is being compared, and the positive (base) form of the adjective. These constructions yield absolute comparisons, of the type big, bigger, biggest.

Comparative meaning in Hindko is achieved by using the postposition كولو /kolõ/ 'than' with the noun naming the standard of comparison, as in 5.2:

mer-ī pæ̀ $\tilde{r}$ ter-ī pæ̀ $\tilde{r}-\tilde{u} \quad$ kolõ lamm-ī
1SG.GEN-SG.F sister.SG.F 2SG.GEN-SG.F sister-OBL than tall-SG.F
$e$
be.PRES.3SG
'My sister is taller than your sister.' (Hk) (AWT)

Superlative meaning, e.g. ‘biggest’, is achieved by using the postpositions $U$ 身華/bicõ/(Hk), /vicõ/ (Pj), /vicũ/(Sr), or كولو /kolõ/ 'from among, of’ with سارلا /sār-iyã̃/ ‘all-OBL.PL.F' for feminines as in 5.3 or $س ا ل$ /sār-ěã/ ‘all-OBL.PL.M’ for masculines, as in 5.4. Notice that the Perso-Arabic spelling of both the feminine and the masculine forms of the oblique plural of $/$ / $/$ sārā/ 'all' is the same; the difference shows up only in pronunciation and in the agreement context of the sentence.

nikk-ī tì e
little-SG.F daughter.SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'This is that woman's youngest daughter.' (Hk) (AWT)
(5.4)

sār-ěã $\quad$ kol-õ $\quad$ baddd- $\bar{a} \quad$ puttar
all-M.OBL.PL from big-SG.M. son.SG.M
'oldest (lit. 'biggest son')' (Hk) (AWT)

In Panjabi, to form comparatives, the standard of comparison-which will be a noun in the oblique case (as in 5.5) or a personal pronoun in the genitive form (as in 5.6)-is followed by the postposition ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ /tõ/ 'than' or نالو /nāḷlõ/ 'than', which is then followed by the adjective in its positive form.

$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { mũḍ- } \bar{a} \quad \text { kur- } \bar{l} \quad \text { to } & \text { lamm- } \bar{a} & e\end{array}$
boy-SG.M.DIR girl-SG.F.OBL than tall-SG.M.DIR be.PRES.3SG
'The boy is taller than the girl.' (Pi) (EB)

ó mer-e nāḷõ tagrāa e
3SG 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL than strong.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'He is stronger than me.' (Pj) (EB)
 (optionally extended with $\boldsymbol{F}$ /vicc/ 'in’) can be used to mark the standard of comparison.


In another construction, which names the items being compared in a compound noun phrase rather than designating one of them as a standard of comparison, this compound noun phrase can be followed by the postposition 9 /vicc/ 'between' or 'among', then followed by the adjective naming the quality with respect to which they are being compared. This construction differs from those with ول' /tõ/ 'than', /nāḷõ/ 'than', and /de muqāble/ 'in comparison with', in that neither of the items is presented as the standard of comparison; thus $و$ /vicc/ 'between' applies to both or all the items in the compound noun phrase.

hamzā te alī vicc hamzā cãgā e
Hamzah and Ali between Hamzah good be.PRES.3.SG
'Hamzah is better than Ali. (lit. 'Between Hamzah and Ali, Hamzah is better.')' (Bhatia 1993: 140)

Comparison stating that two items are equal or unequal in some respect can be accomplished by a relative-correlative construction using the pair . بنا /jinnā ... ónnā/ 'as much as ... so much', as in example (5.9) where they appear in reverse order in a focus construction.

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { mer-e } & \text { kol } & \text { ónne } & \text { pæse } & \text { né } \\ \text { 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL } & \text { with } & \text { that.much.PL.M } & \text { money.PL.M } & \text { are.not }\end{array}$
jinne salīm kol nẽ
as.much.as.PL.M Salim.OBL with be.PRES.3PL
'I don't have as much money as Salim does.' ( P j$)$ (EB)
 both genders, and سایال توّ /sārěãã tõ/ for masculines, or ساريال توّ /sāriyãã tõ/ for feminines - all meaning 'than all' are used, as in 5.10.

é kuṝ sấb tõ sóṇ-ī e
this girl-SG.F.DIR all than pretty-SG.F.DIR be.PRES.3SG
'This girl is the prettiest of all.' (Pi) (EB)
An alternate way to express superlative meaning is through the use of koī naī̃/ 'than X , there isn't anyone/anything else', as in 5.11.

3SG.OBL GEN-SG.M.OBL than wise-SG.M anyone NEG (be.PRES.3SG)
'There isn't anyone wiser than him. (i.e., He is the wisest of all.)' (Pi) (EB)

A superlative sense can also be expressed by using an adjective twice, separated by the postposition $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ /. The first adjective, followed by the postposition, appears in the oblique, and the second is in the case required by its position in a sentence, as shown in 5.12 and 5.13.

cãg-e tõ cãg- $\bar{a}$
good-SG.M.OBL from good-SG.M.DIR
'the best (of a masculine entity)' (Pi) (EB)

cãg-ī tõ cãg-ì
good-SG.F.OBL from good-SG.F.DIR
'the best (of a feminine entity)' (Pj) (EB)

The Saraiki comparative construction consists of the standard of comparison followed by the postposition كول /kanū// 'from' or كول /kolū/ 'from' and the positive (base) form of the adjective, as in example 5.14.

lambā he
tall.SG.M be.PRES.3SG.
'His brother is taller than his sister.' (Sr) (UK)
 كنو /sabhĩ kanū// 'of all’ followed by the adjective, as in examples 5.15 and 5.16.

sabh kanũ vadd-ī imārat
all than big-SG.F building.SG.F
'the biggest building of all' (Shackle 1976: 112)


```
\(e \quad \tilde{\tilde{u}}\) trīmat \(d-\bar{i}\) sabhĩ kanũ nanḍh-ī
this.DIR that.SG.OBL woman.SG.OBL of-SG.F all.OBL than little-SG.F
\(d h \bar{l} \quad h e\)
daughter.SG.F be.PRES-3SG
'This is the youngest daughter of that woman.' (Sr) (UK)
```

Table 5.12 summarizes comparative and superlative marking ablative postpositions most often used in the three languages.

| Language | Postpositions used |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hindko | چولول kicõõ |
| Panjabi | لوّ tõ <br> نالول nāḷ̃̃ |
| Saraiki |  <br> كولو kolũ ~ kolū |

Table 5.12: Comparative and superlative marking postpositions

### 5.1.3.3 Demonstrative, relative, and interrogative elements

In all three languages, demonstratives (this/that), relatives (that/who/which), and interrogatives (what/which?) can function as either adjectives or pronouns. When they function as adjectives, these words precede the noun they modify; if they are marked adjectives, they will agree with their noun in gender, number, and case. When they function as pronouns, they take the place of a noun or noun phrase and are casemarked according to their function in their clause.

### 5.1.3.3.1 Demonstrative adjectives

Since the demonstrative forms function as third-person pronouns in the pronominal system, they are introduced here as adjectives and then presented again in Chapter 6 in their pronominal function (and cross-referenced to this section). Table 5.13 presents the adjectival demonstrative forms for Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki.

|  |  | Hindko | Panjabi | Saraiki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proximal - <br> Direct | Singular 'this' | ém | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mul } \\ & \text { én } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{-1}{\mathrm{e} \sim \mathfrak{x}}$ |
|  | Plural 'these' | el | $\begin{aligned} & \sim_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\ & \text { é } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{-1}{\mathrm{e} \sim æ}$ |
| Proximal Oblique | Singular 'this' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U! } \\ & \text { is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U'M } \\ & \text { és } \sim \text { æs } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Plural 'these' | إنْ inã | UMrel <br> énã̃ ~ ǽnã̃ | ! <br> inhā̃ |
| Distal - Direct | Singular 'that' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوه ó } \\ & \text { ón } \end{aligned}$ | اوه |  |
|  | Plural 'those' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوه } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوه } \\ & 0 \text { ol } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { او } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Distal - Oblique | Singular 'that' | أُ us |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { لُو'ا } \\ & \text { ün } \sim \text { hũ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Plural 'those' | اُمُ únã | اونّال ónã | اُُنبا unhã̃ |

Table 5.13: Demonstrative adjectives - Hindko, Panjabi, Saraiki

### 5.1.3.3.2 Relative adjectives

Relative adjectives also function substantively in the pronominal system in all three languages. Table 5.14 lays out the masculine forms of relative adjectival elements for Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki; these are marked adjectives, and inflect for number, gender, and case, depending on the noun they modify. Their feminine forms are constructed as for marked adjectives.

A second relative form, $9 . / \mathrm{jo} /$, functions mainly in the pronominal system in all three languages. It will be discussed in Section 6.7. For the syntax of relative-correlative clauses, a structure common to all three languages, and examples from all three languages, see Chapter 9.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hindko |  |  |
| Direct | \% | - |
|  | jérā | jére |
|  | 'which...' | 'which...' |
| Oblique | تجr |  |
|  | jére | jéṛēã |
|  | 'which...' | 'which...' |
| Panjabi |  |  |
| Direct | $\xrightarrow{7}$ | ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | jérā | jére |
|  | 'which...' | 'which...' |
| Oblique |  | نيْ |
|  | jére | jére ~ jéṛěã |
|  | 'which...' | 'which...' |
| Saraiki |  |  |
| Direct | ج号 jerhā ~ jẹ̣hā |  |
|  | 'which...' | 'which...' |
| Oblique |  jerhe $\sim$ jẹ!he |  jerhěā ~ jeب̣he |
|  | 'which...' | 'which...' |

Table 5.14: Relative adjectives - Hindko, Panjabi, Saraiki (masculine forms)

### 5.1.3.3.3 Interrogative adjectives

All three languages have marked interrogative adjectival forms which can also function substantively. Their masculine forms are given in Table 5.15 . For specifically pronominal forms, see Section 6.5.

[^25]|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hindko |  |  |
| Direct |  | kére <br> 'which?' |
| Oblique |  | Un! kérěã 'which?' |
| Panjabi |  |  |
| Direct |  |  |
| Oblique |  | kére ~ kérěã̃ <br> 'which?' |
| Saraiki |  |  |
| Direct | kerhā ~kerhā 'which?' | kerhe $\sim$ kerhe 'which?' |
| Oblique | كيـ, <br> kerhe~ kẹthe 'which?' | kerhěā ~ kẹ!he 'which?' |

Table 5.15: Interrogative adjectives - Hindko, Panjabi, Saraiki ${ }^{7}$
 ing 'which?' when it seeks specification of an item within a known finite set; when questioning the existence of something, it can also mean 'what?' ; for instance, أهr 1.马. /kéṛā prà̀/ can mean either 'which brother?' or 'what brother?!' (implying lack of knowledge of any brother, or questioning the existence of any brother), depending on intonation and word order, or as in example 5.17, which shows it in adjectival function.

8 There are several spellings of this word in use.

In the meaning 'what', questioning existence, these forms are often used in rhetorical questions, which have a strong negative implication, as in 5.18.

ó kér-e kamr-e vic hon-d-i e $e$
3SG which-OBL room-OBL in stay-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Which room is she usually in?' (Pi) (EB)

ó kéṛā kamm e
3SG what-SG.M work[M] be.PRES.3SG
'What work is that? (i.e., That is no work at all.)' (EB)

For its pronominal function, see Section 6.5.2.

### 5.1.3.3.4 Adjectival-adverbial, declarative, interrogative, and relative sets

Each of these languages has a series of words which have systematically related forms and functions. These form four-word sets, with relative, interrogative, proximal demonstrative, and distal demonstrative members, each of which is indicated by its initial sound. The interrogatives have initial /k/; the proximal demonstratives /i/ or /e/; the distal demonstratives $/ \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{w} /$, or, in a few cases, /t/; and the relatives $/ \mathrm{j} /$. These sets include words with meanings of time, location, direction, manner, and quantity. In many cases, oblique singular forms of the marked adjectival words serve as adverbs.

The sets are shown in Table 5.16, Table 5.17, and Table 5.18. In Table 5.16, forms from Sakoon (2002) are indicated by a superscript 2, and forms from AWT by a superscript 1. In Table 5.18, forms from Mughal (2010) are indicated with a superscript 1, forms from Shackle (1976) with superscript 2, forms from Zahoor (2009) with superscript 3, and forms from UK with superscript 4. Adjectival forms appear in their masculine singular forms.

|  | Time (adv.) | Place (adv.) |  | Manner (adv.) | Manner (adj.) | Quantity (adj.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Location | Direction |  |  |  |
| Proximal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sوr } \\ & \text { hung } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { ith }}{\text { ith }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { او idar } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\text { S.l }} \\ & \text { inju } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lâkl } \\ & \text { eḍḍā } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'now ${ }^{1}$ | 'here' ${ }^{1}$ | 'to here ${ }^{1}$ | U <br> Ĩvẽ | 'of this kind ${ }^{1}$ | 'this much/ |
|  |  |  |  | 'in this way' ${ }^{2}$ |  | many ${ }^{2}$ |
| Distal | $\underset{\operatorname{tad}^{1,2}}{ }$ | シ <br> uthe | $\underbrace{\text { اُ }}_{\text {uthe }}$ |  |  ohajiyā | \| oḍ̣̣ā |
|  | ت゙ <br> taddõ <br> 'then' | 'there' ${ }^{1}$ | 'to there' ${ }^{1}$ | بُ بُوْ <br> hunjū | 'of that kind ${ }^{2}$ | 'that <br> much/ <br> many' |
|  |  |  |  | 'in that way' ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Interrogative | kadõ | $\sum_{\text {kithe }{ }^{12}}^{2}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\text {kut }^{2}}$ | $\text { kinjū¹ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lor } \\ & \text { kihajiyā } \end{aligned}$ | كن: <br> kitnā ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | 'when?' <br> 2 |  | كم kutthā ${ }^{2}$ | 6 kitthā ${ }^{2}$ | كيبيا |  |
|  | kad |  | B.S. | U | kíjijyā | 'how |
|  | 'when?' <br> 2 |  | kingā 'to where?' 2 | kiy 'how? ${ }^{2}$ | 'what kind of? ${ }^{2}$ | much/ <br> many?' ${ }^{2}$ |
| Relative | $\underset{\underset{\mathrm{jad}^{2}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}}{ }$ | $\frac{\underset{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{jith}}}{}$ | 67. <br> jithā | Ua jehã̃ ${ }^{2}$ | 3 jehā | $\underset{\mathrm{jit}^{2}}{\text { ج }}$ |
|  | جمول <br> jaddõ | 'place at which ${ }^{1,2}$ | 'direction in which' ${ }^{2}$ |  | 'the <br> kind | مِمٌ jiḍ̣ā |
|  | jã̉ |  |  | U <br> jivẽ ${ }^{2}$ | which, like' ${ }^{2}$ | 'as much/ many as' |
|  | 'time at which ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 'way in which' ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |

Table 5.16: Hindko demonstrative, interrogative, relative forms

|  | Time <br> (adv.) | Place (adv.) |  | Manner (adv.) | Manner (adj.) | Quantity (adj.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Location | Direction |  |  |  |
| Proximal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { huṇ } \\ & \text { hun } \end{aligned}$ | ( etthe | Pul <br> édar | $\begin{aligned} & U_{m} g_{\mu} \\ & \text { evẽ } \end{aligned}$ |  | اينا ennā |
|  | 'now' | 'here' <br> ure <br> 'over here, hither' | 'to <br> here' اورهان úrã <br> 'here, hither' | 'in this way’ | 'of this <br> kind, <br> like <br> this' | 'this much' |
| Distal | tad | اوتم او | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوروdar } \\ & \text { ón } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اووسẽ } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | اوتو جrبا óo jéā | \|ونا onnā |
|  | 'then' | 'there' <br> \% <br> pare <br> 'over <br> there' | 'to there' U párã <br> 'over there, thither' | 'in that way’ | 'of that kind, like that' | 'that much' |
| Interrogative | kad <br> 'when?' |  <br> kitthe <br> 'where?' | kíddar 'to where?' | kīvẽ <br> 'how?' | كr: <br> kéo jéā <br> 'what <br> kind of?' | kinnā <br> 'how much?’ |
| Relative | jad | . <br> jitthe | جرم <br> jíddar |  |  <br> jéo jéā | jinnā |
|  | 'time at which' | 'place at which' | 'direction in which' | 'way in which' | 'the <br> kind <br> which' | 'as much as' |

Table 5.17: Panjabi demonstrative, interrogative, relative forms


Table 5.18: Saraiki demonstrative, interrogative, relative forms

### 5.1.3.4 Quantifiers

Quantifiers are words that express quantity or number, such as 'many', 'some', 'all', and the cardinal numerals. These elements can function adjectivally, adverbially, or nominally. The most important basic quantifiers for Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki are listed below. Several of them are common to all three languages, and others are very close in form. Those ending in a final $1 /-\bar{a} /$ are marked adjectives and agree with the noun they modify in gender, number, and case. The others are unmarked adjectives and are invariant in form.

### 5.1.3.4.1 Hindko quantifiers

In the following list, forms from Sakoon (2002) are marked with a superscript 2; those from AWT with a superscript 1.

- ارا /sārā/ ‘entire (sg.), all (pl.)¹,2
- تحوبطا~ تحورُ /thoŕrā/ 'little (sg.), few (pl.)’2


- كr. /kúj/ 'some (quantitative, with count nouns) ${ }^{1,1,2}$
- كُ /kaī/ 'many’
- $\quad$ /har/ 'each, every’²


### 5.1.3.4.2 Panjabi quantifiers

- سار / sārā/ 'entire (sg.), all (pl.)’
- تُورُ /thorā/ 'little (sg.), few (pl.)’

- كُ /koī/ 'any, some’
- ك. /kúj/ 'some (but not all)'
- كُ /kaī/ 'many, several'
- ค. ${ }^{\circ}$ /sáb/ 'all, entire’
- $\quad$ /har/ 'each, every’


### 5.1.3.4.3 Saraiki quantifiers

These forms are from Shackle (1976).

- سارا/sārā/ 'entire (sg.), all (pl.)’
- تحورًا~
- كُ /kuī/ ‘any, some’
- ك. /kujh/ 'some'
- كـ /hik/ 'some, somewhat (adv.)’
- كُ /kaī/ 'many, several'
- $\operatorname{Dr}^{\omega}$ /sabh/ 'all, entire’
- برك /har kuī/ 'each, every’
- $6_{n} /$ yakā/ 'all, whole’

The words $\varnothing_{0}^{\omega} /$ /sabh/ 'all, entire' and $/$ /hik/ 'some' have emphatic forms which have distinct forms for masculine and feminine singular direct case. Oblique singular and plural direct are the same for both masculine and feminine. Plural oblique forms consist of the oblique singular plus $/ \overline{1} /$. These two words pattern similarly; their

 /hike/ ‘(PL.DIR; SG.OBL), 'کا ك' /hikeī/ '(PL.OBL.)' (Shackle 1976: 61).

### 5.1.3.5 Indefinite adjectival expressions

All three languages have variants of two basic indefinite elements, both of which can function either as adjective or pronoun. These elements are كَّ كَ ~ $/ \mathrm{kui} / \sim / \mathrm{koī} /$ 'any, some', and $/$. $\mathrm{Kúj} / \mathrm{HkPj}$ ~ $/ \mathrm{kujh}$ Sr 'some'. There is a difference between these
 /kujh/ 'some', in the singular, usually has a quantitative sense, i.e., 'some but not all', but in the plural, this distinction is sometimes neutralized, so that / كحه /kúj/ serves as the plural of ${ }_{\zeta}$ in Hindko and Panjabi, meaning 'some' (pl.). This does not happen in Saraiki. In addition, also functions adverbially in all three languages, with a
sense of 'somewhat, rather' ( 5.27 below). When these forms function pronominally, كُ /koī/ usually means 'someone', and /kúj/ ~/kujh/ means 'something'. Table 5.19 and Table 5.20 give the forms of these two elements in the three languages.

|  | Hindko |  | Panjabi |  | Saraiki ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural |
| Direct | $\begin{aligned} & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \text { كَّ } \\ & \text { kuī } \sim \\ & \text { koī } \end{aligned}$ | كُ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { گ́ } \\ & \text { koī } \end{aligned}$ |  | 3 <br> koī ~ <br> kuī $_{\text {M }}$ <br> v <br> $\mathrm{kaī}_{F}$ | ${\underset{k a i ̄}{M / F}}_{\kappa_{\mathrm{G}}}$ |
| Oblique | $\underbrace{}_{\text {kise }}$ | kú | $\underbrace{\underbrace{\text { Nu}}_{\text {kisī }}}_{\text {kise }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Uúv } \\ & \text { kaiyã̃ } \\ & \text { kúj } \\ & \text { kúj } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { kahī }}{\substack{\text { unt }}}$ |  |
| Locative | $\underbrace{}_{\text {kisī }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

 Panjabi, Saraiki


9 Saraiki forms are from Shackle (1976: 61).

|  | Hindko |  | Panjabi |  | Saraiki |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural |
|  | S. | S. | s. | \$. | S | a. |
| Direct | kúj | kúj | kúj | kúj | kujh | kujh |
|  | كُم | كُمه | Ros | كُم | Kon | كُ |
| Oblique | kúj | kúj | kúj | kúj | kujh | kujh |

Table 5.20: Indefinite adjective ك. /kúj/ ~ /kujh / 'some (quantitative)'- Hindko, Panjabi, Saraiki

### 5.1.3.5.1 Indefinite adjectives - Hindko

Examples 5.19, 5.20, 5.21, 5.22 and 5.23 illustrate the use of the indefinite adjective in Hindko. For its pronominal use, see Section 6.6.

 who be.PST-SG.M 1SG.OBL not known some man-SG.M be.PST-SG.M 'Who was it? I don't know - it was some man.' (Hk) (AWT)
(5.20)

$m \dot{\tilde{a}} \quad n \overline{\tilde{l}} \quad$ patā kúj jaṛ-e éy-e
1SG.OBL not known some man-PL.M be.PST-PL.M
'I don't know - it was some men.' (Hk) (AWT)

be.PRES.3SG
'Some persons have destroyed the school.' (Hk) (AWT)
(5.22)

kaī lok àe éye
several people come.PP.PL.M be.PST.PL.M
'Several people came/had come.' (Hk) (AWT)
/kisi/, which appears in example (5.23).
(5.23)

mé kis-ī j $\bar{a}-\bar{i} \quad$ parh-iy $\bar{a}$
I some-OBL/LOC place-OBL/LOC read-PP.SG.M
'I read it somewhere.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 5.1.3.5.2 Indefinite adjectives - Panjabi

The adjectival functions of كَكَ /koī/ 'any, some' and /kúj/ 'some' are illustrated in examples (5.24), and (5.25), (5.26), and (5.27) respectively. For examples of their pronominal use, see Section 6.6.

koī mũḍā étthe nǘ $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
any boy here not come.PP-SG.M
'No boy came here.' (Pi) (Bhatia 1993: 189)
(5.25)

kúj lok-ãa $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { á } & d-\bar{a} & x y a ̄ l & e & \text { ki } \\ \text { ākistān }\end{array}$
some people-OBL.PL GEN-SG.M opinion be.PRES.3SG that Pakistan
icc haje-vī vaḍerā bādšā d-ī hæsiyat rakh-d- $\bar{a}$
in still-EMPH feudal.lord king GEN-SG.F status.F keep-IP-SG.M
$e$
be.PRES.3SG
'Some people think that a feudal landlord still maintains the status of king in Pakistan.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 610)

$\begin{array}{llllll}\boldsymbol{k} u ́ j & l o k & \bar{a}-e & - & \boldsymbol{k} u ́ j & n \overline{\tilde{l}} \\ \bar{a}-e \\ \text { some } & \text { people } & \text { come.PP-PL.M } & - & \text { some } & \text { not } \\ \text { come.PP-PL.M }\end{array}$
'Some people came -some didn't.' (Pi) (EB)

ajj tussī kúj parešān lag-d-e o
today you somewhat worried seem-IP-PL.M be.PRES.2PL
'You seem somewhat worried today.' (Pi) (EB)

### 5.1.3.5.3 Indefinite adjectives - Saraiki

Adjectival uses of the indefinite adjectives in Saraiki are shown in examples (5.28) and (5.29). For pronominal uses, see Section 6.6.

$m æ-k \tilde{u}$ kahĩ šæ kanũ dar nah ũ laded- $\bar{a}$ 1SG-DAT any.OBL thing from fear not attach-IP-SG.M 'I am not afraid of anything.' (Sr) (UK)

tãjo kujh tajarbā hāsal lar sad-ã
so.that some.DIR experience get be.able-SBJV.1SG
'...so that I can get some experience' (Zahoor 2009: 62)

### 5.1.3.6 Reflexive adjectives

When a possessive adjective in a clause refers back to the subject of the clause, a reflexive adjective is used. The reflexive adjectives in all three languages have developed from the Old Indo-Aryan form ātmán 'breath, soul'. In all three languages, the reflexive adjectives function also as an emphatic element. There are also pronominal reflexive elements, which are discussed in Section 6.3.

### 5.1.3.6.1 Reflexive adjective - Hindko

 with varying spellings, as noted. Example 5.30 illustrates coreference with the subject, while 5.31 illustrates the emphatic usage.

mẽ kitāb apr-e dād-e- $\tilde{a} \quad d e-s-\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
I book self's-SG.M.OBL grandfather-OBL-DAT give-FUT-1SG
'I will give the book to my grandfather.' (Hk) (AWT)

é mer-ì apr-ī kitāb e
this my-SG.F EMPH-SG.F book[F] be.PRES.3SG
'This is my own book.' (Hk)

### 5.1.3.6.2 Reflexive adjective - Panjabi

 ence, and 5.33 illustrates the emphatic usage. Note that under the influence of Urdu, some writers of Punjabi spell this adjective and forms derived from it as ا/ /ap/, with a short initial vowel $1 / a /$ instead of $\bar{T} / \bar{a} /$. In the discussion that follows, in our own examples we spell the Punjabi forms with Talif madd and romanize them with /a/; however, we do not change/normalize instances of l/alif/ in authentic examples taken directly from other sources.

$\boldsymbol{m} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ salīm-n $\tilde{\tilde{u}}$ āpṇ-ī katāb dit-̄
1SG Salim-DAT self's-SG.F book[F] give.PP-SG.F
'I gave Salim my book.' (Pj) (EB)

é merī āpṇ-ī katāb e
This 1SG.GEN-SG.F EMPH-SG.F book[F] be.PR.3SG
‘This is my own book.’ (Pj) (EB)

### 5.1.3.6.3 Reflexive adjective - Saraiki

 as the reflexive adjective in Hindko and Panjabi. Subject coreference is illustrated in 5.34, and the emphatic usage in 5.35 .


| sāde | lok | zyādatar | apne | tabar | vic | $\bar{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| our | people | mostly | self.SG.M.OBL | relatives | among | EMPH |
| s̄ādī | kare-nd-ĕn |  |  |  |  |  |
| marriage | do-IP-PRES.3PL |  |  |  |  |  |

‘Our people mostly marry among their (own) relatives.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 65) (UK)

ü-d-e āpṇe bāl kænhī

3SG.OBL-GEN-PL.M EMPH-PL.M children are.not
'She has no children of her own.' (Sr) (UK)

### 5.1.4 Numbers

The number systems and names in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki share several features. The following statements apply to the number systems of all three languages.

### 5.1.4.1 Common features

Cardinal numbers can function as both adjectives and nouns. The teen numerals are formed on the inherited $[n+10]$ pattern; that is, the part of the number specifying
 /pandharã// 'fifteen' sr the structure represents [5 + 10]. Numbers between twenty and
 /pæntrīh/ 'thirty-five’ sr, i.e., [5 + (3 x 10)]. The set of 'nines’ other than 'nine’ itself and 'ninety-nine', i.e., $19,29, \ldots 89$, is consistently represented by [one less than x ] where x
 30], and so on.

### 5.1.4.1.1 Large numbers

In all three languages, numbers greater than one thousand are generally expressed in multiples of one thousand بزار/ /ha)zà̀r/, one hundred thousand lion /ارب /kror/, ‘one billion' /arab/, and one hundred billion كرو /kharab/. In this system, the periods are demarcated in multiples of one hundred, rather than one thousand as in the international system. Thus there is no single word for one million, and this number is expressed by وس / ת / /das lakkh/ 'ten lakhs’ [10 x 100,000]. So, for
 (ha)zā̀r/ 'two crores, four lakhs, and six thousands'. ${ }^{10}$ These terms are also frequently used in South Asian English. Large numbers are shown in Table 5.21.

| 100 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{sau} \sim \mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{Hk}, \mathrm{Pj}} \mathrm{SJ}_{\mathrm{Sr}}$ |
| 1,000 | (ha)zār $\sim$ zà̀r $_{\mathrm{Hk}, \mathrm{Pj}}$ hazā $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Sr}}$ |
| 1,00,000 | كِّه <br> lakkh |
| 1,00,00,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kror } \\ & \text { kgh } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1,00,00,00,000 | ارب |
| 1,00,00,00,00,000 |  |

Table 5.21: Large numbers

In Pakistan, numbers are more commonly written in western Arabic numerals, 1, 2, 3, $\ldots$. . however, the eastern Arabic numerals 1 ir ، $\mathrm{w}^{2} \ldots$. . based on the Persian forms, are also used. It is common for large numerals to be written with commas separating the first three zeros, and each subsequent pair of zeros in the number, as shown in the table above.

[^26]In rural areas，a vigesimal system，based on multiples of twenty，has traditionally been used．Though now rarely found in urban centers，the vigesimal system was previ－ ously used over a wide swath of South Asia．In this system，one counts the number of
 forty is وg و و／do víã／＇two twenties＇；and one hundred twenty is ＇six twenties＇．It is rare to find＇five twenties＇， $\boldsymbol{g} / \mathrm{sau} /$＇one hundred＇being more com－ mon．A number exceeding a multiple of twenty by one to ten is expressed by adding the number by which the multiple of twenty is exceeded to the multiple of twenty，so
 one to nine less than a multiple of twenty，that number is subtracted from the multiple of twenty，so that，for example，＇fifty－nine＇could be expressed（in Panjabi）as اكَهשׁ U

## 5．1．4．1．2 Fractional numbers

Some frequently used fractional numbers are expressed with non－compositional terms， which are very similar in the three languages．There are unique words for one－and－a－ half and two－and－a－half，and words for one quarter more than number，one quarter less than a number，and a number plus one half，e．g．，Panjabi وُرُّه／ḍér／＇one and a

 ${ }_{\infty}{ }^{\circ} /$ poṇe træ lakkh／＇one quarter less than three x hundred thousand，i．e．，275，000＇；
 pattern is found in Hindko and Saraiki．These terms are compared in Table 5．22．

All five of these words precede the number they apply to．The word for＇one－half＇， e．g．ارّها／áddā／，e．g．，اوها／áddā kæ̀nṭā／＇half an hour＇Pj，is distinct from both ／ḍér／／＇one and a half’，and ساوُط／سُádẹe／‘some number plus a half’．A prefixal element ／／ádd／also exists，e．g．，اوص／ádd kilo／＇half a kilogram＇Pj，Sr ．The＇half＇morpheme also occurs in the phrase اوصو｜وه／áddo ádd／＇fifty－fifty，half－half，in two equal shares’ Pj ，اوصو اوص／addho addh／Sr．When used meaning＇three quarters of a singular entity＇ ／poṇā／is singular but otherwise it takes the plural，e．g．，／．⿰氵㔾 than an hour＇Pj．A unique word for＇three quarters＇，مّ／／munnā／used with measure nouns，e．g． is used in Saraiki and Panjabi．${ }^{11}$ The word $\underset{\Downarrow}{W} / \mathrm{pa} /$＇ $1 / 4$ of＇is frequently used with units

[^27]|  | Hindko | Panjabi | Saraiki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11 / 2$ | وُيُوه ḍéd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { وُورُ } \\ & \text { dér } \end{aligned}$ |  diḍh |
| $21 / 2$ | לִ | $\underset{\text { țà̀ì }}{\substack{\text { ghe }}}$ | ارُّهحَ aḍhaī |
| number $+1 / 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lov savā } \\ & \text { e.g. } \\ & \text { وؤ savā do ' } 21 / 4 \text { ' } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l" savā } \\ & \text { e.g. } \\ & \text { gو savā do ' } 21 / 4 \text { ' } \end{aligned}$ | ```low savā e.g. ~M\| savā đū '21/4'``` |
| number - 1/4 | چوْنُّ <br> pכṇẽ <br> e.g. <br> "ونيّ <br> pวṇẽ yārã | \% <br> pכ̣̣e e.g. <br> * <br> poṇe do | paoṇe e.g. $\qquad$ <br> paoṇe træ |
|  | '103/4' | '13/4' | '23/4' |
| number ( $\geq 3$ ) + 1/2 | ساوُـ <br> sáde e.g. $\qquad$ <br> sấde træ '31/2' | س sấḍe e.g. <br>  ' $31 / 2$ ' | ساوُ <br> sāḍhe e.g. سُط sāḍhe đah ' $101 / 2$ ' |

Table 5.22: Special fractional numbers terms - Hindko, Panjabi, Saraiki
 ālū/ means 'a quarter kilo of potatoes', or '250 grams of potatoes'.

The expression of fractional numbers other than the special cases discussed above follows a similar pattern in all three languages. Unique forms exist for 'one-half', 'onethird', and 'one-fourth'; their forms in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki are shown in Table 5.23. The words for 'one-fourth' and 'one-third' are marked feminine nouns; 'one-half' is an unmarked masculine noun.

For other fractions, the ordinal number is used with the word حصّ /hissā/ 'part[M]', e.g., الُّهوال /aṭhwã̀ hissā/ 'eighth part, i.e., one-eighth'. For fractions with a numerator greater than one, expressions of the form [out of every n, x], as in Panjabi و /har tinn vicõ do/ 'out of every three, two', i.e., 'two-thirds of'; or [out of n parts, x],
 also used.

| Fraction | Hindko | Panjabi | Saraiki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 2$ | ا'رّه | ا'رّه | ا'رّه |
|  | ádd | ádd | addh |
| 1/3 | 我 <br> trihāī | " <br> tihāī | 我 <br> trihāī |
| 1/4 | پ\% <br> cuthāī | \% <br> cuthāī | \% <br> cuthāī |

Table 5.23: 'half', 'third', 'quarter, fourth'- Hindko, Panjabi, Saraiki

### 5.1.4.2 Cardinal numbers

Since the specific forms of both cardinal and ordinal numerals vary among the three languages, they are presented separately for each language.

### 5.1.4.2.1 Hindko cardinal numbers

The Hindko cardinal numbers are similar to those of Panjabi, but note the clearly pronounced /h/ in بكـ / hikk/ 'one'. For the numbers 11 to 19, Hindko nasalizes the final vowels, for example, (يار /yārãa/ ‘eleven’, while most of the other numbers do not have this nasalization. The transcriptions in Table 5.24 represent AWT's pronunciation, and the Perso-Arabic spellings represent these pronunciations.

| 1 | $\underbrace{}_{\text {hikk }}$ | 11 | يإِ yārã |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | g do | 12 | Ul bārā |
| 3 | ～＂F trǽ | 19 | ¢و unnī |
| 4 | ¢ ¢ $_{\text {cār }}$ | 20 | 3．bī |
| 5 | ＊${ }_{\text {E }}$ panj | 25 | 寅：panjī |
| 6 | $\frac{8}{7}$ che | 30 | ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {trī}}$ |
| 7 | سّ sat | 40 | $\bigcup_{\Downarrow}^{\text {rr cālī }}$ |
| 8 | اُكه aṭh | 50 | － 0 －panjá |
| 9 | V＇no | 90 | ¢وّ navve |
| 10 | 0 dah | 100 | －${ }^{\text {so }}$ |

Table 5．24：Hindko cardinal numbers（AWT）

## 5．1．4．2．2 Panjabi cardinal numbers

Panjabi cardinal numbers from one to ten are shown in Table 5．25．Oblique and locative forms largely follow Malik（1995：205－206）．

Cardinal numbers from two to ten are inflected for case；no cardinal numbers are inflected for gender．The numbers one，two，and four have the same forms for both the oblique and the locative（see Table 5．25）．These forms are seen in $\dot{j}$ ，ا／ikk dinī／＇on
 اني山／tinn

Table 5.26 shows the Panjabi cardinal numbers from eleven to one hundred．

12 When used as a noun，
13 The word for＇ 25 ＇presents an interesting development．In Gurmukhi，this word is spelled with ₹，the character for aspirated $j$ ．This lost aspiration is the source of the perceptible tone on the first syllable of this word．However，in Perso－Arabic representation，this word is more frequently spelled with unaspirated $\supsetneq$ rather than $⿻$ B．This influence of spelling is likely to result in the weakening of the tonal system in Pakistani Panjabi．The effects of spelling on language change are well documented，e．g．Polomé（1994）and Wang（1979）．

| Number | Direct | Oblique | Locative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\zeta_{l i k k}$ | $\mathcal{S}_{\text {ikk }}$ | $\zeta_{i \text { ikk }}$ |
| 2 | و $\sim$～do $\sim$ dũ |  | و dũ |
| 3 |  | U洸 tinnã̃ |  |
| 4 |  |  | ソ${ }^{\text {\％c caũ }}$ |
| 5 | 芝 panj | بنّا panjā̃ | نجّني |
| 6 | $\frac{B}{B} \sim \mathscr{B}_{*}$ che | U $\sim^{*}$＊cheã | $\mathcal{U}^{\boxed{*}} \sim \mathcal{U}^{\sim}$ |
| 7 | سّ satt | $\bigcup^{*}$ mattã |  |
| 8 | \％ا atṭh | U الطّțthã | －${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ aț̣hin |
| 9 | $\dot{V}^{\sim} \sim \dot{g}^{\text {n }} \sim \mathrm{n}$ Ј | ${ }^{\text {U }{ }^{\text {¢ }} \text { nэvã }}$ | U ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$＇novĩ |
| 10 | \％das | U dasã | ，dasĩ |

Table 5．25：Panjabi number names 1－10




| 11 |  | 31 | إكّى (i)kattī |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | بluārã | 32 | - battī |
| 13 | بّرالterã̃ | 33 | تّ ${ }_{\text {تّ tettī }}$ |
| 14 | \% تو, ¢ codã | 34 |  |
| 15 | پ\% pandarã̃ | 35 | 或華pæntī |
| 16 | Wولّ solã | 36 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{3}$ * chattī |
| 17 | سا satārã̃ | 37 |  |
| 18 | الهُ aṭhārã̃ | 38 | اكهّ* aṭhattī |
| 19 | إنّ | 39 | devel untālī |
| 20 |  | 40 | $\underbrace{}_{\text {¢\% cālī }}$ |
| 21 | $\zeta_{1} \mathrm{ikkī}$ | 50 | -بخّب\%ãjá |
| 22 | Ợ bāī | 60 | ${ }^{\text {man }}$ sațṭh |
| 23 |  | 70 | \% sattar |
| 24 |  | 80 | V'assī |
| 25 |  | 90 |  |
| 26 | $ى^{3}$. ${ }^{\text {\% chabbī }}$ | 100 | , $\sim$ so $\sim$ sau |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 | З اكُ aṭhāī |  |  |
| 29 | ज̛\| unattī |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |

Table 5.26: Panjabi cardinal numbers 11-100 (Bashir and Kazmi 2012)

### 5.1.4.2.3 Saraiki cardinal numbers

Saraiki cardinal numbers are presented in Table 5.27 and Table 5.28. The Perso-Arabic Saraiki spellings are from Zahoor (2009) and the Central variety pronunciations from Shackle (1976: 52-53). The number for 'one' shares the clear initial /h/ with Hindko. Of the cardinal numbers from one to ten, shown in Table 5.27, the words for 'three', 'four', 'five', 'six', 'seven', 'eight', and 'ten' have distinct direct and oblique forms. None of our sources mentions locative forms for these numbers.

| Number | Direct | Oblique |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | hik | hik |
| 2 | ¢ُ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ dū | ¢ُ，dū |
| 3 | c＇træ | U－ $\mathrm{F}_{\text {trī }}$ |
| 4 | ¢ $\mathrm{l}_{\text {cār }}$ |  |
| 5 | ＊＊pãj |  |
| 6 | $b_{*}$ chi | U ${ }^{\text {P3＊chihã }}$ |
| 7 | ～＂sat | U ${ }^{\text {un satã̃ }}$ |
| 8 |  | 俩 athã |
| 9 | ¢́n naũ | ＇̛́ naũ |
| 10 |  | U ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ dahã |

Table 5．27：Saraiki cardinal number names 1－10

The numbers from eleven to one hundred，however，do not have distinct oblique forms． These are presented in Table 5．28．${ }^{14}$

Table 5．28：Saraiki cardinal number names $11-100$

| 11 |  <br> yārhã̃～yārãh | 41 |  | 71 | ！ ikhattar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 |  <br> Бārhã̃～bārãh | 42 |  | 72 | ${ }^{*}$ bahattar |
| 13 | تيرال terhã̃～terãh | 43 |  | 73 | تِّ tihattar |
| 14 |  caudhã̃～caudã̃h | 44 |  | 74 | \％ cuhattar |

[^28]Table 5.28: (continued)

15
צ pandrhã~ pandrãh
~pandhrã
16 U-
solhã̃ ~ solãh
17 ستارمال
satārhã̃ ~ satārãh
18 أُطهاران
aṭhārã̃~ aṭhārãh
19 اُ
unvī
$20 \underset{\substack{\text { ~ㄴ․․․ } \\ \text { vīh }}}{ }$
21 إ !
ikvī

22
bāvī
23

trevī
24

cavī

25


26

*     *         * 

chavī

27

satāvī

28


29

45 ~يّنّ pãtālī
$46 \quad ~ \quad \sim$
chitālī
47 سَتّاليا
satālī
48 اكُمـالي
ațhtālī
49 اُوْ
unvanjhā
50 :
panjhā ~ panjāh
51 اكو.
ikvanjhā
52 6.9.9:
Бavanjhā
ترو. 53 تُ6ا
tirvanjhā

curvanjhā ~ curanjhā

55

56 * 56
chivanjhā

57
6
satvanjhā

58

aṭhvanjhā
59
unattrī

Table 5．28：（continued）

| 30 |  | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { maṭh } \\ & \text { sath } \end{aligned}$ | 90 | navve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 |  | 61 |  | 91 | ikānve |
| 32 | ＂ <br> 6atrī | 62 | 感： <br> Ђæṭh | 92 |  |
| 33 |  | 63 | 名 <br> treṭh | 93 | ```# triānve ~ tirānve``` |
| 34 | ＊ caỹtrī～cautrī | 64 |  | 94 |  curānve |
| 35 |  pæntrī | 65 | ＊نجيطه <br> panjæṭh | 95 |  |
| 36 |  | 66 | ． <br> chiæṭh | 96 |  |
| 37 | ستّ ستّهـ <br> satattrī | 67 | ستيمٌ <br> satæṭh | 97 | ～ satānve |
| 38 |  | 68 | 年 aṭhæṭh | 98 | إط <br> aṭhānve |
| 39 |  | 69 | ｜اُنْتّ unhattar | 99 | ；ز， <br> niranve <br> ورهازوس <br> vadhānve <br> （Zahoor 2009） |
| 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { چاليّ } \\ & \text { calī } \end{aligned}$ | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { سَّرّ } \\ & \text { sattar } \end{aligned}$ | 100 | sao |

## 5．1．4．3 Ordinal numbers

Ordinal numbers represent the position of a term in an ordered set：＇first＇，＇second＇， ＇third＇，etc．All ordinal numbers are marked adjectives，and thus inflect for gender，
number, and case. In all three languages, the word for 'first' is suppletive, being a reflex of OIA prathila 'first' (T8652). ${ }^{15}$ The words for 'second', 'third', and 'fourth' are slightly irregular in all three languages. The ordinal numbers are formed regularly from the cardinal numbers by adding the suffix وال/-vã/ directly to the cardinal number; for


### 5.1.4.3.1 Hindko ordinal numbers

Ordinal terms from 'fifth' and above are formed by adding the marked adjectival suffix / وال-vã/ to the base of the cardinal number word. The Hindko ordinal numerals for 'first' through 'twelfth' are given in Table 5.29.


Table 5.29: Hindko ordinal numbers

[^29]
### 5.1.4.3.2 Panjabi ordinal numbers

The first four ordinal numbers are:

- بیها ~ ~
- ووجا ~ وومرا /dūjā/ ~/dūsrā/ ‘second’ (< Urdu)


To form the ordinals from 'fifth' to 'tenth', the suffix وال/-vã/ is added directly to the cardinal number. For the ordinals from 'eleventh' on, the final $/-\bar{a} /$ of the root is
 'eleventh'. A high tone is present for most speakers in the ordinals from eleventh to nineteenth, which is reflected in the Perso-Arabic script by the presence of $\gtrdot$ do cašmī he. Note that when 'first', 'second', 'third', or 'fourth' is used in combination with other numbers these four words follow the regular pattern for ordinals; thus اكوال/ikkvã// 'n + first' is used, and not ret /pálā/ 'first'; thus اكک / اكو /ikk so ikkvã/ 'one hundred and first', and so on.


### 5.1.4.3.3 Saraiki ordinal numbers

The Saraiki ordinals for the numbers one to twelve and selected higher ordinals are presented in Table 5.30. The ordinal-forming suffix is وال/-vã//following numbers with an aspirated consonant in the stem, e.g. ‘60th’. With numbers ending in /h/, metathesis can occur, yielding a suffix وطال/-vhã̃/, as in '20th'. Additionally, forms ending in $/$-vhã/ do also occur as alternates sometimes.

| first | pahlā ~pæhlā~ <br> pælhã | nineteenth | انوّوطان unvīvhã |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| second | زُؤُهُ đūjhā | twentieth |  <br> vihvã̃ ~ vīvhã |
| third | 6."; <br> trījhā | thirtieth | "زی <br> trīvhã̃ ~ trihvã̃ |
| fourth | "\% \%\% <br> caothā | fortieth | * calīvhã |
| fifth | U pañjvã̃ ~pañjvhã | fiftieth | -تجاوطاU panjāvhã |
| sixth | * chevã | sixtieth | س س موال saṭvã ~ saṭhvã |
| seventh | س゙ satvã ~ satvhã | seventieth | ستّ <br> satarvã̃ ~ satarvhã |
| eighth |  | eightieth | U السو ا assīvã̃ ~ asīvhã̃ |
| ninth | -ناوال <br> nāvã | ninetieth | زو navvevã̃ ~navevhã̃ |
| tenth |  | hundredth | - <br> savã̃~savī̃̃~ <br> savhã ~ savīvhã |
| eleventh | إرووان yārhvã̃ |  |  |
| twelfth | إرصوال <br> Ђārhvã |  |  |

Table 5.30: Saraiki ordinal numbers

### 5.1.4.4 Indefinite numerical expressions

Regularly formed indefinite numbers consist of the oblique plural of the words for large
 thousands'. A common idiomatic expression in Panjabi for an inappropriately large,
 so/, lit. 'thirty-six hundred' (Gill and Gleason 1969: 13). This negative connotation is not shared by the regularly formed indefinite numbers.

'She is afflicted with too many illnesses.' (Pi) (EB)

Another way to express approximation is by juxtaposing two sequential or close numbers; for example ووحֶرمنُو /do cār mũḍe/ 'a few boys’. Another, rather vaguer, way of expressing an approximate number is with the indefinite adjective كَّ /kuī/ ‘some’,
 in Panjabi involves the suffixal particle $\Omega^{16} / \mathrm{ku} /$ 'about, approximately', as in ويr. بنـر/ /vík ku bande/ 'about twenty men/people'. Saraiki has a similar construction with كم /khun/ 'about', used with large round numbers, e.g. بماركّن سال /hazār khun sāl/ 'about a thousand years’ (Shackle 1976: 112).

### 5.1.4.5 Totalizing (aggregating) suffixes

All three languages employ totalizing suffixes, having largely similar forms. The Hindko direct case and oblique forms are exemplified in 5.37 and 5.38 , respectively.

cau-e / cār-e jătak ajj ā-e-n
four-TOT / four-TOT boy.PL.M today come-PP.PL.M-PRES3PL
'All four boys are present (lit. 'have come') today.' (AWT)

[^30]
én- $\tilde{a} \quad$ cau- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad j \quad j a ̄ t k-\tilde{a} \quad$ roṭi $\quad d e-i ̃$
these-OBL.PL four-TOT.OBL boy.PL.M-DAT food give-IMP.2SG
'Give food to all four of these boys.' (AWT)

In Panjabi, direct case number terms meaning 'all n' are formed by adding the totalizing suffix </e/ ~U"/ẽ/, or recently اول/õ/ (<Urdu) to the cardinal numbers up to


 /bārã̃ de bārã̃ mũḍe/ 'all twelve boys'.

The number 'one’ has a unique, ‘emphatic', form, اكوک /ikko/ ~/ikkoī/ 'only one'. For numbers without a unique totalizing form, the emphatic particle $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime} /-\bar{i} /$ can


In Saraiki, totalizing elements can take several variant forms. The direct and oblique forms of the cardinals from 2 to 10 are shown in Table 5.31, based on Shackle (1976: 5152), who refers to them as "emphatic."

[^31]|  | Direct | Oblique |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2－＇both＇ |  <br> duhẽ～ <br>  |  |
| 3－＇all three＇ | \％${ }^{10}$ trihe |  ＂رُّ trihaẽ |
| 4－＇all four＇ | \％rārhe | Uو．cavhã～ <br>  <br> U \％cavhaẽ～ <br> پَ |
| 5－＇all five＇ | $\underset{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { \％}}}$ panje | U客：panjhã～ ～نْ panjãh～ ． |
| 6－＇all six＇ | 为：chīhe |  <br>  |
| 7－＇all seven＇ | $\sim$ sate | Un satã～ <br> ＂satẽ |
| 8－＇all eight＇ | 占）aṭhe | U <br> الٌْ aṭhẽ |
| 9－＇all nine＇ | ）nauhẽ＊ （Shackle 1976：52） | Uִּ navã～ $\cup^{\circ} g^{\circ}$ navẽ |
| 10－＇all ten＇ | \％dahe |  |

Table 5．31：Saraiki totalizing／aggregative forms of numbers 2－10 ${ }^{17}$

## 5．2 Adverbs and adverbial expressions

Adverbial relations can be expressed with simple，single－word adverbs；oblique or loca－ tive forms of nouns occurring without a postposition；full postpositional phrases ex－ pressing relations of time，place，or manner；or subordinate clauses．In these sections， some frequently appearing simple adverbs，examples of oblique noun phrases used in adverbial function，and a few postpositional phrases functioning adverbially will be listed for each language separately．For adverbial subordinate clauses，see Chapter 9.

### 5.2.1 Hindko adverbs and adverbial expressions

### 5.2.1.1 Simple adverbs - Hindko

The following subsections list some common adverbs and adverbial expressions in Hindko. Unless otherwise indicated, these adverbs are as provided by AWT.

### 5.2.1.1.1 Quantity



- olj/zyāda/ 'much, too much, very’
- اكّ / ukkā/ 'completely’ (Sakoon 2002: 17)


### 5.2.1.1.2 Time

- كرول /kadõ/ ‘when?’
- كــ /kadde/ 'ever'; also used as a conjunction meaning 'if'

- 
- ${ }^{6} / \mathrm{kal} /$ 'yesterday’
- IT/āxir/ 'finally’
- روز /roz/ ‘daily, every day’


### 5.2.1.1.3 Place

- كمُ /kithe/ 'where?'
- اُ أُ /uthe/ 'there'
- إ /ithe/ 'here'
- إرب/ /idhar/ 'here, hither'



### 5.2.1.1.4 Reason

- كيو /kyố/ 'why?’


### 5.2.1.1.5 Manner

- جلرى /jaldī/ 'quickly, early’

- セん / siddhe/ 'straight’


### 5.2.1.2 Oblique noun phrases - Hindko

Oblique forms of nouns without a postposition frequently fulfill adverbial (temporal, spatial, manner) functions. For example:
الـع وقّ (5.39)
us-e vaxt
that.OBL-EMPH time.OBL
'at that very time’ (Hk) (AWT)
(5.40)

kis vel-e
what.OBL time-OBL
‘at what time, when?’ (Hk) (AWT)

kis-e jáā-i
some.OBL-EMPH place-LOC
'in some place' (Hk) (AWT)

Also, for those nouns which retain locative or ablative case endings, those endings inherently convey adverbial meanings. See the locative form of the noun ol . $\mathrm{j} \overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ 'place' in (5.41) immediately above for an example of this.

### 5.2.1.3 Indefinite adverbials - Hindko

Some indefinite Hindko adverbials are shown in Table 5.32. Since indefinites are closely related to interrogatives, these are also shown for comparison. (See also Table 5.16 for the interrogative forms.)

| Form | Place | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interrogative (INT) | 6 <br> kuthā <br> 'where, whither?' <br> 20 <br> kithe <br> 'where?' | $N$ <br> kad <br> كروU <br> kaddõ <br> 'when?' |
| Indefinite (INDEF) |  <br> kithe bī 'anywhere, somewhere’ | CN <br> kadde <br> 'ever' <br> es, <br> kidhare <br> 'ever' |
| INDEF (reduplicated) | كمٌ كتم <br> kithe kithe 'here and there', 'from place to place' | kadde kadde 'sometimes, from time to time' |
| INDEF $+\dot{i}+$ INDEF | كتّ نم <br> kithe na kithe <br> 'somewhere or other' | kadde na kadde 'sometime or other' |

Table 5.32: Interrogative-indefinite adverbs - Hindko

### 5.2.2 Panjabi adverbs and adverbial expressions

### 5.2.2.1 Simple adverbs - Panjabi

### 5.2.2.1.1 Quantity

- •ٌُ•• /bót/ 'much, very’
- كُ /kà̀ṭ/ 'little, less’


### 5.2.2.1.2 Time

Many of the words which now are perceived as and function as simple temporal adverbs are originally oblique or locative forms of nouns referring to units or periods of time. Several originally spatial adverbs are also used with temporal reference. Interestingly, the root ا/ك /ag-/ can refer to either future or past time.

- El /agge/ 'formerly; going forward (future)'
- 1 /agge nũ// 'in future’
- الج /ajj/ 'today'
- $L_{1}$ /aje/ 'as yet; still; right now; to this day'


- ${ }^{6} /$ kál/ ‘yesterday; tomorrow’
- يُّولو//parsõ/ ‘day before yesterday; day after tomorrow’
- ك 0 . /pàlke/ 'tomorrow'
- 
- ${ }^{6}$ ح $\sim$ Lhāle/ ~/halī/ 'at present; now; still'

- رآت゙/rātī/ 'by night; during the night'
- 
- سوسير / / / savele ~ savere/ '(early) in the morning'
- وسيل /veḷe sir $\sim$ vakat sir/ 'in time; at the proper time'
- الـخ وِتِّ /enne vicc/ 'in the meantime'



### 5.2.2.1.3 Place

Several of these spatial adverbs can combine with $<$, /de/ 'of' to form complex postpositions (see Chapter 7). Several are also used with temporal meaning. See also table 5.17.


- أُرهال /úrãa/ ‘hither, here’
- ور /dūr/ 'far'

- Il /utte/ ‘above, over, on’

- U ب ب



### 5.2.2.1.4 Reason

- سيو /kyõ/ 'why?’
- $U^{*} / t \mathrm{a} /$ /therefore, for this reason'


### 5.2.2.1.5 Manner

Several manner adverbial phrases involve reduplicative processes.

- bp, l bp, /tàrātàr/ 'in rapid succession'

- ${ }^{\text {- }}$ : /holī hoḷī/ ~ /holī holī/ ‘slowly, gradually, carefully'



- مُم مُ /mur muṛ/ 'again and again’


### 5.2.2.2 Indefinite adverbials - Panjabi

Indefinite adverbials are closely related to the interrogative and in some cases the relative forms (Table 5.17). Table 5.33 displays interrogative, relative, and indefinite forms of locative and temporal adverbials for Panjabi. Emphatic forms add the inclusive particle و و /vī/ 'also, even' to the basic relative or indefinite forms. Reduplicated indefinite or relative forms convey a distributive rather than a stipulative sense.

Table 5.33: Interrogative, indefinite, relative spatial and temporal adverbs - Panjabi

| Form | Place | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interrogative (INT) |  <br> kitthe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kad ~ } \sim \text { kadõ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'where?' | 'when?' |
| Relative (REL) | تم <br> jitthe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { jadõ } \\ & \text { جرو } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'where ...' | 'when ...' |
| Indefinite (INDEF) | $\underbrace{\sim}_{\text {kite }}$ | $\underset{\text { kadī } \sim \text { kade }}{\sim}$ |
|  | 'somewhere' | 'sometimes' |
| REL + و و (EMPHATIC) | پِقح وى <br> jitthe vī |  jadõ vī |
|  | 'wherever ...' | 'whenever ...' |
| INDEF + و6- (EMPHATIC) | $\underset{\text { kite vī }}{\text { وک }}$ |  |
|  | 'anywhere' | 'at any time' |
| REL + INDEF | \% | زadõ kade |
|  | jitthe kite <br> 'wherever ...' | 'whenever ...' جرول אرى jadõ kadī |
|  |  | 'whenever ...' |
| INDEF reduplicated | $\underbrace{\int}_{\text {kite }} \underbrace{}_{\text {kite }}$ | $\underset{\text { kadī kadī }}{\substack{\mathcal{K}}}$ |
|  | 'here and there' | 'now and then; from time to time' |
| REL reduplicated | $\underbrace{\text { F }}_{\text {jitthe jithe }}$ | جرول جرول jadõ jadõ |
|  | 'wherever ...' | 'whenever ...' |
| INDEF + $\sim$ na + INDEF | ك~ن <br> kite na kite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kadī na kadī } \\ & \text { KNG } \end{aligned}$ |
| INDEF + NEG | 'somewhere or other' كت نهي | 'sometime or other' كیینیی |
|  | kite naḯ 'nowhere’ | kadī naî́ 'never' |

Table 5.33: (continued)

| Form | Place | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INDEF + وک vī + NEG } \\ & \text { (EMPHATIC) } \end{aligned}$ | كِّ وى نهين <br> kite vī naî́ <br> 'not anywhere; nowhere' | كى وאغنيس <br> kadī vī naî <br> 'at no time, never' |

### 5.2.3 Saraiki adverbs and adverbial expressions

Saraiki adverbs fall into the same classes as do Hindko and Panjabi forms.

### 5.2.3.1 Simple adverbs - Saraiki

The following are a few of the most frequently occurring simple adverbs. Unless otherwise indicated, transcriptions are based on Shackle 1976, and our Perso-Arabic spellings reflect those pronunciations.

### 5.2.3.1.1 Quantity

- Unr'/baũ/ 'much, very'

- ؤ口ير/ḍher/ 'very’
- كهـ /ghat// 'less’
- وys /vadh/ 'more’
- مرف /sirif/, /sakhṇī/ ‘only’


## 5．2．3．1．2 Time

－「と 「／hun／＇now＇
－Cre／hune／＇right now＇
－ $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{laf} /$＇today＇
－${ }^{6} /$ kalh／＇yesterday’
－ك6＂$/$／kalatthũ／＇day before yesterday’


－صبايك／sabāhĩ／＇tomorrow（lit．＇in the morning＇）＇

－$\quad$／val／＇then，again’
－وت／vat／＇then（at that time）＇
－لم ！لم／bād ic／‘later’
－اورُك／／oṛik／～／oṛek／‘finally’

## 5．2．3．1．3 Place

－ $21 /$ utte／‘above’


－
－أر／／ure／＇on this side’



## 5．2．3．1．4 Reason

－كيو／kyū／＇why？’

### 5.2.3.1.5 Manner

- هسال/masã/ 'hardly, barely’
- Lgi/hole/ ‘slowly’
- كيوّ /kīvẽ/ ‘how?’


### 5.2.3.2 Indefinite adverbials - Saraiki

Saraiki indefinite adverbials formed in various ways are shown in Table 5.34, based on information in Shackle (1976). See Table 5.19 for relative and interrogative forms with which some indefinite forms are constructed. Blank cells indicate that the authors do not have enough information to determine whether or not such forms exist.

| Form | Place | Time | Manner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indefinite（INDEF） |  |  | てみu <br> kahī tarah |
|  | ＇at some place＇ | ＇sometime＇ | ＇in some way＇ |
| Indefinite emphatic（INDEF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { كقّ } \\ & \text { kithāhiun } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| EMPH） | ＇wherever＇ | ＇whenever＇ |  |
| REL＋INT | زنتّ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | ＇in whichever direction’ |  |  |
| REL＋DISTAL |  |  | －بّ |
|  |  |  | jīvẽ tīvẽ |
|  |  |  | ＇somehow or other＇ |
| REL＋INDEF EMPH |  |  jadã̃ kadāhī |  |
|  |  | ＇whenever ．．．＇ |  |
| INDEF $+\dot{\sim}+\mathrm{INDEF}$ | kithāhĩ na kithāhĩ ＇somewhere or other＇ | kadāhĩ na kadāhĩ <br> ＇sometime or other＇ | كوهي ن ,كوهي <br> kivhẽ na kivhẽ <br> （Shackle 1976：66） <br> kahĩ na kahĩ tarhe <br> ＇somehow or other＇ <br> （Nasir Abbas Syed） |
| INDEF＋NEG | كثمايبى نيّن kithāhĩ $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{a}) \tilde{\overline{1}}$ ＇nowhere’ | كُّإِيْني <br> kadāhī̃ n（a）Ĩ ＇never＇ | kivhẽ nĩ <br> （Shackle 1976：66） <br> 品 <br> kahĩ tarhe nĩ |
|  |  |  | ＇in no way＇（NAS） |

Table 5．34：Interrogative，indefinite，relative adverbs－Saraiki

## 6 Pronouns

### 6.1 Introduction

Pronouns are words that refer to some nominal element mentioned elsewhere in a discourse or recoverable from context; they take the place of common or proper nouns, noun phrases, or nominal clauses. The general observations in Section 6.1 apply to Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki.

### 6.1.1 Person and number

Person refers to the participants in a verbal interaction: first person refers to the speaker, ' I ', second person to the addressee, 'you', and third person to anyone or anything else. Third person pronouns can be either demonstrative (pointing out things), such as English this, these, that, those or anaphoric, like she, he, it, they, referring to nominal arguments mentioned elsewhere in discourse or recoverable from surrounding context. All three languages have first and second-person personal pronouns. The function of third-person pronouns in Hindko, Saraiki, and Panjabi is filled by the demonstrative pronouns. All three languages have two degrees of distance in their deictic systems and thus have proximal and distal demonstrative pronouns. As in many South Asian languages, plural pronouns and agreement patterns are used to indicate formality or respect. Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki each have one second-person plural pronoun,
 /tussã̃/ ~/tus $\tilde{\tilde{a}} / \mathrm{Sr} .^{1}$ These second-person plural forms are used either with plural reference or as a deferential form of address for individuals in both formal and familiar situations. They are typically used to address elders, adults with whom one is not acquainted, social or professional superiors, as in (6.1), and sometimes by wives to their husbands.


| tusī | kitth-õ | $d$ - | $o$ | ktar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

2PL.DIR where-ABL GEN-PL.M be.PRES.2PL doctor sir
'Where are you (pl.) from, doctor?' (pi)

[^32] minimal social distance, and thus can convey intimacy, informality, or disrespect, depending on the interactional and social context. Typical contexts of use are by parents addressing their children, between close friends, between husband and wife, in song and poetry, or to address God or a beloved person. ${ }^{2}$

### 6.1.2 Case

As with the form of nouns, the form of pronouns depends on their grammatical function in a sentence-whether subject, direct object, indirect object, or other oblique argument marked by a postposition. In all three languages pronouns have at least direct and oblique forms. Other case relations are usually indicated by postpositions which follow either the oblique or the genitive form. Such functional case marking is accomplished by various postpositions; for example locative relations are usually expressed with the postposition $\underset{\text { g }}{\text { /vic/ }} \sim$ /vicc/'in', which usually follows the genitive form
 nouns, ablative case relations are expressed by oblique or genitive pronominal stems followed by the postposition كول / كول /tõ/ 'from' or basic meaning of 'from X', e.g. تيرس كولو /tere kolõ/ 'from you (SG)'.

Genitive (possessive) forms mark relationships between two nominal arguments-
 all three languages, genitive forms for both nouns and pronouns are morphologically marked ("black") adjectives, which change their form to agree with the gender and number of the "possessed" noun that follows them. Thus, even if a speaker is female, for example, she would say "مر|" /merā kàr/ 'my house' (Pj), since / /kàr/ 'house’ is a singular masculine noun. Similarly, a male speaker will say 'r
 of marked adjectives, see Section 5.1.1.6).

2 Formality distinctions are observed less in these languages than they are in Urdu, which has



 /tussã̃/ ~/tusã̃/ Sr.

## 6．2 Personal pronouns

## 6．2．1 Hindko personal and third person pronouns

Direct，oblique／agentive，and dative／accusative forms of Hindko first，second，and third－ person pronouns are given in Table 6．1．${ }^{3}$

Table 6．1：Hindko personal pronouns

| Person | Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | Direct | يـ m̃̃ | $\underset{\text { assī }}{\text { آ }}$ |
|  |  | ＇ 1 ＇ | ＇we＇ |
|  | Oblique／Agentive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { m } \tilde{æ 口} \end{aligned}$ | اسا assã̃ |
|  |  | ＇！ | ＇us，we＇ |
|  | Dative／Accusative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rig } \\ & \text { m } \tilde{\bar{a}} \end{aligned}$ | اسال assã̃ |
|  |  | ＇l，me＇ | ＇us＇ |
| 2nd | Direct | fúg |  |
|  |  | ＇you＇ | ＇you＇ |
|  | Oblique／Agentive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تُ } \\ & \text { tud } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | ＇you＇${ }^{4}$ | ＇you＇ |
|  | Dative／Accusative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nُمَãa } \\ & \text { tud } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | ＇you＇ | ＇you＇ |
| 3rd proximal | Direct |  | 少し |
|  |  | ＇he／she／it／this＇ | ＇these／they＇ |
|  | Oblique／Agentive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u! } \\ & \text { is } \end{aligned}$ | إنாu <br> ínã |
|  |  | ＇he／she／him／he | ＇these／them＇ |

3 Alternate spellings encountered for the third person plural proximal pronoun are which are identical to those for the singular form．AWT hears a difference in length between the third person singular proximal pronoun We have represented this in the paradigm in table Table 6．1．

Table 6.1: (continued)

| Person | Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dative/Accusative |  | inã̃! |
|  |  | 'him/her/it/this' | 'these/them' |
| 3rd distal | Direct | و ~ |  |
|  |  | \| | 091 |
|  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  | 'those/them' |
|  |  | 'he/she/it/that' |  |
|  | Oblique/Agentive | إ |  |
|  |  | us | únã |
|  |  | 'he/she/him/her/it/that' | 'those/them' |
|  | Dative/Accusative | $\underbrace{\text { آن }}_{\text {usā̃ }}$ | أْهُ únã |
|  |  | 'him/her/it/that' | 'those/them' |

Genitive forms of Hindko personal pronouns are given in Table 6.2. The first and second person singular pronouns have special genitive forms ending in $ا /$-rā/. Genitive forms of first and second person plurals and the third-person (demonstrative) pronouns are formed exactly as for nouns, that is with the postposition $/$, /dā/ 'of' following the
 son’ and آس روى "us dī tì/ ‘his/her daughter’. ${ }^{5}$ Alternate forms of the first-person plural possesive forms (see Table 6.2) are found in Sakoon (2002: 163). These are based on
 $\sim$ sạ̄̀ā/ 'our, ours'. All the genitive forms are marked adjectives.

5 Speakers of some other Hindko varieties have the genitive postposition l•/nā/ 'of'.

|  | Masculine singular | Masculine plural | Feminine singular | Feminine plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st person singular | " <br> merā <br> 'my, mine' | mere 'my, mine' | " <br> merī <br> 'my, mine' | " meriyã 'my, mine' |
| 1st person plural | اسّال وا assã dā <br> 'our, ours' | اس اسال assã de 'our, ours' | اسيّال وک assã̃ dī 'our, ours' | اسِّا assã̃ diyã̃ 'our, ours' |
| 2nd person singular | تي <br> terā <br> 'your, yours' | تيرـ <br> tere <br> 'your, yours' | تيرى <br> terī <br> 'your, yours' | تي ليا teriyã 'your, yours' |
| 2nd person plural | تُّيان وا tussã dā 'your, yours' |  | تُسّال ركى tussã̃ dī 'your, yours' | تُسّان ويا tussã̃ diyã 'your, yours' |

Table 6.2: Hindko possessive pronouns

### 6.2.2 Panjabi personal and third person pronouns

Direct, oblique, dative-accusative, and ablative case forms of the personal and third person pronouns are given in Table 6.3. The oblique forms are the base to which most simple postpositions attach. The dative-accusative forms consist of the oblique base followed by the postposition $V^{j} /$ nū̃//, which marks both indirect objects and the logical subjects of a class of verbs which take experiencer, or non-agentive, subjects; as well as some direct objects. ${ }^{6}$ The dative-accusative element is enclitic (unstressed and phonologically dependent on the word it attaches to) in all three languages, hence the tendency to write them together sometimes with pronominal forms, which may foreshadow a development into new case endings. Ablative forms for personal pronouns combine the oblique forms of the pronoun with the postposition ${ }^{\circ}$ '/tõ/ 'from', giving meanings of 'from me', 'from you, 'from him', etc. Ablative case forms for the first and second-person pronouns are included here; however, they are mostly archaic and currently found mostly in songs and poetry (see 6.2), rather than in everyday prose speech or writing. ${ }^{7}$

[^33](6.2)

kéṝ gal tõ rus-iyā mæ-thõ æsī
which matter from annoyed-PP.SG.M be.PRES.2SG 1SG-ABL such-SG.F
kí gal hoī
what matter become.PP.SG.F
‘What are you annoyed with me for; what happened?’ (pi) (http://www. hamariweb.com/poetries/Poetry.aspx?id=41699)

Table 6.3: Panjabi direct, oblique, and ablative case forms of personal pronouns


3rd proximal
that the variants with aspirated تهو /tho/ occur more frequently than those with unaspirated


Table 6.3: (continued)

| Person | Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oblique |  | U <br> énã |
|  | Dative/accusative |  | ايهron énãnũ |
|  | Ablative | U æs tõ |  |
| 3rd distal |  | 01 | \% |
|  | Direct | ó | ó |
|  | Oblique |  | او اونال ónã |
|  | Dative/accusative | اوْ"ّ os nũ ~ónũ | اوبنا لون ónã nũ |
|  | Ablative | اوّ ON tõ | اوبنال تون ónã tõ |

 quent in Panjabi. Shackle (1972) notes that the J او /æs/ and /os/ forms appear primarily in written Panjabi. There is disagreement about the presence of tone in the u'l /æs/ and اوّU/ os/ forms.

Ablative spatial relations in Panjabi are usually expressed with a genitive form followed by the postposition كولو /kolõ/ 'from X'.

Although in Lahore Panjabi the first and second-person plural direct forms are
 'you.OBL' sometimes occur. These forms are usually associated with more westerly varieties. These full oblique pronouns are distinct from the oblique bases $L /$ /sā-/ ' 1 PL ' and $19{ }^{\prime \prime} /$ tuà̀-/ '2PL' to which Level II postpositions are attached.

In Panjabi, اوه /ó/ 'he, she, that, it, they' and اونْال' /ónã̃/ 'him, her, them' are the distal (remote) singular and plural direct, and plural oblique demonstrative forms, respectively; while $\sim_{r u}$ /é/ 'he, she, this, they', and U'rol /énã//these, them' are the proximal (near) forms. They take the same case-marking postpositions as the personal
pronouns. For more on the uses of various postpositions, see Chapter 7; for more on dative subjects, see Section 9.1.3.2.

In tenses constructed on the perfective participle, Panjabi sometimes uses the grammatical postposition $\mathcal{E} / \mathrm{ne} /$ to mark a third-person agent/subject of a transitive clause. If $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ /ne/ occurs, the noun or pronoun it precedes is in the oblique case. When the agent/subject is marked with $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ /ne/, the verb agrees with the direct object, as in 6.3, provided that it is not marked with the dative-accusative postposition ${ }^{\dot{j}} / \mathrm{nu} / \mathrm{z} /$. If it is so marked, the verb takes default masculine singular agreement. This pattern of case marking is referred to as split-ergative (see Section 9.1.2.2). This happens only with third-person subjects; first and second-person subjects remain in their direct case form.


This use of $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ /ne/ to mark third-person agents in ergative contexts appears to be an influence of Urdu or (in India) of Hindi, as it is found only sometimes in Lahore Panjabi, and is not at all characteristic of Hindko or Saraiki. According to Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 655, fn 12, "The 3rd person singular oblique pronoun + postposition $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$
 |و". The 3rd person plural oblique pronouns are also commonly used without the postposition $\mathcal{i}$."

Genitive forms of pronouns are marked adjectives (as described in Section 5.1.1.6), which agree in number, gender, and case with the noun modified (the "possessed" noun). In the third person, the oblique pronominal stem combines with the genitive postposition $/$ / dā/ 'of' just as with nouns, with the postposition $/$ /dā/inflecting as a marked adjective. These adjectival genitive forms are presented in Table 6.4.

|  | Masculine noun modified |  | Feminine noun modified |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural |
| 1st person singular | " merā |  | ثيرى merī | い meriyã̃ |
| 1st person plural | ساوُا sāḍā | sāc̣a | ساكّى <br> sāḍī | سارُّا sāḍiyã̃ |
| 2nd person singular | $\underset{\text { terā }}{\text { تير }}$ | تير tere | ${ }_{\text {terī }}$ | تير teriyã |
| 2nd person plural | تُمُاوُا tuàḍā | $\underset{\text { tuầḍe }}{\text { تُّارُ }}$ | تُ تُروُى tuàḍī | تُحباُ tuầdiyã̃ |
| 3rd person proximal, singular |  <br> إِّ <br> édā $\sim$ æs dā | ~ <br>  <br> - <br> éde ~ æs de |  $\checkmark$ ǽdī ~ æs dī |  , ǽdiyã̃~æs diyã |
| 3rd person proximal, plural | \| ǽnã̃ dā |  | 1 ǽnẫ dī | ا ا ǽnã diyã |
| 3rd person distal, singular |  اوّ وا os dā~ódā |  |  |  |
| 3rd person distal, plural | ووبنال ónã̃ dā | $\underset{\text { onã̃ de }}{\text { On }}$ | \|وتنال روى ónã̃ dī | /announ ónã̃ diyã |

Table 6.4: Genitive forms of pronouns, Panjabi

### 6.2.3 Saraiki personal and third person pronouns

Saraiki personal pronouns are presented in Table 6.5. According to our consultant, the final vowel of the second person plural pronoun $ل$ tense, and nasalized /ã/. Perso-Arabic spelling conventions, however, force this to be represented with / /alif/, which usually represents a long /ā/. We represent it in Roman with the long vowel as shown in the table following the established tradition, both with writers who have written Saraiki forms in roman representation and those who write Perso-Arabic forms.

For full pronouns, dative-accusative relations are indicated by the postposition كُّU /k $\tilde{u} /$, which follows the oblique form of the pronoun. Notice that the nasalization present in the full first and second-person singular direct forms is absent in the oblique
 erally conveyed by the genitive form of the pronoun followed by the postposition كووُ $/$ kolū̃/, which consists of the nominal form كول/kol/ 'vicinity' plus the ablative ending وُل/ / $/$ ü/. Various adverbial relations are indicated by distinct postpositions. For the first and second-person singular and plural pronouns, the direct and agentive forms are the same. For the third-person pronouns, however, the agentive form is the same as the oblique. The possessive (genitive) forms are adjectival.

Table 6.5: Saraiki personal pronouns

| Person | Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | Direct | $u^{\prime}$ <br> mæ̃ | ル آس assã̃ ~āpã̃ (Southern |
|  |  | ' 1 | Bahawalpur) 'we' |
|  | Oblique | $\underbrace{}_{m æ}$ | L~~ assã̃~sā |
|  |  | ' $\quad$ | 'us' |
|  | Agentive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { m } \tilde{x} \end{aligned}$ | U ا assã̃ |
|  |  | ' 1 ' | 'we' |
|  | Possessive | مئث! medā |  sāđā ~ asādā |
|  |  | 'my, mine' | 'our, ours' |
| 2nd | Direct | $\underset{\text { tū̃ }}{\substack{\text { Un }}}$ | tussã̃ |
|  |  | 'you' | 'you' |
|  | Oblique | $\underbrace{}_{\text {tæ }}$ | تُبا <br> tuhā |
|  |  | 'you' | 'you' |
|  | Agentive |  | تُسْ tussã̃ |
|  |  | 'you' | 'you' |

Table 6.5: (continued)

| Person | Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Possessive |  <br> tedā <br> 'your, yours' | تُهُ tuhādā 'your, yours' |
| 3rd proximal | Direct | -1 | $\leq 1$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{e} \sim$ æ | $\mathrm{e} \sim$ æ |
|  |  | 'he/she/it' | 'they' |
|  | Oblique |  | إناب <br> inhã |
|  |  | 'he/she/it' | 'they, these' |
|  | Agentive | $\begin{aligned} & U_{u} 1 \\ & \tilde{\mathrm{I}} \end{aligned}$ | إنها <br> inhã̃ |
|  |  | 'he/she/it' | 'they' |
|  | Possessive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lg Uwl } \\ & \tilde{i} \text { Ī } \end{aligned}$ | إناル إ inhã̃ dā |
|  |  | 'his/hers/its' | 'theirs' |
| 3rd distal | Direct | 1 | , |
|  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 'he/she/it' | 'they' |
|  | Oblique |  | انما unhã |
|  |  | 'he/she/it' | 'they, these' |
|  | Agentive | اول | اُنهال unhã |
|  |  | 'he/she/it' | 'they' |
|  | Possessive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اول } 1 \text { un dā } \\ & \text { un } \end{aligned}$ | اُنهان وا~ اُنيس وا unhã dā ~unhẽ dā |
|  |  | 'his/hers/its' | 'theirs' |

### 6.3 Reflexive pronouns

A basic reflexive pronominal element occurring in all three languages is based on the element $ا ٓ \mathbb{T}$ /āp/ ‘self’. This element can function either as a true reflexive pronoun or
as an emphatic marker. In addition, the Persian-origin,$\dot{q} / \mathrm{xud} /$ 'self' is increasingly used in all three languages in urban contexts.

See Section 5.1.3.6 for discussion of reflexive adjectives.

### 6.3.1 Hindko reflexive pronoun

 /āp-e/ 'self-OBL'. For some case functions, postpositions following the adjectival form are used, as in examples 6.4 and 6.5. In addition to $\mathbb{\pi} / \overline{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{p} /$, emphatic forms include,
 illustrates the emphatic function of $ا ٓ 巛$ /āp/ 'self'.


| us | apre | $\bar{a} s t e$ | bú $\bar{a}$ | khol-iy $\bar{a}$ | te | andar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.OBL | REFL.GEN | for | door | open-PP.SG.M | and | inside |
| $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| come-PP.SG.M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'He opened the door for himself and came in.' (Hk) (AWT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(6.5)

únã māmlā āp-e bic rax-iyā
3PL.OBL matter REFL-SG.M.OBL among keep-PP.SG.M
'They kept the matter among themselves.' (Hk) (AWT)

$m \tilde{æ} \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{p} \quad \operatorname{dex}-r y \tilde{a}$
1SG REFL see-CONT.II.PRES.SG.M.1SG
'I am seeing it myself.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 6.3.2 Panjabi reflexive pronouns

 T آ /āpī, āpe, āpo, āpõ/, the meaning of which is controlled by the grammatical or semantic subject of the sentence. It is used both as a reflexive pronoun (as in 6.7 and 6.8) and as an emphatic element (as in 6.9 and 6.10). In oblique contexts $\underset{\Downarrow}{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ ت/ آāpṇe āp/ usually appears with postpositions in Panjabi and Saraiki.

The genitive, or possessive, form of adjectives, the genitive reflexive declines for number, gender, and case, but not for person. (See Section 5.1.3 for forms and examples.) Repeating the reflexive pronoun after its genitive form, as in آ آخْ آتُ /āpne āp/ 'oneself', gives an emphatic meaning, indicating that someone did something as a result of his own action, as in 6.7.

ó ne āpṇe.āp nũ̃ badnām kit- $\bar{a} \quad$ sī
he ERG REFL ACC disgraced do.PP-SG.M be.PST.3SG
'He disgraced himself.' (Pj) (EB)

When followed by most postpositions, including 'وّ /tõ/ 'from', and $ن$ U /nāl/ ‘with', reflexive pronouns appear in the genitive oblique form, as do other nouns and pronouns. An example is shown in 6.8.

mũḍ-e te kuṛiy-ã kampyūṭar nū āpṇ-e nā!
boy-PL.M and girl-PL.F computer ACC REFL-SG.M.OBL with
rakkh-d-e nẽ
keep-IP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'Boys and girls keep (the) computers with themselves.' (Pi) (Chaudhry 1999: 15)

$m \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \bar{a} p-\bar{\imath} \quad k a r-\tilde{a}-g-\bar{a}$
1SG REFL-EMPH do-SBJV.1SG-FUT-SG.M
'I (M) will do it myself.' (Pj) (EB)
(6.10)

salīm $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{p}-\overline{\boldsymbol{i}} \quad \bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
Salim REFL-EMPH come-PP.SG.M
'Salim came himself [rather than sending someone else].' (Pi) (EB)
$\dot{g} / \mathrm{xud} /$ ‘self', of Persian origin, has a similar function; like $/ \mathbb{T} / \overline{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{p} /$, it is often used in conjunction with the emphatic particle $/ /-\overline{1} /$, as in 6.11 , and can sometimes be used as a true reflexive, as in 6.12.

$\begin{array}{lll}m \tilde{æ} & \text { xud- } \bar{\imath} & \bar{a}-v \tilde{a}-g-\bar{a} \\ \text { 1SG } & \text { REFL-EMPH } & \text { come-SBJV.1SG-FUT-SG.M }\end{array}$
'I will come myself [without assistance, or sending someone else].' (Pi) (EB)

dūr rá $\quad$ ke $\quad$ xud nū $\quad$ sazā-vã $\quad$ ditt-iy $\tilde{a}$
far live CP REFL ACC punishment-PL.F give.PP-PL.F
'Staying far away (someone) has punished himself.' (Pj) (http://www. hamariweb.com/poetries/Poetry.aspx?id=40257"www.hamariweb.com/ poetries/Poetry.aspx?id=40257)

### 6.3.3 Saraiki reflexive pronouns

Shackle (1976: 59) gives the following forms for the Saraiki reflexive pronoun:
 /āpe/(emphatic). Example 6.13 illustrates both the direct form ${ }_{*}$ Tāp/ in its emphatic function and the adjectival genitive form إنظ /apnā/ 'self's'. ${ }^{8}$

[^34](6.13)


| méx | apṇ-e | kapr-e | $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{p}$ | baṇ-e-nd-ì | $h \tilde{\tilde{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | REFL-PL.M | clothes-PL.M | EMPH | make-PF-IP-SG.F | be.PRES.1SG |

'I make my clothes myself.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 49)

The Persian-origin $\dot{9} /$ xud/ 'self' is also used in Saraiki, as shown in 6.14.
(6.14)

'I will make (them) myself.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 171)

### 6.4 Reciprocals

Two constructions to express reciprocal states or actions are used in all three languages. The first consists of the pronouns /āpat/ sr, which are developments of the reflexive pronoun $ٓ$ آ/āp/ ‘self'. The second construction involves the words 'one ... other' in forms specific to each language.

### 6.4.1 Hindko reciprocal pronouns

The first type appears in example 6.15.
آ
āp-e bic larnā càgarnā
self-OBL among fight-INF quarrel-INF
'To fight among (one's)selves.' (Hk) (bugyaran.blogspot.com/2007/11/blogpost.html)

Examples 6.16 and 6.17 show two examples of the type based on 'one' and 'the other':


assī hikī dúe $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ dex ré éye-ã 1PL.DIR one other ACC look remain.PP.PL.M be.PST.PL.M-1PL 'We were looking at each other.' (Hk) (AWT)

ass- $\tilde{a}$ hikī dúe $d-\bar{i} \quad$ majbūrī $\tilde{a} \quad$ samaj-ṛ- $\bar{a}$
1PL-OBL one other GEN-SG.F constraint[F] ACC understand-INF-SG.M
cāh-ī-d-æ
want-PASS-IP-SG.M+be.PRES
'We should understand each other's constraints.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 6.4.2 Panjabi reciprocal pronouns

The pronoun of mutually affecting states or mutually conducted actions. A frequent collocation in-
 example 6.18.

kar-ī-d-ī
do-PASS-IP-SG.F
'One shouldn't discuss private matters in front of others.' (Pi) (www.urduweb. org/mehfil/threads/54043)

In some cases, it is used with a sense of reciprocal action, as in 6.19.
(6.19)

ó āpas vicc nŭ́ bol-d-e
3PL REFL in NEG speak-IP-PL.M
'They do not talk with each other' (Pj) (Bhatia 1993: 138)


$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { amrīkā pākistān d-ā pyār te naй xarīd sak-d-ā }  \tag{6.20}\\
& \text { America Pakistan GEN-M.SG love TOP NEG buy be.able-IP-SG.M } \\
& \text { par āpo vic izzat } d-\bar{a} \quad \text { rištā baṇ } \\
& \text { but REFL in respect GEN-M.SG relationship be.made } \\
& \text { sak- } d-\bar{a} \quad e \\
& \text { be.able-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG } \\
& \text { 'America cannot buy Pakistan's love, but a relationship of mutual respect } \\
& \text { can be established.' (Pi) (http://www.wichaar.com/news/117/ARTICLE/11785/ } \\
& \text { 2009-01-29.html) }
\end{align*}
$$

Reciprocity can also be expressed using the phrase اكعروبح /ikk dūjje/ 'one another' followed by a postposition, as in 6.21 and 6.22.

ikk dūj-e nā! pà̀̀̄cārā rakh-ṇ-ā
one other-OBL with brotherhood[M] keep-INF-SG.M
$c \overline{\bar{a}}-\bar{i}-d-\bar{a} \quad e$
want-PASS-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'People should maintain brotherly relations with one another.' ( P ) (https://pnb.wikipedia.org/wiki/\�\�\�\�\�\�\�\�\% D9\%86\%DB\%8C_\%D8\%AD\%D9\%82)

[^35]
do-vẽ har vel-e ikk dū-je d-iyã galti-y $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ two-all each time-OBL one other-OBL GEN-PL.F error-PL.F láb-d-e rǽn-d-e nẽ
find-IP-PL.M remain-IP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'Both of them are always finding fault in each other.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 44)

### 6.4.3 Saraiki reciprocal pronouns

In Saraiki, the reciprocal pronoun is آيت /āpat/ (Mughal 2010: 19). It occurs most frequently in the collocation آيت وتچ /apat vic/, or /apatic/in colloquial speech, 'among selves', as in 6.23. ${ }^{10}$
(6.23)


1PL when REFL among scholarly word-PL do-PF-IP-PL.M
haũ tã̃ apṇ-ī zabān istemāl kar-e-nd-e
be.PRES.1PL then REFL.GEN-SG.F language[F] use do-PF-IP-PL.M
haи̃
be.PRES.1PL
'When we discuss scholarly matters among ourselves, (then) we use our own language.' (Sr) (http://sunjjan.blogspot.com/2015_02_01_archive.html)

Forms based on 'one' and 'the other' are also employed, as shown in 6.24, 6.25.

hik dūjh-e nāl na bhir-o
one other-OBL with NEG quarrel-IMP.2PL
'Don't quarrel with each other.' (Sr) (UK)

10 This form also appears in Wagha (1998: 316) and Shackle (1972: 117). Shackle (1976: 59) considers it the locative plural of the reflexive pronoun آשׁ /āp/.

o hameša hik mæ ic nuks kaḍh-e-d-e rahn-d-ě-n 3PL always one other in fault find-PF-IP-PL.M remain-IP-PL.M-3PL 'They are always finding fault with each other. ${ }^{\text {'11 (Sr) (UK) }}$

o hameša hik $\boldsymbol{6} \boldsymbol{æ} \quad d$-iy $\bar{\imath} \quad$ yalti-y $\bar{\imath} \quad d-\bar{\imath} \quad$ gol
3PL always one other GEN-PL.F mistake-PL.F GEN-SG.F search[F]
ic rah ven-d-ěn
in remain go-IP-3PL
'They are always finding fault with each other.' (Sr) (NAS)

Nasir Abbas Syed (p.c.) points out that many speakers have the form of 'other' with an initial implosive bilabial / $\overline{6}$, as in 6.26. This form is derived etymologically from Saraiki biā ~ biyā or Sindhi бā, ' 2 '.

### 6.5 Interrogative pronouns

All interrogative pronouns and other question words in these languages begin with ك k -/. Where sources differ on orthography or pronunciation, variants are given in the tables.

### 6.5.1 Hindko interrogative pronouns

The forms for $/$ /koṛ / 'who' and $/$ /ke/ 'what' are given in Table 6.6 and Table 6.7, respectively.

Sentences 6.27, 6.28, and 6.29 illustrate the direct form, the oblique singular, and the oblique plural of $/$ /kJ ${ }^{2}$ / 'who'. Notice that the agents of these perfective transitive sentences occur in the oblique form with no postposition.

[^36]| Case/Number | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct (= nominative) | كور | \% |
|  | kJ ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | kJ ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
|  | 'who?' | 'who?' |
| Oblique | kis | Kínā̃ |
|  | 'who, whom?' | 'who, whom?' |

Table 6.6: Hindko interrogative pronountrg/kJṛ// 'who'

| Case/Number | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct (= nominative) | $\Sigma$ | $\Sigma$ |
|  | ke | ke |
|  | 'what?' | 'what?' |
| Oblique | $U_{\text {kis }}$ | كنا <br> kínã |
|  | 'what?' | 'what?' |

Table 6.7: Hindko interrogative pronoun $\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{ke} /$ 'what'
(6.27) كور إيما
kJr̃ éy- $\bar{a}$
who.DIR be.PST-SG.M
‘Who was it?’ (Hk) (AWT)
(6.28)

o tud kis kolõ kì-dā éyā
that 2SG.OBL who.SG.OBL from take-PP.SG.M be.PST.SG.M
'From whom did you get/buy that?' (Hk) (AWT)


Example 6.30 illustrates the use of $\mathcal{C} / \mathrm{ke} /$ 'what?'.

$t$ tū ke kar-s-z je ter-ā jà̀ let ho
2SG what do-FUT-2SG if 2SG.GEN-SG.M plane[M] late become
ga-yā
go-PP.SG.M
'What will you do if your flight is late?' (Hk) (AWT)

### 6.5.2 Panjabi interrogative pronouns

The interrogative pronoun /kan/ 'who' inflects for number and case, as does its impersonal counterpart,

Case marking for the interrogative pronouns functions the same as for personal pronouns. Example 6.31 illustrates the direct singular form of $ك$ /kaun ~ kכṇ/ 'who’. Pronunciation of the vowel varies between the simple vowel / $\mathrm{J} /$ and the diphthongal /au/.

likh-e nũ kon ṭāl sak-d-ā e
write-PP.SG.M.OBL ACC who avoid be.able-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Who can avoid what is written?' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 201)

Like third-person personal pronouns, interrogative pronouns can also take the ergative case marker $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ /ne/ to mark the agent in perfective forms of transitive verbs, as in 6.32. In both examples 6.31 and 6.32 the interrogative word forms a rhetorical question with a strong negative implication. Example 6.33 below, however, is a genuine informationseeking question.

|  | Personal |  | Impersonal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural |
| Direct |  |  |  |  |
| Oblique | $\underset{\text { kis }}{U}$ | Kínã̃ | ${\underset{\text { kah }}{ }}$ | $\underset{\text { kán }}{\substack{\text { ( }}}$ |
|  | $\widehat{k i ́}^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Ergative | $\underset{\text { kine }}{\text { j }}$ | $\sum_{\text {kínā̃ (ne) }} U_{i n}$ | $\underset{\text { káne }}{\text { j丷 }}$ |  |
|  | $\sum_{\text {kis ne }} \underbrace{S}$ |  | $\sum_{\text {kas ne }} V^{S}$ |  |
| With ${ }^{\text {¢ nü }}$ | $\underset{\text { kínũ }}{\substack{\text { un }}}$ |  | $\underset{\text { kånū̃ }}{\substack{\text { ưo }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kánữ } \\ & \text { kün } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | كنو |  |  |  |



pàlak kí-ne dekh-ī e
future[F] who.0BL-ERG see.PP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Who has seen the future?' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 124)

The interrogative adjective ${ }^{\text {harn }}$ /kérā/ 'who, what, which' is also frequently used substantively as a pronoun, meaning 'who'. It has the same distribution as the interrog-
 number as well as case. See Example 6.33.
(6.33)

ó kér-iy $\quad$ ã
those who-PL.F be.PRES.3.PL
'Who are they (those girls/women)?' (Pj) (EB)

### 6.5.3 Saraiki interrogative pronouns

Table 6.9 and Table 6.10 list the Saraiki personal and impersonal interrogative pronouns. The nasalization present in كيّ/k K /k/, the oblique singular form of $/$ /kaon/ 'who', disappears before the dative/accusative postposition $/ \mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{k} \tilde{\bar{u}} /$. Consequently, spelled-out forms with the dative/accusative postposition $/ \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{k} \tilde{\bar{u}} /$ and the genitive (possessive) form of the pronoun, are given. As in Panjabi, the interrogative adjective /keṛhā ~ kerhā/ frequently functions pronominally.

| Case/person-number | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | $\mathcal{Y}_{\text {kaon }}$ | ك |
| Oblique |  | $\underset{\text { kinhā~kinheñ }}{\substack{\text { كine }}}$ |
| Dative/accusative (marks some direct objects) |  |  |
| Genitive |  |  |

Table 6.9: Interrogative pronoun كو /kon (~kaon)/ 'who’ (Shackle 1976: 60)

| Case/person-number | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct (= nominative) | $\underset{\text { kyā }}{\underset{\text { Whā }}{ }}$ | $\underset{\text { kyā }}{\text { Kr }}$ |
| Oblique |  | $\underset{\text { kinhã }}{\text { كنما }}$ |

Table 6.10: Interrogative pronoun W. /kyā/ 'what'

Example 6.34 illustrates the direct case form of $/$ /kaon/ 'who'; 6.35 shows the oblique case form marking the agent of a transitive perfective ${ }^{12}$; and 6.36 shows the oblique form with a postposition.

كُ
kaon $\bar{a} \quad g æ$
who.DIR come go.PP.be.PRES+3SG.M
'Who has come?' (Sr) (UK)
(6.35)


тæс $\quad k a \tilde{\tilde{1}} / \mathbf{k} \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}} \quad j \bar{i}-t æ$
match(M) who.OBL win-PP-SG.M+be.PRES
'Who won the match?' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 46)
(6.36)

$e \quad$ knẽ.de kanũ ghi-d-ī
this who.OBL-GEN from take-PP-SG.F
'From whom did you buy this?' (Sr) (UK)

Sentence 6.37 shows ${ }_{\text {W. }} /$ kyā/ 'what’ as a direct object.
(6.37)

'What will you do if your flight is late?' (Sr) (UK)

### 6.6 Indefinite pronouns

The basic indefinite pronouns in all three languages begin with $/ \mathrm{k} /$, since they have developed from the OIA interrogatives. ${ }^{13}$

12 Nasir Abbas Syed suggests that this is Urdu-influenced usage. He suggests jītie and jittie 'won' as more characteristically Saraiki forms.
13 See Masica (1991: 255).

### 6.6.1 Hindko indefinite pronouns

The Hindko indefinite pronoun/adjective كُمُ /kuī/ 'a, some, any' is presented in Table 6.11. The form كسُ /isis/ appears to be an emphatic form of the oblique form, /bise/. Additionally, AWT gives the form /. /hire/ as an alternate for كـكـ.

| Case/Number | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Direct (= nominative) | kuī | 'a, some' |
| Oblique | vise $\sim$ vire | kúj |
|  | 'a, some' | 'some' |

Table 6.11: Indefinite pronoun/adjective كُ كُ /kuī/ ‘a, some, any

The direct form /kuī/ appears as the subject of an intransitive sentence in 6.38. The oblique form /Rise/ is used as the agent of the transitive verb 'steal' in the present perfect tense in example 6.39, and as the object of the dative postposition $\tilde{U} / \tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ in 6.40. Example 6.40 also illustrates the compound verb , / / de chord/ 'give-leave' in the future/presumptive perfect.

judõ tussī bimār éye-o tussã̃ ex- $\tilde{\tilde{q}}-\mathrm{e} \quad \tilde{a}$
when 2PL ill be.PST.PL.M-2PL 2PL.OBL see-INF-OBL DAT
kuī na й $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
anyone.DIR NEG come-PP.SG.M
'When you were ill, no one came to visit you.' (Hz) (AWT)

kise mer-ī mấj chupā-ī e
someone.OBL 1SG.GEN-SG.F buffalo.SG.F steal.PP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Someone has stolen my buffalo.' (Hz) (AWT)

tud zarūr kise $\tilde{a}$ kitāb de chor-ī
2SG.OBL definitely someone.OBL DAT book[F] give leave-PP.SG.F
ho-s-ī
be-FUT-3SG
'You must have given the book to someone.' (Hk) (AWT)

Examples 6.41 and 6.42 illustrate the use of indefinite pronouns with inclusive meaning .9. /jo kúj/ 'whatever' and . 3 . /jo vī ~ jo bīl/ 'whatever (at all)'

us jo.kúj ṭàk-eã bic dex-iyā báã
3SG.OBL whatever mountain-PL.OBL in see-PP.SG.M very
darāự- $\bar{a} \quad$ éy- $\bar{a}$
frightening-SG.M be.PST-SG.M
'Whatever he saw in the mountains was very frightening.' (Hk) (AWT)


| tud- $\tilde{a}$ | his | $\operatorname{tar} \tilde{a}$ | $n \tilde{\tilde{l}}$ | karnã | $c \dot{\bar{a}}-\bar{i}-d-\bar{a}$ | $p \dot{\tilde{a}} v \tilde{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SG.OBL-DAT | this | way | not | do.INF | want-PASS-IP-SG.M | whether |

lok jo.vī $\bar{a} x$-an
people whatever say-SBJV.3PL
'You shouldn't do like this, whatever people may say.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 6.6.2 Panjabi indefinite pronouns

Panjabi's two indefinite pronouns, كَكَ /koī/ 'anybody, anyone; somebody, someone'; and /kúj/ 'something', also function adjectivally. As a pronoun, كُّ /koī/ can be translated as 'anybody', 'anyone', ‘somebody', ‘someone', with non-specific indefinite meaning. Co-occuring with a negative element, it means 'no one' or 'nobody'. $/ \mathrm{koi} /$ and the negative element do not need to be immediately adjacent, and, in fact, are often found at a distance in the clause. As an adjective, كُك /koī/ means 'any' or 'some', and كُ. /kúj/ means 'some' with a partitive sense. In these cases, the adjective
must appear within its noun phrase, though not necessarily adjacent to the head noun. Example 6.43 illustrates $\mathcal{Z}_{\text {/ }} / \mathrm{koi} /$ in its pronominal function, and 6.44 in its adjectival use, both in direct case and oblique forms. See also Section 5.1.3.5.2 for more examples of adjectival use.

kamr-e vic koī n̄̆ $e$ room-OBL in anyone not be.PRES.3SG
'There isn't anyone in the room.' ( Pj ) (EB)
(6.44)


Example 6.45 shows /كُه /kúj/ ‘some, something' functioning as a pronoun, and 6.46 shows it functioning adjectivally (as a quantifier).

mer-e koḷ kûj nũ
1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL near something NEG+be.PRES.3SG
'I don't have anything.' (Pi) (EB)
(6.46)

sā-nūu kûj saxt fæsl-e kar-n-e pæn-g-e
1PL.OBL-DAT some hard decision-PL.M do-INF-PL.M fall-FUT-PL.M
'We will have to make some hard decisions.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 451) adverb meaning 'rather, somewhat'. Example 6.47 illustrates this.
66.6.
$\begin{array}{lllll}k a ̄ k a \\ a & \text { kúj } v a d ̣-\bar{a} & \text { ho } & g a-y \bar{a} \quad e\end{array}$
little.boy somewhat big-SG.M become go.PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'The (little) boy has gotten a bit bigger.' (Pj) (EB)

### 6.6.3 Saraiki indefinite pronouns

Saraiki has the same two types of basic indefinite pronoun as Hindko and Panjabi, the non-specific indefinite كُ كُ /kuī/ 'some, any; someone, anyone' and /kujh/ 'something'. Table 6.12 gives the direct and oblique forms of كُ كُم. /2, /kujh/ 'something' has only one form for direct and oblique, singular and plural.

| Case/person-number | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | ふुك kuī 'some, any (m.)' kaī 'some, any (f.)' |  |
| Oblique |  | كن̣ kinhã كنين <br> kinhẽ <br> U kaiyã 'several' |

Table 6.12: Saraiki indefinite pronoun كَك /kuī/ 'someone, anyone'

Pronominal use of Saraiki گُ كُ /kuī/ ‘someone, anyone’ is illustrated in 6.48, which includes both the direct and the oblique case forms.


Compound pronominal forms with كُوَ /kuī/ are: كُوَّ $/$ /kuī na kuī/ 'someone or





biyā kujh cah-ī-d- $\bar{a} \quad e$
more anything want-PASS-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Do (you) need/want anything else? (lit. Is anything else needed?)' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 53)

### 6.7 Relative pronouns

In all three languages two forms are employed as relative pronouns. One is the pronominal form $9 . / \mathrm{jo} /$ 'who, which', which is common also to Urdu and Hindi. The forms of 9. /jo/ 'who, which' in the three languages are shown in Table 6.13 (below). The sec-


 ("black") adjective whose forms have previously been given for all three languages in Table 5.14. ${ }^{15}$ Examples of various types of relative clauses in all three languages will be found in Chapter 9. The forms of the Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki relative pronoun 9 . /jo/ 'who, which' are found in Table 6.13 below.

Regarding the Saraiki forms in Table 6.13, notice that the nasalization of the singular oblique form tends to be omitted preceding the dative-accusative postposition ك /kũ/. Forms marked with ** are from our consultant, UK. Otherwise, the forms are from Shackle (1976: 60). The form جְت /jit/ oblique sg. of 9 ./jo/occurs in our small corpus with the postpositions $ل \cdot /$ nāl/ 'with' and $\mathfrak{e}!$ /ic/ 'in'.

[^37]| Case/ Number | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hindko |  |  |
| Direct | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g. } \\ & \text { jo } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { و. } \\ & \text { jo } \end{aligned}$ |
| Oblique | jis | U jínã |
| Panjabi |  |  |
| Direct | $\begin{aligned} & \text { و. } \\ & \text { jo } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { و } \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { jo } \end{aligned}$ |
| Oblique |  | , تنما jínã |
| Saraiki |  |  |
| Direct | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g. } \\ & \text { jo } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { و. } \\ & \text { jo } \end{aligned}$ |
| Oblique |  | ** <br> jinhã̃~jinhẽ** |
| Genitive | بينر\| <br> jæ̃dā | جنمال, jinhã̃ dā |
| Dative/accusative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { jækū̃ } \\ & \text { joun } \end{aligned}$ | そinh |

Table 6.13: Relative pronoun 9 . /jo/ 'who, which' in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki

### 6.8 Pronominal suffixes

Affixal elements which index (or point to) sentence elements that can also be expressed with separate personal or demonstrative pronouns are referred to here as pronominal suffixes. Pronominal suffixes have a number of functions in several South Asian languages (see Emeneau 1980). In the languages examined here, they appear in the following functions, indexing:

- accusative-marked direct object
- agent of perfective transitive verbs
- indirect object (dative)
- possessor
- "dative subject"/ "ethical dative"
- addressee

Pronominal suffixes, sometimes referred to as pronominal clitics because of their phonological behavior, are a feature which used to be more widespread and were frequently used in the continuum of languages once named "Lahnda". They were not found in eastern varieties of Panjabi. ${ }^{16}$ Now, however, some use of pronominal suffixes is found in the Panjabi of Lahore, which, as discussed earlier, has incorporated some features of more westerly varieties and of Hindko and Saraiki. Since the pronominal suffix system has its fullest expression in Saraiki, the pronominal suffixes for Saraiki will be discussed first, followed by Panjabi, and then by Hindko.

### 6.8.1 Pronominal suffixes in Saraiki

The system of pronominal suffixes is one of the most distinctive elements of Saraiki. Shackle (1976: 101) presents an extensive discussion of pronominal suffixes as they were in use at that time. He identifies two sets of suffixes: a direct set appearing when the argument indexed has a direct case function, and an oblique set appearing when the argument indexed has an oblique case function. These are shown here in Table 6.14 (based on Shackle 1976: 103).

The existence of the direct forms means that in sentences with first- or secondperson subjects, direct-case arguments too can be indicated by a pronominal suffix as well as by independent pronouns, as in 6.53. This is a key difference between Saraiki and both Panjabi and Hindko, where only oblique arguments can be indexed by pronominal suffixes. Importantly, (i) there are no third-person direct forms, and (ii) direct and oblique forms differ only in the second-person singular. The direct forms are used only in those tenses which consist of a bare participle, that is the simple perfect (= perfective participle) and the simple irrealis (= imperfective participle). Their most frequent occurrence is with the simple perfect (conveying past time reference), as in example 6.54, in which the pronominal suffix expresses the direct-case subject 'we' of a perfective intransitive.

First and second-person arguments that are indexed by pronominal suffixes are necessarily human; regarding the third-person suffixes, Shackle (1976: 150) says that they "normally relate to persons or animates". Example 6.50 illustrates the use of the

16 Their use in "Lahnda", Sindhi, Pashto and some of the Dardic languages has been discussed in the wider geographical context of a South Asian linguistic area in Emeneau (1980).

| Person | Case | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | Direct | -m | -se |
|  | Oblique | -m | -se |
| 2nd | Direct | -õ | -he / -ve |
|  | Oblique |  | -he / -ve |
| 3rd | Direct | none | none |
|  | Oblique | -s | -ne |

Table 6.14: Saraiki pronominal suffixes
third person singular suffix to index a non-human animate. For example, in response to the question, "Have you seen my buffalo?", sentence 6.50 can occur. Sentence 6.50 expresses both the first-person agent ' $I$ ' and the third-person object 'it' with pronominal suffixes; the suffix for the agent precedes the suffix for the object.

diṭh-im-is
see.PP-PS1SG-PS3SG
'I saw it.' (Sr) (Nasir Abbas Syed, p.c.)

Although pronominal suffixes are usually used to refer to animate entities, it is possible for the third person suffix to refer to an inanimate object in a situation which involves strong emotional affect of a speaker, either negative or positive. An example scenario is the following. Suppose a thorny bush near the doorway of a person's house repeatedly catches and rips his clothes and pricks him, so he cuts it down angrily. One day his neighbor asks him, "What happened to your bush?" The person might reply as in example 6.51:

'It (the bush) was tormenting me every day. I struck it (with an) axe (and) cut it down once and for all.' (Sr) (Nasir Abbas Syed, p.c.)

According to (Shackle 1976: 101), in Saraiki these suffixes attach only to finite verb forms. Shackle contrasts this with the situation in Sindhi, where pronominal suffixes can attach to certain nouns and postpositions. Wilson (1899), writing about the Panjabi of Shahpur (District Sargodha), gives examples of pronominal suffixes referring to a genitive argument and replacing the present tense of 'be', as in 6.52.

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { ghar } & \text { kithe } & \text { ne } \\ \text { house } & \text { where } & \text { PS3PL }\end{array}$
'Where is their house?' (Sr) (Wilson 1899: 24)

Some simple sentences can have two types of expression: (1) all major constituents are expressed with full pronouns, as in 6.53 , or (2) a major constituent is expressed by a pronominal suffix, as in 6.54 , in which the first-person plural suffix $\leftharpoonup /$-se/ expresses the subject, 'we', of this intransitive sentence. Full pronouns and pronominal suffixes referring to the same argument can co-occur in perfective tenses of intransitives. Thus in sentences like 6.54, it is also possible (but rarely done) to include the full pronoun اس اس /assã̃/ 'we'.
(6.53)
$\sum_{i}^{z}$
ass $\boldsymbol{\tilde { a }}$ multān $g æ$
we Multan go.PP.PL.M
'We went to Multan.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 147)

multān gy-ose
Multan go.PP-PS1PL
'We went to Multan.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 151)

With transitives, however, a full pronoun and a pronominal suffix referring to the same argument do not co-occur. Examples with the transitive verb آكّ /ākhan// 'to say', are shown as 6.55 and 6.56. These transitive examples are significant in that they show a perfective tense of a transitive verb marked for the agent, thus in effect "agreeing" with the agent of the sentence. This complicates the picture of (split-) ergativity in South Asian languages.
(6.55)

'I said.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 15)

àkh-i-us
say-PP-PS3SG
'He/she said.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 118)

Example 6.57, with the intransitive verb ُُرُّ /ṭuraṇ/ 'to walk, go, leave' is constructed in the same way as 6.55 .
(6.57)

```
رُ,وُوم
țur-i-um
walk-PP-PS1SG
```

'I left.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 103)

Examples 6.58-6.62 show a few possible combinations of the transitive verb van/ 'to drink' and various combinations of masculine or feminine direct object with first, second, and third-person subjects (agents). In each of these examples, all of which are in the (present) perfect form, the pronominal suffix refers to the subject (agent). The gender of the direct object is indicated on the perfective participle.

pit-u-m
drink-PP.SG.M-PS1SG
'I drank it (SG.M.OBJECT)' (Sr) (Nasir Abbas Syed, p.c.)
(6.59)

pit-a-ī
drink-PP.SG.M-PS2SG
'You (sg.) drank it (SG.M.OBJECT).' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 105)
(6.60)

pitt-æ-s
drink-PP.SG.M-PS3SG
'He/she/it drank it (SG.M.OBJECT)' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 105)
(6.61)

pit-i-m
drink-PP.SG.F-PS1SG
'I have drunk it (SG.F.OBJECT)' (Sr) (Nasir Abbas Syed, p.c.)
(6.62)

pit-in-is
drink-PP.PL.M-PS3SG
'He/she/it drank them (PL.M.OBJECT).' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 105)

Some recent examples include 6.63 and 6.64 , both of which include two pronominal suffixes. In 6.63 the suffix $/ \mathrm{m} /$ referring to the agent ' I ', precedes the suffix $/ \overline{\mathrm{l}} /$ which indexes the indirect object 'you'. Notice that here the verb is marked with the subject and indirect object, but not the direct object, which in this sentence is expressed with the full pronoun $ا$ اوكو /ū-kū/. In 6.64 a first-person agent, 'we’, affects a third-person indirect object, 'them'. As in 6.63, the suffix expressing the agent precedes the one expressing the indirect object. In this recent example, the second person singular argument is expressed by both the full pronoun
 show a weakening of the earlier constraint on co-occurrence of both full pronouns and pronominal suffixes. If, however, it is perceived as a goal of motion, this comment would not apply.

$\bar{u}-k \tilde{\tilde{u}} \quad t \tilde{\mathscr{E}} \quad$ kan-e paṭhiè-m-ī
3SG-ACC 2SG.OBL vicinity-LOC send.PP-PS1SG-PS2SG
'I sent him/her to you.' (Sr) (UK)

$\bar{a} p n ̣-\bar{\imath} \quad k a ̄ l-\bar{\imath} \quad$ bakr-ī dikh-āl-ī-se-ne
REFL.GEN-SG.F black-SG.F goat-SG.F see-CS-PP.SG.F-PS1PL-PS3PL
'We showed them our black goat.' (Sr) (UK)

There is, in addition to language change since Shackle's time, apparently considerable dialectal and idiolectal variation in the use of Saraiki pronominal suffixes. For example, Nasir Abbas Syed does not accept the use of both pronominal suffixes in 6.64, and offers the following sentence for the same meaning, in which only the pronominal suffix for the agent appears: آْنَ /āpnī kalī bakrī dikhāī se /. Such questions require fresh detailed research specifically focused on dialectal variation in the usage of pronominal suffixes.

Pronominal suffixes indexing (potentially accusative marked) direct objects are relatively rarer. Examples $6.65,6.66$, and $6.67^{17}$ are three such instances. ${ }^{18}$

māri-ŏ/um-is
beat/kill.PP-PS1SG-PS3SG
'I beat/killed him/her/it.' (Sr) (Nasir Abbas Syed, p.c.)

```
6
mār-is
```

beat/kill.IMP.SG-PS3SG
'Beat/kill him/her/it!' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 102)

diṭh-om-is
see.PP-PS1SG-PS3SG
'I saw him.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 152)

The dative subject of a gerundive may also appear as a pronominal suffix, as in example 6.68, where the experiencer, i.e. the person who had to go somewhere, is indexed by the third-person singular suffix/-s/.

[^38]
kidāhū vãf-ṇā ha-s
somewhere go-GRDV.SG.M be.PST-PS3SG
'He/she had to go somewhere.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 151), cited from Lashari (1971:3))

A pronominal suffix may index a genitive argument, as in 6.70 , which expresses the same meaning as 6.69.

'Have both of his daughters come?' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 152)

dūhe dhiy $\tilde{a} \quad \bar{a} i y-\tilde{a} \quad h a ̄ n-i s$
both daughter.PL.F come.PP-PL.F be.PST.3PL-PS3SG
'Have both of his daughters come?’ (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 152), cited from Lashari (1971:3))

Sentence 6.71 shows a pronominal suffix cliticized to the negative particle. At first glance, this appears at variance with the statement by Shackle (1976: 101) that pronominal suffixes attach only to finite verbs. However, Shackle (1976: 107) discusses "personal negative forms clearly cognate with the pronominal suffixes." Example 6.71 ap-
 as a negative verb '-is/are not', then this is also an instance of cliticization to the verb auxiliary. The formation of negative auxiliaries in Saraiki is discussed by Shackle (1976: 107).

$t æ-k \tilde{u} \quad \tilde{u} \quad$ kane kæna-mā paṭh-iyā
2SG-ACC 3SG.OBL near NEG-PS1SG send-PP.SG.M
'I did not send you to him.' (Sr) (UK)

Shackle (1976: 101) noted that the use of pronominal suffixes seemed at that time to be decreasing in formal speech and writing. The extent to which the trend he observed has continued is a topic for further research. Our hypothesis is that the trend will have accelerated, probably more in urban than in rural areas, but we have no quantitative data to support this idea.

### 6.8.2 Pronominal suffixes in Panjabi

Since most published descriptions of Panjabi to date have been of eastern varieties, the use of pronominal suffixes in Panjabi has been one of its least documented phenomena. While pronominal suffixes are one of the most distinctive features of Saraiki; they also appear, though to a lesser extent, in Hindko and the more westerly dialects of Panjabi, including the districts of Sialkot, Gujranwala, Lahore, Gujrat, and Ferozepur (Cummings and Bailey 1912). Cummings and Bailey note specifically that their work does not describe the Panjabi of the Sikhs. Bhatia (1993) notes that pronominal suffixes are not found in Majhi. However, he lists forms he says are found in the Panjabi of Shahpur, in Sargodha District (about 253 kilometers northwest of Lahore). Akhtar (1997), a study on pronominal suffixes in Panjabi, is based on the dialect of Gujrat District (about 116 kilometers north-northwest of Lahore), and the Panjabi-speaking informant mentioned by Butt (2007: 2) was originally from Hafizabad (also about 116 kilometers northwest of Lahore).

The appearance of pronominal suffixes in Lahore Panjabi is one effect of the increasing inflow of people from other parts of Punjab and Pakistan into this urban center. In today's Panjabi in Lahore, these suffixes occur sporadically and only in the second and third persons (see Table 6.15). The forms that occur are considerably mixed, including forms previously found only in more westerly varieties of Panjabi or in Saraiki. This situation has significantly complicated their documentation and description.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd |  | 2 je |
| 3rd |  | $j$ ne |

Table 6.15: Pronominal suffixes found in Lahore Panjabi ${ }^{19}$

There are questions about how to classify these pronominal elements; most are attached at the end of words and thus are referred to as suffixes. However, in future tense forms they appear between the verb stem and the future morpheme $/ \mathrm{g} /$. In some cases

19 These forms are attested in Cummings and Bailey (1912), Akhtar (1997), Akhtar (1999), Butt (2007), and Bashir and Kazmi (2012). Those noted with (CB) are found only in Cummings and Bailey.
they appear to function as separate words, while in other cases they are phonologically closely bound to their hosts and can be considered clitics. Despite these differences in how they appear, we are labelling these elements consistently as "PSperson.number". But their appearance sometimes as clitics and sometimes as what seem to be separate words demands further analysis. The use of pronominal suffixes is idiomatic but entirely non-obligatory.

There is variation among speakers and age groups in the frequency and distribution of the pronominal suffixes. In general, they are more frequently used by older persons or those with rural backgrounds. Akhtar (1997) confirms the use of $\zeta /-\overline{1} /$, , " $/-\mathrm{s}(\overline{\mathrm{u}}) /, \overbrace{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{je} /$, and $\dot{\mathcal{L}} / \mathrm{ne} /$, but makes no mention of the other forms reported by Cummings and Bailey, a much older source. The most recent work on this topic, Butt (2007), additionally confirms the use of $/ /-\bar{a} /$ and $/$ ولü/ by a Lahore Panjabi speaker from a family originally from Hafizabad. Neither Butt nor Akhtar document $\sqrt{ } /-\bar{i} /$ or $U \mathrm{~m} / / \overline{\tilde{1}} /$ as second-person singular suffixes; however Bashir and Kazmi (2012) provide several examples of $\mathcal{C} /-\bar{i} /$, (see examples below); and $U^{-1} / /-\tilde{\tilde{1}} /$ appears frequently, functioning to attract the attention of an addressee.

It appears that the current (2018) situation is simpler than that described by Cummings and Bailey (1912), in which the form used depended on several factors, including the number and person of the subject of the verb. ${ }^{20}$ While the second and thirdperson singular suffixes are usually written attached to verb stems, the plural suffixes ${\underset{\sim}{2} / \mathrm{je} / \text { and }}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ /ne/ are mostly written as separate orthographic words. In contemporary Panjabi they do not index direct-case arguments (subject or unmarked direct object), and (unlike Saraiki and Sindhi) only second and third-person forms are found (Table 6.15).

Interpretation of reference and grammatical function is straightforward in most cases. Since there are no first-person forms, we only have to deal with second and thirdperson arguments. Second-person forms can fulfill any of the six functions listed above (at the beginning of Section 6.8), and in many cases seem to perform more than one role simultaneously. Interpretation of third-person forms is relatively simpler, since they cannot index an addressee.

[^39]One function of pronominal suffixes is to indicate a direct object of the verb, provided that it is one which would, if expressed with a full pronoun, be marked with the accusative postposition $\dot{J} / \mathrm{n} \tilde{\tilde{u}} /$, as in 6.72, the meaning of which is expressed with a pronominal suffix in 6.73 . Akhtar $(1997: 3,5)$ shows that a non-accusative marked direct object can not be indexed by the pronominal suffix سُو/sū/. Thus سُو /sū/in 6.74 cannot be used to refer to a direct case object, like 'a book'.

| فاور |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| fawād-ne | ó-nũ $\quad m a \bar{a}-i y \bar{a}$ |
| Fawad-ERG | 3.SG.OBL-ACC beat-PP.SG.M |
| Fawad be | m/her.' (Pi) (Akhtar 1997: 4) |

فواو (6.73) (
fawad-ne mār-iyā-s( $\bar{u})$
Fawad-ERG beat-PP.SG.M-3SG
'Fawad beat him/her.' (Pj) (Akhtar 1997: 4)
(6.74)

${ }^{*}$ fawād ne sumbal nũ ditt-ī sū
Fawad ERG Sumbal DAT give.PP-SG.F PS3SG
‘*Fawad gave it to Sumbal.' (Pj) (Akhtar 1997: 4)

Pronominal suffixes can also mark the agent of transitive verbs, as in $6.75,6.76$, and 6.77.

| darxāst | de | dit-ī | nẽ | te | ikk | hafte | $b \bar{a} d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| application[F] | give | give.PP-SG.F | PS3PL | and | one | week | after |
| jawāb mil- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| reply[M] be.r | ceived | SBJV.3SG-FUT- | SG.M |  |  |  |  |

'He has submitted the application and will receive an answer in a week. ${ }^{21}$ ( Pj )
(Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 594)

21 The third-person plural is used here with deferential reference to a single, specific male individual. Otherwise, without specific context, it could also mean 'they' or 'she'.

jā-ṇ tõ pǽl $\begin{gathered}\tilde{a} \\ \text { tuàded-e kol-õ puch-iyā sū }\end{gathered}$
go-INF ABL before 2SG.GEN-OBL vicinity-ABL ask-PP.SG.M PS3SG
‘Did she/he ask you before going?' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 377)

mæ-nū é xabar āp-e das-ī sū 1SG-DAT this news[F] REFL-EMPH tell.PP-SG.F PS3SG
'She/he herself/himself told me the news.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 377)

Pronominal suffixes can refer unambiguously to an indirect object, as in example 6.78.

xabar mil ga-ī nẽ
news[F] be.received go.PP-SG.F PS3PL
‘Have they gotten the news?’ (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 594)

Since pronominal suffixes can refer to an agent (ergative subject), as in 6.79, or an indirect object, as in 6.80, if neither agent nor indirect object is expressed, as in 6.81, the pronominal suffix can refer to either of these two arguments and the meaning must be disambiguated by context.

sumbal nū̃ katāb ditt-i-s( $\bar{u})$
Sumbal DAT book[F] give.PP-SG.F-PS3SG
'She/he gave a book to Sumbal' (pi) (Akhtar 1997: 2)

فوار
fawad-ne katāb ditt-i-s( $\bar{u})$
Fawad-ERG book[F] give.PP-SG.F-PS3SG
'Fawad gave her/him a book.' (Pi) (Akhtar 1997: 2)

katāb ditt-ī-s(ū)
book.SG.F give.PP-SG.F-PS3SG
'He/she gave the book (to someone).'
'(Someone) gave the book to him/her.' (Pi) (Akhtar 1997: 2)

A genitive argument ("possessor") can also be indexed by a pronominal suffix, as in (6.82), where $\boldsymbol{\sigma} / \mathrm{m} \overline{\mathrm{u}} /$ refers to the person whose sons work.


```
mũd-e kamm kar-d-e-s( \(\bar{u})\)
boy-PL work do-IP-PL.M-PS3SG
```

‘His/her sons work.' (Pi) (Akhtar 1997: 4)

In $6.83 \mathrm{gr} / \mathrm{su} /$ seems clearly to indicate an "ethical dative", i.e. a person affected by an event or circumstance.

pùl ho ga-ī $\boldsymbol{s}(\bar{u})$
mistake[F] be go.PP-SG.F PS3SG
'She/he made a mistake (or forgot).' (Pj) (Akhtar 1997: 9)

Perhaps the most common usage of the second-person elements today in Panjabi is to gain the attention of an addressee. In the contemporary language (2019), the secondperson singular form $/ / \overline{\mathcal{V}} /$ is frequently encountered in this function. In example 6.84, the suffix indexes the addressee and possibly an implied "possessor."

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { mẽ puch ra-î } \tilde{a} \quad \text { ki bastā kitthe ī }  \tag{6.84}\\
& \text { 1SG ask CONT.II-SG.F be.PRES.1SG that book.bag where PS2SG } \\
& \text { 'I(F) am asking you where your book bag is.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 72) }
\end{align*}
$$

The interpretation of sentences 6.85 and 6.86 is complex. Since both are questions, addressed to a second person 'you', the second-person singular element $1 / \overline{\mathrm{i}} /$ simultaneously indexes the addressee and the agent in 6.85, or the addressee and the "ethical dative" argument in 6.86.

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { katāb } & \text { læṇ } & \text { tõ } & \text { pǽl } \tilde{a} & \text { ó-n } \tilde{u} & d a s-i y \bar{a} & \overline{\mathbf{l}} \\ \text { book } & \text { take.INF.OBL } & \text { ABL } & \text { before } & \text { 3SG-DAT } & \text { tell-PP.SG.M } & \text { PS2SG }\end{array}$
'Did you tell him before taking the book?' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 72)

bas klīnar ne puch-iyā māī kitthe jā-ṇā i
bus cleaner ERG ask-PP.SG.M old.lady where go-INF PS2SG
'The bus cleaner asked, "Old lady, where do you want to go?"’22 (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 72)

In examples 6.87, 6.88, and 6.89, indexing the addressee, the second-person plural suffix $\sum_{?} / \mathrm{je} /$ directs the question to the addressee, who is also the agent of the action.

sāriy $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad c i \bar{z}-\tilde{a} \quad$ sūṭkes ic rakh li-yã-je
all.PL.F thing-PL.F suitcase in put take-PP.PL.F-PS2PL
'Have you (pl.) put everything in the suitcase?' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 232)


| $m \tilde{æ}$ | $t a ̄ r i ̄ f$ | $k a r-d-e \tilde{a}$ | $k y-\bar{a}$ | $b a r-\bar{\imath}$ | sóṇ-ī |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | praise | do-IP-SG.M.OBL | say.PP-SG.M | very-SG.F | pretty-SG.F |

cāddar l-ā̀ ho-ī je
shawl[F] take-PP.SG.F be-PP.SG.F PS2PL
'I said admiringly, "You are wearing a very pretty shawl."' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 232)

22 Panjabi ல́ $/$ /māī/ ‘old lady’ (< the root for 'mother', 'elder woman') does not have the somewhat negative and rude connotation that 'old lady' or 'old woman' do in English.

## 

oe bacc-eo lethe kí kit-ā ie
hey child-PL.VOC here what do.PP-SG.M PS2PL
‘Hey kids, what have you done here?’ (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 232)

When the second-person addressee is not the subject/agent of the clause, the pronominal element has different overlapping functions, as in $6.90,6.91,6.92$, and 6.93 , where 2./je/ functions simultaneously to attract the attention of the addressee, and to index a possessor or "ethical dative" (cf. "dative subject"), as in 6.90. Example 6.90 is from 1904, but the same type is still found today, as in 6.91 .
(6.90)

kif ie
what PS2PL
'What has happened to you?'
'I ask you what has happened.' (Pi) (Bailey 1904b: 22)
(6.91)

te agalī vārī puttar kadõ ā-ve-gā ie and next time son when come-SBJV.3SG-FUT.SG.M PS2PL
'So, when will your (pl.) son come next time?' (pip) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 232)
(6.92)

kál talk gaḍ̣̂̄ think ho jā-e-g-ì sur tomorrow by car[F] fixed become go-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.F PS3SG 'Will his/her car be fixed by tomorrow?' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 377)

hor line din-ã̃-c kà̀r muk
more how.many day-PL.OBL-in house be.finished
$j \bar{a}-e-\bar{g}-\bar{a}-\boldsymbol{n} \tilde{e}$
go-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M-PS3PL
'In how many more days will their house will be finished?' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 594)

The second-person singular element $\mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{m}} / / \overline{\tilde{1}} /$ raises interesting questions. It may be that this form is in free variation with $\mathcal{J} / \overline{\mathrm{i}} /$, as suggested in Bailey (1904b: 20). However, another possible analysis of $\cup \cdot / / / \overline{\tilde{1}} /$ emerges from the fact that it appears in several published grammars analyzed as a "singular polite imperative" ending (Bailey 1904b: 43); Cummings and Bailey 1912: 77; Gill and Gleason 1969: 37; Bhatia 1993: 35; Singh et al. 2011: 82). (See also Section 8.4.4.1.2) This apparent reanalysis of a secondperson singular pronominal suffix (with the addition of nasalization) as an imperative verbal ending is consistent with Butt's (2004: 23) proposed diachronic scenario, in which pronominal suffixes are in the process of being integrated into the verbal paradigm as agreement markers. ${ }^{23}$ Further evidence in support of this hypothesis is Bailey's (1904: 43) statement that the plural polite imperative in /ěo/ ~/iyo/ is nearly



This is an extremely common usage, interpreted by an addressee as a simple imperative followed by an attention-getting suffix, as in 6.94.


```
vekh-ī́ \(\quad\) - ṭuṭ na jā-ve
look-PS2SG - break NEG go-SBJV.3SG
‘Watch out - don’t let it break!' (Pj) (EB)
```

These pronominal elements are not always suffixed to the verb root. Two important cases involve (i) negative sentences, and (ii) future tense forms. In the negative sen-
 to the behavior of the past tense auxiliary in negative sentences (see Chapter 9 on this point).

```
ك%\mp@code{<MN}
```



```
work NEG-PS3SG do.PP-SG.M
```

'She/he did not do the work.'
'(someone) did not do the work for him/her. ${ }^{25}$ (Pj) (Akhtar 1997: 7)

23 Ali Hussain Birahimani has independently proposed (p.c.) such an analysis for some of the Saraiki negative forms of 'be' that appear in some of our paradigms.
 an instance of cliticization to the verb auxiliary. The formation of negative auxiliaries in Saraiki is discussed by Shackle (1976: 107).
25 The suffix here can refer either to the agent or to the ethical dative object.


2SG.OBL-DAT any letter[M] NEG-PS3SG give.PP-SG.M
'Didn't he/she give you any letter?’ (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 377)
(6.97)

$m \tilde{\not r}$ kamm n $n \overline{\tilde{I}}-s \bar{u} \quad k i ̄ t-\bar{a}$
1SG work NEG-PS3SG do.PP-SG.M
'I didn't do his/her work / the work for him/her. ${ }^{266}$ (Pj)

Another case when a pronominal affix need not attach to a verb is illustrated in 6.98, where $\quad$ / / /sū/ follows the interrogative word $/$ /kíl 'what' in an emphatic word order in a rhetorical question with negative implication.

láb-iyā kí sū
find-PP.SG.M what PS3SG
'What did s/he get?!'27 (Pj) (www/sanjhaPunjab.net/ghazal-qamar-uzzaman/)

In future tense forms, as in examples 6.100, 6.101, and 6.102 the pronominal affix can appear between the verb stem and the future suffix $\overline{6} / g \bar{a} /$. Notice that in this case the pronominal affix referring to the direct object replaces the (subject-agreeing) subjunctive morpheme which usually appears between the stem and the future morpheme 6 /gā/. Compare 6.99, the usual contemporary Panjabi expression, with 6.100. Example 6.99 expresses the direct object with the full second-person pronoun in the oblique
 /marã̃-gā/ 'I will beat/kill’ agrees with the first person singular subject. In 6.100, however, the second-person pronominal suffix اول / / / $/$ / 'PS.2SG’ indicates the direct object

26 The suffix refers to either the possessor or the ethical dative argument. Example adapted from Butt (2007: 14).
27 Implied meaning: 'S/he did not get anything.'
of the verb 'kill', that is, 'you'. ${ }^{28}$ In Bailey's time (1925) appearance of both the full pronoun and the pronominal suffix did not occur, hence the unacceptability of 6.101 at that time. This was apparently still the case in 1997, as Akhtar says: "The affixation of the formative $/-s(\overline{\mathrm{u}}) /$ is prohibited when all the constituents are in their places." We understand this to mean that a full pronoun and $/ \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{su} /$ do not co-occur.

$m \tilde{æ} \quad \boldsymbol{t æ}-n \tilde{u} \quad m \bar{u} r-\tilde{\tilde{a}}-g-\bar{a}$
1SG 2SG.OBL-ACC beat-SBJV.1SG-FUT-SG.M
'I (M) will beat/(kill) you.' (Pj) (EB)
(6.100)

```
\(m \tilde{\mathscr{Z}} \quad m \bar{a} r-\tilde{u}-g-\bar{a}\)
```

1SG kill-PS2SG-FUT-SG.M
'I (M) will beat/kill you.' (Pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 349)
(6.101)
"ي تيون ارونگا
${ }^{*}$ m̃ $\boldsymbol{t æ}-n \tilde{u} \quad \operatorname{mar}-\tilde{u}-g-\bar{a}$
1SG 2SG-ACC beat-PS2SG-FUT-SG.M
Not: ‘I will beat you.' (Pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 349)

A modern example, 6.102, shows the same order of elements in future tense forms as earlier reported by Cummings \& Bailey.

xat mil-sū-g- $\bar{a}$ te foran
letter[M] be.received-PS3SG-FUT-SG.M then immediately $\bar{a}-v e-g-\bar{a}$
come-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M
'He will come immediately when he gets the letter.' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 377)

[^40]Co-occurrence of pronominal suffixes with auxiliaries is another area which needs exploration. The contemporary examples 6.104 and 6.105 show that the element $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{s} /$ does not co-occur with the present tense auxiliary (compare 6.103). Additionally, Bailey 1904b: 20-22 has many examples in which the pronominal suffix, in its function of indicating an addressee, appears to make a present tense auxiliary redundant, e.g. 6.106.

فواو اروا الـ (6.103)
fawād mār-d- $\bar{a} \quad e$
Fawad beat-PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Fawad beats him/her/it.' (Pj) (Akhtar 1997: 8)
فوار ارواسُو (6.104)
fawād mār-d- $\bar{a} \quad \boldsymbol{s}(\bar{u})$
Fawad beat-PP-SG.M PS3SG
'Fawad beats him/her/it.' (Pj) (Akhtar 1997: 8)
(6.105)

فواو
${ }^{*}$ fawād mār-d- $\bar{a} \quad e \quad \boldsymbol{s}(\bar{u})$
Fawad beat-PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG PS3SG
'*Fawad beats him/her.' ( Pj ) (Akhtar 1997: 8)
(6.106)

kitthe i
where PS2SG
'(I ask thee) where is it?' (Pi) (Bailey 1904b: 21)

Pronominal suffixes could, however, in 1904 co-occur with past tense auxiliaries, as shown in example 6.107. Whether this sentence type is still possible requires investigation. ${ }^{29}$
(6.107)

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { kitthe } & j \bar{a}-n \bar{a} & s \bar{a} & \text { je } \\ \text { where } & \text { go-INF } & \text { be.3SG.PST } & \text { PS2PL }\end{array}$
'Where did you have to go? ${ }^{30}$ (Pj) (Bailey 1904b: 22)

29 In Butt's (2007) discussion of pronominal suffixes in Panjabi, following Akhtar (1997: 6) who characterizes arguments represented by the suffix ${ }^{\text {m / / sū/ as "unstressed", she proposes that }}$ pronominal suffixes in Panjabi represent backgrounded information.
30 Before the pronominal affix, $\mathcal{V} / \mathrm{si} /$ becomes $L /$ / $\bar{a} /($ Cummings and Bailey 1912: 11). This equals the modern sentence /tusã̃ ~ tuànũ kitthe jāṇā sī./

### 6.8.3 Pronominal suffixes in Hindko

Some uses of pronominal suffixes are attested in contemporary Abbottabad Hindko, though their use appears to be decreasing. Most of our attested examples involve the third-person singular suffix $\cup / \mathrm{s} /$, which is cognate with the third-person singular oblique suffix of Saraiki and the affix $\boldsymbol{g} / \mathrm{s} \overline{\mathrm{u}} /$ used in Panjabi. In all of these languages U/s/ occurs in oblique functions. According to discussions with people in Abbottabad in 1989, there are no pronominal suffixes in use for first and second persons, or for third-person plural. However, 6.109 seems to involve a third person plural pronominal suffix $\mathcal{L} /$-ne/ indexing the third-person plural agent 'they'. Also, 6.108 seems to include the second person suffix ا/א///, functioning either to address someone or to index the agent 'you(SG)'.

kæ $\bar{a} x$-iyā ī
what say-PP.SG.M PS2SG
'What did you say?!' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 99)
(6.109)

as $\tilde{a}$ kàr-õ kad chor-iyā éyā-ne
1PL.OBL house-ABL remove leave-PP.SG.M be.PST.SG.M-PS3PL
'They threw us out of the house.' (Hk) (AWT)

In sentence 6.110, the pronominal suffix $\mathcal{U} / \mathrm{s} /$ appears only if its referent is not present in the speech act situation. The absence of pronominal suffixes for first and secondperson arguments in Hindko may be related to this constraint. ${ }^{31}$ Note that in Saraiki (ca. 1976), it was possible for a full pronoun and a pronominal suffix referring to the same individual to co-occur, but generally only with the direct suffix and the perfective participle of intransitive verbs, as in 6.54 above. Contrast this situation with the constraint mentioned by Cummings and Bailey (1912: 349) and illustrated in example 6.101 above.

31 It may be that the third person pronominal suffix can be characterized as functioning (only) anaphorically.

baṛī sợ̣̂i kitāb likh-ī-s
very nice book[F] write-PP.SG.F-PS3SG
'He/she (absent) wrote a very fine book.' (Hk) (AWT)

In examples 6.111, 6.112, and 6.113, $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{s} /$ is the third-person singular suffix indexing an agent. In 6.112 and 6.113, it indexes the agent function in the correlative (matrix) clause. ${ }^{32}$ Example 6.113 should be compared to example 9.43, both of which are Hindko renderings of the same sentence, 6.113 in 1989, and 9.43 in 2015. Note that the earlier sentence 6.113 uses the pronominal suffix, while the later one (9.43) does not.

kapr-e jātk-e-ã lawā-e-s
clothes-PL.M boy-OBL-DAT put.on-PL.M-PS3SG
'She/he (previously mentioned, but now absent) put the clothes on the boy.' (Hk) (AWT)
(6.112)


that man who.OBL-ACC meet-CP 1SG home come-PP.SG.M+1SG
mer- $\bar{a} \quad$ kamm $\quad n \tilde{\imath} \quad$ kīt-ā-s
1SG.GEN-SG.M work.SG.M not do.PP-SG.M-PS3SG
'The man, whom after having met I came home, didn't do my work.' (Hk) (AWT)
(6.113)

ó-ī ādmī jis sāḍ-ī májj cupā-ī
that-EMPH man who.OBL 1PL.GEN-SG.F buffalo[F] steal.PP-SG.F
us grã̃- $\quad$ bic-õ kòr- $\bar{a} \quad$ cupā-yā-s
that.OBL village-LOC/OBL in-ABL horse-SG.M steal-PP.SG.M-PS3SG
'The same man who stole our buffalo stole a horse in that village.' (Hk) (EB field notes 1989)

32 In the standard relative-correlative structure, this function is accomplished by a correlative demonstrative pronoun (see Chapter 9).

In 6.114, 6.115, and 6.116 below, the third-person singular suffix $\mathcal{U} / \mathrm{s} /$ indexes the indirect object; and in 6.117 it indexes a "dative subject" (i.e. "ethical dative" if that category is employed). ${ }^{33}$

allah zindagi de-s
God life give-PS3SG
'May God give her long life.' (Hk) (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v= HwFIj5GODds_Accessed_May_21,_2016)
(6.115)

$\bar{a} x-d-a-s$
say-IP-SG.M-PS3SG
'He says to him/her' (Hk) (Sakoon 2002: 2)
(6.116)

$\bar{a} x$-us
say-PS3SG
'Say to him/her.' (Hk) (Sakoon 2002: 2)
(6.117)

us pinḍī jul-n-æ-s
3SG.OBL Pindi go-INF-be.PRES.3SG-PS3SG
'S/he has to go to Pindi.' (Hk) (EB field notes 1989)

In 6.118, $\cup / \mathrm{s} /$ could be interpreted as having either a possessive or ethical dative sense.
(6.118)

é kitab us kol nī-s
this book 3SG-OBL with is.not-PS3SG
'S/he doesn't have (a copy of) this book.' (Hk) (EB field notes 1989)

In 6.119, $\cup / \mathrm{s} /$ indexes the direct object ‘boy’.

33 Such constructions are alternatively analyzed as indirect objects.

pyo-ū
kut-iyā-s
3SG.OBL boy-OBL GEN-SG.M.OBL father-OBL beat-PP.SG.M-PS3SG 'That boy's father beat him .' (Hz) (AWT)

### 6.8.4 Comparison of functions of pronominal suffixes

Table 6.16 and Table 6.17 summarize information presented so far in this chapter. Table 6.16 compares the attested occurrence of pronominal suffixes for persons and numbers found in Saraiki, Panjabi, and Hindko.

| Person/number | Saraiki | Panjabi | Hindko |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st singular | yes (dir $=$ obb) | no | no |
| 1st plural | yes (dir = obl) | no | no |
| 2nd singular | yes (dir $\neq$ bbl) | yes (only obI) | yes ? |
| 2nd plural | yes (dir = obb) | yes (only obl) | no ? |
| 3rd singular | yes (only obl) | yes (only obI) | yes (only obb) |
| 3rd plural | yes (only obI) | yes (only obI) | yes (only obI) |

Table 6.16: Pronominal suffixes found in Saraiki, Panjabi, and Hindko

Table 6.17 summarizes information available to us about the functions in which pronominal suffixes are attested in these languages and lists at least one relevant example for each. Notably, only in Saraiki can a direct-case subject be indexed by a pronominal suffix.

34 Shackle (1976: 103) says that the oblique suffixes may be used in senses corresponding to a dative-accusative or a possessive pronoun. However he provides no example of a suffix conveying the possessive meaning. Our current fieldwork also yields no such example, leaving the situation unclear.

| Function | Saraiki | Panjabi | Hindko |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct case subject | attested 6.54, 6.57 | no | no |
| Ergative agent | attested 6.55, 6.56 | attested 6.75, 6.76 | attested 6.110, 6.108 |
| Direct object (acc. marked) | attested 6.65, 6.66 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { attested 6.73, } \\ & 6.104 \end{aligned}$ | attested 6.119 |
| Indirect object | attested 6.63, 6.64 | attested 6.78 | attested 6.115, 10.8? |
| Possessive | attested ? ${ }^{34}$ | attested 6.81 | attested 6.118 |
| "Dative subject" / <br> "Ethical dative | attested 6.68 | attested 6.83 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { attested 6.117, } \\ & 6.118 \end{aligned}$ |
| Addressee | not attested | attested 6.84, | attested 6.108, 10.8? |

Table 6.17: Functions of pronominal suffixes in Saraiki, Panjabi, and Hindko

## 7 Postpositions

Various relations between words in a sentence, including both core grammatical relations (subject, direct object, indirect object) and adjunct spatial, temporal, manner, or causal relations, can be indicated by postpositions, as well as by case suffixes. The grammatical postpositions mark the relation of the nominal argument they follow to the verb; in this way, they function like case markers. Unlike the oblique and ablative case endings, however, they do not always orthographically attach to the nouns they affect.

Following Masica (1991: 231), we discuss case-marking functions in terms of Layer I, Layer II, and Layer III, or Layer IV elements. Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki have a multi-layered case marking system consisting of at least four layers. Layer I consists of the basic DIRECT : OBLIQUE case distinction, and in some instances ablative. All nouns, pronouns, and adjectives modifying them occur in the oblique case when followed by a postposition. Layer II includes the simple, monomorphemic postpositions which attach to the Layer I OBLIQUE form of a nominal. Layer II includes the grammatical postpositions marking agent, indirect object, and direct object; a few adjectival postpositions; and some of the simple postpositions expressing spatial and temporal relations. Layer III consists of complex postpositions that consist of more than one morpheme. Many of these are themselves oblique forms of nouns or include a genitive or ablative element, either obligatory or optional. Some postpositions can behave either as Layer II or Layer III elements, depending on whether the nominal they follow is a noun or a pronoun. Layer IV elements include complex postpositions consisting
 كون / $/ \tilde{u}$ de kanū̃ / 'from him/her' Sr .

### 7.1 Layer II postpositions

### 7.1.1 Grammatical postpositions

All three languages have a dative-accusative postposition used to mark indirect objects and some direct objects. This postposition also functions in all three languages to mark "dative subjects," ${ }^{1}$ i.e. entities (usually human) which are affected by some condition or event. In all three languages, the basic function of this postposition is to mark a physical, temporal, abstract, or metaphorical GOAL.

In Panjabi and marginally in Saraiki a third person agent of a transitive verb in a perfective tense is sometimes marked by the postposition $\dot{L} /$ ne/, known as the

[^41]ergative marker. The extent to which this ergative postposition is used differs between Panjabi and Saraiki, occuring more freqently in Panjabi than in Saraiki. Within a language, speakers also differ with regard to their use of this element; in general, older persons with a rural background tend to use it less than younger, urban dwellers, who are more influenced by Urdu. Hindko sometimes uses the agentive postposition /sự̃/.

These grammatical postpositions immediately follow the oblique case form of the noun or pronoun they mark. When directly attached to a noun or pronoun they are enclitic-unstressed and pronounced as part of the preceding word.

### 7.1.1.1 Hindko grammatical postpositions

The most important grammatical postposition is the dative-accusative marker $\tilde{U} / / \bar{a} /$, which marks all indirect objects (e.g., example 7.1) and some direct objects (usually those which are specific and animate), as in example 7.2. Its functions can be compared
 spatial meaning of 'to' or the temporal sense of 'on', as in example 7.3.


1SG.GEN-M.SG.OBL brother-OBL Salim DAT letter write-PP.SG.M
éy- $\bar{a}$
be.PST-SG.M
'My brother wrote a letter to Salim.' (Hk) (AWT)

mã tud- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$ us dar pèj-s- $\tilde{a}$
1SG 2SG-ACC 3SG.OBL near send-FUT-1SG
'I will send you to him/her.' (Hk) (AWT)

haft-e $\quad \tilde{\tilde{a}}$ miṭĩg $e$
Saturday-OBL on meeting be.PRES.3SG
'There's a meeting on Saturday.' (Hk) (AWT)

The agentive postposition $\dot{L}$ /ne/ is not found (natively) in Abbottabad Hindko; it did not occur in any of the sentences collected by E. Bashir in 1989 or in 2015. For instance, the sentence in example 7.4 , which, since it has a third person singular agent (mother)
of a transitive verb in a perfective tense would be a candidate for $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ /ne/ in Panjabi, does not contain $\mathcal{L}$ /ne/ in Hindko. Its third person singular subject occurs in the oblique case form. All agents of transitive verbs in perfective tenses, including third person, occur in their oblique or agentive case form (see Chapter 4 on nouns). ${ }^{2}$ Additionally, there is an agentive postposition ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} /$ /suṛ̂/ which is sometimes used by some speakers of Abbottabad and Mansehra Hindko with first and second person plural and third person singular and plural subjects, shown in 7.5 (also Sakoon 2002: 163). ${ }^{3}$


| mer-ī | maũ | kúj | nav- $\tilde{e}$ | kapr-e |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.GEN-SG.F | mother.0BL | some | new-PL.M | clothes-PL.M |

kid-e-n
buy.PP-PL.M-be.PRES.3PL
'My mother bought some new clothes.' (Hk) (AWT)

us sur̃ é gal kīt-ì
3SG.OBL ERG this speech.SG.F do.PP-SG.F
'He/she said this.'
'He/she told (me) about this.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 7.1.1.2 Panjabi grammatical postpositions

The dative-accusative postposition ${ }^{j} /$ nū $/$ has a wide range of functions generally relating to GOAL marking. In Panjabi, all indirect and some direct objects, as well as a wide range of dative subjects, are marked by $\mathcal{V}^{\dot{y}} / n \tilde{u} /$, as in example 7.6. Third person (singular) agents of transitive verbs in perfective tenses are often marked by the

[^42]postposition $\mathcal{L} /$ ne/, known as the ergative marker, as in example 7.7. However, despite statements in some descriptions of Panjabi, the use of $\dot{\mathcal{L}} / \mathrm{ne} /$ is by no means obligatory or universal. ${ }^{4}$

Usually these grammatical postpositions directly follow the oblique form of a nominal without any intervening material. It is, however, possible for the emphatic element $\checkmark / \overline{1} /$ to intervene between an oblique nominal and either the dative-accusative
 ‘3SG-EMPH ACC’ and اُسى /us-ī ne/ ‘3SG-EMPH ERG’. These grammatical postpositions are sometimes written as separate words and sometimes not.


3SG-DAT English.SG.F completely NEG come-IP-SG.F
'He/she doesn't know English at all.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 8)

sáb umīdwār- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ ne apṇ-iy $\tilde{a}$ darxāst- $\tilde{a}$ jamā
all candidate-PL.OBL ERG self's-PL.F application-PL.F submitted
kar- $\bar{a}$ ditt-iyã $\quad n e \tilde{a}$
do-CS give.PP-PL.F be.PRES.3PL
'All the candidates have submitted their applications.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 593)

### 7.1.1.3 Saraiki grammatical postpositions

The only postposition in Saraiki which can properly be said to mark a core grammatical constituent is the dative-accusative $/ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{k} \tilde{\tilde{u}} /$, which marks all indirect objects, some direct objects (usually those which are definite or specific, also usually animate or human), and many dative subjects, as in example 7.8. Importantly, the postposition之/ne/ 'ergative marker', used regularly in Urdu and by some Panjabi speakers with third person singular agents, is not original in Saraiki. According to Shackle (1976: 144), "the p[ost]p[ositio]n /ne/ is occasionally used as a marker of E[rgative] in imitation of

4 The use of $\dot{L}$ /ne/ to mark some third person agents of perfective transitive verbs in Panjabi seems (to E. Bashir) to be an influence of Urdu; the relation between the use of this element in Urdu and Panjabi (in various functions) is a complicated question.
$\mathrm{U}[\mathrm{rdu}] \mathrm{P}$ [anjabi] usage: this is quite frequent in educated colloquial speech but is considered incorrect in careful speech and writing." No examples of $\dot{L} / \mathrm{ne} /$ were found in our field data, and Nasir Abbas Syed confirms that monolingual Saraiki speakers never use $\mathcal{L}$ /ne/; but example 7.9 occurs in Zahoor (2009), a conversation manual for Urdu and English speakers learning Saraiki. Object and agent marking are discussed in detail, with examples, in Chapter 9.

$\boldsymbol{t æ - k} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ āpṇ- $\bar{a}$ choṭ-e-lā yād he
2SG-DAT self's-SG.M small-M.SG.OBL-time.of memory be.PRES.3SG
'Do you remember your childhood?’ (Sr) (Nasir Abbas Syed)
(7.9)

$k i t-æ$
do.PP-M.SG.3SG+be.PRES.3SG
'He/she did his/her B.A. recently.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 51)

Table 7.1 compares the dative-accusative and the ergative postpositions for Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. Parentheses around an element indicate that it is restricted in occurrence or optional. Square brackets around an element indicate an Urdu-influenced usage.

| Language/Form | Dative-accusative | Ergative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hindko | U//ã/ |  |
| Panjabi | U ${ }^{\circ} / \mathrm{n}$ / | [( $\left.\left.{ }^{( } / \mathrm{ne} /\right)\right]$ |
| Saraiki | كو /kũ/ | [( ${ }^{\text {/ /ne/ } / \text { ] }}$ |

Table 7.1: Dative-accusative and ergative postpositions in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki

### 7.1.2 Adjectival postpositions

Most postpositions are invariant-they do not inflect to agree with either with the noun they follow or the noun they precede. However, a few display both postpositional properties (in that they cause the nominal they follow to be in its oblique form) and adjectival properties (in that they agree with the noun they precede). These are Layer II case-marking elements. In their adjectival function, they behave as marked ("black") adjectives. The primary example of this class is the genitive postposition $\bullet \sim /$ / /dā $\sim$ nā/ (Hk), l / $\mathrm{da} /(\mathrm{Pj}, \mathrm{Sr})$, 'of', which inflects for gender, number, and case to agree with the noun it precedes, i.e. the thing "possessed".

### 7.1.2.1 The genitive postposition

Genitive, or possessive, relations for all but first and second person pronouns are marked by the adjectival postposition 1 /dā/ in all three languages. ${ }^{5} /$, /dā/ functions both as a postposition, in that it requires the noun it follows to be in the oblique case, and as a marked adjective since it agrees with the noun that the possessive phrase modifies. When it follows a noun, it forms a construction which is formally a postpositional phrase but functionally an adjectival phrase. A few simple examples follow-for Hindko, in 7.10 and 7.11, Panjabi, in 7.12 and 7.13, and Saraiki, in 7.14.

us d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ kòr- $\bar{a}$
3SG.OBL GEN-SG.M horse-SG.M
'his/her horse' (Hk) (AWT)
(7.11)


| us | d-ī | bill-ī |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.OBL | GEN-SG.F | cat-SG.F |
| 'his/her cat' (Hk) (AWT) |  |  |

(7.12)

$\bar{a} d m i ̄ \quad \boldsymbol{d}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad k a ̀ r$
man.SG.M.OBL GEN-SG.M.DIR house.SG.M.DIR
'the/a man's house' (Pi) (EB)

5 Some varieties of Hindko have $6 / \mathrm{n} \overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ instead of $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{dā} /$.
(7.13)

srat $d-\bar{a}$ kàr woman.SG.F.OBL GEN-SG.M.DIR house.SG.M.DIR 'the/a woman's house' (Pi) (EB)
(7.14)

$\tilde{\tilde{u}}$ srat $\boldsymbol{d}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad$ putr
3SG.OBL woman.SG.F.OBL GEN-SG.M son.SG.M
‘that woman’s son' (Sr) (UK)

In addition, other close relations between entities, for instance the material of which something is made, are expressed as a genitive relation, as in example 7.15.
(7.15)

ikk lakrī d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad$ khokhl- $\bar{a} \quad$ kòr $-\bar{a}$
one/a wood.OBL GEN-SG.M hollow-SG.M horse-SG.M
'a hollow wooden horse (lit. horse of wood)' (Pj) (https://pnb.wikipedia.org/wiki/(ورّلـّى/)
7.1.2.2 $\int$ والا $/$ /-ālā $\sim$-vālā ~ -vā!̣ā $\sim$-āḷā/

This element, found in all three languages, has been previously discussed as an adjectiveforming element (Section 5.11), but it could equally well be analyzed as an adjectival (Level II) postposition, since it follows the oblique form of a nominal, and it is adjectival. In some cases, it can convey the same or similar meaning as $\boldsymbol{l}$ /dā/ 'of’. For example, shop'.

The postposition 6 \%. /jogā/ 'capable of, worthy of' in Hindko is illustrated below in example 7.16 (see also 7.77 below). Example 7.17 is from Panjabi, and 7.18 is from Saraiki. ${ }^{6}$

é kitāb pár-n-e jog-ī naй this book.SG.F read-INF-OBL worthy.of-SG.F is.not 'This book isn't worth reading.' (Hk) (AWT)
(7.17)

déšatgard kadõ sāḍ-e mulk ic-õ
terrorist.PL.M when 1PL.GEN-SG.M.OBL country.SG.M.OBL in-ABL
$j \bar{a}-n$-ge
go-3PL-FUT.PL.M
'God knows when these cursed terrorists will leave our country.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 534)
(7.18)


| $0 \quad \tilde{\bar{l}}$ | kamm | $\boldsymbol{j o g}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | kænhī |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG | this.OBL | work.SG.OBL | capable.of-SG.M |
| 'He is unable to do this work.' | (Sr) $($ UK $)$ |  |  |

6 There may be more such postpositions in Hindko, but time has not permitted us to find them.

## 

This element is indigenous to all three languages, occurring with slightly differing spellings. In Hindko and Panjabi, it follows the genitive of first and second person pronouns and the genitive or oblique of third person pronouns and nouns; in Saraiki, it follows the oblique form of all pronouns and nouns. A Hindko example is 7.19; Panjabi sentences are 7.20 and 7.21; and Saraiki are 7.22, 7.23, and 7.24.


| jí-n $\tilde{a}$ | $k a ̀ r y i-\tilde{a}$ | $b i c$ | hikk | ji-㧱 | tem | $\tilde{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REL-OBL.PL.F | clock-OBL.PL.F | in | one | like-SG.M | time | be.PRES.3PL | un-

3PL.DIST.OBL-ACC line-PL.F put CP connect-IMP.2PL
'Connect those clocks which have the same time with a line.' (Hk) (http://www. hindko.org/en/text-books)

te-re ji-合 koī naй́
2SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL like-SG.M any is.not
'There is no one like you' (pi) (http://alqlm.org/xen/threads/)
(7.21)

ó-de ji-ấ dáád-ā bandā āpṇ-ī gal
3SG.OBL-GEN.SG.M.OBL like-SG.M firm-SG.M person self's-SG.M word
man-v $\bar{a} \quad \bar{\imath} \quad$ le-nd- $\bar{a} \quad e$
agree-CS EMPH take-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'A firm person like him eventually has his way' ( Pj ) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 319)

[^43]
mæ-kũ $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$-jh-e khāṇ-e pasand
1SG-DAT 3SG.PROX.OBL-like-PL.M food-PL.M pleasing
$\bar{a}-n d-e ̆ n$
come-IP-PL.M+be.PRES.3PL
'I like this kind of dishes (food)' (Sr) (UK)
(7.23) $\square$
t̃ jah- $\tilde{z} \quad c u ̄ h i r$
2SG.OBL like-SG.F girl.SG.F
'a girl like you' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 110)
(7.24)

3PL.OBL D C O DAT say-PP.SG.M that 2PL.OBL like-PL.M
mehntī äfisarz
hard-working officers[PL.M]
'They said to the District Coordination Officer that hard-working officers like you ...' (Sr) (http://saraiki.app.com.pk/saraiki/2016/09/)

### 7.1.2.5 ور /vargā/ ‘like’ Pj

The adjectival postposition ${ }^{8} /$ /vargā/ 'like’ follows the genitive of first, second, and third person pronouns and the oblique of nouns. See the Panjabi examples 7.25 and 7.26.
(7.25)
ter-e varg-ī badtamīz kurī nāl mẽ naй 2SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL like-SG.F bad.mannered girl.SG.F with 1SG NEG rǽ $\quad$ sak- $d-\bar{a}$
live be.able-IP-SG.M
'I can’t live with a bad mannered girl like you.' (pi) (http://www.wichaar.com/ news/184/ARTICLE/5360/2008-05-23.html)
(7.26)

koī sāg $n a$ й $m \overline{\tilde{a}}$ d-e $\quad$ āg
any greens.SG.M is.not mother GEN-SG.M.OBL greens.SG.M.OBL

## varg- $\bar{a}$

like-SG.M
'There are no greens like (those prepared by) a mother. (i.e. nothing is like a mother's love and care)' ( Pj ) (http://fbdio.com/video/1364567/)

### 7.1.2.6 Other adjectival postpositions

Shackle (1976:55) lists several other adjectival postpositions in Saraiki. They include


### 7.2 Layer III and complex postpositions

### 7.2.1 Sources of derived postpositions

Postpositions can be derived from various parts of speech, including nouns, adverbs, and verbs; but not from adjectives. With postpositions derived from nouns, the noun can either appear in the oblique, or a Layer I case ending can be incorporated, as in Panjabi $ل$ Mrom $/$ 'hath-õ/ 'hand-ABL > from the hand, by the hand of'.

In all three languages some postpositions are optionally preceded by the genitive postposition, when they occur with third person pronouns or with nouns. With first and second person pronouns, these pronouns obligatorily follow the genitive form. For


 (de) heṭhã/ 'under the bed'; كهم /rukkhã̃ (de) thalle/ 'under the trees'; $\mathcal{L}_{\text {( }}$ (ح) /(de) agge/ 'before; in front of'; as in

 'near' also falls in this category.
 same pattern, as do most Saraiki postpositions (Shackle 1976: 57).

Some postpositions are obligatorily preceded by a genitive element, e.g. وی تُال


 $\mathcal{U}_{〔}^{l}$ /daryā de šimāl de pāse/ 'on the north side of the river' (Pj). These are Layer III formations. Many of these postpositions were originally nouns which have undergone varying degrees of grammaticization. The gender of the genitive postposition depends on the gender of the original noun. The form $\int$, /de/, masculine singular oblique, occurs when that noun is masculine, and $9 /$ /dì/, feminine, when the original noun is feminine.

Some postpositions are also derived from verbs. In the cases shown below, the postposition is formed from the verb stem with the conjunctive participial ending $\int$ /-ke/ (see Section 8.4.2.3) for discussion of the conjunctive participle). The following examples are from Panjabi, but are commonly used and understood in Hindko and
 abandon' > /chaḍḍke/ 'excepting, leaving aside’ /kar-/ 'do’ > $ک$ /karke/ ‘on account of, because of',

### 7.2.2 Locative relations - spatial and temporal

A large number of postpositions denote adjunct relations-elements of meaning other than the grammatical relations subject, direct object, and indirect object which are essential to the basic structure of a sentence. These other elements include spatial, temporal, causal, and manner relations. In earlier forms of Indo-Aryan (Sanskrit and various forms of Middle Indic), many of these relations were indicated by case inflection. Over time, the case system has evolved into a system in which such relations are mainly indicated by postpositions. Each language has been affected somewhat differently in this process.

### 7.2.2.1 Locative postpositions - Hindko

Three of the most frequently used Hindko postpositions are exemplified here. The basic idea of physical proximity, with its extended meanings, is expressed by ول /kol/

[^44]'near, in the vicinity of'. With nouns and pronouns except for the first and second person singular, $/ / \mathrm{kol} /$ follows the oblique, as in example 7.27; with the first and second person singular pronouns, it follows the genitive, as in example 7.28.


$\begin{array}{llllll}j i s-\tilde{a} & \text { max } & a p r-\bar{\imath} & k a ̀ ̀ \bar{\imath} \quad d a s-\bar{\imath} \quad e ́ y-i ̄\end{array}$
whom.OBL-DAT 1SG self's-SG.F story.SG.F tell.PP-SG.F be.PST-SG.F
'You were sitting with the old man to whom I had told my story.' (Hk) (AWT)


| us | tud- $\tilde{a}$ | mer-e | kol | pèj-iyā |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.OBL | 2SG.OBL-ACC | 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL | near | send-PP.SG.M |
| 'He/she sent you to me.' (Hk) (AWT) |  |  |  |  |

Accompaniment is expressed by $ل$ ن /nāl/ 'with', as shown in examples 7.29 and 7.30. With nouns it follows the oblique, as in 7.29 , behaving as a Layer II element, and with first and second singular pronouns it follows the genitive, as in 7.30, forming a Layer III construction.

jis jaṛ-e $\quad$ nāl tū $\quad p-y \bar{a} \quad$ gall- $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ kar-n- $\bar{a}$ which.OBL man-OBL with 2SG CONT.I-SG.M word-PL.F do-IP-SG.M $\begin{array}{llll}\text { éy- }-\bar{a}-\tilde{e} & o & m e r-\bar{a} & \text { rištadār }\end{array} e$
be.PST-SG.M-2SG 3SG 1SG.GEN-SG.M relative be.PRES.3SG
‘The man with whom you were talking is related to me. ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{(H k)}(\mathrm{AWT})$

mथ̃ ter-e nāl pišāwar na jul hak-d-ā
1SG 2SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL with Peshawar NEG go be.able-IP-SG.M
'I cannot go with you to Peshawar.' (Hk) (AWT)
 7.31.

[^45]

```
mer-e sāmêe ṭur
1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL in.front.of walk
'Walk in front of me!' (Hk) (AWT)
```


## 7．2．2．2 Locative postpositions－Panjabi

Some common postpositions marking stative temporal and spatial relations are：飞夭g ／vic／＇in，at＇， sitions follow a first，second，or third person singular pronoun，the pronoun usually appears in its genitive form：اوه／ó de vic／＇in 3SG’，／ó de utte／＇on （top of）3SG＇，اوه／ó de koḷ／‘near 3SG’；but the third person plural pronoun can appear without the genitive element：اونّال وשׁ／／ónã̃ vic／＇in 3PL＇．With nouns，they usu－ ally（but not always）occur with the oblique but without the genitive element，e．g． وتو／kàr vic／＇in the house＇．

وت゙／vic／＇in，at＇and／／utte／＇on’ are often found in a phonologically reduced form both in speaking and writing．وg／vic／～飞゙／ic／～飞／c／＇in＇．Often，but not nec－
 in vowels or consonant clusters．飞／c／appears with either vowel－or consonant－final words，e．g．گ／كمر／kamre－c／＇in the room＇，ت／kàr－c／＇in the house＇，but not with
 ／ينٌ و و／pind vic／＇in the village＇．Thus we can have：


 ／kursī te bæṭho／＇sit on the chair’，but not N＊／／＊kursī de te bæṭho／（Gill and Gleason 1969：55）．

Some adverbs can follow a noun or pronoun in the ablative or genitive case，thus forming Layer II（with the ablative case ending）or Layer III（with وّل or the genitive） postpositional elements．${ }^{10}$ They include the following：
 bæṭho／＇sit outside！＇and similar sentences with the other elements．
 the city＇
 tõ dūr／＇far from the house＇

צ／agge／＇before；in front of；beyond＇，e．g．

／ار／ure／＇on this side of，on the near side of＇，e．g． this side of the school＇


 pār／＇across the river＇；

## 7．2．2．3 Locative postpositions－Saraiki

Some basic locative postpositions in Saraiki，many of which are shared with Hindko and Panjabi，are the following：

たg／vic／～で／ic／＇in＇（II／III）
ك．كُ～／kal／＇to，near，in possession of’＇（II with nouns，III with pronouns） Z／te／＇on，to＇（II with nouns；III with pronouns）
Suth／heṭh／＇beneath，below＇
الا／andar／＇inside＇
با：／Бāhar／＇outside＇
As in Panjabi，many words function as adverbs in verbal phrases and as postpositions in nominal phrases（Shackle 1976：56）．Many of these items－the forms ending in／e／－ are originally the oblique or locative form of nouns．These postpositions follow the oblique or genitive form of the noun or pronoun，as in examples 7.32 and 7.33 ，which uses the postposition $\mathcal{E}$／talle／＇under＇and $\dot{\mathcal{L}} /$ kane／＇near＇．Some other frequently used members of this class are as follows：
 ／piche／＇behind＇
$\qquad$
$\tilde{u} \quad$ van talle
3SG．OBL tree．OBL under
＇under that tree＇（Sr）（UK）

$\bar{u}-k \tilde{u} \quad$ mex $\quad$ kane paṭhĕ-i
3SG.OBL-ACC 1SG.OBL to send.PP-PS2SG
'You sent him/her to me. ${ }^{11}$ (Sr) (UK)

Many postpositions can take the ablative ending /-ur/ to add the meaning 'from' to the
 7.34 and 7.35. Some adverbs, egg. position, for example, كنو /ka nun/ 'from', to function as a complex (Layer IV) postposition, كنو /anu pele/ 'before', as in 7.35.

$\begin{array}{lllll}m \tilde{a} & e & \text { hic } & \text { hatțī-āl-e } & \text { kan upu } \\ \text { MSG } & \text { this } & \text { a/one } & \text { shop.SG.F.OBL-NMLZ-OBL } & \text { from }\end{array}$
ghi-d-e
take-PP-SG.M+3SG.PRES
'I got this from a shopkeeper.' (Sr) (UK)

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { pyūu } & \text { kambr-e } & \text { ic } & \bar{a} v \text {-an }\end{array} \quad$ kanũ $\quad$ pelhe
father room-OBL in come-INF.OBL ABL before
khang-iye
cough.PP-SG.M+PRES.3SG
'Father coughed before coming into the room.' (Sr) (UK)

The temporal postposition $س$ /set/ 'at the same time as' is illustrated in example 7.36 following an infinitive in its oblique form, which is identical to the direct form in Saraiki. ${ }^{12}$

[^46]
'As soon as (he) reached the station the fugitive was recognized.' (Sr) (UK)

### 7.2.3 GOAL and direction of motion

The relations of GOAL (end point) and SOURCE (point of origin) are so basic in conceptualizing an event or action that they sometimes straddle the categories of case ending (Layer I) and grammatical postposition (Layer II). Thus, to express SOURCE relations, all three languages still have a productive ablative case ending (Layer I) (see Chapter 4 on nouns and Chapter 6 on pronouns), while at the same time expressing some ablafive relations by means of basic postpositions (Layer II). Similarly, GOAL is sometimes expressed with a simple oblique (Layer I), and sometimes with the dative-accusative postposition (Layer II), which, in addition to marking direct and indirect objects, can also be used to mark motion toward (usually something inanimate). The basic spatial relations of GOAL and SOURCE are extended to apply to temporal and other abstract relations as well.

### 7.2.3.1 Goal and direction of motion - Hindko

Often the goal of motion is indicated by the dative-accusative postposition $\tilde{J} / \tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ 'to', as in example 7.37. Goal and direction of motion can also be indicated with $ر /$ /dar/ ~ وار /dār/ 'to, toward' (Sakoon 2002: 138), as in example 7.38.

m $\tilde{\mathscr{x}}$ kàr-e- $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ jules- $\tilde{a}$
1SG home-OBL-to go-FUT-1SG
'I will go home.' (Hz) (EB 1989, unpublished field notes, Abbottabad)

 in example 7.40 (Sakoon 2002: 76), indicate distance and endpoint.


```
ithe us vel-e tak intazār kar ki o tud-\tilde{a}
here that.OBL time-OBL until wait do when 3SG 2SG.OBL-DAT
mer-\overline{a} xat de-ve
1SG.GEN-SG.M letter.SG.M give-SBJV.3SG
'Wait here until he/she gives you my letter.' (Hk) (AWT)
```


o pišor g-ae-de te
3SG Peshawar go.PP-SG.M+be.3SG.PRES-STAT.SG.M+be.3SG.PRES and
hự tā $\tilde{y} \tilde{i}$ uthe hī $e$
now until there EMPH be.PRES.3SG
'He has gone to Peshawar and is still there.' (Hk) (AWT)

Notice that the type of 'until' clause in 7.39 , employing a $\sqrt{/} / \mathrm{ki} /$ clause and a subjunctive verb, does not involve a negative element, as is virtually obligatory in Panjabi or Urdu 'until' clauses employing a relative-correlative construction. An 'until' clause including a negative element is given in example 7.41.


### 7.2.3.2 Goal and direction of motion - Panjabi

In Panjabi, the goal of motion is usually indicated by a simple oblique form, as in example 7.42.
(7.42)

## اوه آت

ó āpṇ-e kà̀r gy-a $\quad e$
3SG self's-SG.M.OBL house.OBL go.PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'He has gone to his (own) house.' (Pi) (EB)

Direction of motion, however, is usually expressed with the postposition $و /$ gal/ 'in the direction of, toward', as in example 7.43.

ó pichḷī gaṭī vail mas by- $\bar{a} \quad$ si 3SG back street towards run.away go.PP-SG.M be.PST.3SG
'He ran (away) toward the back street.' (Pi) (Adapted from Basher and Kami 2012: 48)

In addition to the grammatical postpositions $V^{\dot{j}} / \mathrm{n} \mathbf{\tilde { u }} /$ and $\dot{j}$ /ne/, some other Layer II postpositions do not occur with the genitive postposition with nouns, for instance /ak/ 'up to, until', which expresses an interval up to a specified point (both spatial and temporal), for example /arak talk/ 'up to the road', but not /*sarah de ak/; and gl /ton/ 'from', for example but not ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$

### 7.2.3.3 Goal and direction of motion - Saraiki

The goal of motion, for example, 'city' in 7.44 , can be expressed with the oblique form of a nominal. Since the oblique form of many nouns is identical to the direct form, this is not obvious, except with marked masculine nouns.

o bahũ jaldī šahar væ-sī pa-ī
3SG very soon city.OBL go-FUT.3SG fall.PP-SG.F
'She will be going to the city very soon. ${ }^{13}$ (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 132)

[^47]An inanimate destination can also be expressed with the postposition $\mathcal{Z} / \mathrm{te} /$ 'to', as in 7.45. With an animate goal, e.g. 'doctor', as in 7.46 , the postposition $\mathcal{C} / \mathrm{kane} /$ 'to', which is the oblique of $\mathcal{U}^{/} / \mathrm{kan} /$ 'in the vicinity of', appears.

```
*
dukan te va\tilde{f}
shop.OBL to go
'Go to the shop.'(Sr) (UK)
```


mæ-kūu dā̄kṭar kane vãf-n-̄̄a $\quad h-\bar{a}$
1SG-DAT doctor to go-GRDV-SG.M be.PST-SG.M
'I had to go to the doctor.' (Sr) (UK)

Direction of motion is expressed with several postpositions, all of which are unstressed.
 example 7.47); $\underset{\Downarrow}{〔} /$ pāse/ 'toward’, Layer III, following genitive, e.g. 'in that direction' (Shackle 1976: 67); $\boldsymbol{\text { / /taraf/ 'direction' [F], Layer III, with feminine }}$



itthãa jamb je tān̄ī o med-ī citṭh-ì
here wait.patiently when.REL until 3SG 1SG.GEN-SG.F letter-SG.F de-nd-e
give-IP-SG.M+be.3SG.PRES
'Wait here until he gives (you) my letter. ${ }^{14}$ (Sr) (UK)
(7.48)

```
ا>***)
```

axtar pyū do tār $\quad$ bhej ditt- $\bar{i}$
Akhtar father.OBL to telegram[F] send give.PP-SG.F
'Akhtar sent his father a telegram.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 131), cited from Lashari
1971: 320.)

14 Note the absence of a negative element in this Saraiki 'until' clause.

### 7.2.4 SOURCE (Ablative)

The ablative indicates a generalized notion of SOURCE, including spatial, temporal, and abstract senses including cause or reason, and the standard of comparison in comparative constructions (see Chapter 5). The ablative relation, indicating direction from,

 specific meanings. Complex postpositions consisting of a simple postposition plus the ablative ending are regularly formed in all three languages.

### 7.2.4.1 Ablative relations - Hindko

Most Hindko postpositions can add the ablative ending $ل /$ /õ/ directly to the postposition, adding the meaning of SOURCE to the basic meaning and forming a Layer III element, as with كول/kol/ 'in the vicinity of, with’ > كولو /kolõ/ 'from’. This is used for actions of transferring something physical from a person, as in examples 7.49 and 7.50, as well as for an abstract source such as a feared object, as in example 7.51.


| o | tud | kis | kolõ | kid- $\bar{a}$ | $e ́ y-\bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG | 2SG.OBL | who.OBL | from | take.PP-SG.M | be.PST-SG.M |

'From whom did you buy that?' (Hk) (AWT)

'Take that money from him/her! ${ }^{15}$ (Hk) (AWT)
(7.51)

'I (m.) am not afraid of anything.' (Hk) (AWT)

Similarly, ${ }^{\prime} /$ "tõ/ 'from, since, than, because of' is the ablative form of the simple locative postposition /te/ ‘on, at’. Hindko employs وّ /tõ/, shared with Panjabi,

[^48]in spatial, temporal, causal, and comparative senses, shown in examples 7.52 through 7.55, respectively. Additionally, Sakoon (2002: 90) gives the postposition


am d-a $\quad$ āāy lahor to $\quad$ dāh mill éy- $\bar{a}$ mango GEN-SG.M orchard.SG.M Lahore from ten miles be.PST-SG.M 'The mango orchard was ten miles from Lahore.' (Hk) (AWT)

ارصوا زازكازُ

watermelon eat-INF-OBL from after
'after eating watermelon' (Hk) (AWT)
(7.54)

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { kír-iy } \tilde{a} & \text { gall- } \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} & \boldsymbol{t} \tilde{\boldsymbol{o}} \quad \text { jang ho-ì }\end{array}$
which-PL.F.OBL matter-PL.F.OBL because.of war[F] become.PP-SG.F
'What caused the war?' (Hk) (AWT)

é kapr- $\bar{a}$ us kapr-e to $c \tilde{a} g-\bar{a}$
this cloth-SG.M that.OBL cloth-SG.M.OBL than good-SG.M e jér-ā jamīla ānd-æ
be.PRES.3SG which-SG.M Jamila bring.PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'This cloth is better than that which Jamila brought. ${ }^{17}$ (Hk) (AWT)

16 See Section 8.5.3.2 for discussion of this verb, and whether these forms could be grammaticalized reflexes of the verb 夸/thī-/ 'become', still found in Saraiki.
 The ā-final m.sg. perfective participle and the present tense form of 'be' coalesce. In fact, this elision is also heard in Panjabi, but it is not represented in writing.

### 7.2.4.2 Ablative relations - Panjabi

As in Hindko, Panjabi also employs ablative expressions for a variety of SOURCE concepts: spatial, in examples 7.56 and 7.57 , temporal, in examples 7.58 and 7.59 , abstract, in example 7.60, and causal, in examples 7.61 and 7.62.
(7.56)

kith- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{o}} \quad \bar{a}-e \quad o$
where-ABL come-PP.PL.M be.PRES.2PL
'Where have you come from?' (Pi) (EB)
(7.57)

ا
$e \quad$ islāmābād tõ 100 kilomìṭar dūr $e$
3SG Islamabad from 100 kilometers distant be.PRES.3SG
'It is 100 kilometers from Islamabad.' (pi) (https://pnb.wikipedia.org/wiki/ \%D8\%A7\%DB\%8C\%D8\%A8\%D9\%B9_\%D8\%A2\%D8\%A8\%D8\%A7\%D8\%
AF )

dūjī jang e azīm tõ bād sard jang bathere cir tak second war EZ great ABL after cold war great time until
cal- $d-\bar{i} \quad$ rá-ī
movie-IP-SG.F remain-PP.SG.F
'After the Second World War, the Cold War continued for quite some time.' (pi)
(Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 89)
(7.59)

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { rāt } & \text { das } & v a j-e & \text { tõ } & \text { bād } & m \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} & b a c-e-\tilde{a} & n \tilde{u} & b \bar{a} r \\ \text { night } & \text { ten } & \text { o'clock-OBL } & \text { ABL } & \text { after } & \text { 1SG } & \text { child-PL.OBL } & \text { ACC } & \text { outside }\end{array}$
$n a \overline{\tilde{q}}$ jāṇ de-nd-ī
NEG go.INF.OBL give-IP-SG.F
'I (f) do not allow the children to go out after ten at night.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 317)
 dand- $\tilde{a}$ te masū́re- $\tilde{a}$ nu $\quad$ uarāb hoṇ to tooth-PL.F.OBL and gums-PL.M.OBL ACC spoiled be.INF.OBL from bacā-ṇ lā̀ daî vart-ṇā cáái-d-ā e save-INF.OBL for yogurt.SG.M use-INF be.wanted-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG 'One should consume [lit. use] yogurt to protect the gums and teeth from becoming rotten.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 610)

'Because of his/her arrival, $I(M)$ became happy.' (Pi) (Bhatia 1993: 79)
/tõ/ 'from' can also participate in complex postpositions (Layer III) as in example 7.59 above, in which an oblique element (Layer I) is followed by the basic ablative postposition


In example 7.62, the ablative postposition ${ }^{\circ} /$ /tõ/ (Layer II) is preceded by the third person singular pronoun $/ \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{os} /$ in the oblique, not the genitive, to form the Layer III complex postposition ولو" /tõ alāva/ 'besides, in addition to'.

daryāft tõ sækl- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ nū halk- $\bar{a}$ banā-y $\bar{a}$
invention ABL bicycle-PL.OBL ACC light-SG.M make-PP.SG.M
gyā e
go.PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'In addition to that, with the invention of new materials bicycles have been made lighter.' (Pi) (https://pnb.wikipedia.org/wiki//4")

Some adverbs can follow either the ablative case ending ول/õ/ or the ablative post-

 adverb is


Some complex postpositions (Layer III) including an ablative element, are shown in Table 7.2 for Panjabi, with the words from which they are derived shown at the left.

| \% | , |
| :---: | :---: |
| vicc | viccõ |
| 'inside' | 'from inside/among' |
| U | نالو |
| nā! | nā!̣õ |
| 'with' | 'from the company of' or 'than' in comparative constructions |
| U | ولو |
| vall | vallõ |
| 'towards' | 'from the direction of' |
| E1 | ا/ون |
| agge | aggõ |
| 'front' | 'from now on' |
| 6\% | تهلون/ تملوُن |
| thalle | thallěõ |
| 'under' | 'from below' |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ko! } \\ & \text { ko } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { אولوU } \\ & \text { ko!õ } \end{aligned}$ |
| 'near' | 'from the vicinity of' |

Table 7.2: Complex Panjabi postpositions with $\int$ - /- $/-\tilde{o} /$

### 7.2.4.3 Ablative relations - Saraiki

As in Hindko and Panjabi, simple Saraiki postpositions can be augmented with the ablative ending ول/ /ע / / The following postpositions consist of an ablative case marked form of a simple locative postposition, and are thus Layer III elements :

كون /kanũ/ 'by' (secondary agent marker); 'than (comparative); from' (at a distance from; because of) consists of the ablative form of the locative postposition /kan/ 'near, in the possession of'. When it includes a genitive element, it becomes a
 by "by him/her".

/te/ 'on'.

 ment $/$ كو /kanũ/(Shackle 1976: 56).

An example including كون／kanū／／is given in 7．63．

ü－de kan－ $\bar{u} \quad o \quad$ pæse ghin
3SG．OBL－GEN．SG．M．OBL vicinity－ABL 3．PL money take
＇Take that money from him！＇（Sr）（UK）

## 7．2．5 Spatial／temporal postpositions－Comparison

Table 7.3 compares some basic spatial／temporal postpositions in Hindko，Panjabi，and Saraiki．

Table 7．3：Some basic spatial－temporal postpositions in Hindko，Panjabi，and Saraiki

| Meaning | Hindko | Panjabi | Saraiki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in | そ ／vic～ic／ | モ～で～とで～ぎ ／vicc $\sim$ vice $\sim$ ic $\sim$ c／ | تو ／vic $\sim$ ic／ |
| on，above；at | $\sum_{\text {/te }}^{j} \sim \sum_{\text {utte }}^{j}$ | $\sum_{\text {/te }}^{j} \sim \sum_{\text {utte }}^{j}$ | $\sum_{\text {/te }}^{j} \sim \sum_{\text {utte/ }}^{j}$ |
| below，beneath， under | E゙ <br> ／talle／ | ```6% genitive + /thalle/, or M genitive + /heṭh/``` | じ <br> ／talle／，or知 ／heṭh／ |
| with | $J \cdot$ ／nāl／ | J ／nā！！ | $U$ <br> ／nāl／ |
| inside | ＊ <br> ／andar／ | ر <br> ／andar／ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { /il } \\ & \text { /andar/ } \end{aligned}$ |
| outside | ولابر ablative＋／bắr／ | تون بابر ablative＋／bā́r／，or ，با genitive＋／bấr／ |  |
| across | پار <br> ／pār／ | پار <br> ／pār／ | پ <br> ／pār／ |

Table 7．3：（continued）

| Meaning | Hindko | Panjabi | Saraiki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| up to，until | 6 <br> ／tak／，or い ／tạ̣̣T／ | ت6 <br> ／tak／， <br> いが <br> ／tã̃／， <br> ＂ت <br> ／tīk／，or تي ／tīkar／ | تُ taĩ $\sim$ tãī，or تا تُّ ／tān̄ī～toṇī／ |
| towards | ```J genitive + /val/or g /dar/``` | J genitive＋／vall／ | ```< /pāse/,or g /do/``` |
| facing，in front of | سامٌ genitive＋ ／sámẹ̃e／ |  | 胜し genitive＋ ／sāmhṇe／ |
| ahead of，in front of | E <br> ／agge／ | $\Sigma$ <br> ／agge／ |  |
| near | ر <br> ／dar／，or ك ／kol／ | $\underset{\text { /ko!/ }}{\int_{\text {/ }}}$ | b ／kolh／，or $\odot$ ／kan／ |

## 7．2．6 Accompaniment，instrument，cause，manner

These relationships are expressed with the postposition $ل / \cdot / \mathrm{nā} / \mathrm{Hk}, \mathrm{Sr}, / \mathrm{nā} \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{Pj}$ in all three languages．

## 7．2．6．1 Accompaniment，instrument，cause，manner－Hindko

In example 7.64 we have a melded manner＋cause meaning，and in 7.65 the meaning has elements of both accompaniment and cause．Example 7.66 shows a clear causal sense．
bacc- $\bar{a}$ xušī nāl hãs-iyā
child-SG.M happiness.OBL with laugh-PP.SG.M
'The baby laughed with pleasure.' (Hk) (AWT)

$m \tilde{\not r}$ us nāl nārāz $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
1SG 3SG.OBL with angry be.PRES.1SG
'I am angry with him/her.' (Hk) (AWT)


او تا
o tāp-e nāl mar-iyā
3SG.DIR fever-OBL with die-PP.SG.M
'He died of a fever.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 7.2.6.2 Accompaniment, instrument, cause, manner - Panjabi

The Panjabi postposition $ل$ ن /nāl// 'with, by' indicates relations of accompaniment, as in example 7.67, instrumental, as in 7.68 , and manner, as in 7.69 . The instrument can be a concrete object, as in 7.68 , denoting the means by which an action is performed, or an non-physical concept indicating the manner in which it is performed (7.69). C /nāl!/ 'with, by' can behave as either a Layer II or III postposition with nouns, as in 7.68 and 7.69 , and pronouns 7.67 . Example 7.68 has an instrument meaning, and 7.69 shows a manner sense. 7.70 shows a clearly causal sense.

salīm säḍ-e nāl $\bar{a}-v e-g-\bar{a}$
Salim 2PL.GEN-SG.M.OBL with come-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M
'Salim will come with us.' (Pj) (EB)

mẽ kelā cākū d-e nā! kaț-iyā.
1SG banana.SG.M knife GEN-SG.M.OBL with cut-PP.SG.M
'I cut the banana with a knife.' (Pi) (Bhatia 1993: 180)


| ó-ne | $m æ-n \tilde{u}$ | $z o r$ | $n a ̄ l$ | $v a ̄ j$ | $m a \bar{j}-\bar{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.OBL-ERG | 1SG-DAT | force | with | voice.SG.F | hit.PP-SG.F |

'He/she called me loudly.' (Pi) (EB)
(7.70)

énã̃ vic-õ do de alāva bākī sāre garmī
3PL.OBL among-ABL two GEN.SG.M excepting rest.of all heat
nāl mar ga-e
with die go.PP-PL.M
'Except for two of them, all the rest died of heat.' (Pi) (https://pnb.wikipedia.org/wiki/ (EB)

The instrumental or secondary agent relation can also be expressed with the denom-
 as in example 7.71. The former is the grammaticalized locative of the noun for 'hand', and the latter its ablative form. The Layer II postposition "كميت /samet ~ smæt/ 'along with' is also illustrated in example 7.71.

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { bilāxar } & \text { apṇ-e } & \text { šohar } & \text { samet } & \text { én- } \tilde{\bar{a}} \\ \text { finally } & \text { self's-SG.M.OBL } & \text { husband.OBL } & \text { along.with } & \text { 3PL-OBL.PL }\end{array}$
d-e hath- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{o}} \quad \bar{i} \quad m a \bar{a}-\bar{\imath} \quad g a-\bar{l}$
GEN-SG.M.OBL hand-ABL EMPH kill.PP-SG.F go.PP-SG.F
'Finally she, along with her husband, was killed by those very persons.' (pi) (https://pnb.wikipedia.org/wiki/ الطّت, غنوري)

### 7.2.6.3 Accompaniment, instrument, cause, manner - Saraiki

Accompaniment and instrumental relations are expressed with $ل l \cdot / n \bar{l} / /$ 'with', as shown in examples 7.72, 7.74, and 7.73, respectively. لl /nāl/ 'with’ follows the genitive form
 an oblique form of nouns, as in 7.72 or third person or relative pronouns, as in 7.73. Example 7.74 shows both the instrumental and the manner senses, i.e. 'with ease'. The ablative case form of 'hand', "r tal or secondary agent meaning, as in 7.75 . The causal meaning of $ل \cdot \cdot / n a \bar{l} /$ 'with' is also illustrated in example 7.75.

$\begin{array}{lllllll}m \tilde{æ} & \tilde{u} & \text { band-e } & \text { nāl ale-nd- } \bar{a} \quad p-y \bar{a} \quad h \bar{a}-m \bar{l}\end{array}$
1SG 3SG.OBL man-OBL with talk-IP-SG.M CONT.I-SG.M be.PST-1SG
je-kũ t $\tilde{\not} \quad$ nokarī kanū fāriy kit-æ
whom-ACC 2SG.OBL job from free do.PP-SG.M+be.3SG.PRES
'I (M) was talking with the man you fired from his job.' (Sr) (UK)

'Where is the knife with which I (m.) was cutting potatoes?' (Sr) (UK)
(7.74)

sarāikī harūf nāl urdū panjābī kašmīrī hindko asānī nāl
Saraiki letters with Urdu Panjabi Kashmiri Hindko ease with likh sad- $\tilde{\bar{i}}-d-e$ han
write be.able-PASS-IP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'Urdu, Panjabi, Kashmiri, and Hindko can easily be written with the Saraiki letters.' (Sr) (Adapted from http://saraiki.tumblr.com/page/29)

amrīkā vic dū sāl d-e bāl de hath-ū
America in two years GEN-SG.M.OBL child.OBL of hand-ABL
bandūk cala-̣̣ nāl mā halāk
gun fire-INF.OBL with mother killed
'In America a mother is killed when a gun is fired by a two-year-old child (lit. goes off from the hands of).' (Sr) (http://saraiki.app.com.pk/saraiki/2016/04/)

### 7.2.7 Purpose, reason, and cause

### 7.2.7.1 Purpose, reason, and cause - Hindko

Hindko employs lāste/~/vāste/ in the meaning of 'for', as in example 7.76. To indicate the reason for something, وא وجْوّل/dī vája tõ/ 'because of' is common, shown in example 7.77. Notice that in example 7.77, the complex postpositional expression / وحبَّول /ī vája tõ/ ‘because of’"consists of four elements: oblique form of the feminine noun ja/ 'reason', and the ablative postposition ${ }^{\prime \prime} /$ 'tõ/. It can, therefore, be considered a Layer IV element.
(7.76)


| $m \tilde{æ}$ | $b a \tilde{\tilde{u}}$ | $x u s ̌$ | $\tilde{a}$ | $k i$ | ter-e | $\bar{a} s t e$ | $a c c h \bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | very | happy | be.PRES.1SG | that | 2SG.GEN-SG.M | for | good.SG.M |

ho $g a-y \bar{a}$
be go.PP-SG.M
'I am very happy that it turned out well for you.' (Hk) (AWT)
(7.77)

taklīf d-ī vája tõ o zarā vī
pain GEN-SG.F reason.SG.F.OBL from 3SG at.all EMPH
ṭur-n-e jog-ā naй $\quad r-y \bar{a}$
walk-INF-OBL able.to-SG.M NEG remain-PP.SG.M
'Because of feeling pain, he wasn't able to walk at all.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 7.2.7.2 Purpose, reason, and cause - Panjabi

Punjabi employs لَّ /laī/ 'for', as in example 7.78, and وطّ/vāste/ ~ آ / /āste/ 'for', as in example 7.79. Both of these postpositions behave as Layer II elements with nouns, as in 7.78, and as Layer III elements with pronouns, as in 7.79.

mahol d-ī alūdagī æs vel-e pūr-ī environment GEN-SG.F pollution.SG.F this.OBL time-OBL entire-SG.F duniyā laī sáb tõ ǽm maslā baṇ-iyā world.SG.F.OBL for all ABL important problem become-PP.SG.M ho-iyā $e$
become-PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'These days environmental pollution is (lit. has become) the most important problem for the entire world.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 7)

mथ̃ siraf tuà̀-ḍ-e vāste ó-nũ jāṇ
1SG only 2PL-GEN-SG.M.OBL for 3SG.OBL-ACC go.INF.OBL
dit- $\bar{a} \quad e$
give.PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'I have let him go only for your sake.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 598)

### 7.2.7.3 Purpose, reason, and cause - Saraiki

In the meaning ‘for', Saraiki employs /كيّ /kite/, as in examples 7.80 and 7.81, and وسّ /vāste/, as in example 7.83. كَّ /kite/ is employed in the sense of 'because of' in 7.82.


18 Example from Shackle 1976: 137, cited from Rizwani 1971: 36.
(7.81)

$m æ-k u \tilde{u}$ bahũ xušī hæ jo šæ̃
1SG-DAT much happiness be.PRES.3SG that things.PL.F
ted-e kīte cãng-iyã rah ga-ī-n
2SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL for good.PL.F remain go.PP-F-be.PRES.3PL
'I am very happy that things have turned out well for you.' (Sr) (UK)
(7.82)

saи̃ tārik rahmān $d-\bar{a} \quad e \quad$ hawāla $\tilde{\bar{l}}$
Sain Tariq Rahman GEN-SG.M 3SG.DIR reference 3SG.OBL
kīte aham hæ jo e hik yær sarāikī
because.of important be.PRES.3SG that 3SG.DIR a non Saraiki
(6āharle) skālar $d-\bar{a} \quad$ mušāhida hæ
(outside) scholar GEN-SG.M observation be.PRES.3SG
'This reference to Sain Tariq Rahman is important because it is the observation of a non-Saraiki (outside) scholar. ${ }^{19}$ (Sr) (http://sunjjan.blogspot.com/2015/ 02/blog-post_10.html)
(7.83)

ايـ رواكيرى يمارى واسِط
e davā kerh-ī bimārī vāste hæ
this medicine which-SG.F illness.SG.F for be.PRES.3SG
'What illness is this medicine for?' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 38)

### 7.2.8 Similarity

 for Panjabi, similarity is expressed by several other postpositions:

[^49] This element is exemplified for Panjabi in 7.84 and 7.85 , and for Saraiki in example 7.86. With first, second, and third person pronouns, it follows the genitive form. With nouns, it follows the oblique form. It is used in Hindko as well, as in 7.87.

ónãa sarkārī afsar-ã nũ hidāyat kit-ī
3PL.OBL government officer-OBL.PL DAT instruction.F do.PP-SG.F
ki ó āpṇe.āp nū hākm-ã vāng na sámj-aṇ that 3PL self ACC ruler-OBL.PL like NEG consider-SBJV.3PL
'He advised the government officials that they should not consider themselves like rulers.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 602)

pākistān vic jamūriyat vī bijilī vāngõ $\jmath-n d-\bar{i}$
Pakistan in democracy also electricity like come-IP-SG.F
$j \bar{a}-n d-\bar{\imath} \quad r \not x-n d-\bar{\imath} \quad e$
go-IP-SG.F remain-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Democracy in Pakistan, like electricity, keeps on coming and going.' (pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 602)

ro-nd-ī akkh vic surm-e vāngũ asĩ vī
weep-IP-SG.F eye in kohl-SG.M.OBL like 1PL.DIR also
kidhare țik-e naī
anywhere come.to.rest-PP.PL.M NEG
'Like kohl in a weeping eye, we too have not come to rest.' (Sr) (http:// saraikijhook.blogspot.com/2017/04/blog-post_56.html)
(7.87)

daryā de pạ̄̃̃ vangar sāf
river GEN.SG.M.OBL water like clear
'As clear as river water.' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 21)

### 7.2.8.2 Genitive or oblique $+\mathcal{C} \neq$ /tara/ 'like, similar to'

The feminine noun $\mathcal{C} \neq$ /tara/ 'way, method, kind' follows the genitive form of the first and second person pronouns, and the oblique form of the third person pronouns and nouns, conveying the meaning of 'like, similar to'. This is illustrated for Hindko in example 7.88, for Panjabi in example 7.89, and for Saraiki in examples 7.90 and 7.91.

$m \bar{a}-\bar{u} \quad$ mã $\quad$ us $\quad \operatorname{tar} \tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad d-e \quad$ kapr-e
mother.OBL 1SG.ACC 3SG.OBL kind GEN-PL.M clothes-PL.M
$\begin{array}{lll}l \bar{a} \tilde{r}-e & t \tilde{} & d a k-i y \bar{a} \\ \text { wear-INF.OBL } & \text { from } & \text { stop-PP.SG.M }\end{array}$
'Mother stopped me from wearing clothes like that.' (Hk) (AWT)
(7.89)

hakūmat nū cầ̄-d- $\bar{a} \quad e \quad$ ki æs tarā government DAT be.needed-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG that 3SG.OBL kind d-ī kārravāī tõ gurez kar-e
GEN-SG.OBL action from avoidance do-SBJV.3SG
'The government should avoid this kind of action.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012:
74)
(7.90)

assã bāhimmat qom $\tilde{\bar{c}} \quad \operatorname{tara} \bar{a}$ d-e vākiyāt tõ
1.PL courageous nation 3SG.OBL kind GEN-PL.M occurrences from
ghabrāv-aṇ āle kāinī
fear-INF NMLZ.PL.M NEG
'We are a courageous people, not ones who fear this kind of occurrences.' (Sr) (http://saraiki.app.com.pk/saraiki/2017/02/)

| sā̃̃ | sār-e | band-e | tuss $\tilde{a}$ |  | tarā |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revered.sir | all-PL.M | person-PL.M | 2PL.OBL |  | kind |

parh-e likh-e naī han
educated-PL.M NEG be.PRES.3PL
'Revered sir, not all people are educated like you.' ( sr ) (https://sq-al.facebook. com/iqrarulhassanpage/)

## 8 Verbs

We begin our examination of verbs with a discussion of the types of events encoded by various verbal categories. The discussion in sections 8.1 and 8.2 applies to Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. Following these general introductory sections, paradigms of the verb forms for each language are given. Preceding the presentation of individual tenseaspect forms, a brief summary table for each language is presented. Blank spaces in these tables indicate combinations of tense and mood/aspect which we have not encountered in the language concerned. The various tense-aspect forms are illustrated with the third person singular masculine form of the distal pronoun $9 / \sim 09 /(\mathrm{o} /(\mathrm{Sr}) \sim$ /ó/ ( Hk Pj ). We have glossed this as 'he’ for reasons of space, however 'she’ and 'it' are also conveyed by this third person singular pronominal form. Verb forms used with the distal and proximal pronouns are the same. Since the verb 'to be' (Hk righ /hoṛãā/; Pj : بون: / /honạa/; $\operatorname{Cr}$ : /hovaṇ/) is the only verb which has a simple present and a simple past tense, its forms, rather than those of a regular verb, appear in those cells in these overview tables.

### 8.1 Verbal categories and terminology

Verbal constructions encode various kinds of information about the event described. These constructions are referred to as tense-aspect forms since many are marked both for aspect and tense, for example the past imperfect. Tense refers only to grammatical marking which situates an event in time relative to the moment of speaking. Grammatical aspect concerns the temporal structure of events, which can be presented as completed (pointlike) or ongoing in some sense (having linear extent). Perfective tenses encode events that, if they occurred in the past, are completed, and if they are to occur in the future are presented as completed by a particular moment. By contrast, imperfective tenses encode events that, whether they begin in the past, present, or future, involve duration in some sense (continuity, iteration). For example, the English past progressive was melting, simple present melts, present progressive is melting, and future progressive will be melting can all be categorized as imperfective. Another grammatically encoded category is reality, which applies in this description to either realis or irrealis conditional sentences. Realis conditionals pertain to events which have occurred, may be occurring, or could possibly occur; while irrealis conditionals apply to events which have not occured, do not occur, or are presumed not to be going to occur.

In this description, we call the Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki imperfective tenses that emphasize durativity over a bounded time frame continuous tenses; for example the ice is melting. There are also specific tense-aspect forms that emphasize extended durativity, either of an activity or of a state. In imperfective tenses this is ex-

 aspect forms. With perfective tenses there are specifically stative forms which emphasize an extended state resulting from a prior action. The contrast between non-stative and stative meanings can be illustrated by the contrast between present perfect the ice has melted (with focus on the event) and present perfect-stative the ice is melted (with focus on the resultant state). In Panjabi and Saraiki, these marked stative forms incorporate the perfective participle of 'to be': : ‘و ، /hoṇā/ (Pj) or /hovaṇ/ (Sr); in Hindko, the agreeing adjectival particle 1 //dā/ performs this function. These forms are called perfect-stative in this description.

Subjunctive is a centrally important term. In general, subjunctive forms encode actions or events which are not (yet) realized, but could be realized. Thus subjunctive and irrealis meanings are distinct from each other. Whereas subjunctive forms describe conditions that have not occurred but still might, irrealis forms describe conditions that might have occurred but did not, such as the English past irrealis conditional if the ice had melted. Subjunctive tenses encode potentiality (similar to the English modal auxiliary may) or desirability (similar to the English modal auxiliary should). Thus the subjunctive appears in the subordinate clause in some realis conditional constructions. In this grammar, the term subjunctive is used both for a particular basic form of the verb, as well as for a group of complex tense-aspect forms in which it appears. ${ }^{1}$ Verbs that specifically encode commands are called imperative.

The future tense of 'to be' has the senses 'will be' (future time reference) and 'must be' (presumptive meaning). Thus future tenses in Panjabi, Hindko, and Saraiki, which include a future form of 'be' as auxiliary, encode not only events that will occur (as far as any future event can be asserted to be going to occur), but also events that the speaker presumes will occur, presumes to have happened, or presumes to be the case. For this reason, we gloss tenses formed from a participle plus the future form of 'be' with will/must. The gloss must in will/must should be read with the epistemic modal meaning of presumption in mind, rather than the deontic modal meaning of desirability or obligation.

The naming convention for verb forms adopted in this grammar combines the name of an aspect with the name of a tense or a mood. Names of tenses precede names of aspects (for example, "present imperfect"); names of aspects precede names of moods (for example, "imperfect subjunctive"). Additionally, terms for the extended duration category-"habitual" with imperfectives, and "stative" with perfectives-follow the term for aspect, e.g. "present continuous-habitual." Names for the simple forms consist only of the name of a tense or mood ("present," "subjunctive"). We follow established practice in calling the verb form that consists of the bare perfective participle "simple perfect."

[^50]Verbs which carry information for tense, person, and number are called finite, while those which do not carry tense and person information are called non-finite.

### 8.2 The four basic non-finite verb forms

The various finite verb forms and verbal constructions shared by Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki are constructed on the following four basic forms of the verb: stem, infinitive, perfective participle, and imperfective participle. In addition, Saraiki has a gerundive form which is distinct from its infinitive.

### 8.3 Hindko verbs

### 8.3.1 Overview

An overview (Table 8.1), intended to give the reader a "bird's eye view" of the structure of the verbal system, precedes discussion of individual Hindko verb forms. In these paradigms, the Perso-Arabic spellings are as given by our consultant, AWT. These spellings reflect a strong preference for writing the morpheme ال~ã/ separately, regardless of whether it would be possible to join it to the preceding morpheme. This applies whether الح̃/ represents the dative/accusative postposition, first person verbal ending, or the feminine plural ending. Another preference reflected here is to represent the nasalization of vowels unambiguously, again different from the usual Perso-Arabic joining conventions, by writing occurrences of morpheme-final nūn gunna separately, instead of joining it. This can be seen in the spelling of the imperfective participles of
 person singular distal pronoun as او /o/ rather than /ó/ represents AWT's consistent usage, as opposed to the $و$ / found in Sakoon (2002). AWT's spelling of the masculine singular simple past tense of 'be' /éyā/ varies between
 an orthographic standard for Hindko is still evolving, considerable variation will be found in the available sources, and the spellings presented here are not intended to be prescriptive. Rather, we hope that they will stimulate discussion within the Hindkousing community about how best to represent their language. Our roman representations attempt to represent how these forms sound to us. They are not intended to be either strictly phonemic or narrowly phonetic. Perhaps "broadly phonemic" is the most appropriate characterization of them at present.
Table 8.1: Overview of Hindko verb forms

|  | Tenses |  |  |  | Moods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Simple | Present | Past | Future | Subjunctive | Irrealis |
| (simple) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lo او o } \\ & \text { 'he is' } \end{aligned}$ | اواوبا <br> o éyā <br> 'he was' |  | او <br> o jule <br> 'he may/should go; if he goes' | اوجلرا <br> o juldā <br> 'if he had gone/were going’ |
| Imperfect |  | اوهُلرسـ <br> o juldæ <br> 'he goes' | اوجُلدا اليها o juldā éyā 'he used to go' | اوجُلدا نوّى <br> o juldā hosī <br> 'he will/must go frequently’ | اوجُلرا :بووسـ <br> o juldā hove <br> 'if he goes/went (regularly)' (he may)' | Imperfect Irrealis I اوجلرا יووسـ ا <br> o juld̄a hove ā <br> 'if he went (habitually) (but he doesn't)' Imperfect Irrealis II اوجُّدا انول <br> o juldā hõndā <br> 'if he went frequently (but he doesn't)' |
| Imperfecthabitual |  | اوجُلرا :ول وسـ o juldā hõdæ 'he goes usually’ | اوجُلدا :وول واليها o juldā hõdā éyā 'he used to go usually' |  |  |  |

Table 8.1: (continued)

|  | Tenses |  |  |  | Moods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Simple | Present | Past | Future | Subjunctive | Irrealis |
| Continuous I |  | او يا بُلمـ <br> o pyā juldæ (<o <br> piyā juldā e) <br> 'he is going' | او يُ يُ جُلدا اليها o pyā juldā éyā 'he was going' |  |  |  |
| Continuous II |  | اوجُلرب <br> o jul ryæ <br> 'he is going' | اوجُل ربيا ايها o jul ryā éyā 'he was going’ |  |  |  |
| Perfect | W" <br> o gyā <br> 'he went' | /, <br> o gyæ <br> 'he has gone, went' | \| <br> o gyā éyā <br> 'he went/had gone' | اوگيابيوّى <br> o gyā hosī <br> 'he will/must have gone' |  | Perfect Irrealis I اوكيا : اووسـا <br> o gyā hove ā Perfect Jrealis II اوكيا بول وا <br> o gyā hõdā <br> 'if he had gone (but he did not go)' |
| Perfect-stative |  | still away)' | اوكيا اوا ايها o gyā dā éyā 'he was gone' | او"یا وا اويّى <br> o gyā dā hosī <br> 'he will/must be gone' |  |  |

### 8.3.1.1 Stem formation

The processes of stem formation, described here in detail for Hindko, apply to Panjabi as well. In Saraiki, the situation is somewhat more complex.

### 8.3.1.1.1 Simple stem

The simple stem (sometimes called "root") is the base form of the verb, from which other derived stems are formed. Simple stems can be either intransitive or transitive.

### 8.3.1.1.2 First causative stem

The first causative formation from an intransitive verb is a transitive verb, increasing its valence by one, adding a direct object argument. A first causative (transitive) stem can be related to an intransitive stem through frequently occurring patterns, two of which are:

1. by vowel change; for example, fُ /ṭur-/ 'walk, go’ (intransitive); وُ لور/ṭor-/ 'cause to go, send off' (transitive);
 'break' (transitive);

A first causative stem can be derived from a simple transitive stem by adding stressed / /-'ā/ to the simple stem, for example, $/$ /suṛ̂-/ 'hear' (transitive) > ا 'cause [something] to be heard')' (first causative). First causatives derived from transitives can increase the valence of the verb by one, adding a third argument, typically an indirect object.

### 8.3.1.1.3 Double causative stem

A double causative stem adds وا وسُوْ / /-vā-/ to the stem. For example, /sự̂'vā-/ ' cause someone to cause something to be heard'. Double causative forms can increase the valence of a verb, by two, adding an additional argument, which has the role of causee or secondary agent.

### 8.3.1.1.4 Passive stem

Hindko does not have a morphological passive stem, unlike Saraiki (for which see Section 8.5.1.5). It forms periphrastic passives consisting of the perfective participle plus a conjugated form of 1 جُلْر/ /julụ̃ā/ 'to go'. Panjabi and Saraiki also employ periphrastic 'go' passives.

### 8.3.1.2 Non-finite forms

### 8.3.1.2.1 Infinitive

 $/ \mathrm{r} h /, ~ / / \mathrm{r} /$, and $\dot{\xi} / \tilde{r} /$ have infinitives in dental /-nā/, while all others have infinitives in retroflex /-ṛã/; for example, ن' /karnā/ 'to do'; but /审 /āxụ̃ā/ 'to say'. As far as we know at this point, Hindko does not have a gerundive (verbal adjective) form distinct from the infinitive. However, the form consisting of stem $+1 \rho^{\circ} / \tilde{r} \bar{a} /$ or $\bullet \bullet / n \bar{a} /$, which is referred to as the infinitive, does perform both the nominal infinitival and the adjectival gerundival functions. When it appears in adjectival gerundival function, i.e. in a form agreeing with the noun it modifies, it is usually described as an "agreeing infinitive". That the form has gerundival function is attested in example 8.1.

```
|
```

é pérn-ī tò-ṛ-ī æ
this shirt-SG.F wash-INF/GRDV-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'This shirt needs to be washed.' (Hk) (EB 1989, unpublished field notes, Abbottabad)

### 8.3.1.2.2 Conjunctive participle

The form most commonly called the conjunctive participle is also known as the "absolutive" (in older or European literature) or more recently "converb." The conjunctive participle consists of the stem + $/$ /ke/(from the stem of $ن$ / $/$ kar-nā/ 'to do'). This formation is also found in Panjabi and Saraiki, as well as many other South Asian languages. Sometimes an older form consisting of the stem $+-\overline{1}+$ ke is also encountered,
 ticiple" (Section 8.5.2.7). See also Masica (1991: 323) on the -ī form of the conjunctive participle.

### 8.3.1.2.3 Imperfective participle

The imperfective participle consists of the stem $+/$, /dā/, or $\bullet /$ nā/ (in the first person singular and plural, and second person singular). This is a marked adjectival form, hence complex verb forms including this participle are marked for gender and number. For example, ا/kar-dā/ 'doing-M.SG’, كـ, /kar-de/ 'doing-M.PL', كرو /kar-dī/ 'doing-F.SG', and كروی//kar-diyã̃/ 'doing-F.PL'.

### 8.3.1.2.4 Perfective participle

The perfective participle consists of the stem + the marked adjectival endings. The masculine singular form ends in I/ā/, or l/yā/with ā-final stems, e.g. प़/ā-yā/ 'came.SG.M'. A few important verbs have irregular perfective participles, notably / ئيّ//pæṛã/ 'to fall, lie' and 1 بلُء /julụā/ 'to go', the perfective participles of which are shown in Table 8.2.

|  | 1-8\% pæịa ' to fall, lie' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine singular | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. } \\ & \text { pyā } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { پ̌ } \\ & \text { gyāa } \end{aligned}$ |
| Masculine plural | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{j}}{\underset{\sim}{j}}$ | $\sum_{\text {gae }}^{Z}$ |
| Feminine singular | $\hat{j}_{.}$ | gaī |
| Feminine plural |  paiyã̃ |  <br> gaiyã̃ |



These verbs are particularly important because / $/$ /pæṛãa/ forms part of the continuous tenses I series, and /جلزُ/julụā/is one of the most frequently used vectors in compound verbs and forms the periphrastic passive construction.

As with Panjabi, important classes of frequently used verbs have irregular perfective participles ending in $\cup \boldsymbol{*} /-\mathrm{ta} /$, for example, tror" /tòtā/ < /tò-/ 'wash', and in /-dā/ ك ك ك / /khā-/ 'eat'. Further research will likely reveal more such irregular perfective participles in Hindko.

### 8.3.1.2.5 Stative perfective participle

An adjectival form which describes a persistent state resulting from an action in past time is formed from the oblique perfective participle of the main verb + a form of the agreeing adjectival particle و/dā/. ${ }^{2}$ For example, $ا$, $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ /moe dā/ ‘(in a state of being) dead (m.sg.)' Both parts of this complex form are marked adjectival forms. This form is semantically parallel to the stative perfective forms in Panjabi and Saraiki, which

[^51]consist of the perfective participle of the main verb + the perfective participle of lyr
 participle can be used as an attributive adjective, as in examples 8.2 and 8.3 below, or predicatively as part of perfect-stative tense-aspect forms.

sar-e d-e ālū-e sālan
rot-PP.SG.M.OBL STAT-SG.M.OBL potato-SG.M.OBL curry.SG.M.DIR
xarāb $k$ - $\bar{t}-\bar{a}$
spoiled do-PP-SG.M
'The rotten potato spoiled the curry (dish).' (Hk) (AWT)

```
اونالنّ
un \(\tilde{a}\) mã̃ moe- \(\boldsymbol{d}-\overline{\tilde{a}}\) sapp
3PL.OBL 1SG.DAT die.PP.SG.M.OBL-STAT-SG.M snake.SG.M
```

dass-æ
show-PP.SG.M+ be.3SG.PRES
'They showed me a dead snake.' (Hk) (EB 1989, unpublished field notes, Abbottabad)

### 8.3.1.3 Finite forms of $/$ /hoب̣̃ā/ 'to be'

We begin with the tenses of the verb /rوز / /hoṛ̃ā/ 'to be', which functions both as a main verb and as an auxiliary in complex tenses.

### 8.3.1.3.1 Present forms of /rؤورً / hoịā/ 'to be'

Present tense forms carry tense, person, and number marking; they are not marked for gender (Table 8.3).

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  | $\underbrace{\text { ITa }}_{\text {assĩ̃ }}$ |
|  | 'lam' | 'we are' |
| 2nd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تسى او } \\ & \text { tussĩ on } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'you are' | 'you are' |
| 3rd | -lol | \|ونيّ |
|  | oe | o nẽ |
|  | 'he/she is' | 'they are' |

Table 8.3: Present tense forms of $/$ : $/$ hoṛā/ 'to be'

## 

 The negative particle $ن$ ن /nã// 'not' appears to be favored with the first person. This
 singular and plural, the form and plural, however, the form of 'be'. See Table 8.4 for the forms.

3 This negative particle is found spelled in various ways: the spelling above is from Sakoon
 seen as نیّ.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | " | إטنا |
|  | mæ̃ nã | assī nã |
|  | 'I am not' | 'we are not' |
| 2nd |  | ترتّ نيّه بو |
|  | 'you are not' | 'you are not' |
| 3rd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوتِّيْهِ } \\ & \text { o nî } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'he/she is not' | 'they are not' |

Table 8.4: Negative present forms of / بوز / hoụā/ 'to be'

## 

Hindko future forms are composed of the stem $+\cup /-\mathrm{s}-/+$ a set of personal endings which are the same as the subjunctive endings, except for the third person singular, which has the unique future ending $\mathcal{J} /-\overline{\mathrm{i}} /$. Future forms in $/ \mathrm{s} /$ are characteristic of both Hindko and Saraiki. Future forms are marked for person and number, but not for gender. The future form of f / $/$ /hoṛãā/ 'to be' refers to states or actions that are predicted to occur or are presumed to be occurring or to have occurred. Complex verb forms including the future of ${ }^{\text {: } / \text { hoṛ̃ā/ also have these presumptive senses. These forms are }}$ displayed in Table 8.5.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | " | الى بوساU |
|  | mæ̃ hosã̃ | assī hosã̃ |
|  | 'I will/must be' | 'we will/must be' |
| 2nd | تو بوّيٌِ | تّنّ بوّو |
|  | tū hosẽ | tussī hoso |
|  | 'you will/must be' | 'you will/must be' |
| 3rd | او | او بوّن* |
|  | o hosī | o hosan |
|  | 'he/she/it will/must be' | 'they will/must be' |

Table 8.5: Future forms of $/$ 'r $/$ /hoc̣ãa / 'to be'

### 8.3.1.3.4 Simple perfect forms of $/$ /hoc̣ā/ 'to be'

The simple perfect consists of the bare perfective participle. Perfective forms of /hoṛ̃ā/ 'to be' convey the meaning 'become' (change of state) rather than 'be' (stative). Since this is an adjectival form, it is marked for number and gender, but not for person. Table 8.6 shows these forms.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | بو، | نوو |
|  | hoiyā | hoe |
|  | '(any m.sg. subject) became' | '(any m.pl. subject) became' |
| Feminine | ふ̌\% | hoī ã |
|  | '(any f.sg. subject) became' | '(any f.pl. subject) became' |



### 8.3.1.3.5 Past forms of $/$ بوزُ / hoب̣̃ā/ 'to be'

The suppletive Hindko past-tense forms of 'be', shown in Table 8.7, carry morphological marking for person, number, and gender in the first and second persons, and for number and gender in the third person. These past-tense forms of 'be' are written in Perso-Arabic script with o/-h/ following the initial vowel. This orthographic /-h/represents high tone in the initial vowel rather than being pronounced as a consonant /h/; a /y/ glide then appears between the initial vowel /é/ and the personal ending. The Romanization in Table 8.7 shows these developments. The first and second person masculine singular forms reflect elision of the / $\bar{a} /$ of the masculine singular and the initial vowel of the person/number ending.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | يك ايهال mẽ éyã̃ |  |
| 1st |  |  |
|  | 'I was’ "ول וبיسی <br> tũ̃ éyẽ ${ }_{M}$ | 'we were' <br> tussī éye $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |
| 2nd | tū̃ éyī ẽ ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'you were' | tussī éyī $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'you were' |
|  | اواوبا <br> o éyā ${ }_{M}$ |  |
| 3rd | 'he was' ( <br> o éyī ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'she was' | او او <br> o éyī ã ${ }_{\text {an }}$ <br> 'they were' |

Table 8.7: Past tense of $/$ /'وز / /hoب̣̃ā/ 'to be'

## 

Negative past tense forms of 4 /hoṛ̃ā/ 'to be' carry information for person, number, and gender in first and second person, and number and gender in the third person. The paradigm is as follows in Table 8.8.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | "يان mã nã̃ éyã̃M | اسیا assī nã éye ã̃ |
| 1st |  mẽ nã̃ éyī $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | الیّ assī nã̃éyī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |
|  | ‘I was not’ <br>  tũ̃ $n$ Ĩ éyẽ ${ }_{M}$ | 'we were not' <br>  tussī nī̃ éye $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |
| 2nd |  <br> tũ nî́ éyĩ è ${ }_{\text {F }}$ <br> 'you were not' | تُى ينيْ اسبیى او <br> tussī nī̃ éyī $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'you were not' |
|  | اونين ايبا o nî̃ éyāм | اوينيّ ابي o nī̃ éye ${ }_{M}$ |
| 3rd | 'he was not' <br>  <br> o n Î́ éy $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'she was not' | اونيّه ابيكى آل <br> onî́ éyī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ <br> 'they were not' |

Table 8.8: Negative past of /نوز'، / hoب̣ā/ 'to be'

### 8.3.1.3.7 Subjunctive forms of $/$ rوزُ /hoب̣ā/ 'to be'

Subjunctive forms are marked for person and number, but not for gender, as shown in Table 8.9.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ | آى ، |
|  | m $\check{\text { æ̈ hovã }}$ | assī hovã |
|  | 'I may/should be; if I am' | 'we may/should be; if we are' |
| 2nd | تُول :توو"U <br> tũ hovẽ | تُّى ،ووو <br> tussī hovo |
|  | 'you may/should be; if you are' | 'you may/should be; if you are' |
| 3rd | او بوو o hove | او يوون o hovan |
|  | 'he/she/it may/should be; if he/she/it is' | 'they may/should be; if they are' |

Table 8.9: Subjunctive forms of /ry'، / hoب̣̃ā/ 'to be'

### 8.3.1.4 The verb قُّ /thī-/ 'become'

Saraiki has a distinct verb تحّ /thī-/, with the change of state meaning 'become', as opposed to Panjabi, in which the single verb l'ون /hoṇā/ encodes both the stative meaning 'to be' and the change of state meaning 'to become'. To what extent this verb is used in Abbottabad Hindko is a question needing investigation. ${ }^{4}$ Sakoon (2002: 90) gives
 be, respectively, fossilized masculine singular and masculine plural perfective partici-
 of state meaning has entirely replaced تُّ /thī-/ 'become' in Hazara Hindko deserves exploration.

### 8.3.1.5 Forms constructed on the stem

Forms constructed on the stem include: (1) imperative; (2) subjunctive; (3) future; (4) continuous II tense-aspect forms.

[^52]
### 8.3.1.5.1 Imperatives

Shackle (1980: 493), discussing imperative forms in Peshawar and Kohat Hindko, found two imperative forms. He called these "simple" and "aorist," a term which is not much in use now. Shackle's "aorist" corresponds to what is elsewhere called a "distanced" or "polite" imperative. The simple singular form consists of the bare verb stem, and the simple plural form is the verb stem $+9 / 0 /$. Simple and polite/"aorist" imperatives for $5 /$ /karnā/ 'to do' are given in Table 8.10. The plural distanced/polite form is from Shackle (1980: 493), who describes it as characterized by tonal shift, and compares it to a Saraiki form in -ahe; we mark this in the table. The singular form of the distanced/polite imperative with a hortative particle is illustrated in examples 8.4 and 8.5.

|  | 2nd person singular | 2nd person plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple | S | , |
|  | kar | karo |
|  | 'do (now)!' | 'do (now)!' |
| Distanced/polite/"aorist" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uurĩ } \\ & \text { karī̀ } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { karé }}{\underbrace{\prime}}$ |
|  | 'do (please)' | 'do (please)' |

Table 8.10: Imperatives of $V /$ /karnā/ 'to do'
(8.4)

tũ é kam kar-ī- $\tilde{\tilde{u}}$
you this work do-POL.SG.IMP-HORT
'(Please) do this work.' (Hk) (AWT)

us de kàr-õ phīrā pā $\bar{a}-v \hat{\tilde{a}}-\tilde{a}$
his of house-ABL trip put.CP come-2SG.IMP-HORT
'Just make a visit to his house.' (Hk) (Bismil 2011: 41)

### 8.3.1.5.2 Subjunctive

The subjunctive consists of the stem plus the person-number endings in Table 8.11. With consonant-final stems, these vowel-initial endings combine simply. With vowelfinal stems, the consonant glide,$/-\mathrm{v}$-/ appears between the stem and the personal ending.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | $U^{\prime}-$ ã | $\bigcup^{\text {l }}$ - |
| 2nd | $U^{\prime \prime}$-ẽ | 9-0 |
| 3rd | - - | 'l -an |

Table 8.11: Personal endings of Hindko subjunctive

Since no tense or gender-marked auxiliary is involved, subjunctive forms agree with the subject of the sentence in person and number, but have no gender or tense. As in Panjabi and Saraiki, the subjunctive encodes modal meanings like potentiality, desirability, or contingency, and is thus often found in the subordinate ('if') clause of realis conditional constructions. The following paradigms illustrate the subjunctive forms of a vowel-final stem, T/ /ā-/ 'come' (Table 8.12), and the consonant-final stem جُل/jul-/ 'go' (Table 8.13). In the paradigms that follow this section, the verb أُمُ /julỵa/ 'to go' and sometimes $\mid$ / $/$ /ạãā/ 'to come' will be used to exemplify the form discussed in the imperfective aspect. For perfective aspect, the transitive verb $ا, 6 / \mathrm{karnā} /$ 'to do' and intransitive |جُلْر. /julữā/ 'to go' will be used to exemplify the forms.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك آوال | ا"آى آوال |
|  | mex āvã | assī âvã |
|  | 'I may/should come; if I come' | 'we may/should come; if we come' |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  | 'you may/should come; if you come' | 'you may/should come; if you come' |
| 3rd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوو آؤنan } \\ & \text { o āvan } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'he/she/it may/should come; if he/she/it comes' | 'they may/should come; if they come’ |

Table 8.12: Subjunctive of $\mid \tilde{\xi}$ / /ạ̃ãa/ 'to come'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | پِ | إلى |
|  | mæ̃æ julã | assī julã |
|  | 'I may/should go; if I go' | 'we may/should go; if we go' |
| 2nd |  <br> tū̃ julẽ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تّى جُو } \\ & \text { tussi julo } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'you may/should go; if you go' | 'you may/should go; if you go' |
| 3rd | اوعُعُ <br> o jule | اوبُّن o julan |
|  | 'he/she/it may/should go; if he/she/it goes' | 'they may/should go; if they go' |

Table 8.13: Subjunctive of / بُمْر/ /juḷ̂̃ā/ 'to go'

### 8.3.1.5.3 Perfect irrealis I

The perfect irrealis I consists of the subjunctive plus the particle $\tilde{J} / \tilde{a} /$. For examples of this form in context, see examples 9.213, 9.214, and 9.215.

### 8.3.1.5.4 Future

Unlike the future in Panjabi, which is built on the subjunctive form, the future in Hindko and Saraiki consists of the stem $+/ \mathrm{s} /+$ personal endings.

For ease of reference, future forms of the vowel-stem $1 \dot{\beta} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{a}$ ạãa/ 'to come' and consonantstem 1 جُمر: /julụ̃ā/ 'to go' are given in Table 8.14 and Table 8.15.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك آسال mã āsã̃ | اسیى آساU assī āsã̃ |
|  | 'I will come' | 'we will come' |
| 2nd |  | tussī āso |
|  | 'you will come' | 'you will come' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he/she will come' | 'they will come' |

Table 8.14: Future of $|\dot{\xi}| / a \bar{a} ̣ a ̄ /$ 'to come'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | ير جُلساル mæ̃ julsã | المى جُلسان assī julsã |
|  | 'I will go' | 'we will go' |
| 2nd |  | tussī julso |
| 3rd | 'you will go' اوْجُلمى | 'you will go' اوْجُلن |
|  | o julsī | o julsan |
|  | 'he/she will go' | 'they will go' |

Table 8.15: Future of / بُمْر/ /julūā/ 'to go'

### 8.3.1.5.5 Continuous tenses II

Continuous tenses II are formed from the stem + the grammaticalized perfective participle of /ٌ̊̌ر/ráhṛãā/ 'to remain’ + tensed auxiliary. ${ }^{5}$

This continuous II formation is found in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki. When it occurs in Hazara Hindko, it is characteristic of speakers who are familiar with Panjabi and Urdu. Since these forms include a tensed auxiliary as well as a participial form, they are marked for person, number, and gender. More forms of this type can be found in the Panjabi section (Section 8.4.4.4.1). The Hindko present continuous II forms for نر /karnā/ 'to do’ appear in Table 8.16.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  m芭 kar ryã̃ |  <br> assī kar rye $\tilde{\bar{a}}_{\text {M }}$ |
|  | يسكربى آن mẽ kar raī $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |  |
|  | 'I am doing’ | 'we are doing' |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  |  tū kar raī $\tilde{e}_{F}$ 'you are doing' |  tussī kar raī $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you are doing' |
| 3rd | اورك رو o kar ryæ. 'he is doing' <br>  o kar raī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'she is doing' | اورك ربا <br> o kar rye $\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{M}}$ <br> اور ریى ان <br> o kar raī $\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'they are doing' |

Table 8.16: Present continuous II of ك/ /karnā/ 'to do'

The past continuous is constructed in the same way as the present continuous, except that instead of the present auxiliary, the past auxiliary appears. Past continuous II forms for the intransitive verb ${ }^{\text {l }}$ /daurnā/ 'to run' are given in Table 8.17. Note the elision of the final vowel of the past auxiliary preceding the initial vowel of the personal ending in the second person singular form: /éyā ẽ > éyẽ/. The first and second

5 Continuous tenses I are formed on the imperfective participle.
person plural forms have the feminine singular (Table 8.55), but the plural $\mathcal{U}$ /raiyã//in the past continuous II (Table 8.56). This may be because the form رسبال/raiyã ã/in the present continuous II would involve a repetition of /ã̃ $\overline{\tilde{a}} /$ (apparently resolved here to /ã̃/). In the past continuous II, on the other hand, the sequence رrبيان /raīã éyī/does not present this problem.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | يّ وورُ ربيا ايهال mã daur ryā éyã̃ | اسى وورُ ربّا assī daur rae éye ã̃ ${ }_{M}$ |
| 1st |  mẽ daur raī éyī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |  assī daur raī ã éyī ã $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |
|  | 'I was running' تُولِ وورُ ربيا اتبيهِ tũ̃ dauṛ ryā éyẽ ${ }_{M}$ | 'we were running' <br> tussī daur rae éye $o_{M}$ |
| 2nd | 'ُوّ tū̃ daur raī éyī ē $\tilde{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you were running' | تُقرورُ ربى آن ابيكى او tussī daur raī ã éyī $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you were running' |
|  | او رورُ ربيا ايها <br> o daur ryā éyā ${ }_{M}$ |  o daur rae éye ${ }_{M}$ |
| 3rd | 'he was running' <br>  <br> o daur raī éyī̄ <br> 'she was running' | او وورُ ريى آن ایיیى آن <br> e daur raī ã éyī ã $\tilde{a}_{F}$ <br> 'they were running' |

Table 8.17: Past continuous II of $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{f}$, / dauṛnā/ 'to run'

### 8.3.1.6 Forms constructed on the imperfective participle

Forms constructed on the imperfective participle include the following: present imperfect, past imperfect, present imperfect-habitual, past imperfect-habitual, imperfect subjunctive, future imperfect, present continuous I, and past continuous I. The present imperfect and past imperfect consist of the imperfective participle + tensed auxiliary forms. The habitual forms consist of the imperfective participle + imperfective participle of /نوزُ /hoṛãa/ 'to be' + tensed auxiliary forms. Importantly, the present continuous I and the past continuous I consist of the imperfective participle + the grammaticalized perfective participle of 1 / $/$ /pæṛã/ 'to fall, lie' + tensed auxiliary forms.

### 8.3.1.6.1 Present imperfect

The present imperfect is constructed from the imperfective participle + the present tense of /ووزا /hoṛāa/ 'to be'. It usually conveys general or non-specific present tense meanings. Since it includes both the present tense of $/$ /hoṛ̃ā/ 'to be' and a participial form, it is marked for tense, person, number, and gender. This also means that it is subject to coalescence (elision, sandhi) of the final vowel sound of the imperfective participle and the initial vowel sound of the present auxiliary. In addition to the fact that various writers represent the results of this coalescence differently, this can make it difficult to recognize or analyze some verb forms. For this reason, we present this paradigm for the present imperfect of $/$ جل户را/julụā/ 'to go' in two ways. First, Table 8.18 shows the form as given by our consultant; the Perso-Arabic forms reflect the way the words are written by him, and the romanizations reflect the way they sound to us. Notice that in the first and second person singular the participial form appears with $\bullet^{\bullet}$ /-nā/, while in second person plural and third person forms the imperfective participle appears with,$/ /$ dā/. This appearance of $/ \mathrm{n} /$ in the first and second person singular and first person plural probably results from nasal assimilation from the nasal vowel in the auxiliary component. This analysis is somewhat supported by the fact that this assimilation does not occur in the simple past imperfect, where the initial sound of the past auxiliary is an oral rather than a nasalized vowel. This appearance of $/ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{in}$ stead of underlying /d/ in imperfective participles in syllables immediately followed by a nasal vowel is seen in the present imperfect-habitual, shown in Table 8.20, the present continuous I, shown in Table 8.25, and in the past continuous I, shown in Table 8.26.

Second, in Table 8.19, the forms are presented as analyzed by the authors for the benefit of the reader into their component parts in a process of "undoing sandhi". The forms in Table 8.19 represent our hypothesis about the structures underlying the surface pronunciations, which reflect nasal assimilation in first person singular and plural and second person singular, as well as vowel elision in the masculine forms.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يِ جُلنال mã julnã̃M | الى جُلْ آل assī julne $\tilde{a ̃}_{\text {M }}$ |
|  | ير جُلنى آن <br> mẽ julnī $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | $\underbrace{\text { آلنى }}_{\text {assī julnĩ } \tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ |
|  | 'I go' | 'we go' |
| 2nd | تُول جُنْير <br> tũ julnæ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تمى جُلدى او } \\ & \text { tussī juldī } 0_{F} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'you go' | 'you go' |
| 3rd | اوجُلمـا o juldæ ${ }_{M}$ | او وجُلمّن o julden ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | ‘he goes’ <br> اوجُلرى\| <br> o juldī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | 'they go' <br> جُلرى ان <br> o juldī an ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'she goes' | 'they go' |

Table 8.18: Present imperfect of 1 . $/$ /julịāā/ 'to go' (actual forms)

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يو جُلما آن mẽ juldā $\tilde{a}_{M}$ |  assī julde $\tilde{\text { ã }}_{\text {M }}$ |
|  | يِ جُلدى آّ mé juldĩ $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |  assī juldī $\tilde{\mathrm{ar}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'I go' | 'we go' |
| 2nd | تُول جُلدا اسن tũ juldā $\tilde{e}_{M}$ |  |
|  |  | تُّسِّ جُدى آن او |
|  | 'you go' | 'you go' |
| 3rd | اووجُدرا اــ <br> o juldā $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | اوبُّلــا ان <br> o julde an |
|  | 'he goes' <br> \|وجُلرىا <br> o juldī e ${ }_{\text {F }}$ <br> 'she goes' | اوجُلدى ان <br> o juldī $\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'they go' |

Table 8.19: Present imperfect of $/$ جلرُ /juḷã/ 'to go' (hypothesized underlying forms)

### 8.3.1.6.2 Present imperfect-habitual

The present imperfect-habitual consists of the imperfective participle + the imperfec-
 is illustrated in Table 8.20 for the verb / جلغ户 /julṛ̃ã/ 'to go'. The Perso-Arabic spellings in Table 8.20 reflect AWT's perception that the imperfective participle of $/{ }^{\prime}$ 'hoṛãa/ 'to be' contains a nasalized /õ/, and not a consonant/n/ when the form contains /d/. He therefore prefers to write the word as shown here in the third person and second person plural forms, preferring to write the nasalized vowel unambiguously when it precedes /d/ rather than following the usual rules of joining Perso-Arabic letters which would join this medial nūn gunna (nasalization), causing it to appear identical in medial position with a consonant $\mathcal{U} / \mathrm{n} /$. This is not the usual practice in writing these forms, but AWT argues for it. When the imperfective participle form has /n/rather than /d/ (first person singular and plural, and second person singular), consonant /n/ appears. We hope that it will engender discussion among Hindko speakers.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  | Uآنا |
|  | m̃ julnā hõnã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ | assī julne hõne ${ }_{\text {ã }}^{\text {M }}$ |
|  | يـ جُلْ ،rزَ آن |  |
|  | mæ̃ julnī hõnī $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\text {F }}$ | assī julniã hoñī $\tilde{a}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'I usually go' | 'we usually go' |
| 2nd | 'وُخْكُنا بونين <br> tū̃ julnā hõnæ̃ ${ }_{M}$ | تُّى جُلن |
|  |  | تُّى جُلدى آل بول رى او $\text { tussī juldī ã hõdī } \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'you usually go' | 'you usually go' |
| 3rd | اوجُلما بول وسـ o juldā hõdæ ${ }_{M}$ |  <br> o julde hõden ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he usually goes’ اوجُّدى اوبو وكا <br> o juldī hõdī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | اوجُلدى آٓ بول وى ان o juldī ã hõdī $\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'she usually goes' | 'they usually go' |

Table 8.20: Present imperfect-habitual of $/$ جلزء /julỵā/ 'to go'

### 8.3.1.6.3 Past imperfect

The past imperfect consists of the imperfective participle + the past of $/$ ' /hoṛãa/ 'to


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | يو جُلدا اليهال mã juldā éyã̃M | الى جُلد- ايهال assī julde éyã̃M |
| 1st |  mẽ juldī éyī $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |  assī juldi ī $\overline{\text { ényī }}$ Ĩ |
|  | 'I used to go' <br>  <br> tũ juldā éyẽ ${ }_{M}$ | 'we used to go' <br> tussī julde éye $o_{M}$ |
| 2nd |  | تُسَ جُلدى آن ابیی او tussī juldī ã éyī $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you used to go' |
|  | اوجُلدا اليها <br> o juldā éyā ${ }_{\text {M }}$ |  o julde éye ${ }_{M}$ |
| 3rd | 'he used to go' <br>  <br> o juldī éyī <br> 'she used to go' |  <br> o juldiã éyī $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'they used to go' |

Table 8.21: Past imperfect of / عُمُ/juḷ̣̂a/ 'to go'

### 8.3.1.6.4 Past imperfect-habitual

The past imperfect-habitual consists of the imperfective participle + the imperfective
 for اجُلْ / /julỵãā/ 'to go' in Table 8.22, below.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك جُلدا :بول وا ايهبال mẽ juldā hõdā éyã̃M | اسى جُلرـه نول وسـا ايبال assī julde hõde éyã̃M |
|  |  mẽ juldī hõdī éyī ã $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |  assī juldī ã hõdī ã éyī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |
| 2nd | 'I used to go usually' <br>  tũ juldā hõdā éyẽ ${ }_{M}$ | 'we used to go usually' <br> tussī julde hõde éye $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |
|  |  <br> tũ juldī hõdī éyī ẽ ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'you used to go usually' | تُّى جُدى آن بول دیى آل וبیى او tussī juldī ã hõdĩ ã éyī of 'you used to go usually' |
| 3rd | اوجُلدا بول وا ا ايهيا o juldā hõdā éyā ${ }_{M}$ |  o julde hõde éye ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he used to go usually' <br>  <br> o juldī hõdī éyī̄ | اوجُلدى آن بول وى آن ایיیى آل o juldī ã hõdī ã̃ éyī ã |
|  | 'she used to go usually' | 'they used to go usually' |

Table 8.22: Past imperfect-habitual of/ بُمْر/juḷ̂̃a/ 'to go'

### 8.3.1.6.5 Imperfect subjunctive

The imperfect subjunctive consists of the imperfective participle + subjunctive of /hoṛã/ 'to be'. This form is illustrated for 1 / $/$ julỵ̃ā/ 'to go' in Table 8.23.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | " |  |
|  |  | assī julde hovã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ |
|  | يِّ بُلرى ،ووان | ا'كى بُلرى آن بووال |
|  | mæ̃ juldī hovã $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}$ | assī juldī ${ }^{\text {ã }}$ hovã ${ }_{\text {F }}$ |
|  | 'I may/should go frequently; if I go frequently' | 'we may/should go frequently; if we go frequently’ |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 'you may/should go frequently; if you go frequently’ | 'you may/should go frequently; if you go frequently' |
| 3rd | اوجُلما بوووسـ o juldā hove ${ }_{M}$ | \|وجُلد |
|  | 'he may/should go frequently; if he goes frequently' اوجُلدى، بووسـ | o julde hovan ${ }_{M}$ اوجُلدى آلنوون o juldī ã hovan ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | o juldī hove ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'she may/should go frequently; if she goes frequently' | 'they may/should go frequently; if they go frequently’ |

Table 8.23: Imperfect subjunctive of / $/$ / julịā/ 'to go'

### 8.3.1.6.6 Future imperfect

Future imperfect forms consist of the imperfective participle + future of 1 be'. This tense is illustrated here for 1 . $/$ /julịãa/ 'to go' in Table 8.24.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | يِ جُملـ، اتوسال mã juldā hosã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ | الى جُلمس ،وسال assī julde hosã̃ ${ }_{M}$ |
| 1st | يِّ جُلدى بوسال m $\tilde{\text { é }}$ juldī hosã̃ | الى جُلدى آل بوسال assī juldī ã hosã̃ |
|  | 'I will/must go frequently’ تُول جُلدا :بوّيّ~ <br> tũ juldā hosẽ ${ }_{M}$ | 'we will/must go frequently' tussī julde hoso ${ }_{M}$ |
| 2nd | وَّول جُلدى ،وّوّيّ <br> tũ juldī hosẽ ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'you will/must go frequently' | tussī juldī ã hoso ${ }_{F}$ 'you will/must go frequently' |
|  | \|وجُلرا نوّىV o juldā hosī̀ | اوجُلمـ < بوّن o julde hosan ${ }_{M}$ |
| 3rd | 'he will/must go frequently' اوجُلدى ،بوّى <br> o juldī hosī̄ <br> 'she will/must go frequently' | او جُلرى آن ،ووّن <br> o juldī ã hosan ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they will/must go frequently' |

Table 8.24: Future imperfect of 1 ' P /julịā/ 'to go'

### 8.3.1.6.7 Present continuous I formation

This construction consists of the grammaticalized perfective participle of 1 多 /pæṛã/ 'to fall, lie' + the imperfective participle + the present auxiliary. This is one of the most characteristic verb forms of Hindko, and is also frequent in Saraiki and Panjabi. This form conveys a strong sense of actuality and immediacy. The order of elements presented in the paradigms here is the preferred order. However, the order placing the imperfective participle of the main verb first, followed by the grammaticalized perfective participle of $/$ hers $/$ pæṛ̃ã/ 'to lie, fall', also occurs. According to Sultan Sakoon (p.c.
 the sentence, and confers emphasis or focus on the element it follows or precedes. Present continuous I forms of $/$ / /jul ̣̂ā/ 'to go' are given in Table 8.25.

Table 8.25 gives the Perso-Arabic forms exactly as written by our consultant. Notice the $\mathcal{U} /$-n-/forms of the imperfective participle in first person (singular and plural) and the second person singular of the present continuous I. The past continuous I, however, shows only,$/ d /$ forms of the imperfective participle. These forms also show the vowel elision (coalescence) previously discussed. Thus, a hypothetical decomposed "underlying form" for the first person singular masculine form might be as shown in example 8.6.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | "ي بيا جلنا mẽ pyā julnã̃ | آى assī pae julne $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{M}$ |
|  | يـ بیَ بُلنى آل mẽ paī julnī $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\text {F }}$ <br> 'I am going’ |  assī paīã julnī $\tilde{a}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  |  tū̃ paī julnī ${ }_{\text {en }}$ 'you are going' | تُّى ״یَ آن جُلدى او tussī paī ã juldī $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you are going' |
|  | او =يا بُلدهـ o pyā juldæ |  <br> o pae julden ${ }_{M}$ |
| 3rd | 'he is going' <br>  <br> o paī juldīe <br> 'she is going' | اوبَّى آٓ جُلمى ان <br> o paī ã juldī an ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they are going' |

Table 8.25: Present continuous I of 1 . . /julịā/ 'to go (actual forms)'

```
    ** ي
    m\tilde{æ}
    I fall.PP-SG.M do-IP-SG.M be.PRES.1SG
    'I (M) am doing.' (Hk) (EB, hypothetical 'underlying' form)
```


### 8.3.1.6.8 Past continuous I

 'to fall, lie' + imperfective participle + past auxiliary. This tense is illustrated for 1 جلز /julụ̃ā/ 'to go' in Table 8.26.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يِ يا جُملـا اليهال mẽ pyā juldā éyã̃M |  <br> assī pae julde éye $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{M}$ |
|  | يِ بَى جُلْرى ابيّى آل mथ̃ paī juldī éyī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |  assī paī ã juldī à éyī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |
|  | 'I was going' | 'we were going' |
| 2nd | تُول بيا جُكرا ابهيّ $\text { tū̃ pyā juldä éyẽ }{ }_{M}$ | tussī pae julde éye $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
|  |  |  tussī paī ã juldĩ ã éyī of |
|  | 'you were going' | 'you were going' |
| 3rd | او يـيا جُلدا اليها <br> o pyā juldā éyāM | اوس <br> o pae julde éye ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he was going' <br>  o paī juldī éyī̄ |  o paīã juldī ã éyī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |
|  | 'she was going' | 'they were going' |

Table 8.26: Past continuous I of / جلز / juḷ̂̃ā/ 'to go'

### 8.3.1.7 Verb forms constructed on the perfective participle

Tense-aspect forms built on the perfective participle include the simple perfect, present perfect, present perfect-stative, past perfect, past perfect-stative, perfect irrealis, and future perfect.

### 8.3.1.7.1 Simple perfect

Simple perfect forms are identical to the perfective participle. Since there is no element bearing person or tense information, simple perfect forms are marked only for number and gender. Simple perfect forms of intransitive verbs agree with the subject of the sentence. The simple perfect of 1 / /julỵãā/ 'to go', the most frequently used intransitive verb aside from 'be', is given in Table 8.27. Since perfective participles of both transitive and intransitive verbs are marked only for the number and gender of the direct object or the subject, respectively, there are only four such forms: masculine singular, masculine plural, feminine singular, and feminine plural. Most occurrences of simple perfect forms of ' $g o$ ' seem to be in passive forms or as the vector in compound verbs. With statements about specific subjects, however, the present perfect tends to occur for meanings most often rendered in English using the simple past.

| Gender of subject | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | W | - |
|  | gyā | gae $\sim$ gæ |
|  | '(any m.sg. subject) went' gaī | '(any m.pl. subject) went' گی |
| Feminine | '(any f.sg. subject) went' | '(any f.pl. subject) went' |

Table 8.27: Simple perfect of / $/$ جلز /juḷã// 'to go'

Simple perfect forms of transitive verbs agree with the direct object of the sentence, provided that it is not marked with the accusative postposition $\tilde{U} / \tilde{\bar{a}} /$, in which case the default masculine singular form of the perfective participle appears. The simple perfect of $1 \cdot$ / karnā/ 'to do', the most frequently occurring transitive verb, is presented in Table 8.28.

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | ك | K |
|  | kîtā | kīte |
|  | '(any subject) did (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did (m.pl. direct object)' |
| Feminine | $\underset{\text { kititi }}{\text { Kon }}$ | كيتيخ آن |
|  | '(any subject) did (f.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did (f.pl. direct object)' |

Table 8.28: Simple perfect of $/$ ك $/$ karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.3.1.7.2 Present perfect

 'to be' as auxiliary. In some cases, the auxiliary is written separately, and in some cases, it is written together with the perfective participle (with elisions). Table 8.29 displays the present perfect of intransitive $/$ جلزُ /julỵãa/ 'to go', and Table 8.30 the present perfect
of transitive /karnā/ $/$ 'to do'. Since Hindko present perfect forms frequently correspond to English simple pasts, glosses are given with both English simple past and present perfect forms. ${ }^{6}$

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | U mæ̃ gyã̃ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  m $\tilde{\dddot{æ}}_{\text {gaī }}^{\tilde{a}_{\text {F }}}$ | $\text { assī gaī } \tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'I went/have gone’ | 'we went/have gone' |
| 2nd | تُوّ گُ <br> tũ gyæ̈ $_{M}$ |  |
|  | 'وُقَّ tū gaī $\tilde{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | tussī gaī of |
|  | 'you went/have gone' | 'you went/have gone' |
| 3rd | $\underbrace{M}_{0 \text { gyæ }} \mid$ |  |
|  | 'he went/has gone’ <br> o gaī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | اوگُّ ان <br> o gaī an ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'she went/has gone' | 'they went/have gone' |

Table 8.29: Present perfect of $/$ / $/$ /julịā/ 'to go'

[^53]| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | $\underset{\text { kitææ }}{\substack{\text { Kit }}}$ | $\underset{\text { kītēn }}{\substack{\text { ك̄n }}}$ |
|  | '(any subject) did/has done (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did/has done (m.pl. direct object)' |
| Feminine |  | كيّق انـ |
|  | '(any subject) did/has done (f.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did/has done (f.pl. direct object)' |

Table 8.30: Present perfect of V / $/$ karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.3.1.7.3 Present perfect-stative

The present perfect-stative consists of the oblique perfective participle + a form of /dā/ agreeing in number and gender with the subject for intransitives, or the direct
 + agreeing form of $/$, /dā/ constitutes a distinct stative perfective participle, which can also be used adjectivally. This type of perfect-stative is unique to Hindko among the three languages discussed here. Its counterparts in Panjabi and Saraiki are constructed
 all three languages these forms focus on the persistent state resulting from an action or event, rather than on the action itself. Table 8.31 shows the present perfect-stative of the intransitive verb / جُلْر /julṛã/ 'to go'. Parallel past perfect-stative forms can also be constructed in which the past tense of /rؤورا /hoب̣̃ā/ 'to be' appears as an auxiliary. These forms have the meaning that a resultant state existed at some time in the past, and may or may not still be the case. The present perfect-stative forms are felt to be the closest in sense to the English present perfect.

The use of a present perfect-stative form is shown in example 8.7, and a past perfectstative is shown in 8.8.

tud- $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ nindar $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}-\overline{\mathbf{\imath}}-\boldsymbol{d}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \quad \boldsymbol{e}$
2SG.OBL-DAT sleep.SG.F come-PP.SG.F-STAT-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Are you (sg.) feeling sleepy? (lit. Has sleep come to you (and remained)?' (Hk) (AWT)

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  |  |
|  | يٌ گَّ رى آن <br> m $\tilde{\text { é }}$ gaî dī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |  |
|  | 'I have gone (and am still away)' | 'we have gone (and are still away)' |
| 2nd | تُو $\text { tū gyæ d } \tilde{æ}_{M}$ |  |
|  | تُونَّ وى ایی <br> tū̃ gaī dī ē $\tilde{F}_{F}$ | tussī gaī dī $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'you have gone (and are still away)' | 'you have gone (and are still away)' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he has gone (and is still away)' اوگَّ وאى اــ <br> o gaī dī e ${ }_{F}$ | اوگَّوى ان <br> o gaī dī $\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'she has gone (and is still away)' | 'they have gone (and are still away)' |

Table 8.31: Present perfect-stative of احُمْر / julị̃ā/ 'to go'

cākū zamī te pæ-d-ā éy- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
knife.SG.M ground on lie.PP.M.OBL-STAT-SG.M be.PST-SG.M
'The knife was lying on the ground.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 8.3.1.7.4 Past perfect

The past perfect is formed from the perfective participle + the past tense of / ${ }^{\prime}$ /hoṛā/ 'to be'. It is used with two types of meanings. (1) Sometimes it functions like the English past perfect-that is, to refer to an event in the past which took place prior to another event, also in the past. (2) Usually, however, it refers to events which took place at a fixed time in the past, often a long time ago. In this function, it is best rendered by an English simple past tense. Table 8.32 shows the past perfect conjugation of the intransitive verb اكُلْرً /julịāā/ 'to go' and Table 8.33 that of the transitive verb l‘ك /karnā/ 'to do'.

In examining these paradigms, notice that the forms of intransitive laُزر /julụā/ 'to go' agree in person, number, and gender. This is because they agree with the subject, which can be any person, and include the past tense of 'be', which inflects for person. The forms of transitive $ن$ ' /karnā/ 'to do', however, show only number and gender agreement. This is because any first or second person direct object would obligatorily be marked with the accusative postposition, thus forcing default masculine singular agreement. Thus the only possible direct objects with which the verb could agree are third person objects, either singular or plural.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mã gyā éyã̃ | $\underbrace{N}_{\text {assī gae éye } \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{M}}$ |
|  |  | assī gaī ã éyī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ |
|  | 'I went/had gone' | 'we went/had gone' |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  | tū̃ gaī éyī $\tilde{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | tussī gaī ã éyī of |
|  | 'you went/had gone' | 'you went/had gone' |
| 3rd |  o gyā éyā ${ }_{m}$ | $\underbrace{\text { o gae }}_{\text {one éye }}$ |
|  | 'he went/had gone' اونَّ <br> o gaī éyī̄ | o gaī ã é ē ã |
|  | 'she went/had gone' | 'they went/had gone' |

Table 8.32: Past perfect of / جُرْ /julụā/ 'to go'

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | كيّ ايهـ <br> kītā éyā |  |
|  | '(any subject) did/had done (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did/had done (m.pl. direct object)' |
| Feminine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) did/had done (f.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did/had done (f.pl. direct object)' |

Table 8.33: Past perfect of $6 /$ Karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.3.1.7.5 Perfect irrealis II

The perfect irrealis II consists of the perfective participle of the main verb + the imperfective participle of $/{ }^{\text {bigic }}$ : /hoṛãa/ 'to be'; thus these forms are marked only for number and gender. They agree with the subject in the case of intransitive verbs, and a nonaccusative marked direct object in the case of transitive verbs. Table 8.34 shows the
 and Table 8.35 that of the transitive verb 1 / /karnā/ 'to do'. The perfect irrealis I construction consists of a subjunctive form + the particle $\widetilde{\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{a} /$.

| Gender of subject | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine |  gyā hõdā |  |
|  | 'if (any m.sg. subject) had gone (but didn't)' | 'if (any m.pl. subject) had gone (but didn't)' |
| Feminine | گَّ بول ریى gaī hõdī | گَّ آنح بول ویِ آن gaī ã hõdī ã |
|  | 'if (any f.sg. subject) had gone (but didn't)' | 'if (any f.pl. subject) had gone (but didn't)' |

Table 8.34: Perfect irrealis II of 1 / جُرْ / julịã/ 'to go'

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { كيتا بول Kītā hõdā } \\ & \text { kin } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kīte hõde } \\ & \text { كَّ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'if (any subject) had done (m.sg. direct object) (but didn't)' | 'if (any subject) had done (m.pl. direct object) (but didn't)' |
| Feminine |  | كيق آٓن بون وى آU |
|  | 'if (any subject) had done (f.sg. direct object) (but didn't)' | 'if (any subject) had done (f.pl. direct object) (but didn't)' |

Table 8.35: Perfect irrealis II of 6 • /karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.3.1.7.6 Future perfect

The future perfect can refer to actions which will have happened by some time in the future, to actions which are presumed to be going to have happened by some time in the future, or to actions which are presumed to have happened. Table 8.36 shows these forms for the intransitive verb / جُلْز/julṛā/ 'to go', and Table 8.37 forms for the transitive



Table 8.36: Future perfect of $/$ عُلمُ / julịā/ 'to go'

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | كيتا بوّى <br> kītā hosī |  |
|  | '(any subject) will/must have done (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) will/must have done (m.pl. direct object)’ |
| Feminine | kītī hosī | كيتى آن بوّن <br> kītī ã hosan |
|  | '(any subject) will/must have done (f.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) will/must have done (f.pl. direct object)' |

Table 8.37: Future perfect of $/$ / karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4 Panjabi verbs

### 8.4.1 Overview

The four basic non-finite forms-stem, infinitive, perfective participle, and imperfective participle-combine with the auxiliary verb ly: /hoṇā/ 'to be;', the grammatical-
 or the subjunctive person-number endings and the number and gender-agreeing future suffix ${ }^{\boxed{b}} / \mathrm{ga} /$. . The imperfective participle is the base of the imperfect tenses; perfect tenses are built on the perfective participle. The continuous tenses consist of the
 fall, lie', or the verb stem plus the grammaticized perfective participle of 'to remain', plus tensed auxiliaries. These forms encode durative events taking place during a bounded interval. They convey both durativity and a strong sense of actuality.
 (actual, subjunctive, or presumptive). With regard to event structure, the imperfective participle encodes durative events, and the perfective participle encodes completed or pointlike events. The future is expressed by the subjunctive form of the main verb or an auxiliary verb plus a form of the marked adjectival particle $\bar{b} / \mathrm{g} \overline{\mathrm{a}} /$, and is used for states or events that are predicted to happen in the future or presumed to be happening, or have happened. Both the present tense of the auxiliary verb l'g: /honāā/ 'to be' and its suppletive past tense inflect for person and number in all persons. ${ }^{7}$ The future suffix ${ }^{6} / \mathrm{g} \overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ inflects only for gender and number. Verb forms may agree with one of the arguments in the clause, or may take default agreement identical to the third person singular masculine form.

The following discussion begins with a brief description of each of the four basic non-finite forms. After that, the full conjugation of the auxiliary verb lor /hoṇā/, forms of which enter into most complex verb forms, is provided. Finally, we discuss each tense-aspect form separately, organized by the basic verb form on which it is constructed.

Table 8.38 provides an overview of Panjabi verb forms.

[^54]Table 8.38: Overview of Panjabi verb forms

|  | Tenses |  |  |  | Moods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Simple | Present | Past | Future | Subjunctive | Irrealis |
| Simple |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { log } \\ & \text { or e } \\ & \text { 'he is' } \end{aligned}$ | , ó sī <br> 'he was' | 8-90.90 <br> ó jāve gā <br> 'he will go' | اوه <br> ó jāve <br> 'he may/should go; if he goes' | \|وهوانا <br> ó jāndā <br> 'if he had gone/were going (but he did not go/is not going' |
| Imperfect | اوهجاجرا <br> ó jāndā 'he would go' | او <br> ó jāndā e 'he goes’ | اوهنجانیا <br> ó jāndā sī 'he used to go' |  ó jāndā hove gā 'he will/must go (frequently)' | اوه جنانرا بووسـ <br> ó jāndā hove <br> 'he may/should go (frequently); if he goes (frequently)' | انوجانرا بونرا <br> ó jāndā hondā <br> 'if he went (frequently) (but he does not go)' |
| Imperfecthabitual |  | اوه ó jāndā hondāe 'he usually goes' | اوه بِنرا بونرا <br> ó jāndā hondā sī <br> 'he usually used to go' |  |  |  |
| Continuous-I |  | Lا ó jāndā pyā e 'he is going' | اوهجانرا <br> ó jāndā pyā sī <br> 'he was going' |  | اوه جانرا بيا نوو ó jāndā pyā hove 'if he is going' |  |

Table 8.38: (continued)

|  | Tenses |  |  |  | Moods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Simple | Present | Past | Future | Subjunctive | Irrealis |
| ContinuousII |  | اوه 6 ó jā ryā́ e | اوه 6 <br> ó jā ryā́ sī |  <br> ó jā ryá hove gã | اوه جـاربيا :ووسـ ó jā ryấ hove | اوه جبا ربيا بونرا ó jā ryắ hondā |
|  |  | 'he is going' | 'he was going' | 'he will/must be going' | 'he may/should be going; if he is going' | 'if he were going (but he is not going)' |
| Perfect | W̌/ ا ó gayā | اوهِي اسـ ó gayā e |  |  |  | اوه Kֵابونغا ó gayā hondā |
|  | 'he went' | 'he has gone' | 'he went/had gone' | 'he will/must have gone’ | 'he may have gone; if he has gone' | 'if he had gone (but he did not go)' |
| Perfectstative |  |  | اوهِّانويا <br> ó gayā hoiyā sī |  |  |  |
|  |  | 'he is gone (i.e. went, and is still away)' | 'he was gone (i.e. he went, and was still away) ' |  |  | 'if he had been ~ were gone (i.e. had gone, and were still away)' |

### 8.4.2 Non-finite forms

Non-finite forms are not marked for person or tense. They include the stem, the infinitive, the conjunctive participle, the imperfective participle, and the perfective participle.

### 8.4.2.1 Stem

The stem (sometimes called "root") is the base form to which affixes are added. Generally speaking, the stem of a given verb can be inferred from the infinitive, which is the citation form of the verb, i.e. the form that appears in dictionaries. The stem is the form to which the infinitive affix $\cup / n \bar{a} /$ or /nā/ is added.

Panjabi stems have three forms: (i) plain stem, (ii) first causative stem (plain stem $+/-\bar{a} /$ ), and (iii) double causative stem (plain stem $+\mid$ g $/-\mathrm{vā} /$ ). With a basic intransitive, first causative formation yields a derived transitive. With a basic transitive, first causative formation can add a secondary agent (causee) argument to the verb. Double causative formation adds yet a third argument, a secondary sub-agent. Panjabi no longer has a productive passive stem formation process, but older passives survive in a deontic modal construction (Section 19.4.2.1). Table 8.39 shows the plain, first causative, and second causative stems for a basic transitive stem $/ / \mathrm{kar}$-/ 'do' and the basic intransitive $\cup \cdot /$ baṇ/- 'be made, become'.


Table 8.39: Verb stems-transitivity sets

However, the matter of transitivity sets is more complicated than this introductory summary would suggest. Not all verbs have three stems. For example, $\widetilde{T} / \bar{a}-/$ 'come' and $\underset{\text { b }}{ }$.
/jā-/ 'go' have only one stem. Not all intransitive-transitive pairs differ by the presence or absence of the causative morpheme /-ā-/. For example, æ/گ/gvāc-/ 'be lost' (intransitive), and 1 /夕/gvā-/ 'lose' (transitive). Additionally, some verbs can be either transitive or intransitive, e.g. مr. /láb-/ 'be found, find'.

### 8.4.2.2 Infinitive

The infinitive is the citation form of the verb, which appears in most dictionaries. It is grammatically a verbal noun, occurring in the direct case or the singular oblique case like other nouns. It has no oblique plural form. When used in this way, it is inflected as a singular masculine marked noun: ৮/-ṇā/ or /-nā/ in the direct case and l/añ/ or /-an/ in the oblique. See Chapter 9 for functions of the infinitive and constructions in which it appears.

The infinitival suffix is default $\bullet_{\bullet} /-n \bar{a} /$ (Panjabi) for stems ending in vowels and all consonants except $/ \mathrm{r} /$, ${ }^{b} / \mathrm{r} /$, $\boldsymbol{\infty}^{b} / \mathrm{r} h / 8^{8}$ ن $/ \mathrm{n} /$, and $ل / \mathrm{l} /$, whose infinitives are formed with $\bullet^{\circ} /$-nā/. Writers of Panjabi rarely represent the contrast between $/ n /$ and $/ n /$, writ-
 to, obey'. The contrast between dental $/ 1 /$ and retroflex $/ 1 /$ is not represented in the Perso-Arabic orthography, both sounds being written as $ل / 1 /$. Compare $ل$. $/$ bolṇā/ 'to speak' with $6.6 / m a l ̣ n a \overline{/} /$ 'to rub'. Despite being pronounced very differently, the sequences /l!nā/ and /lṇā/ are written the same. ${ }^{10}$

In some varieties of Panjabi and some people's pronunciation, stems ending in $1 /-\bar{a} /$ are pronounced as $9 / 0 \sim \mathrm{au} /$ before the infinitive ending, e.g. نا
 temporary, urban Lahore Panjabi, the $/ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{o} \sim \mathrm{au} /$ pronunciation is yielding to that with l/ā/.

### 8.4.2.3 Conjunctive participle

The conjunctive participle consists of the stem $+\int / \mathrm{Ke} /$, e.g. for l•̣/jānāā/ 'to go': stem
 in a geminate consonant have only a single consonant in the conjunctive participle.
 participle $\mathcal{C}$ © $/$ /puch ke/ 'having asked'.

8 Stems ending in orthographic $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{b} /$ بh/ represent high tone on a preceding vowel, but behave like stems in plain ${ }^{b} / r /$ / in having infinitives in dental $\cup / n /$.
9 However the /n/ /n/ contrast is regularly represented in Hindko and in Saraiki.
10 For these reasons, some Panjabi language experts recommend the introduction of distinct Perso-Arabic characters for retroflex /ṇ/ and /!/.

The basic/original function of the conjunctive participle is to express two sequential actions or events. Rather than using two separate finite clauses joined with a coordinating conjunction like 'and', two verbs are usually conjoined by putting the first verb in the conjunctive participle form and the second in a finite conjugated form. The subject of both verbs is usually the same, and the verb that is reduced to a conjunctive participle is understood as having the same tense as the finite main verb. The marking of the agent depends on the transitivity and aspect (perfective or imperfective) of the finite main verb. See also Section 7.2.1, and Section 9.3.2.3. Conjunctive participial forms are frequently grammaticalized and acquire lexicalized adverbial, sometimes idiomatic, meanings. For example, رلن /ralnā/ 'to mix, mingle' $\rightarrow$ conjunctive particle: $\mathcal{L} /$ ral ke/ 'having mingled', idiomatically 'together'. ( $/$ /jāṇnā/ 'to know' $\rightarrow$ conjunctive particle: كان / C /jāṇ ke/ 'having known', i.e. 'intentionally’. The conjunctive participle of $/$ /karnā/ 'to do', $ک /$ /kar ke/ 'having done', has been grammaticalized as a derivational morpheme and a postposition (see Section 7.2.1, Section 10.9.1, Section 10.10.1.5, and Section 10.10.3.2) . As a postposition, $\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{kar}$ ke/ means 'be-
 kar ke/ 'because of the rain'. As a derivational morpheme, $\int$ /kar ke /derives adverbs from adjectives, e.g. عامك /ām kar ke/ 'generally, usually’.

### 8.4.2.4 Imperfective participle

The imperfective participle consists of the stem followed by a marked adjectival particle which inflects for number and gender. If the stem ends in a consonant, then the adjectival particle is $/$-- /-dā/. If the stem ends in a vowel, the suffix is $1 /$-ndā/. This $/ \mathrm{n}$ / is usually realized as nasalization of the stem-final vowel. See Table 8.40 for the inflected forms of the imperfective participial suffix.

If the stem ends in $/ /-\bar{a} /$, except for the verbs $\operatorname{l}$ - / /jā-/ 'go' and 6 - / khā-/ 'eat', the final $/ /-\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ can change to $\mathrm{g} /-\mathrm{o} \sim \mathrm{au}$ / before the participial suffix in some dialects (Shackle 1972: 109). This pronunciation is increasingly less frequent in Lahore Panjabi, and is characteristic of more easterly varieties.

The imperfective participle of the verb l'ry /honā/ 'to be' is regular, and its inflected
 hõdiyã/.

The imperfective participle is an adjectival form that, when forming part of a complex verb form, agrees in number and gender with the grammatical subject. When functioning adjectivally it can also agree with some other argument of the verb. Table 8.40 displays the suffixes of the imperfective participle.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | 1 , | L, |
|  | -dā ${ }_{\text {[C-final] }}$ | $-\mathrm{de}_{\text {[-final] }}$ |
|  | 1 | Li |
|  | -nda ${ }_{[V-\text {-final] }}$ | -nde ${ }_{\text {[v-final] }}$ |
| Feminine | $\checkmark$ | U |
|  | -dī [c-final] | -diyã̃ [c-final] |
|  | $\checkmark^{*}$ | U ن - |
|  | -ndī ${ }_{\text {[v-final] }}$ | -ndiyẵ [V-final] |

Table 8.40: Suffixes of the imperfective participle

### 8.4.2.5 Perfective participle

The perfective participle consists of the verb stem + the marked adjectival endings. The masculine singular form appears as /- $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ or /-iyā/ depending on whether the perfective stem is regular or irregular and whether it is consonant- or vowel-final. Regular C- and V-final masculine singular forms end in /-iyā/ ~ /yā/, while those of irregular C-final perfective stems end in /-ā/. These forms are displayed in Table 8.41. Masculine plural and feminine endings are displayed in Table 8.42.

|  | Regular perfective stem |  | Irregular perfective stem |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb | Participle | Verb | Participle |
| C-final stem | ル <br> mār- <br> 'beat, kill' | 6 mār-iyā |  | بِّ bádd-ā |
| V-final stem |  <br> baṇā- <br> 'make’ <br> g: <br> ho- <br> 'be, become' | با با <br> baṇā-yā <br> 6: <br> ho-iyā | $\begin{aligned} & \text { de- } \\ & \text { 'give' } \end{aligned}$ | , <br> ditt-ā |

Table 8.41: Masculine singular perfective participles from regular and irregular perfective stems

Like the imperfective participle, the perfective participle agrees in number and gender with an argument of the verb (see Section 9.1.5.3, Section 9.3.1.3.2, and Section 9.3.2).

Perso-Arabic script does not distinguish between the sequences /īā/, /iyā/: both are spelled $\downarrow /$ /chotī ye-alif/. When $\checkmark / \bar{i} /$ and $\_/ \mathrm{e} /$ are suffixed to a vowel-final stem, however, the hiatus between the two vowels is represented by hamza (see Section 3.6.1.2 ).

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | - | - |
|  | -iyā [ěā] c.final | - |
|  | $\text { -iyā } v \text {-final }$ | -e |
|  | - a $_{\text {Irregular C-final }}$ |  |
| Feminine | $\checkmark$ | U- |
|  | - | -ryã ${ }_{\text {cfinal }}$ |
|  |  | -yã̃v-final |

Table 8.42: Suffixes of the perfective participle

Many frequently used verbs have irregular perfective participles. A few of them are presented here. The following numbered classes of irregular verbs are organized by perfective subtypes; within each class, lettered subclasses indicate subtypes.

Ia. Perfective stem involves only vowel changes:



- رینی /rǽṇā/ 'to remain, stay, live’ /ryấ, raî́, raé, raíyã̃/; transliteration: <rahnā> <rahyā rahī rahe rahiyã̃>
 <kahyā, kahī, kahe, kahiyã̃>

Ib. Perfective stem involves vowel change and consonant loss


[^55]II. Perfective stem ends in /-t/, /-tt/:

IIa. Perfective stem vowel is unchanged:



 IIb. Perfective stem has vowel changes:





III. Perfective stem ends in /-ṭh/:


IV. Perfective stem ends in /-dh, -ddh/:

 V. Perfective stem vowel is unchanged but stem-final consonant is geminated:


12 These irregular stems, with historical stem-final voiced aspirates, now have high tone on the stem vowel.


- ${ }^{\text {- }}$ 触/ṭuṭā/ 'to break (intr.)' $\rightarrow$ U
VI. Perfective stem is suppletive:



### 8.4.3 The verb ly: /hoṇā/ 'to be'

The verb ler /hoṇā/ 'to be' functions both as a main verb and as an auxiliary. As a main verb it expresses either existence or identity. As an auxiliary, it encodes tense (past, present, future), mood (realis, irrealis, presumptive), and in some cases person (1st, 2nd, 3rd), in complex verb constructions in which it appears. Since $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hoṇā} /$ is irregular, full paradigms are presented in the following subsections.

### 8.4.3.1 Present tense of l'gi/hoṇā/ 'to be'

In complex verb constructions, the present form of $\mathbf{~ r ~ / h o n a ̄ a / ~ ' t o ~ b e ' ~ c o n t r i b u t e s ~ p r e s e n t ~}$ tense meaning. Complex forms that include a participle plus the present of ${ }^{\text {ch / /hoṇā/ }}$ are marked for person, number, gender, and tense. Negatives are formed with /na $\mathrm{I} /$. In present-tense negative sentences, the auxiliary itself is usually not present, leaving only نَيْ /naí/, which itself can mean 'is/are not'.

Table 8.43 gives the present tense forms of $\mathbf{l}$ : /hon̄ā/. The first and second person plural pronouns occur in two variants: اسسيّ and تسير, showing nasalization of the final vowel (e.g. Shackle 2003 and Bhatia 1993), and اسّى and, with no nasalization indicated (e.g. Bashir and Kazmi 2012). We use the forms showing nasalization consistently in the Panjabi verbal paradigms in this chapter. The third person plural forms (nẽ/ and $\dot{L} / \mathrm{ne}$ / are found as alternate spellings of the same morpheme. In this grammar, we will use the most common spelling, نیّ/nẽ/ , to illustrate verbal paradigms.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  |  |
|  | 'lam' | 'we are' |
| 2nd | توّ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تسي او } \\ & \text { tusĩ̃ on } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'you are' | 'you are' |
| 3rd | $C_{o \mathrm{e}}^{\log }$ |  |
|  | 'he/she/it is' | 'they are' |

Table 8.43: Present tense of Lو، / /hoṇā/ 'to be'

### 8.4.3.2 Past tense of l'g / hoṇā/ 'to be'

The past tense forms for lor $^{\prime} /$ hoṇā/ are suppletive-that is, formed from a different stem than the infinitive and the present tense. In complex verb constructions, the past form of ry / /hoṇā/ contributes past tense meaning. Complex forms including a participle plus the past of 4 r. /hoṇā/are marked for person, number, gender, and tense. Negatives are formed either with $\mathcal{U} /$ /naĩ $/$ or with $\dot{\sim} /$ na/. Table 8.44 shows the past tense forms of ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ /hoṇā/.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | U | اسیّ |
|  | m $\mathfrak{æ}$ sã̃ | asĩ sã̃ |
|  | 'I was' | 'we were' |
| 2nd | وّ | تسيرس |
|  | tū $s$ æ̈ | tusĩ̃ so sau |
|  | 'you were' | 'you were' |
| 3rd |  | اوهّ* |
|  | ó sī | ó san |
|  | 'he/she/it was' | 'they were' |

Table 8.44: Past tense of ly: / hoṇā/ 'to be'

### 8.4.3.3 Subjunctive of 'و: ' / hoṇā/ 'to be'

In complex verb constructions, the subjunctive form of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{r}}$ /hoṇā/ encodes meanings such as potentiality, desirability, or contingency; it frequently, therefore, appears in the subordinate "if" clause in realis conditional constructions. Subjunctive forms agree in person and number, but are not marked for gender or tense. Negatives are formed with the simple negative element $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} /$ 'not'. Table 8.45 shows the subjunctive of /hoṇā/ 'to be'.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mã hovã |  |
|  | 'I may/should be; if I am' | 'we may/should be; if we are' |
| 2nd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تسين بووو } \\ & \text { tusĩ hovo } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'you may/should be; if you are' | 'you may/should be; if you are' |
| 3rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوه نوو ó hove } \end{aligned}$ | اوه بون <br> ó hoṇ |
|  | 'he/she/it may/should be; if he/she/it is' | اوه ،óg ó hovaṇ 'they may/should be; if they are' |

Table 8.45: Subjunctive of t'r./hoṇā/ 'to be'

### 8.4.3.4 Future of ly' / hoṇā/ 'to be'

As described in Section 8.1, the future form of l'g: /hoṇā/ 'to be' is used in future tenseaspect constructions, which describe events predicted to occur or presumed to have occurred as well as those presented as presumed to be happening. The future form is formed by adding the suffix ${ }^{\boxed{6} / \mathrm{ga} / \text {, which inflects for number and gender, to the }}$ subjunctive form, except in the first person plural. A productive way to think about
the future form in Panjabi is as a strengthened ${ }^{13}$ subjunctive. ${ }^{14}$ Since the future particle agrees in number and gender, and the subjunctive is marked for person and number, the future form agrees in person, number, and gender, and is marked for tense. The future ending $\bar{b} / \mathrm{ga} /$ / can be written separately or together with the subjunctive base; in this case, a final $\cup$ nūn yunna appears as $\cup$ nūn, since it is no longer ligature-final. Negatives are usually formed with بونا /hoṇā/.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | "ي بووال 8 <br> mẽ hovã̃ gā ${ }_{M}$ |  asĩ hovã $\mathrm{ge}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |
|  |  |  asī̃ hovã̃ gıyã̃ |
|  | 'I will be' | 'we will be' |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  |  | تسيّ بوور" tusĩ hovo giyã̃ |
|  | 'you will be' | 'you will be' |
| 3rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { b hove gā or }{ }_{M} \\ & \text { ó hol } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 'he/it will be' <br> \}-m, <br> ó hove $\mathrm{gī}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'she will be' |  <br> ó hon gıyã̃ <br> 'they will be' |

Table 8.46: Future of C : / /honā/ 'to be'

13 The strengthening effect of the particle ${ }^{\text {b/ } / \mathrm{ga} / \text { / ( < gataḥ, Old Indo-Aryan past particle of 'go’), }}$ is also seen in present and past tense formations. For example, $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{h} æ \mathrm{~g} \overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ is a form with
 visa'. One of the characters of the popular children's television show Kalian of the 1970s was
 'he was' is found in some Panjabi varieties.
14 This analysis does not apply to the future forms in /s/ of Hindko and Saraiki, which retain the $/ \mathrm{s} /$ in future forms from Old and Middle Indo Aryan.

### 8.4.4 Verb forms constructed on the stem

### 8.4.4.1 Imperative

There are two specifically imperative forms in Panjabi, called here the "informal imperative" and the "formal" or "polite imperative". ${ }^{15}$ The informal and formal imperatives each have a singular and plural form. The singular form is used in contexts when the second person singular pronoun $/$ "/tū/ is appropriate and the plural form in con-
 with $\dot{\sim} /$ na/, e.g. $و$. $/$ /na karo/ 'don't do it.' In addition to these specifically imperative forms, other forms like the infinitive and the subjunctive are also used in imperativelike functions (see Section 9.1.5, Section 9.3.1.3.1, Section 9.3.2.4).

### 8.4.4.1.1 Informal imperative

The singular form of the informal imperative is equivalent to the stem; the plural form consists of the stem $+g /-o /$. For example, from l'b /jāṇā/ 'to go', we have /jáa/ 'go! (to one person)', and $\neq$ / /jáō/ 'go! (to one or more than one person)'.

High tone appears regularly in the imperative and future tenses in some cases where the verbal stems themselves do not have tone (Shackle 2003: 593). For example, كَ - /khā-/ 'eat' and תـ- /de-/ 'give' have informal imperative forms 6ك /khâ/ (sg.)
 /khávegā/ 'he will eat', and /dévegā/ 'he will eat' for example. Since this high tone is not the reflex of historic aspiration, most writers do not represent it in the orthography. Some stems that end in a geminate consonant have a single consonant in the singular informal imperative but a geminate consonant in the plural informal imperative. Most writers do not represent this gemination in the orthography. For example, /rakkhṇā/ 'to put, keep' $\rightarrow$ singular: ك /rákh/ 'put! (to one person)'; and plural: /rakkhó/ 'put! (to one or more than one person)'

The honorific particle $\mathcal{\cup}$. /jī/ following a plural informal imperative forms polite


[^56]
### 8.4.4.1.2 Polite/formal imperative

The singular polite imperative consists of the stem $+\mathcal{U n}^{1} / \tilde{\overline{1}} /{ }^{16}$ and the plural polite imperative is formed from the stem + إو⿰㇒未 //iyo/. For example: ركما/ /rakhṇā/ 'to put' $\rightarrow$ singular polite imperative: كِّر"/rakkhĩ/ 'please put (addressed to one person)', and plural polite imperative: كريك /rakkhiyo/ 'please put (addressed to one or more persons)'. The plural polite imperative is frequently used with the second person plural pronominal


Table 8.47 summarizes Panjabi imperative forms.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Informal | $\varnothing$ (no ending) | , |
|  |  | -0 |
| Formal/Polite | U" | \% |
|  | I~ | -iyo |
|  | $\underset{\text { vī́n }}{\substack{\text { وin }}}$ |  |

Table 8.47: Panjabi imperative endings

### 8.4.4.2 Subjunctive

The subjunctive is formed from the stem + personal endings (see Table 8.48). Subjunctive forms are marked only for person and number. Vowel-final stems insert $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{v} /$ between the stem and the ending obligatorily in the first person singular form, and optionally in the second person singular, third person singular, second person plural, and third person plural forms. The third-person singular subjunctive forms with epenthetic $9 / v /$ are more common in spoken Panjabi, while forms without epenthetic $g / \mathrm{v} /$ are sometimes encountered in writing. The third person plural ending varies for consonant-final and vowel-final stems. The third person plural ending is /-(v)aṇ/, except with stems ending in $/ \mathrm{n} /, / \mathrm{n} /, / \mathrm{r} /, / \mathrm{r} /$, and $/!!/$, where the ending is $/-(\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{an} /$. The ending of the second person plural subjunctive is identical to that of the informal plural imperative. This follows from the fact that both subjunctives and imperatives point

16 This final $\int_{\sim 1} / / \overline{/} /$ could also be analyzed as a second-person singular pronominal suffix. See Section 6.8.2 on pronominal suffixes in Panjabi.
to unrealized actions or events. Table 8.48 shows the person-number suffixes of the subjunctive conjugation.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  | $\underset{\text {-iye }}{\underset{m}{\prime}}$ |
| 2nd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { و-0 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3rd | $-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{C} \text {-final, }} \mathrm{V}$-final <br> و <br> $-\mathrm{ve}_{\mathrm{V} \text {-final }}$ | -aṇc-final <br> ون <br> -vaṇ ${ }_{\mathrm{v} \text {-final }}$ |

Table 8.48: Personal endings of the subjunctive

Table 8.49 and Table 8.50 illustrate the regular subjunctive conjugation with the verbs


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | " يل .ولال mã bolã |  |
|  | 'I may/should speak; if I speak' | 'we may/should speak; let's speak; if we speak' |
| 2nd | "ول .وليّ tũ bolẽ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تسير لولو } \\ & \text { tusĩ bolo } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'you may/should speak; if you speak' | 'you may/should speak; if you speak' |
| 3rd | اوه .و <br> ó bole | اوه .وكّن ó bolaṇ |
|  | 'he/she/it may/should speak; if he/she speaks' | 'they may/should speak; if they speak’ |

Table 8.49: Subjunctive of ولنا. /bolṇā/ 'to speak'

Verbs with stems that end in $</ æ$ / or $9 / 0 /$ are somewhat irregular. Table 8.51 shows the irregular subjunctive conjugation in the verb ليّ /læṇā/ 'to take, get, buy'.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ | < إسِّ |
|  | m æ $^{\text {jāvã }}$ | asĩ jāıye |
|  | 'I may/should go; if I go' | 'we may/should go; let's go; if we go' |
| 2nd | "ول | تّسِّ |
|  | tũ jāvẽ ~ | tusĩ jāo ~ |
|  | "و | تسير جاو و |
|  | tũ jāẽ | tusĩ javo |
|  | 'you may/should go; if you go' | 'you may/should go; if you go' |
| 3rd | او0.96-9 | اوهو جون |
|  | ó jāve ~ | ó jāvaṇ ~ |
|  | اوه¢ | اوها |
|  | ó jae | ó jāṇ |
|  | 'he/she/it may/should go; if he/she/it/goes' | 'they may/should go; if they go' |

Table 8.50: Subjunctive of l6̣/jāṇā/ 'to go'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | "يال لوان mæ̃ lavã | $\sum_{\text {asĩ laiye }}^{\substack{J}}$ |
|  | 'I may/should take; if I take’ | 'we may/should take; let's take; if we take' |
| 2nd | وّ لوّ <br> tũ lavẽ~ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تسيمن وو } \\ & \text { tusĩ lavo ~ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'ون <br> tū̃ $\mathfrak{\text { ® }}$ |  |
|  | 'you may/should take; if you take' | 'you may/should take; if you take' |
| 3rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { é lave ~ } \\ & \text { én } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوه́ ل̣! } \\ & \text { ólæn } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\underbrace{}_{\text {élæ }}$ | 'they may/should take; if they take' |
|  | 'he/she/it may/should take; if he/she/it takes' |  |

Table 8.51: Subjunctive of لين / لæṇā/ 'to take, get, buy'

### 8.4.4.3 Future

The future form is obtained by suffixing the appropriate inflected form of the future particle ${ }^{\boxed{b}} / \mathrm{g} \bar{a} /$ to the subjunctive form, except in the first person plural, where the subjunctive base is the same as for the first person singular. The subjunctive base agrees in person and number; the future particle agrees in gender and number. Negatives are usually formed with $u$ miric $/ n a \tilde{1} /$ Table 8.52 shows the conjugation of the future tense of the verb لولنا /bolṇā/ 'to speak'. In this table, the future particle is written separately from the subjunctive base - for the sake of clarity. In actual practice, it is perhaps more


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك ،ولال mã̉ bolã̃gā ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 'I will speak' | 'we will speak' |
| 2nd | "ول .وليU 8 tũ bolẽgā ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 'you will speak' | 'you will speak' |
| 3rd |  | $\underbrace{M}_{\text {ó bolaṇge }}$ |
|  | 'he/it will speak' اوه \%وL | اوه .ولن گيان <br> ó bolaṇgıyã̃ |
|  | 'she/it will speak' |  |

Table 8.52: Future of لونا. /bolṇā/ 'to speak'

### 8.4.4.4 Continuous tenses

Continuous tenses encode durative activity over a bounded period of time. Often they have a marked nuance of actuality. There are several continuous tense formations in Panjabi. These are numbered to facilitate comparison with similar forms found in Hindko and Saraiki. Those constructed on the imperfective participle plus the perfec-
 tinuous I. Those constructed on the stem plus the perfective participle of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}$ / /rǽṇā/ 'to
remain' are labeled present continuous II and past continuous II. Additionally, there is a third continuous form in Panjabi, which we will call present continuous III. This form is constructed on the oblique infinitive, and will be discussed later, in Section 8.4.7. In this section we present the present continuous II forms, since these are more characteristic of Lahore Panjabi than of either Hindko or Saraiki, and they are shared with more easterly varieties of Panjabi. Continuous I forms can be found in Section 8.3.1.6.7 for Hindko and Section 8.5.6.3.1 for Saraiki.

### 8.4.4.4.1 Present continuous II

Formation: Stem + ر /rǽ̣ṇā/ (perfective participle) + lوْ ، /hoṇā/ (present).
The grammaticalized perfective participle of رینا /rǽṇā/ ( 1 /ryá/), which inflects
 codes tense (present, past, or future), mood (realis or irrealis), and person of the complex verb form. The present continuous both describes events which are in progress at the time of speaking and future actions which can be considered to have already be-
 in this tense usually omit the auxiliary.

Table 8.53 shows the conjugation of the verb $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{Karnā/}$ 'to do' in the present continuous II. Note the epenthetic /v/ between the final l/ā/ in رl/ryā/ and the first
 doing.' These epenthetic consonants prevent a sequence of two identical vowels.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | ير كر ربيا وال mã kar ryắ vã̃ ${ }_{M}$ | اسیル asĩ kar raé $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{M}$ |
|  | يسكر mé kar raí $\tilde{a}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'lam doing’ | اسیی אر ربيان آل asĩ kar raíyã̃ $\tilde{a}_{F}$ 'we are doing' |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  | "ون كربى ا" <br> tū kar raî $\tilde{e}_{F}$ 'you are doing' | تسيس كريh او tusĩ kar raíyã̃ $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you are doing' |
| 3rd | اوه | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ó kar raé nẽ } \text { an }_{M} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'he/it is doing' <br>  ó kar raí $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'she/it is doing' | اوه ó kar raíyã̃ nẽ ${ }_{F}$ 'they are doing' |

Table 8.53: Present continuous II of C / /karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.4.4.2 Past continuous II

Formation: stem + ر /rǽ
The past continuous describes actions or events which were in progress or were imminent at a point prior to the moment of speaking. Negatives are formed with /naī//. Table 8.54 shows the conjugation of $/$ /karnā/ 'to do' in the past continuous II.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mẽ kar ryā́ sã̃ ${ }_{M}$ | asĩ kar raé sã̃M |
|  |  mã̉ kar raî sã̃ 'I was doing' | اسيس ك ربيان asĩ kar raíyã̃ sã̃ 'we were doing' |
| 2nd |  |  |
| 3rd | تون كر ریى <br> tũ̃ kar raī s $\tilde{\dddot{x}}_{F}$ 'you were doing' |  tusĩ kar raíyã̃ $\mathrm{so}_{F}$ 'you were doing' |
|  |  | ó ór kar raé san |
|  | 'he/it was doing’ <br>  ó kar raî sī̄ 'she/it was doing' | اوه كر بيالّن ó kar raíyã san $_{\text {F }}$ 'they were doing' |

Table 8.54: Past continuous II of L •/karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.4.4.3 Past continuous II - habitual

Formation: stem + ر ر /rǽnṇā/(perfective participle) + بونا /hoṇā/(imperfective partici-


The imperfective participle of $\mathbf{~ : ~}:$ /hoṇā/adds a component of extended durativity. Bashir and Kazmi (2012: 651) gloss the meaning of this construction as (for example) 'I was habitually doing that at that time, but I don't follow this routine any longer.' Table 8.55 shows the conjugation of $1 \cdot$ /karnā/ 'to do' in the past continuous II-habitual.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mã kar ryā́ hondā sã̃M | اسیّ asĩ kar raé honde sã̃ ${ }_{M}$ |
|  |  mã kar raî hondī sã̃ 'I was usually doing' |  asĩ kar raíyã̃ hondiyã̃ sã̃ 'we were usually doing' |
| 2nd |  tũ kar ryấ hondā $\mathrm{s} \tilde{æ}_{M}$ | tusĩ kar raé honde so |
|  | تول كر رئ بونرى tũ̃ kar raî hondī s $\tilde{\dddot{x}}_{F}$ 'you were usually doing' |  tusĩ kar raíyã̃ hondiyã̃ $\mathrm{so}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you were usually doing' |
| 3rd | اوه كر رسيا بونرا كى ó kar ryā́ hondā $\mathrm{sī}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | اوه ó kar raé honde $\operatorname{san}_{M}$ |
|  | 'he/it was usually doing, <br> اوه <br> ó kar raî hondī Sī̃ $_{\text {F }}$ <br> 'she/it was usually doing' |  <br> ó kar raíyã̃ hondiyã̃ $\operatorname{san}_{F}$ 'they were usually doing, |

Table 8.55: Past continuous II-habitual of $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{Karna} \mathrm{\overline{/} /} \mathrm{'to} \mathrm{do'}$

### 8.4.4.4.4 Continuous II - subjunctive

Formation: Stem + ر ر /ránẹā/ (perfective participle) + /hoṇā/ (subjunctive)
The continuous subjunctive describes unrealized continuous actions or states which are potentially realizable. Thus it expresses meanings, such as potentiality, desirability, or contingency, which typically occur in the subordinate clause in a realis conditional construction. The negative is formed with $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} /$. Table 8.56 shows the conjugation of l , ك /karnā/ 'to do' in the continuous II-subjunctive.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يس كر ربيا: بووال mæ̃ kar ryắ hovã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ |  |
|  |  <br> mẽ kar raî hovã̃ <br> 'I may/should be doing; if I were to be doing’ |  <br> asĩ kar raíyã hoiye ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'we may/should be doing; if we were to be doing' |
| 2nd | تول كُربيا:نووسِ tū̃ kar ryā hovẽ |  |
|  | tũ kar raí hovẽ ${ }_{F}$ 'you may/should be doing; if you were to be doing' | تسيس ك ربيان بووو <br> tusĩ kar raíyã̃ hovo ${ }_{F}$ 'you may/should be doing; if you were to be doing' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he/it may/should be doing; if he/it is doing' <br> اوه <br> ó kar raí hove ${ }_{F}$ | اوه كر ربيان بون <br> ó kar raíyã hoṇ ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they may/should be doing; if they were to be doing' |
|  | 'she/it may/should be doing; if she/it were to be doing' |  |

Table 8.56: Continuous II-subjunctive of C / /karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.4.4.5 Future continuous II

Formation: stem + رrن /ráṇā/ (perfective participle) + ly /hoṇā/ (future).
This form describes events which will be occurring in the future, or which the speaker presumes are occurring in the present. This presumptive meaning is often conveyed by 'must' in an English gloss, where he must be doing means 'I presume he is doing.' Table 8.57 shows the conjunction of $6 /$ /karnā/ 'to do' in the future continuous II.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | "ي كر ربيا יووان m $\tilde{\dddot{x}}$ kar ryấ hovā̃gā | $\underbrace{}_{\tilde{\sim}}$ $\text { asĩ kar raé hovã̃e }{ }_{M}$ |
|  |  | asĩ̃ kar raíyā̃ hovā̃giyã̃ <br> 'we will/must be doing' |
| 2nd | tū kar ryā hovẽgā ${ }_{\text {m }}$ | $\underbrace{\text { ºn }}_{\text {tusī kar raé hovoge }}$ |
|  |  |  tusĩ kar raíyã̃ hovogiyã̃ 'you will/must be doing' |
| 3rd | اوه كر بيا יووسـ ع <br> ó kar ryā́ hovegā ${ }_{M}$ | $\underbrace{\prime \prime}_{\text {ó kar raé hoṇge }}$ |
|  | 'he will/must be doing' اوه <br> ó kar raí hovegī ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'she will/must be doing' |  <br> ó kar raíyã̃ hoṇgiyã̃ <br> 'they will/ must be doing' |

Table 8.57: Future continuous II of 6 ' / karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.4.4.6 Continuous II - irrealis

Formation: stem + ربنا /ráṇā (perfective participle) + /hoṇā/ (imperfective participle).

This form is used to describe counterfactual actions or states, which would be in progress at the time of speaking, if they were true. Since this form consists entirely of non-finite forms, it agrees with the subject only in number and gender. Table 8.58 shows the conjugation of the verb $1 \times /$ /karnā/ 'to do' in the continuous II-irrealis.

| Gender of Subject | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | كربيا بونرا <br> kar ryā́ hondā | كرب بنونiـ <br> kar raé honde |
|  | 'if (any m.sg. subject) were doing' | 'if (any m.pl. subject) were doing' |
| Feminine | كريّى بونیى kar raí hondī | Kar raiyã̄ hondiyã̃ |
|  | 'if (any f.sg. subject) were doing’ | 'if (any f.pl. subject) were doing’ |

Table 8.58: Continuous II-irrealis of L / /karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.5 Verb forms constructed on the imperfective participle

As described in Section 8.4.2.4, the imperfective participle consists of the verb stem plus a form of the suffix $\mid(j)-/-(n)$ dā/, which agrees in gender and number with the subject of the clause or other nominal. The imperfective participle encodes imperfectivity/durativity. It enters into complex verbal constructions with forms of the auxiliary verb lig / /hoṇā/, 'to be', which contribute tense, mood and person. The bare participle, without a form of ${ }^{\text {r }}$ / /hoṇā/, expresses irrealis mood.

### 8.4.5.1 Bare imperfective participle

### 8.4.5.1.1 Irrealis II

The imperfective participle may be used on its own, with no auxiliary, in one or both of the two clauses of a conditional sentence to describe an unfulfilled or unfulfillable condition. The negative is formed with $\dot{i} / \mathrm{na} /$. Table 8.59 shows the paradigm of /karnā/ 'to do' in the simple imperfect, functioning with irrealis meaning.

This meaning is illustrated in example 8.9.

je tusĩ ó-nū māf kar-d-e tã cãg- $\bar{a}$ ho-nd- $\bar{a}$
if 2PL 3SG-DAT forgiveness do-IP-PL.M then good-SG.M be-IP-SG.M 'If you had forgiven him, it would have been good.' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 124)


Table 8.59: Simple irrealis II of © $\mathcal{S} /$ /karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.5.1.2 Imperfective participle as attributive adjective

Imperfective participles also function as attributive adjectives, ${ }^{17}$ as in English flowing river, or rising water. Frequently in this usage, the imperfective participle is followed by the perfective participle of $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{r}} /$ /hoṇā/, which adds the meaning of extended duration/stativity. Both participles are Class I adjectives and agree with the noun they modify, as in 8.10.

ro-nd-ī ho-ī kur-ī ma aol ga-ī
weep-IP-SG.F be.PP-SG.F girl-SG.F mother to go.PP-SG.F
'The weeping girl went to [her] mother.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 106)

### 8.4.5.2 Imperfective tenses

### 8.4.5.2.1 Present imperfect

Formation: imperfective participle + log /hoṇā/ 'to be (present)
This form describes actions or states which occur generally or regularly, at or around the time of speaking. It can also be used to present an event as imminent. The negative is formed with $U \mathrm{~m}^{\circ} / n a \overline{\tilde{I}} /$, and the auxiliary verb is usually omitted in negative clauses; inclusion of the auxiliary conveys contrastive emphasis. Table 8.60 shows the conjugation of 1 ك /karnā/ 'to do' in the present imperfect.

[^57]| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ كراوان <br> mẽ kardā vã̃ | آسی, <br> asĩ karde $\tilde{\tilde{n}}_{M}$ |
|  | يّ كوى آل mẽ kardĩ $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | اسيّ كريال آل asĩ kardiyã̃ $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'Ido' | 'we do' |
| 2nd | تون كرا ای~~ $\text { tũ̃ kardā } \tilde{e}_{M}$ | تيس كرو |
|  |  |  tusĩ kardiyã $o_{F}$ |
|  | 'you do' | 'you do' |
| 3rd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ó karde nén } \\ & \text { ón } \\ & \text { or } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'he does' <br> اوه كرى أـا <br> ó kardī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'she does' | اوه كريان نيّ <br> ó kardiyã̃ nẽ <br> 'they do' |

Table 8.60: Present imperfect of C ک/karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.5.2.2 Present continuous I

Formation: imperfective participle + /hoṇā/ 'to be' (present)

This construction is used in all three languages. It originates in Hindko and Saraiki but is also frequent in the spoken Panjabi of Lahore. For paradigms see Section 8.3.1.6.7 on Hindko and Section 8.5.6.3.1 on Saraiki. On the other hand, the present continuous II, presented in Section 8.4.4.4.1, originates farther eastward, and is common to Urdu, eastern varieties of Panjabi, and Hindi.

### 8.4.5.2.3 Present imperfect - habitual

 (present)

The present imperfective-habitual stresses the regularity and persistence of an action in the present. ${ }^{18}$ Table 8.61 shows the conjugation of the verb $/$ /karnā/ 'to do' in the present imperfect-habitual.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { آسيّ } \\ \tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{M}}}}_{\text {asĩ karde honde }}$ |
|  |  | اسیّ كرويان بونيال آن asĩ kardiyā̃ hondiyã̃ $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{F}$ |
|  | 'I usually do' | 'we usually do' |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  |  | تسير كرواル بونران او tusĩ kardiyã̃ hondiyã $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'you usually do' | 'you usually do' |
| 3rd | اوه كرا بونرا الـع | اوهر روس بونغ نينـ |
|  | ó kardā hondā $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | ó karde honde $\mathrm{nẽ}_{M}$ |
|  | 'he usually does' | اوه كرويا بونغيان |
|  | ó kardī hondī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | ó kardiyã̃ hondiyã̃ nẽ ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'she usually does' | they usually do |

Table 8.61: Present imperfect-habitual of C ک/karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.5.2.4 Past imperfect

Formation: imperfective participle + lوr / /hoṇā/(past)
The past imperfect describes events or states which occurred generally or regularly in the past. Depending on context and the specific verb involved, it may be translated in English with 'used to V', 'V-ed', or 'was/were V-ing'. The negative is formed with


[^58]| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يس كراسا mã kardā sã̃M |  <br> asĩ karde sã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ |
|  | يسرى mã kardī sã̃ | اسيسكروال asĩ kardiyẫ sã̃ |
|  | 'I used to do' | 'we used to do' |
| 2nd |  |  |
| 3rd | توّ كرى tũ kardī s $\tilde{\text { áf }}_{\text {F }}$ 'you used to do' | تسيس كرويان tusĩ kardiyã̃ $\mathrm{so}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you used to do' |
|  |  |  |
|  | 'he used to do' اوه <br> ó kardī sī̄ <br> 'she used to do' |  |

Table 8.62: Past imperfect of V , / karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.5.2.5 Past imperfect - habitual

 (past)

The past imperfect-habitual stresses the persistent regularity of an action in the past. Table 8.63 shows the conjugation of $ا$ / /karnā/ 'to do' in the past imperfecthabitual.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّن كرا بونراساU |  |
|  | mæ̃ kardā hondā s $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{M}$ | asĩ karde honde sañ ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | ير אرى بونرى ساU | اسين كويالبونديال سان |
|  | mæ̃ kardī hondī sã̃ | asĩ kardiyã̃ hondiyã̃ $s \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{F}$ |
|  | 'I usually used to do' | 'we usually used to do' |
| 2nd | تون كروا يونرا سیِ <br> tū̃ kardā hondā $s \tilde{æ}_{M}$ |  |
|  |  <br> tü̃ kardī hondī s $\tilde{x}_{\text {F }}$ 'you usually used to do' | tusĩ̃ kardiyẫ hondiyã $\mathrm{so}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you usually used to do' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he used to do usually' <br> ó kardī hondī sī ${ }_{F}$ |  <br> ó kardiyã̃ hondiyã̃ $\operatorname{san}_{F}$ <br> 'they usually used to do' |
|  | 'she usually used to do ' |  |

Table 8.63: Past imperfect-habitual of $1 /$ /karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.5.2.6 Imperfect subjunctive

Formation: imperfective participle + ly، /hoṇā/(subjunctive)
The imperfective subjunctive presents durative actions or events as potential, desirable, or contingent, as in conditional constructions. The negative is formed with $\dot{\sim}$ /na/. Table 8.64 shows the conjugation of $1 \cdot$ /karnā/ 'to do' in the imperfect subjunctive.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك كرا يووال mã kardā hovã̃M |  asĩ karde hoiye ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | يـ كرى، بووان <br> mã kardī hovã̃ $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{F}$ <br> 'I may/should do frequently; if I were to do frequently' |  asĩ kardiyã̃ hoiye ${ }_{F}$ 'we may/should do frequently; if we were to do frequently' |
| 2nd | تون كرا بوووين tũ̃ kardā hovẽ. |  |
|  | تون كریى: <br> tũ kardī hove ${ }_{F}$ 'you may/should do frequently; if you were to do frequently' | tusĩ kardiyã̃ hovo ${ }_{F}$ 'you may/should do frequently; if you were to do frequently' |
| 3rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ó kardā hove }{ }_{M} \text { كوol } \\ & \text { orl } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 'he may/should do frequently; if he were to do frequently' ó kardī hove | اوه <br> ó kardiyã̃ hon ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they may/should do frequently; if they were to do frequently' |
|  | 'she may/should do frequently; if she were to do frequently' |  |

Table 8.64: Imperfect subjunctive of $6 /$ • /karn $/$ / 'to do'

### 8.4.5.2.7 Future imperfect

Formation: imperfective participle + ly، /hoṇā/(future)
The future imperfect describes actions or states which are presumed to occur regularly in the present, or are predicted to occur regularly in the future. The word must in the gloss conveys this presumptive meaning, where he must be doing is equivalent to I presume he is doing. Table 8.65 shows the conjugation of C /karnā/ 'to do' in the future imperfect. These forms are shown with the $\overline{6} / \mathrm{g} \overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ future suffix written both separately and joined with the subjunctive base.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | " mæ̃ kardā hovã̃gā ${ }_{M}$ | سير א, אس : asĩ karde hovã̃ge ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | mẽ kardī hovãgī̄ 'I will/must do frequently' | asĩ karde hõge ${ }_{M}$ <br>  <br> asî̉ kardiyã̃ hovã̃giyã̃ <br> asĩ̃ kardiyã̃ hõgiy脑 <br> 'we will/must do frequently' |
| 2nd |  | $\underbrace{\text { Un }}_{\text {tusĩ karde hovoge }}$ |
|  | تون אرא ، <br> tũ kardī hovẽgī ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'you will/must do frequently' | tusĩ kardiyã hovogiyã $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_{\text {F }}$ 'you will/must do frequently' |
| 3rd |  | اوهó |
|  | 'he/it will/must do frequently' <br> ó kardī hovegī ${ }_{\text {F }}$ <br> 'she/it will/must do frequently' | ó karde hoṇge ${ }_{M}$ <br>  <br> ó kardiyã hovaṇgiy"̃̈̃ <br> اوه <br> ó kardiyã" hoṇgiyã̈̄ <br> 'they will/must do frequently' |

Table 8.65: Future imperfect of 6 ' $/$ karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.5.2.8 Imperfect irrealis

Formation: imperfective participle + : rو /hoṇā/(imperfective participle)
The imperfect irrealis describes counterfactual habitual actions or states-actions which could have occurred regularly, but are, in fact, unrealized or impossible. Since both parts of this form are participles, they are marked only for gender and number. Table 8.66 shows the conjugation of $\mathrm{L} /$ /karnā/ 'to do' in the imperfect irrealis.

| Gender of Subject | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | كروا بونرا | كر, نـونانــ |
|  | kardā hondā | karde honde |
|  | 'if (any m.sg. subject) were doing/had done/were going to do' | 'if (any m.pl. subject) were doing/had done/were going to do' |
| Feminine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kardī hondī } \\ & \text { k'ونی } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kardiyã̃ hondiyã } \\ & \text { kang } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'if (any f.sg. subject) were doing/had done/were going to do' | 'if (any f.pl. subject) were doing/had done/were going to do' |

Table 8.66: Imperfect irrealis of V / /karnā/ 'to do'

### 8.4.6 Verb forms constructed on the perfective participle

The perfective participle regularly consists of the stem plus the marked adjectival suffix $\widetilde{I} /-\bar{a} /$, which inflects for gender and number. The participle encodes completed or punctual events and enters into finite verb constructions with forms of l'r /hoṇā/ 'to be', which contribute tense (past, present, future), or mood (subjunctive). The bare participle, without any auxiliary, functions as a simple perfect. The perfective participle also appears in the passive construction (see Section 9.1.2.2).

In transitive clauses, elements of perfective verb constructions (including the main verb, auxiliary verbs, and the future particle) do not agree with the subject/agent. Rather, they either agree with a direct object or take default masculine singular inflection. For this reason, we give most of the paradigms in this section using the intransitive verb


### 8.4.6.1 Bare perfective participle

### 8.4.6.1.1 Simple perfect

The simple perfect can refer to events in the past or the immediate future, or to unrealized events (in realis conditionals). The simple perfect of an intransitive verb agrees with its subject, while that of a transitive verb agrees with its direct object if the object is unmarked, and otherwise takes default masculine singular agreement. Table 8.67 and Table 8.68 show the simple perfect conjugation of the intransitive verb l: l /jāṇā/ 'to go' and the transitive verb $\mathrm{J} /$ /karnā/, respectively.


Table 8.67: Simple perfect of lib. /jāṇā/ 'to go'


Table 8.68: Simple perfect of $/$ / karnā/

### 8.4.6.1.2 Perfective participle used adjectivally

As with the imperfective participle, the perfective participle can also be used as an attributive adjective, as in 8.11, where the perfective participle of 'die', i.e. 'dead', appears. ${ }^{19}$

sarak te moiyā sup p-yāa si
road on die-PP.SG.M snake[M] fall-PP.SG.M be.PST.3SG
'A dead snake was lying on the road.' (Pi) (EB)

19 As with the imperfective participle, the present and past perfect tenses could be analyzed in terms of a predicative adjectival use of the perfective participle.

### 8.4.6.2 Present perfect

Formation: perfective participle + l.g: /hoṇā/(present)
The present perfect describes an event which is completed, and the effects of which persist into the present-that is, an action which has has resulted in a state which is (still) relevant to the present situation. Thus, it frequently refers to a recently completed event. Negatives are nowadays usually formed with complex forms including the present auxiliary, the auxiliary is usually omitted in negative sentences. The result of this is that negated simple perfect and present perfect sentences appear the same. For example, اوهنسی /ó naî̃ āyā/ could be the negation of either اوه او او اوه /ó āyā/ 'he came’, or āyā e/ 'he has come.' Whether such a sentence is to be understood as a negated simple perfect or a negated present perfect must be determined from context. However, if the simple negative particle $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} /$ is used to negate a sentence in the simple perfect, as used to be more frequently done, then the distinction remains. Table 8.69 displays the present perfect conjugation of 6 /jāṇā/ 'to go'.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ "אي وال mã gayā vã̃M | $\operatorname{asĩ}_{\text {as gae }}^{\pi_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime \prime}}$ |
|  | mæ̃ gaī $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{F}$ <br> 'I have gone' | اسيس پِّان آن <br> asĩ gaiyã̃ $\tilde{\bar{a}}_{F}$ <br> 'we have gone' |
| 2nd | وّ tũ gayā $\tilde{e}_{M}$ | tusĩ gae |
|  | وّ <br> tū gaī $\tilde{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'you have gone' | tusĩ gaiyã̃ $0_{F}$ 'you have gone' |
| 3rd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اوه } \\ & \text { ó gae nén } \\ & \text { ón } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'he/it has gone' <br> ó gaī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'she/it has gone' | اوه كَّانینی <br> ó gaiyã̃ nẽ <br> 'they have gone’ |

Table 8.69: Present perfect of 1 با ج̣/jāṇā/ 'to go'

### 8.4.6.3 Present perfect-stative


 perfect. This form emphasizes the persistence of the state resulting from the perfective action: 'he went/has gone (and is still gone).' This meaning of persistent state is contributed by the perfective participle of 6 'r /hoṇā/ 'to be'. Table 8.70 shows the conjugation of the verb lı $/$ /jāṇā/ 'to go' in the present perfect-stative.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّن گيا بويا وال <br> mã gayā hoiyā vã̃M |  |
|  | mã gaī hoī $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{F}$ <br> 'I have gone (and am still away)' |  |
| 2nd |  <br> tū̃ gayā hoiyā ē ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  | tũ gaī hoī $\tilde{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'you have gone (and are still away)' |  |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he/it has gone (and is still away)' <br> ó gaī hoī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |  |
|  | 'she/it has gone (and is still away)' |  |

Table 8.70: Present perfect-stative of l•̣ /jāṇā/ 'to go'

Older stative perfectives are constructed with the perfective participle of the main verb plus the perfective participle of 'يبی:/pæṇā/ 'to fall'. 8.12 shows a past perfect stative of this type.

jithe kaī sadiy $\tilde{a}$ tõ bót beš.kīmtī tàn-dolat where[REL] many century-OBL.PL from much priceless treasure[F]
ikatṭhī kīt-i pa-ī sī
together do.PP-SG.F lie.PP-SG.F be.PST.3SG
＇Where much very priceless treasure had been collected．＇（https： ／／www．wikizero．com／pnb／\％D9\％BE\％D9\％86\％D8\％AC\％D8\％A7\％D8\％ A8＿\％D8\％AF\％DB\％8C＿\％D8\％AA\％D8\％B1\％DB\％8C\％D8\％AE）

This type is also found in Saraiki．

## 8．4．6．4 Past perfect

Formation：perfective participle＋tor／honā／（past）
The past perfect describes an event which was completed in the past and，often， the effects of which no longer persist－that is，an action which resulted in a state which no longer obtains．It frequently occurs describing actions（i）completed in the remote past，（ii）within a specific past time frame，or（iii）prior to another past action．Since it occurs more often in functions（i）and（ii），it frequently corresponds to an English simple past，as indicated in the paradigm below．Negatives are usually formed with
 perfect．

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | ي mẽ gayā sã̃M |  |
|  |  <br> mẽ gaī sã̃ <br> ＇I went／had gone＇ | اسیル <br> asĩ gaiyã sã̃ ＇we went／had gone＇ |
| 2nd | تونگیاسِي <br> tū̃ gayā s $\tilde{x}_{M}$ | تسين گِّ تو <br> tusĩ gae $\mathrm{som}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |
| 3rd |  | tusĩ gaiyã̃ $\mathrm{so}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ＇you went／had gone＇ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.Ẅol } \\ & \text { ó gayā sī̀ } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | ＇she／it went／had gone＇ |  |

Table 8．71：Past perfect of l： l ／jāṇā／＇to go＇

### 8.4.6.5 Past perfect-stative


The past perfect-stative stresses the persistence (in past time) of the state resulting from a perfective event or action, as in 'he was still gone.' Table 8.72 shows the conjugation of the verb نا ج̣ /jānāa/ 'to go' in the past perfect-stative.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mã gayā hoiyā sã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ |  |
|  | mæ̃ gaī hoī sã̃ <br> 'I went and was still away' |  <br> asĩ gaiyã hoiyã sã̃ <br> 'we went and were still away' |
| 2nd | تو "אِا بويا سيس <br> tũ gayā hoiyā s $\tilde{\dddot{x}}_{M}$ |  |
|  |  <br> tũ̃ gaī hoī s $\tilde{\dddot{x}}_{F}$ <br> 'you went and were still away' | tusĩ gaiyã hoiyã̃ $\mathrm{so}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you went and were still away' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he/it went and was still away' <br> ó gaī hoī sī̄ | اوه كِّ <br> ó gaiyã hoiyã̃ $\mathrm{san}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'they went and were still away' |
|  | 'she/it went and was still away' |  |

Table 8.72: Past perfect-stative of با: بط /jāṇā/ 'to go'

### 8.4.6.6 Perfect subjunctive

Formation: perfective participle + ľو، /hoṇā/ (subjunctive)
The perfect subjunctive represents events or actions as potentially complete. It encodes modal meanings such as potentiality, desirability, or contingency; thus it often appears in the subordinate clause of a realis conditional sentence. The negative is formed with $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} /$. Table 8.73 shows the perfect subjunctive conjugation of Cl /jāṇā/ 'to go'.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يِ گيا بووال <br> mẽ gayā hovã̃ | اسين گِنِ بويُ <br> asĩ gae hoiye ${ }_{M}$ |
|  |  <br> mã gaī hovã̃ <br> 'I may/should have gone; if I have gone' |  <br> asĩ gaiyã̃ hoiye $_{F}$ 'we may/should have gone; if we have gone' |
| 2nd | تو گیا بووי" tū̃ gayā hovẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  | tũ gaī hovẽ ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'you may/should have gone; if you have gone' |  <br> 'you may/should have gone; if you have gone' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he/it may/should have gone; if he/it has gone,' |  |
|  | 'she/it may/should have gone; if she/it has gone' |  |

Table 8.73: Perfect subjunctive of l: ج̣/jān̄ā/ 'to go'

### 8.4.6.7 Future perfect

Formation: perfective participle + l'g / /hoṇā/(future)
The future perfect describes events which are presumed to have occurred, or are to be completed in the future. Table 8.74 shows the conjugation of the future perfect form of the verb l: 7 /jāṇā/ 'to go'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mã gayā hovā̃gā |  |
|  | mã gaī hovãgī̄ <br> 'I will/must have gone’ |  asĩ̃ gaīã hovã̃giȳ̃̃ $\tilde{a}_{F}$ 'we will/must have gone' |
| 2nd |  | $\underbrace{\text { Kin }}_{\text {tusĩ gae hovoge }}$ |
|  | tū̃ gaī hovẽgī̄ <br> 'you will/must have gone' | tusĩ gaiyã̃ hovogiyã $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_{F}$ 'you will/must have gone' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he will/must have gone' | ó gae hoṇge ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | ó gaī hovegī <br> 'she will/must have gone' |  <br> ó gaiyã̃ hovaṇ giyã̃ |
|  |  | اوه لگيّا :نون گيان /:ونكيان <br> ó gaiyã hon giyã̃ <br> 'they will/must have gone’ |

Table 8.74: Future perfect of با: C /jāṇā/ 'to go'

### 8.4.6.8 Perfect irrealis

Formation: perfective participle + + :r / /hoṇā/(imperfective participle)
The perfect irrealis mentions events which have not occurred, but which had they occurred, would have been completed in the past. Table 8.75 shows the conjugation of -جا / /jāṇā/ 'to go' in the perfect irrealis.

| Gender of Subject | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | "با بونرا <br> gayā hondā | $\underset{\text { gae honde }}{\sim i n}$ |
| Feminine | 'if (any m.sg. subject) had gone' G Gaī hondī gaī hondī | 'if (any m.pl. subject) had gone' <br>  <br> gaiyã hondiyã |
|  | 'if (any f.sg. subject) had gone' | 'if (any f.pl. subject) had gone' |

Table 8.75: Perfect irrealis of 6 ḅ / jāạā/ 'to go'

### 8.4.7 Form constructed on the oblique infinitive: Continuous III

 (present or past) ${ }^{20}$
 gaged in' is ${ }^{\prime}$ / ${ }^{\prime}$ /ḍéā/, which inflects for number and gender to agree with the subject. ${ }^{21}$ This form is frequent in spoken, colloquial Panjabi; it conveys a strong feeling of actuality and emphasis, and perhaps because of this it is perceived by some people as "rough". Since the meanings of this form are quite specific, the wider range of forms that is found for continuous I and continuous II forms is not encountered. Table 8.76 shows present tense forms of the continuous III construction of $\mathcal{\bullet} /$ karnā/ 'to do'. Because of the forceful and immediate nuances of this form, the third person proximal forms are chosen to illustrate it. Notice the elision ( $\overline{\mathrm{a}}+\tilde{\tilde{a}}>\overline{\tilde{a}})$ in the first person singular, masculine. As far as the present authors know, this form is specific to Panjabi.

Examples 8.13, 8.14, and 8.15 illustrate the present continuous III, and 8.16 the past continuous III.

$m \tilde{\mathscr{X}} \quad \bar{a} n ̣ \quad$ dé- $\bar{a} \quad \tilde{a}$
1SG come.INF.OBL CONT.III-SG.M be.PRES.1SG
'I am coming!’ (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 663)
 verb as 'to be engaged in'.
21 The masculine singular perfective participle of the homophonous verb liru’ / 'ḍáṇā/ 'to be
 horizontally, ready for use)' (Bailey 1904b: 40).

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك كُن وُمبا آل mã̃ karan déãã | asĩ karan ḍé (y)e a $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |
|  | يِ كِ mẽ karan ḍéī $\overline{\bar{a}}_{F}$ 'I am doing' |  |
| 2nd |  | تسين كرن وُمِعٍ او <br> tusĩ karan ḍé(y)e $o_{M}$ |
|  | tū̃ karan ḍéi ẽ é <br> 'you are doing.' |  tusĩ karan ḍéiyã̃ $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'you are doing' |
| 3rd |  |  é karan déé(y)e nẽ ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he is doing' <br> é karan déé $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'she is doing' |  <br> é karan ḍéiyã nẽ ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they are doing' |

Table 8.76: Present continuous III © ك/ /karnā/ 'to do'
(8.14)

$t u \bar{u}$ ron $\quad k y o ̃ ~ d e ́ e ́-i ̄ ~ \tilde{e}$
2SG cry.INF.OBL why CONT.III-SG.F be.PRES.2SG
‘Why are you (f.sg) crying?' (Pj) (EB)
(8.15)

who this incident tell.INF.OBL CONT.III-SG.M and which-SG.M cænal é riporṭ deṇ ệé- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \mathrm{e}$ channel this report give.INF.OBL CONT.III-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Who is telling about this incident and which channel is giving this report?'22 (Pj)

22 Notice that in this compound sentence, the tensed auxiliary appears only once, in the second clause. This example was taken from a link that is no longer active. (https://www.google.com/url?sa=t\&rct=j\&q=\&esrc=s\&source=web\&cd=20\&cad =rja\&uact=8\&ved=0ahUKEwiZiuv98-vNAhWGbj4KHQItA9g4ChAWCFcwCQ\&url

'Even through the matting the sun was boiling his brain. ${ }^{23}$ ( Pj )

### 8.5 Saraiki verbs

Table 8.77 provides an overview of Saraiki verb forms. We exemplify most forms on the basis of the third person singular masculine of the verb を施/vãfañ/ 'to go', perhaps the second most frequently used intransitive verb; however, since only the verb بوون / /hovan/ 'to be' has forms for the simple present and the simple past, we use the third person singular of this verb to illustrate those forms. In Saraiki, /e/ vowels are inherently long. Some third-person plural forms are pronounced with what is phonetically a short /ĕ/, but is probably best understood as an allomorph of /i/. Thus we represent phonetic /ě/ with the short /i/ diacritic in our Perso-Arabic forms. All /o/ vowels, however, are treated as long, and are not marked for length. Forms involving the imperfective participle (formed on the present-future stem) appear with the stem vowel /e/, characteristic of the pronunciation of our consultant. However the stem vowel is often pronounced /æ/ in these forms, and this should be considered an alternate pronunciation in these cases. This alternation is indicated in a few of the cells of Table 8.77. Blank spaces in the table indicate combinations of tense and mood/aspect for which we do not have information. The forms in this table are intended to show the structure, and do not always reflect elisions normally heard in speech, especially with masculine singular forms. For example, for the perfect-stative form, instead of /o giyā hoyā e/, /giyā hoe/ is the actual pronunciation of this form.

[^59]Table 8.77: Overview of Saraiki verb forms

|  | Tenses |  |  |  | Moods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Simple | Present | Past | Future | Subjunctive | Irrealis |
| (Simple) |  | $\begin{aligned} & L_{\text {oe }}^{\text {of }} \\ & \text { 'he is' } \end{aligned}$ | (g) <br> o hā <br> 'he was' | او وِيّى <br> o vesī ~ o væsī 'he will go' | 客, <br> o vãfe <br> 'he may/should go; if he goes' | Irrealis-I إ <br> o vãfe hā <br> 'if he went/were going (but doesn't/ <br> isn't)' <br> Irrealis-II <br> \|و ويز| <br> $o$ vẽdā <br> 'if he went (but he does not go)' |
| Imperfect |  | او ويخـ <br> o vẽde (<o vẽdāe) <br> ~väde <br> 'he goes' | او وينابا o vẽdā/væ̃dā hā 'he used to go' | او ويزا بوّى o vêdā/væ̃dā hosī 'he will/must go frequently’ | او وينرانووـــ <br> o vẽdā/vãdā hove 'he may/should go frequently; if he goes frequently' | او ويزا ابووسبا o vẽdā/væ̃dā hove hā 'if he went frequently (but doesn't)' |

Table 8.77: (continued)

|  | Tenses |  |  | Moods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple | Present | Past | Future | Subjunctive | Irrealis |
| Imperfect-habitual | او وينرا ،ونا <br> 0 vẽdā honde (< 0 <br> vẽdā hondā e) <br> 'he usually goes' | او وينرا بونرا، o vẽdā hondā hā 'he usually used to go' |  |  |  o vẽdā hondā hove hā 'if he had usually been going (but wasn't)' |
| Continuous I (IP + PP of 'to fall/lie') | \|ورينرا <br> o vẽdā pe (< o vẽdā <br> piyāe) <br> 'he is going' | او وينراييا! o vẽdā piyā hā 'he was going' | او وينرا ياينيوىى o vẽdā piyā hosī 'he will/must be going' | او وينا يابيانووـ o vẽdā piyā hove 'he may/should be going; if he is going' |  |
|  | o ge (< o giyāe) <br> 'he has gone' | い", <br> o giyā hā <br> 'he had gone' |  <br> o giyā hosī <br> 'he will/must have gone' | (و) <br> o giyā hove <br> 'he may/should have gone; if he has gone' |  <br> o giyā hove hā <br> 'if he had gone (but he did not go)' |
| Perfect-stative | o giyā hoe (< o giyā hoiyā e) 'he is gone (he is still away)' | \| <br> o gíyā hoyā hā 'he was gone (he was still away)' |  o giyā hoyā hosī 'he will/must be gone' |  o giyā hoyā hove 'he may/should be gone; if he is gone' |  |

Table 8.77: (continued)

|  | Tenses |  |  | Moods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple | Present | Past | Future | Subjunctive | Irrealis |
| Habitual perfect-stative | o giyā honde (<o <br> giyā hondā e) <br> 'he is usually gone' |  <br> o giyā hondā hā <br> 'he was usually gone' |  |  |  |

### 8.5.1 Saraiki stem types

### 8.5.1.1 Simple stem

The simple stem (sometimes called "root") is the simplest, underived form of the verb. From it, other, derived stems are formed.

### 8.5.1.2 Basic intransitive and transitive pairs

A simple transitive stem is related to an intransitive stem in several ways: (i) by vowel alternation between a centralized vowel in the intransitive stem and a peripheral vowel in the transitive stem, e.g. كُر/tur-/ 'go, leave (intransitive)', وُر /țor-/ 'send off (transi-
 (transitive)'; (iii) by the presence of a final consonant in the intransitive and its absence in the transitive with additional vowel differences, e.g. سيّ /sīp-/ 'be sewn (intransitive)', hot (intransitive)', discussion of these stem types and formations.

### 8.5.1.3 First causative/derived transitive stem

Some consonant-final stems, either intransitive or transitive, can be extended by adding
 'teach' (Shackle 1976: 74). Not all stems have both first and second causative formations; some have only second causatives.

### 8.5.1.4 Second/double causative stem

Double causatives can be formed from most stems. When formed on a single causative, the double causative stem adds $\mathrm{g} /-\mathrm{v}$-/ between the stem and the stressed / /-' $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ of the

 Monosyllabic vowel stems have only the double causative, e.g. 6ك /khā-/ 'eat' > /khvā-/ 'feed'. Double causatives related to non-basic transitives are formed on the
 اُُولوا /ußlvā-/ 'have boiled'.

### 8.5.1.5 Passive stem ${ }^{24}$

A passive stem is formed from transitives by adding stressed /-'ī/ to the stem, with a change of a peripheral stem vowel to a centralized vowel; for example, 反 $\Gamma /$ ākh-/ 'say,


### 8.5.1.6 Present-future stem

The existence of a distinct present-future stem is one of the unique features of Saraiki. Present-future (PF) stems are formed differently for passive, intransitive, and various classes of transitive stems. Passive stems form their PF stem by losing their final $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{j} /$; thus, مر /marīj-/ (passive stem) > مرك /marī-/ ‘be killed’ (PF passive stem). Intransitive (PF) stems are identical to the simple stem, e.g. ر /mar-/ 'die'. PF stems of basic
 /de-/ 'give' remains the same. PF stems of underived transitives with consonant-final stems, for example, /mār-/ 'kill' add stressed </-'e/ to the simple stem and shorten the stem vowel: $/$ /mār-/ 'kill' (stem) + /-'e/> / /mar'e-/ 'kill (PF stem)'. The PF stem of most disyllabic transitive roots is formed with stressed / /-'e/, showing vowel shortenings, and deletions following the patterns of schwa deletion, e.g. 'grasp' > PF stem كُر \% /pakre-/. Those ending in stressed /'ā/drop the /'ā/ and add


Some monosyllabic transitive roots ending in /ā/, which are among the most frequently occurring verbs in the language, change / $\overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ to $/ æ /$ in the intransitive. They

 intransitive verb ؤُؤُ /vãf/ 'go', in addition to using an abbreviated stem, behaves in this way, yielding وس /væ-/~/ve-/ as the present-future stem. Forms of this verb constructed on the PF stem show the characteristic variation between the southern variant /e/ and central variant /æ/ (Shackle 1976: 16-17).

The present-future stem occurs in the imperfective participle and forms constructed on it, and in the future (Shackle 1976: 76-77).

24 In Jhangi Saraiki, speakers do not add ' $j$ ' in passive forms. Rather they add only a long high front vowel, yielding the passive forms e.g. akhī and khav, instead of akhīj and khavīj respectively from the stems akh- say and kha:- eat. (Nasir Abbas Syed, p.c.)
25 Ali Hussain Birahimani (p.c.) comments that the morphological passive is now infrequently used in "standard" urban Saraiki.

### 8.5.2 Other non-finite forms

### 8.5.2.1 Infinitive

Saraiki infinitives consist of verb stem + الكُ /-aṇ/, except for stems ending in the retroflex sonorants $\underset{U}{b} / \mathrm{n} /$, ${ }^{⺊} / \mathrm{r} /$, or $\boldsymbol{D}^{⺊} / \mathrm{r} \mathrm{r} /$, whose infinitives are formed in dental الن /an/. Com-


This is different from Panjabi, where /r/-final stems form the infinitive in dental /-nā/, as in $\succ^{\text {/ }}$ /karnā/. The direct and oblique forms of the infinitive are identical, different from the situation in Hindko and Panjabi.

### 8.5.2.2 Gerundive

Saraiki has a gerundive form distinct from the infinitive. This consists of the stem $+l^{b}$ $/-n \bar{a} / \sim$ l/-nā/. The gerundive is a marked adjective. The distinction between the infinitive and gerundive form is, or was, ${ }^{26}$ characteristic of Saraiki as opposed to Panjabi and (perhaps) Hindko.

Example 8.17, contains the oblique infinitive, and 8.18 contains the gerundive; these examples are both courtesy of Ali Hussain Birahimani.

parh-aṇ kite kyā karū
study-INF.OBL for what do-SBJV.1PL
'What should we do in order to study?' (Sr)


```
m̃ parh-n \(\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad e\)
1SG.OBL study-GRDV be.PRES.3SG
'I have to study.' (Sr)
```

26 According to Shackle (1976: 82), the distinction between infinitive and gerundive is not always maintained, especially in the modern, educated colloquial language; hence our uncertainty about the degree to which the two forms are (still) distinct in 2018. Nasir Abbas Syed, however, thinks that the distinction remains robust (p.c.). See also 8.17 and 8.18.

### 8.5.2.3 Imperfective participle

The imperfective participle consists of the present-future stem $+i /-(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{d} /+$ the marked adjectival ending $\mid /-\bar{a} /$. Vocalic and $h$-final stems nasalize the stem vowel (add orthographic $4 /-\mathrm{n}-/ /$ preceding $\mid$ /-dā/ (Shackle 1976: 84). This is a marked adjectival form, hence complex verb forms including this participle are marked for gender and num-



### 8.5.2.4 Perfective participle

The perfective participle consists of the stem + the marked adjectival endings ${ }_{\text {. }} /-(\mathrm{y}) \overline{\mathrm{a}} \sim$
 /suṇāvaṇ/ 'to tell, cause to be heard', the regularly formed perfective participles are:
 iyã// '(f.pl.)'.

A few centrally important verbs have unique irregular perfective participles, no-

 U /
 (f.pl.), respectively (Shackle 1976: 86).

In addition to these, other significant classes of verbs have inherited irregular perfective participles. Formation of perfective participles of these types is no longer productive, and according to Ali Hussain Birahimani (p.c), many of these irregular perfective participles have now shifted to regular formations, especially in urban speech. Important classes are those ending in the following sounds, of which class IV perfective participles in $\breve{H} /-t \bar{a} /$ are the most numerous. Masculine singular forms of some of the most important of these are listed below. ${ }^{27}$

2. الط/-ṇā/l e.g. الانُ /alāṇā/ (<الا /alā-/ ‘speak’);

4. ت゙/-tā/, e.g. , , /dhotā/ (<,


27 See Shackle (1976: 87-91) for exhaustive lists of the verbs in each class.
6. I /-dā/, e.g. كطك /khādā ~ khādhā/ (<6 /khā-/ 'eat');


Shackle (1976: 76) states that perfective participles are not formed from passive stems; however, example 8.19 appears to involve the perfective participle of a passive stem. These forms may have developed since the time reflected in Shackle's 1976 book.


```
ass \(\tilde{\tilde{a}}\) mar-ij\(-e \quad h \tilde{a}\)
    1PL beat-PASS-PL.M be.PRES.1PL
    'We have been beaten. \({ }^{28}\) (Sr) (UK)
```


### 8.5.2.5 Stative perfective participle

The stative perfective participle, called "perfective participle" by Shackle (1976: 85), denotes a persistent state resulting from a completed action in past time. It is formed from the perfective participle + the perfective participle of بوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be'; for
 complex form are marked adjectival forms. These formations participate in the perfectstative tense-aspect forms.

### 8.5.2.6 Linking participles

Three different participial forms function to link verbs; the names used here follow Shackle (1976: 125-127), who states that the choice among the catenative, conjunctive, and connective participles depends on the closeness of the connection between the actions described by the two verbs, and gives detailed discussion and examples of these nuances. See Chapter 10 for some examples of these types.

28 This sentence could apply to either male or female speakers. Masculine plural forms are often used in both Panjabi and Saraiki to refer to multiple women and girls, especially in the first person plural.

### 8.5.2.6.1 Catenative participle

Shackle (1976: 82) identifies a "catenative participle," which for most verbs is identical to the stem. Disyllabic stems with stress on the initial syllable and /a/ in the second
 out, emerge' > /nik.kil/ 'having gone out, emerged' (Shackle 1976:82). A few important verbs, however, have irregular forms. The most important of these is $\psi_{\Downarrow} /$ po-/ 'lie', the catenative participle of which is $\underset{\sim}{L} / \mathrm{pæ-/}$. In function, the catenative participle is often similar to the conjunctive participle, in stem $+\mathcal{S} \sim \int / \mathrm{kar} \sim \mathrm{ke} /$ 'having done' or $己$ /te/.

### 8.5.2.6.2 Conjunctive participle ("absolutive," "converb")

The conjunctive participle consists of the stem $+\int / \mathrm{kar} /$, or $\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{ke} /$ in the case of stems ending in $/$ /ar/, or / /te/, especially in southern varieties. The conjunctive participle is also important in Hindko and Panjabi.

### 8.5.2.6.3 Connective participle

Additionally, a "connective participle," formally identical to the feminine singular of
 'to drink'.

### 8.5.3 Finite forms

Finite verbs, i.e. those which are marked for person and tense, fall into the following form classes: those formed on (i) the stem, (ii) the imperfective participle, and (iii) the perfective participle. Additionally, bare imperfective or perfective participles, which lack person and tense marking, can function as main verbs in irrealis or simple perfect clauses.

### 8.5.3.1 The verb بوون / / hovaṇ/ 'to be'

The verb rوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be' functions as an existential, copular, and auxiliary verb.

### 8.5.3.1.1 Present tense of rوونُ/ /hovan/ 'to be'

The present tense of rونون /hovaṇ/ 'to be' has both long and short forms (Shackle 1976: 94). The long forms, with initial $/ \mathrm{h}-/$, in Table 8.78 , are as given by our consultant; they are identical to those in Shackle; the short forms delete the initial o/h-/. The long forms are used as existential verbs or for emphasis; the short forms appear in most other contexts, including, importantly, as the present auxiliary in complex tenseaspect forms. There is no gender distinction in the present auxiliary. The third person plural forms are pronounced with short /ĕ/ by our consultant, but given by Shackle with short /i/. Hence, the best choice for indicating the short vowel in Perso-Arabic seems to be as done in Table 8.78, with the diacritic for short /i/, since the use of $\mathcal{G}$ would imply a long vowel. ${ }^{29}$ When the short forms occur in periphrastic tenses consisting of a participle plus the present auxiliary, they are subject to elision. That is, the initial vowel of the (short form) auxiliary coalesces with the final vowel of the particip-

 vey an emphatic sense like 'he really does walk'. In other contexts, the short forms are written separately. To observe the elision characteristic of such Saraiki forms, see the paradigms of the compound tenses involving the present tense auxiliary, such as the present imperfect, shown in Table 8.97 below. ${ }^{30}$

The present tense of ‘وونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be' is usually negated syntactically, as shown in Table 8.79.

Negative present forms of crؤنُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be' with personal endings that appear to incorporate direct form pronominal suffixes, are shown in Table 8.80:31

[^60]| Person | Singular |  | Plural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Long forms | Short forms | Long forms | Short forms |
| 1st | يا m $\tilde{\not x} h a ̃ ̃$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ال } \\ & \text { una } \end{aligned}$ | اسِّال بِّس assã̃ hissē | $\underbrace{\sim}_{\text {se }}$ |
|  | 'lam' | 'I am' | し assã̃ hã | $\begin{aligned} & U_{n \prime \prime}^{\prime} \\ & \tilde{\mathscr{E}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | اساル assã̃ hũ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اولَ} \\ & \text { \| } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 'we are' | 'we are' |
| 2nd | تون بيّ tũ hẽ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}^{\prime \prime} \\ & \tilde{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$ | تسّال بِّوّسـع tussã̃ hivve | ve ${ }^{\text {ve }}$ |
|  | 'you are' | 'you are' | تسان بو | 01 |
|  |  |  | tussã̃ ho | $0$ |
|  |  |  | 'you are' | 'you are' |
| 3rd | $<_{6}$ | $-1$ | ¢ | -! |
|  | o he ~ hæ | e | o hěn | ĕn |
|  | 'he/she/it is' | 'he/she/it is' | 'they are' | 'they are' |

Table 8.78: Present tense of بوونُ / hovaṇ/ 'to be'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | ي mã naĩ | اسان assã̃ naĩ |
|  | 'I am not’ | 'we are not' |
| 2nd |  | tussã̃ naĩ |
|  | 'you are not' | 'you are not' |
| 3rd | اوتيّن | $\begin{aligned} & \text { اونيّ } \\ & \text { o naĩ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 'he/she/it is not' | 'they are not' |

Table 8.79: Syntactically negated present tense of بوونُ / hovaṇ/ 'to be'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | mẽ nimhī |  |
|  | 'I am not' | 'we are not' |
| 2nd |  |  tussẫ nivhe |
|  | 'you are not' | 'you are not' |
| 3rd | $\underbrace{\text { ju }}_{\text {nisì }}$ |  |
|  | 'he/she/it is not' | 'they are not' |

Table 8.80: Morphological negative present of rوونُ: /hovaṇ/ 'to be'

### 8.5.3.1.2 Past tense of : rورن / hovaṇ/ 'to be'

Past tense forms of نوونٌ /hovaṇ/ 'to be’ are given in Table 8.81. Importantly, gender is marked in the third person forms ${ }^{32}$; thus gender will also be marked in the third person of complex forms including the past tense of 'to be'.

32 Nasir Abbas Syed says that in his dialect these forms are not distinguished for gender, whereas another speaker (AHB) has maintained that the distinction does exist. It seems that marking of gender distinctions across dialects is another topic requiring further research.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يو إیى mã hāmī | ا اس assã̃ hāse |
|  | 'l was’ | 'we were' |
| 2nd | "ول بإو" tũ hāvẽ | تيّال إوسـ tussã̃ hāve |
|  | 'you were' | 'you were' |
| 3rd | (g) <br> ō hā ${ }_{M}$ | او اوَن <br> o han ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he was’ <br> ( <br> o haī ${ }_{\text {F }}$ <br> 'she was' | او o hæn ${ }_{F}$ 'they were' |

Table 8.81: Past tense of نوون / hovaṇ/ 'to be'

### 8.5.3.1.3 Past negative tense of irونُ / hovañ/ 'to be'

Past tense forms of 'be' are usually negated with the separate negative particle نيّ /naĩ/ or $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na}$, as shown in Table 8.82, which shows forms provided by our consultant. However, Shackle (1976:96) also gives a set of forms in which the simple negative particle $i / n a /$ is fused with the past forms of 'be'. ${ }^{33}$ These are shown in Table 8.83.

The third person forms of both the long and the fused third person past negative forms show a gender difference.

33 Mughal (2010: 935) gives the spellings $\dot{\sim}$ and $\dot{\sim}$ ن for the simple negative particle.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | いいしで mẽ nā hāmī |  assã̃ nā hāse |
|  | ＇I was not＇ | ＇we were not＇ |
| 2nd | ＇ول نا إوس tũ nā hāvẽ |  tussã̃ nā hāve |
|  | ＇you were not＇ | ＇you were not＇ |
| 3rd | して， <br> o nā hā̀M | اونا <br> o nā han ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | ＇he was not＇ اونا <br> o nā haī <br> ＇she was not＇ | اونا <br> o nā hæn ${ }_{F}$ <br> ＇they were not＇ |

Table 8．82：Long form of negative past tense of rوونُ／hovaṇ／＇to be＇

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | \％ | $<6$ |
|  | naham | nhāse |
|  | ＇I wasn＇t＇ | ＇we weren＇t＇ |
| 2nd | ＊＊ | － |
|  | nhāvẽ～nāhvẽ | nhāve～nāhve |
|  | ＇you weren＇t＇ | ＇you weren＇t＇ |
| 3rd | い nahā ${ }_{M}$ | －$\cdot$ nahan $_{M}$ |
|  | ＇he wasn＇t＇ N＂～N＂ nahaī～nahī | ＂ nahæn ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | ＇she wasn＇t＇ | ＇they weren＇t＇ |

Table 8．83：Fused negative past forms of rوونُ：／hovañ／＇to be＇

### 8.5.3.1.4 Future forms of نوون / / hovañ/ 'to be'

 Formation: stem of بوون / /hovaṇ/ 'to be' + $\cup$ /s/ + personal endings.The future forms of rوger /hovaṇ/ 'to be' are shown in Table 8.84. The personal endings are the same as those used for the subjunctive (see Table 8.88), except for the third person singular, which is $\zeta /-\overline{1} /$, as in Hindko.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | " | اسّال بوّول |
|  | m ${ }^{\text {æ }}$ hosã | assã̃ hosũ |
|  | 'I will/must be' | 'we will/must be' |
| 2nd |  | تسّان بیوتو tussã̃ hoso |
|  | 'you will/must be' | 'you will/must be' |
| 3rd | او او بوّى <br> o hosī | او او يوّن o hosěn |
|  | 'he/she/it will/must be' | 'they will/must be' |

Table 8.84: Future forms of rوون / /hovaṇ/ 'to be’

### 8.5.3.1.5 Subjunctive forms of توونُ: /hovaṇ/ 'to be'

The subjunctive of rوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be' is formed regularly, employing the subjunctive endings given in Section 8.5.4.3. Its subjunctive forms are shown in Table 8.85.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | " | اسّال |
|  | mæ̃ hovã | assã hovũ |
|  | 'if I am' | 'if we are' |
| 2nd | 'ول | كُّسّال بووو |
|  | tũ̃ hovẽ | tussã̃ hovo |
|  | 'if you are' | 'if you are' |
| 3rd | او | او بووّن |
|  | o hove | o hově~in |
|  | 'if he/she/it is' | 'if they are' |

Table 8.85: Subjunctive forms of rونُ / /hovaṇ/ 'to be'

## 

Unlike Panjabi, Saraiki has a separate change-of-state verb تَّ تُوون /thīvaṇ/ 'to become',
 auxiliary in complex verb forms, ثّ ثمّ /thīvaṇ/ 'to become' does not. It productively

 'to begin (transitive)'. Its perfective participle is formed somewhat irregularly; for example, ${ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {® }}$ /theā/ 'became (m.sg. perfective)' (Shackle 1976: 86). With the exception of its irregular perfective participle, it behaves as any other verb. It occurs frequently
 /povaṇ/ 'to fall, lie', as in example 8.21. For more examples of such compound verb formations, see Chapter 9. ${ }^{34}$


| 0 | bimār | thī | $\boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{y}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.SG.DIR | sick | become | go.PP-SG.M |

'He got sick.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 142)

34 Ali Hussain Birahimani (p.c.) thinks that approximately 50\% of urban speakers in Multan have lost this distinction and do not actively use this verb. However Zahoor (2009: 37) gives an
 wrong! What has happened?'.

$\tilde{\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}}$
be.PRES.1SG
'I also started to get ready early. ${ }^{35}$ (Sr)

### 8.5.4 Verb forms built on the stem

### 8.5.4.1 Imperative

Imperatives are found only for the second person singular and plural. There are two forms, a plain (or direct) and a polite (or distanced, "aorist") form. The singular plain imperative is usually identical with the stem; the plural plain imperative consists of stem $+g / o /$, and is identical to the second person plural subjunctive. The polite imperatives are formed as follows: the singular polite imperative consists of the stem $+\cup$ $/-\tilde{\overline{1}} /$; the plural polite imperative is formed by adding $/ /$-āhe/ to the stem (Shackle 1976: 92). Imperatives for ${ }^{⺊} /$ țur-/ 'walk, go' are shown in Table 8.86. ${ }^{36}$ The use of these imperative forms in simple sentences is illustrated in examples 8.22, 8.23, 8.24, and 8.25. For the use of the infinitive (Panjabi, Hindko) and gerundive (Saraiki) in imperative function, see Section 10.5.6.

|  | 2nd person singular | 2nd person plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct/plain | f | رُ |
|  | țur | turo |
|  | 'go, walk (now)!' | 'go, walk (now)!' |
| Polite/"aorist" |  | $\underbrace{\sim}_{\text {țuræ } \sim \text { 角 } \sim \text { țurāhe }}$ |
|  | '(please) go, walk' | '(please) go, walk' |

Table 8.86: Imperative forms of

35 Shackle (1976: 169), cited from Lashari (1971: 23)
 However /-āhe/ does occur on p. 26 of Zahoor (2009) (see example 8.20). Possibly our consultant's forms reflect more elisions than the written forms in Zahoor (2009).

kor na mār-o
lie NEG beat-IMP.2PL
'Don’t lie! (i.e. tell falsehoods)' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 26)
(8.23)

ghar vãf
home go.IMP.2SG
‘Go home!' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 26)

رووو"U
rov- $\overline{\mathbf{I}} \quad n a$
cry-IMP.POL.2SG NEG
‘Don’t cry!' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 27)

$s \bar{a}-k \tilde{u} \quad k a \bar{u} \quad$ kar-āhe
1PL-DAT listening do-IMP.POL.2PL
'Please tell/inform us.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 26)

### 8.5.4.2 Future

Formation: present-future stem $+/ \mathrm{s} /+$ personal endings
Future forms of two high-frequency verbs are given in Table 8.87. Future forms are marked for person and number, but not gender. Verb forms involving the future form
 predicted to occur in the future or that are presumed to be happening.

37 UK comments that the forms in Table 8.87 represent her pronunciation. The presence of /æ/ instead of /e/ is, according to both our consultant and Ali Hussain Birahimani, due to Panjabi influence on central varieties of Saraiki.

| Person | ونُ vãfaṇ 'to go' |  | אرنُ karaṇ 'to do' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural |
| 1st | يو ويسال mẽ vesã | اسّال ويسوُل assã̃ vesũ | يس كـيساU mã karesã | اسيّال كريـوُو assã karesũ |
|  | 'I will go' | 'we will go' | 'I will do' | 'we will do' |
| 2nd | وّ وی"سی <br> tũ̃ vesẽ | تسّال ويّس, tussã̃ veso |  <br> tū̃ karesẽ | تيّال كـيّو, tussã̃ kareso |
|  | 'you will go' | 'you will go' | 'you will do' | 'you will do' |
| 3rd | او و"يّى | او ويّن | اوكّیی | اوكّهِّن |
|  | 'he/she will go' | 'they will go' | 'he/she will do' | 'they will do' |

 (transitive) ${ }^{37}$

### 8.5.4.3 Subjunctive

The subjunctive consists of the simple stem + the personal endings shown in Table 8.88 .

| Person | Consonant-final stems |  | Vowel-final stems |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural |
| 1st | U | ول | وال | و |
|  | -ã̃ | -ū | $-\mathrm{vã}$ | -vū |
| 2nd | $U^{*} 1$ | , | U و | , |
|  | -ẽ | -0 | -vẽ | -vo |
| 3rd | $\leftarrow$ | - ! | -g | و |
|  | -e | -in ~ -ĕn | -ve- | -vin $\sim$-věn |

Table 8.88: Subjunctive personal endings

Table 8.89 gives subjunctive paradigms for three very high frequency verbs, 'to come', 'to go', and 'to do'.

| Person |  |  | ¢ ¢ ¢ vãfan 'to go' |  | كرك Karan 'to do' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural |
| 1st | يِ يُ آوال mã āvã̃ | اس اسِّال آووُ assã̃ āvũ |  <br> mã vãfã̃ | اسِّا assã vãfũ | يـ mã karã |  assã̀ karū |
|  | 'I may/ should come; if I come' | 'we may/should come; if we come' | 'I may/ should go; if I go' | 'we may/ should go; if we go' | 'Imay/ should do; if I do' | 'we may/ should do; if we do' |
| 2nd | قو آو. <br> tū āvẽ | تُسّال آور <br> tussã̃ āvo |  |  tussã̃ vãfo |  | و |
|  | 'you may/ should come; if you (sg.) come' | ‘you may/ should come; if you (pl.) come' | 'you may/ should go; if you go' | 'you may/ <br> should <br> go; if you <br> go' | ‘you may/ should do; if you do' | karo <br> 'you may/ <br> should <br> do; if you <br> do' |
| 3rd | $\underset{\text { o āve }}{\substack{\text { او }}}$ | \|وآوِن <br> $o$ āvĕn | $\underset{\substack{\sum_{i} \\ \text { ovãfe }}}{\text { ور }}$ | او و و o vãfěn | $\underset{\text { o kare }}{\text { lol }}$ |  |
|  | 'he/she may/should come; if he/she comes' | 'they may/ should come; if they come' | 'he/she <br> may/should <br> go; if <br> he/she <br> goes' | 'they <br> may/ <br> should <br> go; if they <br> go' | 'he/she may/should do; if he/ she does' | 'they <br> may/ <br> should <br> do; if they <br> do' |

Table 8.89: Subjunctive of the verbs آْلُ /āvaṇ/ 'to come' (intransitive), وْ و /vãfaṇ/ 'to go' (intransitive), and كرن / karaṇ/ 'to do' (transitive)

### 8.5.4.4 Irrealis I

This distinctive irrealis form (= Shackle's "Conditional I") consists of the stem + a personal ending (= the subjunctive) + the invariant form $!/ \mathrm{ha} /$. This final invariant form is identical to the masculine singular past tense of ‘وون /hovaṇ/ 'to be'. Irrealis forms are not marked for gender. These forms are equivalent in meaning and function to the bare imperfective participle used in irrealis clauses, which we will call irrealis II. Irrealis I belongs to the older stratum of the language, while irrealis II is modeled on Panjabi
 found in Hindko.

One example of the irrealis I form in context is shown here in example 8.26.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يِ و mã vãfã hā |  assã̃ vãfü̃ hā |
|  | 'if I had gone/were going (but didn't/am not)' | 'if we had gone/were going (but didn't/aren't)' |
| 2nd |  | تسا توّ tussã̃ vãfo hā |
|  | 'if you had gone/were going (but didn't/aren’t)’ | 'if you had gone/were going (but didn't/aren't)' |
| 3rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ( } \underbrace{\dot{z}}_{z} و \text { و } \\ & \text { o vãfe hā } \end{aligned}$ | او و! o vãfĕn hā |
|  | 'if he/she had gone/were going (but didn't/isn't)' | 'if they had gone/were going (but didn't/aren't)' |

Table 8.90: Irrealis I of ؤُّ /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'


### 8.5.5 Morphological passive forms

Saraiki has two types of passive construction: (1) older, inherited morphological passives formed on the passive stem, the forms of which are presented in this section, and (2) newer periphrastic passives, treated in Section 10.4.3.2, consisting of the perfective participle of the main verb plus a conjugated form of ${ }^{\text {grt }}$ /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'.

Passive stems in /-ij/ are formed from (most) transitive and a few causative stems, but not from intransitive stems (Shackle 1976: 76). Imperatives of any kind are not normally formed from passive stems (Shackle 1976: 92). However, the present subjunctive of passive stems usually has a desiderative sense; for example, the third person sin-
 'should be done'.

Passive stems lose their final /-j/ in their present-future stem, from which the future and the imperfective participle are formed (see Table 8.91). In the imperfective participle, the $\checkmark /-\bar{i} /$ of the present-future stem becomes nasalized beause of the underlying /-nd/ of the imperfective participle, e.g. קرא /marī-/ < $/$ /kill, beat/ becomes /marī-/ in the imperfect tenses, but remains as می"/marī-/ in the future. To observe this, compare the third person singular future forms constructed on the plain, transitive, and passive stems of the stem سُّ /suṇ-/ 'hear' in Table 8.91.

| Stem type | Stem | Future form | Imperfective participle | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plain | suṇ- | سَسُنُّ |  | he/she/it will hear |
| Derived transitive (first causative) | suṇā- | suṇesī |  | he/she/it will tell (lit. 'cause to be heard') |
| Passive | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { mij }}}_{\text {suniij }}$ |  |  |  |
| Passive-present/future (future tense) | سُنُّ suṇī- |  |  | he/she/it will be heard |
| Passive-present/future (imperfect tenses) |  |  |  | he/it is heard |

Table 8.91: Comparison of simple, causative, and passive future forms of $س$ /suṇ-/ 'hear'

Representative paradigms for passive tense-aspect forms of the prototypical transitive verb $ا$ /mār-/ 'beat, kill' in the present imperfect, past imperfect, future, subjunctive, and irrealis I are presented below in Table 8.92 through Table 8.96. Paradigms for perfective passive tenses are not presented, since data on them available to us are not yet sufficient to allow this. For examples of the Saraiki morphological passive, see Section 10.4.3.1.

Future imperfect (shown in Table 8.94) and subjunctive forms (shown in Table 8.95) are marked for person and number, but not for gender.

Passive stems are quite frequently used in compound verb constructions consisting of the passive stem plus a finite form (often the perfective participle) of the verbs
 reference. See the discussion and examples in Chapter 9.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يِي م ينرال | ا اسِّ |
|  | mæ̃ marĩ̀dã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ | assã̃ marīde (h) $\tilde{æ}_{M}$ |
|  | يّ |  |
|  | m $\mathfrak{æ}_{\text {marīd }}{ }^{\text {a }} \tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | $\text { assã marī̀diyã̈ }(\mathrm{h}) \tilde{\mathscr{x}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'I am killed/beaten' | 'we are killed/beaten' |
| 2nd |  |  tussã̃ marī̃ ${ }^{\text {hivve }}{ }_{\text {M }}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  tussã̃ marî̃diyã̃ hivve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you are killed/beaten' | تساル مينيان او tussã̃ marîdiã $0_{F}$ |
|  |  | 'you are killed/beaten' |
| 3rd | o marī̃de/marĩdæ ${ }_{M}$ | اومرينِن <br> o marī̃děn ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he is killed/beaten' \|ومرينرى اوـ o marī̀dī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |  |
|  | 'she is killed/beaten' | 'they are killed/beaten' |

Table 8.92: Present imperfect of $}$

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يـي مينرا !إى mã marĩ̀dā hāmīM |  assã̃ marī̃de hāse ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | يّ میينرى إى mã marĩdī hāmī̄ | - اس اس assã̃ marîdiyã̃ hāse ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'I used to be killed/beaten' | 'we used to be killed/beaten' |
| 2nd |  tũ marī̀dā hāvẽ ${ }_{M}$ | تسال م مينــع باوس tussã̃ marīde hāve ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'ول tū̃ marī̀dī hāve ${ }_{F}$ | تيّال مبينيال إوس tussã̃ marĩ̃diyã̃ hāve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you used to be killed/beaten' | 'you used to be killed/beaten' |
| 3rd | \|ومينا! <br> o marī̀dā hā | \|ورينــا <br> o marī̀ē han ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he used to be killed/beaten' \|ومیی <br> o marĩdī haī | 'they used to be killed/beaten' <br>  o marî̀diyã hæn ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'she used to be killed/beaten' | 'they used to be killed/beaten' |

Table 8.93: Past imperfect of $/$ /mariji-/ 'be killed, beaten'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ مییسا | اسالم می- |
|  | mẽ marisã̃ | assã̃ marīsũ |
|  | 'I will be killed/beaten’ | 'we will be killed/beaten' |
| 2nd |  | تسان ميـ, tussã̃ marīso |
|  | 'you will be killed/beaten' | 'you will be killed/beaten' |
| 3rd | اوريّى | اوهويّن |
|  | o marīsi | o marīsěn |
|  | 'he/she/it will be killed/beaten' | 'they will be killed/beaten' |

Table 8.94: Future imperfect of / /marij-/ 'be killed, beaten'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ میبال mã marijã | اساس ק"بول assã marijū |
|  | 'I may/should be killed/beaten; if I am killed/beaten, | 'we may/should be killed/beaten; if we are killed/beaten' |
| 2nd | "ول tū marījẽ | تّسان می. tussã̃ marījo |
|  | 'you may/should be killed/beaten; if you are killed/beaten , | 'you may/should be killed/beaten; if you are killed/beaten ' |
| 3rd | اومبـ! <br> o marīje | او <br> 0 marījěn |
|  | 'he/she/it may/should be killed/beaten; if he/she/it is killed/beaten, | 'they may/should be killed/beaten; if they are killed/beaten' |

Table 8.95: Subjunctive of $\boldsymbol{\text { P }}$ /marīj-/ 'be killed, beaten'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mã marījã̃ hā |  assã̃ marījū hā |
|  | 'if I were/had been killed/beaten; would that I be killed/beaten (but I am/was not killed/beaten)' | 'if we were/had been killed/beaten; would that we were killed/beaten' (but we are/were not killed/beaten)' |
| 2nd | تون ק"بیى ! tũ marījẽ hā | تسّان م":و ! tussã̃ marījo hā |
|  | 'if you were/had been killed/beaten; would that you be killed/beaten; (but you are/were not killed/beaten)' | 'if you were/had been killed/beaten; would that you were killed/beaten (but you are/were not killed/beaten)' |
|  | اومربیِ ! <br> o marīje hā | o marījěn hā |
| 3rd | 'if he/she/it were/had been killed/beaten (but he/she/it is/was not killed/beaten)' | 'if they were/had been killed/beaten (but they are/were not killed/beaten)' |

Table 8.96: Irrealis I of مُ /marīj-/ 'be killed, beaten’

### 8.5.6 Verb forms built on the imperfective participle

The imperfective participle consists of the PF stem $+(n) d+$ marked adjectival endings.

### 8.5.6.1 Bare participial forms: Irrealis II

As in Panjabi and Hindko, the imperfective participle may be used on its own, with no auxiliary, in one or both clauses of an irrealis conditional sentence, to describe an unfulfilled or unfulfillable condition. Since these forms consist of an adjectival participle alone, they distinguish gender and number, but not person. The meaning of this form is the same as that of the original irrealis I forms described in Section 8.5.4.4 above. For examples, see the discussion of irrealis conditionals in Chapter 10.

### 8.5.6.2 Imperfect tenses

Imperfect tenses consist of the imperfective participle (+ imperfective participle of تورون /hovaṇ/ 'to be) + a conjugated form of cré /hovaṇ/ 'to be'.

### 8.5.6.2.1 Present imperfect

Formation: imperfective participle + توونُ /hovan/ 'to be' (present)
Table 8.97 shows the present imperfect of ${ }^{\text {b }}$ /vãfan/ 'to go'.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ ويزال |  |
|  | m $\tilde{æ}^{\text {vẽdã̃ }}$ M | assã̃ vẽde (h) $\tilde{æ}_{M}$ |
|  | "ֵ | U ا بسّ |
|  | mæ̃ vẽdī ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | assã̃ vẽdiyã̃ (h) $\tilde{æ}_{F}$ |
|  | 'I go' | 'we go' |
| 2nd | 'ول وينّ | تّسال وينـ |
|  | tũ vẽdẽ ${ }_{M}$ | tussã̃ vẽde hivve ${ }_{\text {M }}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | 'you go' | تسال وينغيا tussã̃ vẽdiyã̃ hivve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  |  | تسان وينيان او tussã̃ vediyã $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  |  | 'you go' |
| 3rd | او وينّ | او وينِّن |
|  | 0 vẽde ${ }_{M}$ | 0 vẽděn ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he goes' | او وينّ |
|  | 0 vẽdī $e_{F}$ | 0 vẽdīĕn ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'she goes' | 'they go' |



### 8.5.6.2.2 Present imperfect-habitual

Imperfect-habitual tenses add the imperfective participle of the auxiliary نُون /hovan/ 'to be' to emphasize the persistence of the activity or state. Table 8.98 shows these


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ |  |
|  | mã vẽdā hondẫM | assã̃ vẽde honde (h) $\tilde{æ}_{M}$ |
|  | يّ وينزى بونرى ال |  |
|  | mẽ vẽdī hondī $\tilde{a}_{F}$ | assã̃ vẽdiyẫ hondiyã (h) $\tilde{x}_{F}$ |
|  | 'I usually go' | 'we usually go' |
| 2nd | "ول ويزا ابوندن <br> tũ vẽdā hondẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  tussã̃ vẽdẽ honde ve ${ }_{\mu}$ |
|  |  <br> tũ vẽdī hondī̃ |  tussã̃ vẽdiyã̃ hondīyã̃ ve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you (sg.) usually go' | 'you (pl.) usually go' |
| 3rd | او وينر\| بونا <br> o vẽdā honde ${ }_{M}$ |  <br> o vẽde honděn ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he usually goes' <br> او وينرى ،بونرى ا'ـ <br> o vẽdī hondī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'she usually goes' | او وينغيال بونريَّن o vẽdiyã̃ hondiyěn ${ }_{F}$ 'they usually go' |

Table 8.98: Present imperfect-habitual of ونُو /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'

### 8.5.6.2.3 Past imperfect

Formation: imperfective participle + H $_{\text {، }}^{\text {/ /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(past) }}$
Table 8.99 displays the past imperfect of ${ }^{\circ} \dot{\text { gr }}$ /vãfañ/ 'to go'.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّل وينر\| |  |
|  | m ${ }_{\text {æ }}$ vẽdā hāmī ${ }_{\text {M }}$ | assã̃ vẽde hāse ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | " |  |
|  | mæ̃ vẽdī hāmī ${ }_{\text {F }}$ | assã̃ vẽdiyã̃ hāse ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'I used to go' | 'we used to go' |
| 2nd | زول وينزا | تّسا |
|  | tũ vẽdā hāven ${ }_{M}$ | tussã̃ vẽde hāve ${ }_{\text {M }}$ |
|  | 'ول وينّى إوّى |  |
|  | tũ vẽdī hāvẽ ${ }_{\text {F }}$ | tussã̃ vẽ̃diyã̃ hāve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you used to go' | 'you used to go' |
| 3rd | او وينرا | او وينـ |
|  | 0 vẽdā hā ${ }_{\text {M }}$ | o vẽde han $_{\text {M }}$ |
|  | 'he used to go' G" Cingol |  o vẽdiyã̃ hæn ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | o vẽdī haī | 'they used to go' |
|  | 'she used to go' |  |

Table 8.99: Past imperfect of ${ }^{\text {to }}$, /vãfañ/ 'to go'

### 8.5.6.2.4 Past imperfect-habitual

Formation: imperfective participle + بوونُ /hovan/ 'to be' (imperfective participle) + : ،وونُ /hovaṇ/(past)

Table 8.100 shows past imperfect-habitual forms of ؤْct /vãfan/ 'to go'.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك وينرا بونرا با |  |
|  | mẽ vẽdā hondā hāmīM | assã̃ vẽde honde hāse ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | يّ وينرى ،وندى إمى |  |
|  | mẽ̃ vẽdī hondì hāmī | assã̃ vểdiyã̀ hondiyã̃ hāse ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'I usually used to go' | 'we usually used to go' |
| 2nd | "ول ويزا ابونرا باوت tũ̃ vẽdā hondā hāvẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  tussã̃ vẽde honde hāve ${ }_{M}$ |
|  |  tū vẽdī hondī hāvẽ ${ }_{F}$ |  tussã̃ vễdiyã̃ hondiyã̃ hāve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you usually used to go' | 'you usually used to go' |
| 3rd | او وينرا بونا ! <br> o vẽdā hondā hā ${ }_{M}$ |  <br> o vẽde honde han ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he usually used to go' او وينرى بونیى ' o vẽdī hondī haī̄ | او وينيال بونريال بِّن <br> o vẽdiyã̃ hondiyã hæn ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they usually used to go, |
|  | 'she usually used to go' |  |

Table 8.100: Past imperfect-habitual of $\dot{\text { घg }}$ /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'

### 8.5.6.2.5 Future imperfect

Formation: imperfective participle + كوونّ /hovaṇ/ 'to be (future)'
Future imperfect forms of وצּ /vãfan// 'to go' are given in Table 8.101.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | ** |  |
|  | m̃ vẽdā hosã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ | assã̃ vẽde hosü̃ |
|  | يّ | ا اسّال وينّيال |
|  | mæ̃ vẽdī hosã̃ ${ }_{\text {F }}$ | assã̃ vẽdiyã̃ hosü̃ |
|  | 'I will/must go frequently’ | 'we will/must go frequently' |
| 2nd | "و |  |
|  | tũ̃ vẽdā hosẽ ${ }_{M}$ | tussã̃ vẽde $\mathrm{hoso}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |
|  | 'ول وينّى بوّسِ | تسّال وينريال بوّو |
|  | tũ vẽdī hosẽ ${ }_{\text {F }}$ | tussã̃ vẽdiyã̃ $\operatorname{hoso}_{F}$ |
|  | 'you will/must go frequently, | 'you will/must go frequently' |
| 3rd | او وينرا بوّىV | او وينـ |
|  | o vẽdā hosī̀m | o vẽde hosĕn ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he will/must go frequently' او وينزك) بوّى | اووينـيال بوّن <br> ō vẽdiyã̃ hosěn ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 0 vẽdī $\operatorname{hosī}_{F}$ | 'they will/must go frequently' |
|  | 'she will/must go frequently' |  |

Table 8.101: Future imperfect of ${ }^{\text {g }}$, /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'

### 8.5.6.2.6 Imperfect subjunctive

Formation: imperfective participle + كوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be (subjunctive)'


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ وينرا بووال |  |
|  | m ${ }_{\text {ex }}$ vẽdā hovã̃M | assã̃ vẽde hovũ̃ |
|  | يّ وينرى بووال |  |
|  | mã vêdī hovã̃ | assã vẽdiyã hovüf |
|  | 'I may/should go frequently; if I go frequently’ | 'we may/should go frequently; if we go frequently' |
| 2nd | "ول وينرا :نووّU tū vẽdā hovẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  tussã̃ vẽde hovo ${ }_{M}$ |
|  |  tū vẽdī hovẽ ${ }_{\text {F }}$ | تسّال وينيان tussã̃ vẽdiyã̃ hovo ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you may/should go frequently; if you go frequently' | 'you may/should go frequently; if you go frequently' |
| 3rd | او وينرا :بووــ <br> o vẽdā hove ${ }_{M}$ |  <br> o vẽde hovĕn ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he may/should go frequently; if he goes frequently' <br> او ويزنكا <br> $o$ vẽdī hove $_{F}$ | او وينريالبووِن <br> o vẽdiyã̃ hověn ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they may/should go frequently; if they go frequently' |
|  | 'she may/should go frequently; if she goes frequently' |  |



### 8.5.6.2.7 Imperfect irrealis I

Formation: imperfective participle + لُوپ./hovaṇ/ 'to be (subjunctive)' + the invariant form $!/ h a \bar{a} /$

The paradigm for the imperfect irrealis I of gat ganfan/ 'to go' is given in Table 8.103.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يل وينرا بووال! mã vẽdā hovã̃ hā |  |
|  | يّ وينرى بووال! mã vẽdī hovã̃ hā | اسِّال وينميال بوووُل! assã̃ vẽdiyã̃ hovũ hā ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'if I went frequently (but I do not go)' | 'if we went frequently (but we do not go)' |
| 2nd |  tū vẽdā hovẽ hā ${ }_{M}$ |  tussã̃ vẽde hovo hā ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | "ول وينرى نوووس ! tũ vẽdī hovẽ hā ${ }_{F}$ | تسان وينريالبووو ! tussã̃ vẽdiyã̃ hovo hā ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}$ |
|  | 'if you went frequently (but you do not go)' | 'if you went frequently (but you do not go)' |
| 3rd | او ويزا بوورا <br> o vẽdā hove hā |  <br> o vẽ̃de hovĕn hā |
|  | 'if he went frequently (but he does not go)' <br>  <br> o vẽdī hove hā $\bar{F}_{F}$ | او وينيال بووِّ با <br> o vễdiyẫhověn hā ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'if they went frequently (but they do not go)' |
|  | 'if she went frequently (but she does not go)' |  |

Table 8.103: Imperfect irrealis I of وֻُ /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'

### 8.5.6.3 Continuous tenses

The most common type of continuous form in Saraiki consists of the imperfective par-

 ture). We call these continuous I. These forms convey a sense of concreteness, of an action actually in progress.

Continuous II forms employing the grammaticalized perfective participle of ريبنا /rahṇā/ 'to remain' (ري، رُ،َ، رسـ، رُيان/ryā/, /raī/, /rae/, and /raiyã/) are also found for present, past, and future continuous; but they are less frequent in Saraiki and are characteristic of Urdu-influenced urban speech. These forms can be found in Section 8.4.4.4.1 on Panjabi verbs, listed as present continuous II, etc.

### 8.5.6.3.1 Present continuous I

Formation: imperfective participle + $\%$ /povañ/ 'to fall, lie'(perfective participle) + :بؤن / /hovaṇ/ 'to be' (present) ${ }^{38}$
 forms given here (and their Perso-Arabic spellings) reflect coalescence of the initial vowel of the short forms of the present auxiliary with preceding final vowels of the participle ("elision," or "sandhi"). For example, the first person singular masculine form "ي وينر ايـيال /mã vẽdā piyã// 'I (m.) am going’ reflects elision of the underlying form:
 $+U^{\prime} / \tilde{\bar{a}} /$ (first person singular present auxiliary). All forms given here should be understood as reflecting elision and be analyzed in a similar way. As Shackle (1976: 94) notes, the orthography of native speaker writers is inconsistent in how such elisions are written. Such inconsistency will be seen in the forms given here as well.

38 Notice that the default order of elements presented here is different from that of Hindko, but similar to that shown for Panjabi.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ وينرا بيال m $\mathfrak{\tilde { x }}$ vẽdā piyã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ <br>  mẽ vẽdī paī $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'I am going' |  assã̃ vẽde pe (h) $\tilde{X}_{M}$ <br>  assã̃ vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ (h) $\tilde{\dddot{x}}_{F}$ 'we are going' |
| 2nd | تول وينرا *يّ tū̃ vẽdā pẽ ${ }_{M}$ قو وينرى پيُي tū vẽdī paî̃ 'you are going' |  tussã vẽde pe (hi)vve ${ }_{M}$ $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ tussã̃ vẽde pe $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{M}}$ <br>  tussã̃ vễdiyã̃ piyã̃ (hi)vve ${ }_{F}$ <br> tussā̃ vẽdiyã̃ paiyã $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'you are going' |
| 3rd | او وينرا o vẽdā pæ ${ }_{M}$ 'he is going' <br>  $o$ vẽdī paī $e_{F}$ 'she is going' | \|و وين <br> o vẽde pen ${ }_{M}$ او وينيال بيّن 0 vẽdiyã̃ pãn (<o vẽdiyã̃ paiyān ${ }_{F}$ ) 'they are going' |



### 8.5.6.3.2 Past continuous I

Formation: imperfective participle + /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(past)


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يّ وينرا يـيا إى mã̃ vẽdā piyā hāmīm | $\underset{\text { Cusã̃ }}{\sim}$ |
|  |  | e hāse ${ }_{\text {M }}$ |
|  |  mẽ vẽdī paī hāmī̌ | clun assã̃ vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ hāse ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'I was going' | 'we were going' |
| 2nd | تول وينرا بيا إوس <br> tũ̃ vẽdā piyā hāvẽ ${ }_{M}$ | تسا tussã̃ vẽde pe hāve ${ }_{M}$ |
|  |  tũ vẽdī paī hāvé $\tilde{F}_{F}$ | تسان وينرا tussã̃ vêdiyẵ̉ piyã̃ hāve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you were going' | 'you were going' |
| 3rd | او وينرا يما ! o vẽdā piyā hāM |  <br> $o$ vẽde pe han ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he was going' <br>  o vêdī paī haī̄ | \|و وينيال بيال بِّن o vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ hæn $n_{F}$ 'they were going' |
|  | 'she was going' |  |

Table 8.105: Past continuous I of ؤُ /vãfan/ 'to go'

### 8.5.6.3.3 Future continuous I

 /hovaṇ/ 'to be' (future)


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | "ي وينرا يـيا بوسال mã vẽdā piyā hosã̃ ${ }_{\text {M }}$ <br>  mẽ vẽdī paī hosã̃ <br> 'I will/must be going' |  assã̃ vẽde pe hosü̃ <br>  assã vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ hosũ $\tilde{U}_{F}$ 'we will/must be going' |
| 2nd | تول وينرا يايا يوّيِّ <br> tū̃ vẽdā piyā hosẽ. <br>  <br> tũ vẽdī paī hosẽ $\tilde{F}_{F}$ <br> 'you will/must be going' |  tussã̃ vẽde pe hoso ${ }_{M}$ تسيّل وينغيال ييان بوتو tussã̃ vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ hoso ${ }_{F}$ 'you will/must be going' |
| 3rd | او ويز\| بيا بوّى <br> o vẽdā piyā hosī̀M <br> 'he will/must be going' <br>  <br> o vẽdī paī hosī̄ <br> 'she will/must be going' | او وين <br> o vẽde pe hosěn ${ }_{M}$ <br>  0 vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ hosěn ${ }_{F}$ 'they will/must be going' |



### 8.5.6.3.4 Continuous I subjunctive

Formation: imperfective participle + $\%$ /povaṇ/ 'to fall, lie’(perfective participle) + "وونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be' (subjunctive)


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يل وينا بيا بووال mã vẽdā piyā hovã̃M |  assã̃ vẽde pe hovũ̃ |
|  |  mẽ vẽdī paī hovã̃ | اسّال وينيال ييال بوووُ assã̃ vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ hovũ |
|  | 'I may/should be going; if I am going' | 'we may/should be going; if we are going' |
| 2nd | تول وينز يايانووون <br> tũ̃ vẽdā piyā hovẽ. |  <br> tussã̃ vẽdē pe hovom |
|  |  <br> tũ̃ vẽdī paī hovẽ ${ }_{F}$ | تّسال وينيال يويال ،ووو tussã̃ vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ hovo ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you may/should be going; if you are going' | 'you may/should be going; if you are going' |
| 3rd | او وينا <br> o vẽ̃ā piyā hove ${ }_{M}$ | \|و وينر <br> o vẽde pe hověn ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he may/should be going; if he is going' <br> o vẽdī paī hove ${ }_{F}$ | او وينيال <br> o vẽdiyã̃ piyã̃ hověn ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they may/should be going; if they are going’ |
|  | 'she may/should be going; if she is going' |  |



### 8.5.7 Verb forms built on the perfective participle

### 8.5.7.1 Simple perfect

The simple perfect form is equivalent to the bare perfective participle. It is often translatable as the English simple past; however it is not morphologically marked for tense, and can also refer to events in future or hypothetical time. For intransitive verbs, the perfective participle agrees in gender and number with the subject; for transitives, it agrees in gender and number with an unmarked direct object. This is reflected in the
 the transitive verb كرن /karaṇ/ 'to do. The perfective participles of both these centrally important verbs are irregular, as they are in Hindko and Panjabi.

| Gender of subject | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | $\begin{aligned} & W_{z}^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { giyā } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | '(any m.sg. subject) went' | $\underset{\substack{\approx \\ g^{\prime} æ}}{\approx}$ |
| Feminine |  | '(any m.pl. subject) went' |
|  |  |  |
|  | '(any f.sg. subject) went' | '(any f.pl. subject) went' |



| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | كيّن | كِّ |
|  | kītā | kīte |
|  | '(any subject) did (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did (m.pl. direct object)' |
| Feminine | $\underset{\text { kītī }}{\text { kin }}$ |  |
|  | '(any subject) did (f.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did (f.pl. direct object)' |

Table 8.109: Simple perfect of كُك / Karan/ 'to do’

### 8.5.7.2 Present perfect

Formation: perfective participle + بوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(present)
See Table 8.110 and Table 8.111 for the present perfect forms of ${ }^{\text {gre }}$ /vãfan/ 'to go' and / ك /karaṇ/ 'to do', respectively. As with the present imperfect, these forms are subject to elision, the final vowel of the participle coalescing with the initial vowel of the auxiliary. For example, the following present perfect forms show elision of the short forms of the present auxiliary: كيّا /kītā e/ > /kīte ~kītæ/ (see Shackle 1976: 94). These forms are present perfect in terms of their morphological composition; however, they usually carry the sense of an English simple past tense. Therefore their glosses are given as both English simple pasts and present perfects.


Table 8.110: Present perfect of وُّ g /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'

Table 8.112 presents a set of negative forms for the present perfect of the transitive verb /karaṇ/ 'to do’ with a masculine singular direct object. These consist of oblique forms of the pronominal suffixes (see Section 6.8.1) added to a negative element originating in NEG + 'be' (present).

39 We are grateful to Ali Hussain Birahimani for this valuable information.

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | $\underbrace{\sim}_{\text {kīte }}$ | $\underset{\text { Kīten }}{\substack{\text { kīt }}}$ |
| Feminine | '(any subject) did/has done (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did/has done (m.pl. direct object)’ |
|  | كيّن امـ | $\underset{\text { Kîtiyěn }}{\substack{\text { كيتّنَّ }}}$ |
|  | '(any subject) did/has done (f.sg. direct object)’ | '(any subject) did/has done (f.pl. direct object)’ |

Table 8.111: Present perfect of كرك / karan/ 'to do'

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  | $\underbrace{\text { كِّ }}_{\text {nisse kītā }}$ |
|  | 'I have not done (m.sg. object)' | 'We have not done (m.sg. object)' |
| 2nd | ,زوْم كيتا nivhī kītā | ; <br> nivhe kītā |
|  | 'you have not done (m.sg. object)' | 'you (pl.) have not done (m.sg. object)' |
| 3rd | nissī kītā | تِنّ كيتّ ninnhe kītā |
|  | 'she/he has not done (m.sg. object)' | 'they have not done (m.sg. object)" |

Table 8.112: Negative forms of present perfect of /ك /karaṇ/ 'to do' with oblique pronominal suffixes ${ }^{39}$

Table 8.113 is a paradigm for the present perfect of the transitive verb كرك /karaṇ/ 'to do' employing the oblique pronominal suffixes indexing the agent; the object in all the sentences in this paradigm is masculine singular. The use of such forms enables the verb both to be marked for its subject/agent and agree in number and gender with its direct object. ${ }^{40}$

40 The second person singular form is obtained as a result of elision from the original kītā heī.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | "يّيتى ~ كيتم | كيتّي |
|  | kīte-mī ~ kīte-m | kīte-se |
|  | 'I did/have done (m.sg. object)' | 'we did/have done/ (m.sg. object)' |
| 2nd | كيتّى | كيتّوـوـ |
|  | kītěī | kīte-ve |
|  | 'you (sg.) did/have done (m.sg. object" | 'you (pl.) did/have done (m.sg. object)' |
| 3rd |  | كيّن. |
|  | kīte-sī ~ kīte-s | kītěn |
|  | 'she/he has done (m.sg. object)' | 'they have done (m.sg. object)' |

Table 8.113: Present perfect of كُر / karaṇ/ 'to do' with oblique pronominal suffixes

### 8.5.7.3 Present perfect-stative

Formation: perfective participle + ،وونك /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(perfective participle), which contributes the stative meaning + rوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(present)

Table 8.114 and Table 8.115 give present perfect-stative forms for ${ }^{\text {g }}$ /vãfaṇ/ 'to go' and /karaṇ/ 'to do', respectively. Notice that the English glosses reflect the meaning of these forms as very close to that of the English present perfect. ${ }^{41}$

If the perfect-stative forms of the transitive verb /karañ/ 'to do' occur without a subject/agent, they can have a passive-like interpretation, since both forms are perfective participles focusing on a resultant state rather than activity. If a subject/agent appears, it is in its oblique form, and the meaning is close to that of an English present perfect, as in example 8.27.


3SG.0BL do.PP-SGM be-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'S/he has done (m.sg. object)' (Sr) (AHB)

Sentences like 8.27, apparently similar to contemporary Panjabi models, occur in urban registers and written Saraiki (Ali Hussain Birahimani, p.c.).42

41 Ali Hussain Birahimani (p.c.) thinks that these present-perfect stative forms are a result of Panjabi influence and are characteristic of urban speech.
42 See Section 8.4.6.3 on older forms of perfective statives in Panjabi.



| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) has done (m.sg. object) (and result remains relevant)' | '(any subject) has done (m.pl. object) (and result remains relevant)' |
| Feminine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) has done (f.sg. object) (and result remains relevant)' | '(any subject) has done (f.pl. object) (and result remains relevant)' |

Table 8.115: Present perfect-stative of كُ / /karaṇ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.4 Present perfect-habitual

Formation: perfective participle + بوونُ /hovan/ 'to be (imperfective participle)' + بوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(present)

Table 8.116 and Table 8.117 present the forms and meanings of the present perfect-


| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | "ي گِّ mæ̃ giyā hond $\tilde{\bar{a}}_{M}$ | assã̃ gae honde (h) $\tilde{\mathbb{x}}_{M}$ |
|  | mé gaī hondī $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ 'I am usually gone' |  assã̃ gaiyã hondiyã̃ $\tilde{æ}_{F}$ 'we are usually gone' |
| 2nd | 'و <br> tũ̃ giyā hondẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  | tũ gaī hondī $\tilde{e}_{F}$ <br> 'you are usually gone' | تسال گيان بونيو <br> tussã̃ gaiyā̃ hondiyo ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'you are usually gone' |
| 3rd |  | او <br> o gae honděn ${ }_{M}$ |
|  | 'he is usually gone' <br> او <br> o gaī hondī $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'she is usually gone' | او گا <br> o gaiyã̃ hondiyĕn ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they are usually gone' |

Table 8.116: Present perfect-habitual of وثّ /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | كيتابونا <br> kitā honde | كِّ بونِّن <br> kīte honděn |
|  | '(any subject) has usually done (m.sg. object)' | '(any subject) has usually done (m.pl. object)' |
| Feminine | كيتى بوندى ا' |  |
|  | '(any subject) has usually done (f.sg. object)' | '(any subject) has usually done (f.pl. object)' |

Table 8.117: Present perfect-habitual of كُ /karaṇ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.5 Past perfect

Formation: perfective participle + rوون / /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(past)
The past perfect is sometimes elsewhere referred to as the "pluperfect" or the "distant past." It usually corresponds to an English simple past (either distant past, or a past action disconnected in some way from the present), and less frequently to an En-
 do' are given in Table 8.118 and Table 8.119, respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  <br> mẽ giyā hāmīM | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { n }}}_{\text {assã̃ gae hāse } e_{M}}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | 'I went/had gone' | 'we went/had gone' |
| 2nd |  tū̃ giyā hāvẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  | تسان tussã̃ gaiyã̃ hāve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you went/had gone' | 'you went/had gone' |
| 3rd | $\begin{aligned} & \vdots \varliminf_{n z}^{z} g \\ & 0 \text { giyā hā } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 'he went/had gone' اوْ <br> o gaī haī | o gaiyā̀ hæn $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> 'they went/had gone' |
|  | 'she went/had gone' |  |

Table 8.118: Past perfect of وڭْ /vãfaṇ/ 'to go'

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine |  | كِّة بَن <br> kīte han |
|  | '(any subject) did/had done (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) did/had done (m.pl. direct object)' |
| Feminine |  | كينيان بِّن kītiyã̃ hæn |
|  | '(any subject) did/had done (f.sg. direct object)’ | '(any subject) did/had done (f.pl. direct object)’ |

Table 8.119: Past perfect of كرل /karaṇ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.6 Past perfect-stative

Formation: perfective participle + بوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be '(perfective participle) + بوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(past)
 in Table 8.120 and Table 8.121, respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك "يا بوي إمى <br> mẽ giyā hoyā hāmīM |  |
|  |  mẽ gaì hoī hāmī̄ |  assã̃ gaiyã̃ hoiyã hāse ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'I went/had gone (and remained gone); I was gone' | 'we went/had gone (and remained gone); we were gone' |
| 2nd |  <br> tū̃ giyā hoyā hāvẽ्ल |  |
|  |  |  tussã̃ gaiyã̃ hoiyã̃ hāve ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you went/had gone (and remained gone); you were gone' | 'you went/had gone (and remained gone); you were gone' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he went/had gone (and remained gone); he was gone' |  <br> - gaiyã̃ hoiyã̃ hæn $n_{F}$ |
|  |  <br> o gaī hoī haī | 'they went/had gone (and remained gone); they were gone' |
|  | 'she went/had gone (and remained gone); she was gone' |  |



| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) did/had done (m.sg. object)' | '(any subject) did/had done (m.pl. object)' |
| Feminine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) did/had done (f.sg. object)' | '(any subject) did/had done (f.pl. object)' |

Table 8.121: Past perfect-stative of كُلُ /karaṇ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.7 Past perfect-habitual

Formation: perfective participle + /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(past)
 go' and /karaṇ/ 'to do', respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك بيا بونرا !امى <br> mẽ giyā hondā hāmīM | assã̃ gae honde hāse ${ }_{M}$ |
|  |  mæ̃ gaī hondī hāmī̄ |  assã̃ gaiyã hondiyã̃ hāse ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'I was usually gone' | 'we were usually gone' |
| 2nd |  <br> tū̃ giyā hondā hāvẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  <br> tũ gaī hondī hāvẽ ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'you were usually gone' | تسان tussã̃ gaiyã hondiyã̃ hāve ${ }_{F}$ 'you were usually gone' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he was usually gone' o gaì hondī haī <br> o gaī hondī haī |  <br> o gaiyã̃ hondiyã̃ hæn ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'they were usually gone' |
|  | 'she was usually gone' |  |

Table 8.122: Past perfect-habitual of ${ }^{\text {and }}$ /vãfaṇ / 'to go'

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | كيتابونا <br> kītā hondā hā | كِّ بون، <br> kīte honde han |
|  | '(any subject) usually did/had done (m.sg. object)' | '(any subject) usually did/had done (m.pl. object)' |
| Feminine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) usually did/had done (f.sg. object)' | '(any subject) usually did/had done (f.pl. object)' |

Table 8.123: Past perfect-habitual of كرك /karaṇ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.8 Future perfect

Formation: perfective participle + بوونُّ /hovan// 'to be'(future)
 'to do', respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mẽ giyā hosã̃ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  | اسيّال "بيان بوسوُو assã gaiyã̃ hosū $\tilde{I}_{F}$ |
|  | 'I will/must have gone' | 'we will/must have gone' |
| 2nd |  tū̃ giyā hosē̃ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  | تسّا tussã̃ gaiyã̃ hoso ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you will/must have gone' | 'you will/must have gone' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he will/must have gone' او | o gaiyã̃ hosěn ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'she will/must have gone' |  |



| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine |  |  |
| Feminine | '(any subject) will/must have done (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) will/must have done (m.pl. direct object)' |
|  | كيتّ بوّي kīt hosī | كيتيان بوّن kītiyã̃ hosĕn |
|  | '(any subject) will/must have done (f.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) will/must have done (f.pl. direct object)' |

Table 8.125: Future perfect of / ك /karaṇ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.9 Future perfect-stative

 van/ 'to be '(future)
 do' appear in Table 8.126 and Table 8.127, respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  mẽ giyā hoyā hosã̃ ${ }_{M}$ |  assã̃ gae hoe hosū̃ |
|  |  m $\tilde{\mathscr{x}}$ gaī hoī hosã̃ | اسيّا گيا assã gaiyã̃ hoiyã hosü̃ |
|  | 'I will/must have gone (and still be gone)' | 'we will/must have gone (and still be gone)' |
| 2nd | وّن گِّا نويا بوّيّن <br> tū giyā hoyā hosẽ ${ }_{M}$ | tussã gae hoe hoso |
|  |  | tussã̃ gaiyã̃ hoiyã̃ hoso ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you will/must have gone (and still be gone)' | 'you will/must have gone (and still be gone)' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he will/must have gone (and still be gone)' | او او <br> o gaiyã̃ hoiyā̃ hosěn ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | او <br> o gaī hoì hosī̄ | 'they will/must have gone (and still be gone)' |
|  | 'she will/must have gone (and still be gone)' |  |



| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { كيّنّ نووا بوّى } \\ & \text { kītā hoyā hosī } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | '(any subject) will/must have done (m.sg. object)’ | '(any subject) will/must have done (m.pl. object)' |
| Feminine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) will/must have done (f.sg. object)' | '(any subject) will/must have done (f.pl. object)' |

Table 8.127: Future perfect-stative of $/$ /karañ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.10 Perfect subjunctive

Formation: perfective participle + rوون $/$ /hovaṇ/ 'to be' (subjunctive)
 and $/$ /karaṇ/ 'to do', respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  |  |
|  |  |  assã̃ gaiyã̃ hovü̃ |
|  | 'I may have gone; if I have gone' | 'we may have gone; if we have gone' |
| 2nd |  tū giyā hovẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  |  tussã̃ gaiyã hovo ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you may have gone; if you have gone' | 'you may have gone; if you have gone’ |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he/it may have gone; if he has gone' او |  |
|  | o gaī hove ${ }_{F}$ | 'they may have gone; if they have |
|  | 'she/it may have gone; if she has gone' | gone' |

Table 8.128: Perfect subjunctive of $\dot{\text { grt }}$ /vãfaṇ/ 'to go’

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | kītā hove |  kīte hovĕn |
|  | '(any subject) may have done; if (any subject) has done (m.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) may have done; if (any subject) has done (m.pl. direct object)' |
| Feminine | kītī hove |  |
|  | '(any subject) may have done; if (any subject) has done (f.sg. direct object)' | '(any subject) may have done; if (any subject) has done (f.pl. direct object)' |

Table 8.129: Perfect subjunctive of $/$ /karañ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.11 Perfect-stative subjunctive

 vaṇ/ 'to be '(subjunctive)

Perfect-stative subjunctive forms and their glosses are given for /vãfañ/ 'to go' and كرك /karaṇ/ 'to do' in Table 8.130 and Table 8.131, respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يي :يّا بويا بووال <br> mẽ giyā hoiyā hovã̃M |  assã fae hoe hovü̃ |
|  |  mæ̃ gaī hoī hovã̃ |  assã̃ gaiyã hoiyã̃ hovü̃ |
|  | 'I may have gone; if I have gone (and am still gone)' | 'we may have gone; if we are gone (and are still gone)' |
| 2nd |  tũ giyā hoiyā hovẽ ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  |  |  tussã̃ gaiyã̃ hoiyã̃ hovo ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | 'you may have gone; if you have gone (and are still gone)' | 'you may have gone; if you have gone (and are still gone)' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'he may have gone; if he has gone (and is still gone)' | او <br> o daiyẫ hoiyā̃ hovĕn ${ }_{F}$ |
|  | o gaì hoī hove | 'they may have gone; if they have gone (and are still gone)' |
|  | 'she may have gone; if she has gone (and is still gone)' |  |



| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) may have done (m.sg. object); if (any subject) has done (m.sg. object)' | '(any subject) may have done (m.pl. object); if (any subject) has done (m.pl. object)' |
| Feminine |  |  |
|  | '(any subject) may have done (f.sg. object); if (any subject) has done (f.sg. object)' | '(any subject) may have done (f.pl. object); if (any subject) has done (f.pl. object)' |

Table 8.131: Perfect-stative subjunctive of $/$ /karañ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.12 Perfect irrealis I

Formation: perfective participle + بوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be'(subjunctive) + the invariant form ! /hā/
 'to do', respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يم "شا ،ووان ! m ${ }^{\text {æ̈ }}$ giyā hovã̃ hā ${ }_{M}$ |  |
|  | mã gaī hovã̃ hā ${ }_{F}$ 'if I had gone' |  assã̃ gaiyã̃ hovũ hā ${ }_{F}$ 'if we had gone' |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  | tũ gaī hovẽ hā 'if you had gone' | tussã̃ gaiyã hovo hā ${ }_{F}$ 'if you had gone' |
| 3rd |  |  |
|  | 'if he/it had gone' او او <br> o gaī hove hā ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'if she/it had gone' | اوگّ <br> o gaiyã̃ hověn hā ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'if they had gone' |



| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Küuntā } \\ & \text { kītā hove hā } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Feminine | 'if (any subject) had done (m.sg. direct object)' | 'if (any subject) had done (m.pl. direct object)' |
|  |  |  |
|  | 'if (any subject) had done (f.sg. direct object)' | 'if (any subject) had done (f.pl. direct object)' |

Table 8.133: Perfect irrealis I of كُكُ /karaṇ/ 'to do'

### 8.5.7.13 Perfect-stative irrealis I

Formation: perfective participle + بوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be’ (perfective participle) + بوونُ /hovaṇ/ 'to be' (subjunctive) + invariant ! /hā/
 and Table 8.135, respectively.

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | يك "شيا بويا بووال ! mẽ giyā hoiyā hovã̃ hāM |  assã gae hoe hovũ̃ hā |
|  |  m $\tilde{\not{A}}$ gaĩ hoī hovã̃ hā 'if I had been gone' |  assã̃ gaiyã̃ hoiyã hovũ̃ hā ${ }_{F}$ 'if we had been gone' |
| 2nd |  |  |
|  | تول گگَ بونَ بوو"U! <br> tũ gaĩ hoī hovẽ hā <br> 'if you had been gone' | تسّاU tussã̃ gáiyã̃ hoiyã hovo hā ${ }_{F}$ 'if you had been gone' |
| 3rd | o giyā hoiyā hove hāM | o gae hoe hověn hā |
|  | 'if he had been gone' <br>  <br> o gaì hoī hove hā ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'if she had been gone' |  <br> o gaiyã̃ hoiyã hovĕn hā ${ }_{F}$ <br> 'if they had been gone' |

Table 8.134: Perfect-stative irrealis I of وt / vãfaṇ/ 'to go'

| Gender of direct object | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine | Küun kītā hoyā hove hā | كِّ ،وو ز ،ووِن ؛ kīte hoe hověn hā |
|  | 'if (any subject) had done (m.sg. object)' | 'if (any subject) had done (m.pl. object)' |
| Feminine |  |  |
|  | 'if (any subject) had done (f.sg. object)' | 'if (any subject) had done (f.pl. object)' |

Table 8.135: Perfect-stative irrealis I of /אرل /karaṇ/ 'to do'

## 9 Sentential syntax

### 9.1 Simple sentences

This chapter deals first with basic patterns of syntax in the simple sentence: word order, agreement patterns, subject/agent marking and the matter of grammatical and semantic subjects, object marking, the split ergative alignment pattern, negation, and questions. Then it considers compound sentences consisting of conjoined simple sentences, and finally various types of complex sentences consisting of a matrix clause and embedded clauses of various types. Unless otherwise stated, the patterns discussed here apply to all three languages. As far as has been possible, examples from all three languages are given. For Hindko and Saraiki elided present tense verb forms, we gloss elided verb forms as [Participle+be.PRES.3SG], as in 9.3.

For examples cited from works which do not use Perso-Arabic script representations, e.g. Shackle (1976) Shackle (1970), Bhatia (1993), and Bailey (1904b), the PersoArabic representations are due to the present authors. For sources which include PersoArabic representations, e.g. websites, Bashir and Kazmi (2012), and our Hindko and Saraiki colleagues, the Perso-Arabic spellings are mostly as given in those sources. For sources which do not give English translations, the translations are due to the present authors. If we have abridged or modified an example from its source, this is indicated by "adapted from (author date: page number)".

### 9.1.1 Word order

### 9.1.1.1 Default word order in simple sentences

The default word order is S (ubject) O (bject) V(erb). Typologically consistent with this are default Adjective-Noun and Noun-Postposition order. Word order is, however, quite free, and topicalization and focus-marking are usually achieved by manipulation of word order, with sentence-initial position occupied by the TOPIC (old information) and pre-verbal position by FOCUSED (new) information.

There are three types of simple declarative sentences: intransitive, transitive, and ditransitive. In intransitive sentences, with only one nominal argument (the subject), the neutral order of constituents is SV, as shown in examples 9.1, 9.2, and 9.3. Pronominal arguments are indicated by inflectional endings, as in examples 9.4 and 9.5.


any sheep[F] NEG die-PP.SG.F
'No sheep died.' (Hk) (AWT)

اوه جاورة!
ó jā-ve-g- $\bar{a}$
3SG.DIST go-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M
'He will go.' (Pi) (EB)
(9.3) $\sum_{z}^{\ell}$
daryā $d-\bar{a} \quad$ pāṇī lah $\quad$-e
river GEN-SG.M water.SG.M come.down go.PP-SG.M.+be.PRES.3SG
‘The river has subsided.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 70)
(9.4) シ (
mer-e nāl cal-o-g-e
1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL with come/go-2PL-FUT-PL.M
‘Will you come/go with me?' (Pi) (EB)

$\bar{a} h o \quad$ cal $-\tilde{a}-g-\bar{a}$
yes come/go-1SG-FUT-SG.M
'Yes, I (M) will (go with you).' (Pi) (EB)

Transitive sentences, such as in 9.6, 9.7, and 9.8, have both a subject and an object, and display canonical SOV word order. In example 9.8, the pronominal subject is indicated by the third person plural inflectional ending.

مسْور سُوُّ ترورُى
masūd soṭī tror-ī
Masud stick[F] break-PP.SG.F
'Masud broke the stick.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.7)

mẽ kapre tò-t-e
1SG.DIR/OBL clothes.PL.M.DIR wash-PP-PL.M
'I washed (the) clothes.' (Pj) (EB)

kyā o tuhā-kũ tang karẽd-ěn
Q 3PL.DIR 2PL.OBL-ACC teasing do.IP-PRES.3PL
'Do they tease you?' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 70)

A ditransitive sentence has a subject, a direct object, and an indirect object, as in 9.9, where 'we' is the subject, 'money' is the direct object, and 'some poor people' is the indirect object. In ditransitive sentences, the neutral word order is SIOV, where I represents the indirect object, as shown in examples 9.9, 9.10, and 9.11. Notice that while the sentence in 9.9 is structurally a past perfect, its natural English translation is as a simple past. The Saraiki sentence in 9.11 exemplifies the use of the third person singular pronominal suffix $\mathcal{V}$ /sī/ to indicate the agent, 'she, he'.

assãa kuj yarīb lok- $\tilde{a} \quad \tilde{a} \quad$ pæse di-tt-e
1PL.OBL some poor people-OBL DAT money.PL.M give-PP-PL.M
éy-e
be.PST-PL.M
'We gave money to some poor people.' (Hk) (AWT)

ó ne sa-nū do katāb- $\tilde{a}$ di-tt-iy $\tilde{a}$
3SG ERG 1PL.OBL-DAT two book-PL.F give-PP-PL.F
'She/he gave us two books.' (Pi) (EB)

$m æ-k \tilde{u} \quad n a v-\tilde{a} \quad$ colā di-te-sī
1SG.OBL-DAT new-SG.M shirt.SG.M give-PP.SG.M+be.PRES-PS3SG
'He/she has given/gave me a new shirt. ${ }^{1}$ (Sr) (UK)

Auxiliaries, modals, and light verbs follow the main verb, which provides the core semantic content. When the verbal complex includes multiple elements, the order is:

[^61]main verb - light verb - modal/aspectual marker - tensed auxiliary. Example 9.12 illustrates both the general order of constituents and patterns of agreement in a Panjabi clause. In this example, the subject 'their two daughters' precedes the object 'the family meal', which in turn precedes the verbal complex 'were preparing', demonstrating SOV order. It further demonstrates head nouns such as 'daughters' preceded by adjectives like 'both', genitive elements like اونّال /ónã̃/ 'their' followed by the postpositions like $\operatorname{ll}$ /diyã̃/ 'of', the pattern of modifier agreement, and verbal agreement, where the verbal complex agrees with the feminine plural subject 1 , C /tiyã̃/ 'daughters'.

'Downstairs in the kitchen, their two daughters were happily preparing the family meal.' (Pj) (Shackle 2003: 611)

### 9.1.1.1.1 Scrambling

The preceding discussion deals with the basic neutral, or unmarked, word order of constituents within a clause. As noted, however, elements within a clause can appear in other positions. Manipulation of a relatively free word order is sometimes referred to as scrambling. For example, it is possible for the subject of a clause to appear at the end, rather than at the beginning, of a sentence, as in example 9.13. This functions to highlight different aspects of discourse-relevant information, such as emphasizing old or new information, or to background or foreground certain information.


### 9.1.1.1.2 Cleft constructions

Clefts are another construction involving non-canonical word order that are used to highlight or focus information. In English, cleft constructions are generally translated with an equivalent of, 'It is X that/who V'. In Panjabi, a cleft construction is formed by placing the focused element of the clause in initial position, optionally followed by the emphatic particle $/ 1 / \overline{1} /$, and then by a relative clause. The clefted element can vary; in example 9.14 it is the subject, and in example 9.15 it is the object.


| $\boldsymbol{t} \mathbf{a} r a \bar{a}$ | sín | $\bar{i}$ | $s \bar{u}$ | jí-ne | panjābī | sūbā |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tara | Singh | EMPH | be.PST.3SG | REL.OBL-ERG | Panjabi | province[M] |

man-iy $\bar{a}$
demand-PP.SG.M
'It was Tara Singh who advocated for a Panjabi Province.' (Pi) (Adapted from Bhatia (1993: 155))
(9.15)

may-iyā
demand-PP.SG.M
'It was a Panjabi Province that Tara Singh demanded.' (Pj) (Adapted from Bhatia (1993: 155))

### 9.1.1.2 Word order in the noun phrase

A noun phrase consists of a head noun and its modifiers: adjectives (including participial relative clauses), possessives, quantifiers, and determiners. Minimally, a noun phrase consists of a bare noun or pronoun. The basic word order in a noun phrase is: possessive adjective > genitive phrase > determiner > quantifier > attributive adjective(s) > head noun, as in example 9.16. Like other adjectival modifiers, participial relative clauses precede the noun they modify. These statements hold for all three languages. The noun phrases in examples 9.16, 9.17, and 9.18 are bracketed.

[mer-iy $\tilde{a}$ é do nav-iy $\tilde{a}$ kitab-ã]
1SG.GEN-PL.F these two new-PL.F book-PL.F
'[these two new books of mine]' (Pi) (EB)

pašto [sūbā sarhad d-ī hik baḍ-ī te ǽm
Pashto province frontier GEN-SG.F one big-F and important
zabān] $e$
language[F] be.PRES.3SG
'Pashto is [a big and important language of the Frontier Province].' (Hk) (Soz 2009: 6)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { mæ-kū [har kisam d-e kaḍhaī āl-e }  \tag{9.18}\\
& \text { 1SG.OBL-DAT each kind GEN-PL.M embroidery NMLZ-PL.M } \\
& \text { kapre] baũ pasand } \bar{a} \text {-nd-ĕn } \\
& \text { clothes.PL.M very pleasing come-IP-PRES.3PL } \\
& \text { 'I like [all kinds of embroidered clothes].' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 49) }
\end{align*}
$$

There are no definite or indefinite articles in Hindko, Panjabi, or Saraiki, but the numeral ا/ikk/ (Pj) or بك /hik(k)/ (Hk Sr) ‘one’ indicates specific indefinites, as shown in example 9.17, while the indefinite pronoun كَّ /koī/ 'some' indicates non-specific indefinites, as in example 9.19. These elements fall in the quantifier slot. Some quantifiers, such as $\sigma_{0}^{\omega}$ / /sáb/ ‘all', optionally follow the head noun, especially when the head is a pronoun. Discourse particles such as $1 / \overline{\mathrm{l}}$ / 'only' and 9 /vì/ 'also' obligatorily follow the element they emphasize.


```
koī band \(\bar{a} \quad \bar{a}-y \bar{a} \quad e\)
a/some man come-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
```

'A/some (unknown) man has come.' (Pj) (EB)

2 The former North West Frontier Province is now named Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

### 9.1.1.3 Status of the existential verb and copula

In affirmative equational sentences of the form $x=y$, and in present tense existential sentences, the copula is obligatory in these languages. For example, 9.20 is a good sentence, but 9.21, lacking the copula, is not.

mer- $\bar{a} \quad$ prà̀ $\quad$ lākțar $\quad \boldsymbol{e}$
1SG.GEN-SG.M brother.M doctor be.PRES.3SG
'My brother is a doctor.' ( Pj ) (EB)
(9.21)

* مير|
${ }^{*}$ mer- $\bar{a} \quad$ prà̀ $\quad$ ạākṭar
1SG.GEN-SG.M brother.M doctor
‘*My brother is a doctor.' ( Pj ) (EB)

Similarly, 9.22 affirming the existence of 'my brother' in a specific location in example is good, but not example 9.23, which lacks the verb 'be'.
(9.22)

mer- $\bar{a}$ prà $\quad$ kàr $\quad \boldsymbol{e}$
1SG.GEN-SG.M brother[M] home.OBL be.PRES.3SG
'My brother is at home.' (Pj) (EB)
(9.23)

*mer- $\bar{a} \quad$ prà $\quad$ kàr
1SG.GEN-SG.M brother[M] home.OBL
‘*My brother is at home.' (Pj) (EB)

In negative present-tense sentences involving the verb 'be’, however, the present tense form of 'be' is normally not present, as in example 9.24. This is because the negative form ${ }^{U}$ "naĩ / 'is not' already etymologically includes a present-tense form of 'be’.


However, in negative sentences including the past tense of ly ، /hoṇā/ 'be' the form of 'be' cannot be omitted, since to do so would lose tense marking. In such cases, one must use a sentence such as that in 9.25.


```
\(\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { mer-ā } \quad \text { prā̀ } & \bar{a} k t c a r ~ n a \tilde{̃} & s \bar{\imath}\end{array}\)
1SG.GEN-SG.M brother[M] doctor NEG be.PST.3SG
'My brother was not a doctor.' (Pi) (EB)
```


### 9.1.1.4 Omission of subject and object pronouns

### 9.1.1.4.1 Subject marked on verb

All three of these languages are what are sometimes called "pro-drop languages". This means that either object or subject pronouns can be omitted when they are indicated by inflectional endings, or, given the right context, even when they are not indicated by inflectional endings. Since the subject of a sentence is often clear by virtue of verbal agreement on a tense-aspect form, when the subject is a pronoun it is not usually represented by an independent, full pronoun. For example, in all three languages the subject is marked on the verb in the future/presumptive form, and an independent subject pronoun is thus often omitted. This is shown in examples 9.26, 9.27, and 9.28. ${ }^{3}$

jul-s- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
go-FUT-1SG
'I will go.' (Hk) (AWT)

go-1SG-FUT-SG.M
'I (M) will go.' (Pi) (EB)

[^62](9.28)

ويسال
$v e-s-\tilde{a} \quad \sim v æ-s-\tilde{a}$
go-FUT-1SG $\sim$ go-FUT-1SG
'I will go.' (Sr) (UK)

In perfective tenses of transitive verbs in Hindko and Panjabi, however, the subject is not marked on the verb, so unless it is unambiguously recoverable from context, it is usually indicated by an independent pronoun, as in examples 9.29 and 9.30.

ún-ã roṭī kháa-d-ī
3PL.DIST-OBL bread[F] eat-PP-SG.F
'They (M or F) ate bread/food.' (Hk) (AWT)

$m \tilde{æ} \quad a m b \quad k h \overline{\tilde{a}}-d-\bar{a}$
1SG mango[M] eat-PP-SG.M
'I (M or F) ate a mango.' (Pi) (EB)

The case in Saraiki, however, is different for perfective transitive sentences. Saraiki often makes use of pronominal suffixes to mark the subject on the verb of such sentences, as in example 9.31. In 9.31 the verb indexes the first person singular subject ' I '. Notice that in this sentence an independent subject pronoun is absent.

țukur khā-d-e-mī
bread[M] eat-PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG-PS.1SG
'I ate/have eaten bread/food.' (Sr) (UK)

### 9.1.1.4.2 Omission of repeated identical subjects, objects, or verbs

When the subject continues in two or more successive clauses and is clear from discourse, it is usually not repeated. Consider the question in example 9.32, to which the sentence in 9.33 provides a natural response. Since both the subject and the object are unambiguously recoverable from the immediate context, full pronouns are not needed for either subject or object, and are therefore omitted.


2SG children-OBL DAT bread/food.F give-PP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
‘Have you given the children food?’ (Pj) (EB)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { آنو }  \tag{9.33}\\
& \text { āho di-tt-ī e } \\
& \text { yes give-PP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG } \\
& \text { 'Yes (I) have given (it).' (Pj) (EB) }
\end{align*}
$$

If the subject and verb of two coordinated clauses are identical, either the first or the second occurrence of either the verb or the subject, or even both, may be omitted. This is shown in example 9.34, where both grammatical and semantic subject (i.e. the grammatical subject 'life' and the semantic subject ' $I$ '), as well as the verb 'like' are the same in both clauses. Neither the grammatical subject nor the verb are repeated in the second conjunct.

mer-e prà̀ nũ šǽr $d-\bar{\imath}$

1SG.GEN-M.SG.OBL brother.M.OBL DAT city GEN-SG.F
'I like village life, but my brother [likes] city [life].' (Pi) (EB, modeled on Bhatia (1993: 115).)

However, if both formal and semantic identity are not present, this does not happen. Thus a sentence like that in 9.35 , in which the first conjunct is a dative subject construction but the second is not, is not well formed.
(9.35)


### 9.1.2 Agreement

### 9.1.2.1 Adjective agreement

Adjectival modifiers, whether single word or phrasal, agree with the nouns they modify in number, gender, and case. This agreement is not always evident, because many nouns and adjectives in all three languages belong to the unmarked, invariant classes. (See Section 4.3 and Section 5.1.1.6.)

### 9.1.2.2 Verb agreement: split ergativity

All three languages have a split ergative alignment system. In such a system, intransitive verbs and imperfective tenses of transitive verbs pattern together, while perfective tenses of transitive verbs are treated differently. There are two aspects of this split ergativity: verb agreement, and subject/agent marking.

The verb maximally agrees with the grammatical subject in person, number, and gender with all intransitive verbs, as shown in 9.36 and 9.37 , and with transitive verbs in imperfective tenses, as shown in 9.38.


## e

be.PRES.3SG
'This is my daughter's book.' (Hk) (AWT)

asī sáb frktrī vic kamm kar-d-e $\tilde{\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}}$ 1PL all factory in work do-IP-PL.M be.PRES.1PL
'We (m) all work in a/the factory.' ( Pj ) (EB)

tuāde vālid.sāhib kyā kar-e-nd-e-n
your.PL.M father what do-PF-IP-PL.M-PRES.3PL
‘What does your father do?' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 40)

Perfective forms of transitive verbs agree with a direct object that is not marked with the accusative postposition. The following sections show perfective transitive verb agreement in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki.

Verb agreement in simple perfect forms of the transitive verb 'eat' is provided in examples 9.39 through 9.42. The verb agrees in number and gender with unmarked feminine singular in 9.39, feminine plural in 9.40, masculine singular in 9.41, and masculine plural direct objects in 9.42. Notice that the subjects/agents of these perfective forms of transitive verbs appear in their oblique form.

$m \tilde{\nsim} \quad r o t ̣ i ̄ \quad k h a \bar{a}-d-\bar{i}$
1SG.OBL bread.SG.F eat-PP-SG.F
'I ate bread/a meal.' (Hk) (AWT)

$m \tilde{\nsim}$ do roți-a $\tilde{a} \quad k h \overline{\tilde{a}}-d-i y \tilde{\tilde{a}}$
1SG.OBL two bread-PL.F eat-PP-PL.F
'I ate two breads.' (Hk) (AWT)

tud hik am khā́-d-ā
2SG.OBL one mango.SG.M eat-PP-SG.M
'You ate one mango.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.42)

تُ ووام كمارس
tud do am kháá-d-e
2SG.OBL two mango.PL.M eat-PP-PL.M
'You ate two mangoes.' (Hk) (AWT)

Verb agreement in Panjabi behaves in the same way as it does in Hindko, as shown in examples 9.43 and 9.44.
(9.43)

mæ̃ do amb khấ-d-e
1SG.OBL two mango-PL.M eat-PP-PL.M
'I ate two mangoes.' (Pi) (EB)
(9.44)

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ó } & \text { ne } & \text { mer- } \bar{a} & \text { kamm } & \text { kar } & \text { di-tt- } \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \\ \text { 3SG.OBL } & \text { ERG } & \text { 1SG.GEN-SG.M } & \text { work.SG.M } & \text { do } & \text { give-PP-SG.M }\end{array}$
‘S/he did my work/task [i.e. did a task for me].' (Pi) (EB)

Saraiki's verbal agreement pattern is like that of Hindko and Panjabi. This is shown in examples 9.45, 9.46, and 9.47.
(9.45)

agalī rāt mẽ ajīb xāb
preceding night 1SG.DIR/OBL strange dream[M]
di-ṭh-e
see-PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'Last night I had a strange dream.' ${ }^{4}$ (Sr) (UK)
(9.46)

$\tilde{\tilde{u}} \quad m æ-k \tilde{\tilde{u}} \quad$ citṭhī $d i-t t-\bar{i}$
3SG.OBL 1SG.DIR/OBL-DAT letter[F] give-PP-SG.F
'He gave me a letter.' (Sr) (UK)

[^63]
cokidār-k $\bar{u}$ cor khop-iyā hā
watchman-ACC thief stab-PP.SG.M be.PST.SG.M
'A thief stabbed the watchman.' (Sr) (UK)

In example 9.47 the verb shows default masculine singular agreement because of the accusative marked direct object 'watchman'. Contrastive stress on $\boldsymbol{q}_{\Downarrow} /$ cor/ 'thief', as the new information, produces an emphasis similar to that of a cleft construction (see Section 9.1.1.1.2), but without the extra syntactic mechanism.

In Saraiki, an additional pattern is available, which uses pronominal suffixes to index the agent on the verb, as in example 9.48. If there is also an unmarked direct object, the verb agrees with the direct object in number and gender, and the agent is indexed on the verb. Compare examples 9.48 and 9.49 ; in 9.48 , the verb agrees with the feminine singular direct object 'bread', and in 9.49 , the verb agrees with the masculine singular direct object 'egg'. In both sentences, though, a third person singular agent is indexed on the verb with the third person singular pronominal suffix $/-\mathrm{s} /$.

roṭī khā-d-ī-e-s
bread[F] eat-PP-SG.F-be.PRES.3SG-PS3SG
'He/she has eaten bread/a meal.' (Sr) (UK)

anḍā khā-d-e-s
egg[M] eat-PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG-PS3SG
'He/she ate an egg. ${ }^{5}$ (Sr) (UK)

If the direct object is marked by the accusative postposition- $\tilde{U} / / \tilde{\tilde{a}} /(\mathrm{Hk}), \dot{\nu} / \mathrm{nu} /(\mathrm{Pj})$, كو /kū/ (Sr)- the verb appears in the default masculine singular form. In example 9.50 the direct object (little boys) is masculine plural; in 9.51, the direct objects are feminine singular (daughter-in-law) and plural (little girls); and in 9.52, the direct object (that matter) is feminine singular; however, the verb is default masculine singular in all these sentences.

[^64](9.50)

لميا
lamm-e- $\tilde{a} \quad j \quad j a ̄ t k-\tilde{a} \quad s \bar{a} r-e-\tilde{a} \quad n i k k-e-\tilde{a}$
tall-PL.M-OBL.PL boy-OBL.PL all-PL.M-OBL.PL little-PL.M-OBL.PL
jātk- $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad \tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ harā-y $\bar{a}$
boy-OBL.PL ACC defeat-PP.SG.M
'The tall boys defeated all the little boys.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.51)

bālaṛi-y $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad$ n $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ fāiring kar ke mār di-tt- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
little.girl-OBL.PL ACC firing do CP kill give-PP-SG.M
'The robbers fired and killed his daughter-in-law and three young girls.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 520)
(9.52)

$\tilde{\bar{u}} \quad d-i y \tilde{a} \quad a k h-\bar{\imath} \quad \tilde{u} \quad$ gālh kū $\quad$ khol te
3SG.OBL GEN-PL.F eye[F]-OBL.PL 3SG.OBL matter[F] ACC open CP
biyān kar di-tt- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
explanation do give-PP-SG.M
'Her eyes openly explained that (matter).' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 141, cited from
Lashari 1971:161)

### 9.1.2.3 Verb agreement with coordinated nouns

Verb agreement in number and gender with coordinated nouns arises (1) with compound subjects in the direct case, and (2) with unmarked compound direct objects.

### 9.1.2.3.1 Verb agreement with compound subjects

Some aspects of this situation are straightforward: when two feminine nouns are conjoined, the phrase takes feminine plural agreement, as shown in 9.53. When two masculine nouns are conjoined, the agreement is masculine plural, as in 9.54.


| mer-i | $a m m \bar{\imath}$ | te | najmā | $d-\bar{l}$ | $p \grave{e n}$ | $b a z \bar{a} r$ | $j \bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.GEN-SG.F | mother | and | Najma | GEN-SG.F | sister | bazaar | go |
| ra-íýa | san |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONT.II-PL.F | be.PST.3PL |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'My mother and Najma's sister were going to the bazaar.' (Pi) (EB)

salīm te billū $\bar{a} \quad$ g-ae nẽ
Salim[M] and Billu[M] come go-PP.PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'Salim and Billu have come/arrived.' (Pj) (EB)

However, when the elements of the compound subject differ in gender, or in number, the generalizations about agreement depend on several variables: (i) word orderposition relative to the verb, (ii) properties of the nouns involved-whether they refer to animate beings, especially humans and whether they are singular or plural, and (iii) the verb itself. Not all authors agree about which variables take priority, and different patterns are attested. The following generalizations are based on Panjabi data.

When a singular masculine and a singular feminine noun are conjoined and the verb is something other than lerc:/hoṇā/ 'be', the agreement is usually masculine plural, as in example 9.55.

| pichle $\quad \operatorname{din}-\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ <br> previous day-O | $\begin{array}{ll}  & v i c  \tag{9.55}\\ \text { BL.PL } & \text { in } \end{array}$ | saḍe <br> our | ikk belī one friend[M] | $d-\bar{i}$ <br> GEN-SG.F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kàr | $v a ̄ l-i ̄$ | te | s śrā | amrīkā |
| house.SG.M.OBL | NMLZ-SG.F |  | father.in.law[M] | America |
| apr-e |  |  |  |  |
| reach-PP.PL.M |  |  |  |  |

'A few days ago, my friend's wife and father-in-law arrived in America.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 383)

However, with simple sentences involving existential 'be', if a singular noun is closer to the verb, agreement is singular, as in 9.56 and 9.57. Since 'ry /hoṇā/ 'be' is not
marked for gender in either the present or past tense in Panjabi, gender agreement is not present.

bālṝ e
girl.child.SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'My friend has two boys and a girl.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 87)
(9.57)

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { mer-ī } & p æ ̀ n ̣ & d-i y \tilde{a} & \text { do bețiy- } \tilde{a} & \text { te } i k k & \text { betta } \bar{a} \\ \text { 1SG.GEN-SG.F } & \text { sister } & \text { GEN-PL.F } & \text { two } & \text { daughter-PL.F } & \text { and } & \text { one } & \text { son.SG.M }\end{array}$
$e$
be.PRES.SG.M
'My sister has two daughters and a son.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 127)

When plural masculine and feminine nouns are conjoined, the result can be masculine plural, whether the masculine noun is closer to the verb, as in 9.59 or the feminine noun, as in 9.58.

juvān mũḍ-e te kuṛi-ȳ̃a pædal țur pa-e young boy-PL.M and girl-PL.F on.foot set.out fall-PP.PL.M
'The young boys and girls set off on foot.' (Pi) (http://quarterlyneelkanth. blogspot.com/2017/05/blog-post.html)

é ikk maxlūt talīmī idarā e jithe kurì-yãa
this a mixed educational institution be.PRES.3SG where girl-PL.F
te mũḍ-e kaṭthe pár-d-e nẽ
and boy-pl.m together study-IP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'This is a co-educational institution, where girls and boys study together.'
(Pi) (https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:Government_College_ University,_Lahore)

However, for some people word order takes precedence. For example, Gill and Gleason (1969:52) give priority to the word order criterion, saying that when the nouns are plural, the verb phrase usually agrees with the last noun, and give example 9.60. Example 9.61, showing the same pattern, is from a recent Internet source.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{llllll}
\text { cār } & \bar{a} d m i & \text { te } & \text { do } \begin{array}{l}
\text { srt- } \tilde{\tilde{a}}
\end{array} & \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \text {-iy } \boldsymbol{i} \tilde{\tilde{a}} \\
\text { four } & \text { man.PL.M } & \text { and two } & \text { woman-PL } & \text { come-PP.PL.F }
\end{array}  \tag{9.60}\\
& \text { 'Four men and two women came.' (Pj) (Gill and Gleason 1969: 52) }
\end{align*}
$$

رنيان
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { rãbi-y } \tilde{\tilde{a}} & \text { læ } & \text { ke } & \text { bande } & \text { te zanāni-yã } & \text { godī } & \text { kar-d-iy } \tilde{\tilde{a}} \\ \text { trowel-PL.F } & \text { take } & \text { CP } & \text { men } & \text { and woman-PL.F } & \text { cultivation } & \text { do-IP-PL.F }\end{array}$
'Taking their trowels, men and women would cultivate ...' (Pi) (http://www. urduweb.org/mehfil/threads/32763)

With conjoined singular inanimate entities, verb agreement in gender and number (singular) is consistently with the noun closest to the verb. See examples 9.62, 9.63, and 9.64 , below.

lūṇ te kāl!-ī marc cấī-d-ī e salt[M] and black-F pepper.SG.F be.needed-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'For this you need vinegar, lemon juice, salt, and black pepper.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 532)

ó bandūk- $\tilde{a}$ te aslā kašmīrī- $\tilde{a} \quad n \tilde{u} \quad$ šokiyā
3PL gun-PL.F and weaponry.SG.M Kashmiri-OBL.PL DAT enthusiast
tor te naî̀ cấi-d-ā
way on NEG be.needed-IP-SG.M
'Kashmiris don't need those guns and weapons for fun.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 67)
(9.64)

court-OBL.PL from justice NEG be.obtained-3SG-FUT-SG.M then
māšr-e vic badamnī te intašār phæl-e-g-ā
society-OBL in unrest[F] and anxiety[M] spread-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M
'If justice is not obtained through the courts, then unrest and anxiety will spread in society.' ( P ) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 163)

### 9.1.2.3.2 Verb agreement with compound objects

Since human direct objects are usually marked with the accusative postposition, verb agreement is default masculine singular even with conjoined objects. With non-human animates and inanimates, if the second noun is plural, the verb usually agrees with it in number and gender, as in examples 9.65, 9.66, and 9.67.

$m \tilde{æ}$ sveṭar $d-\bar{a} \quad$ pichā te bã́ar- $\tilde{a} \quad$ banā la-iy $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
1SG sweater GEN-SG.M back.SG.M and arm-PL.F make take-PP.PL.F ne
be.PRES.3PL
'I have made the back and sleeves of the sweater ...' (Pi) (Adapted from Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 163)

sa-nũ apṇe khān-ĕã vic sabziy-ã te phal
PL.OBL-DAT self's meal-OBL.PL in vegetable-PL.F and fruit.PL.M
cokh-e vart-̧̣e cấī-d-e nẽ plenty.of-PL.M use-INF.PL.M be.needed-IP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'We should use more vegetables and fruits in our meals.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 472)

ón- $\tilde{a}$ kàr vic mojūd nakadī te do mobāil fon
3PL-OBL house in present cash[F] and two mobile phone.PL.M
$u d ̣ a ̄ \quad l i-y e$
steal take-PP.PL.M
'They stole cash and two mobile phones that were in the house.' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 30)

If the second member of the conjunct is singular, the verb agrees with it in gender, and is singular, as in 9.68, 9.69, 9.70, and 9.71.

mẽ lassī te pāṇī p-īt- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
1SG.DIR buttermilk[F] and water[M] drink-PP-SG.M
'I drank buttermilk and water.' (Pj) (EB)
(9.69)

mẽ pāṇī te lassī p-īt-ī
1SG.DIR water[M] and buttermilk[F] drink-PP-SG.F
'I drank water and buttermilk.' (Pi) (EB)

ónã ne mosikī vic bót jiddat te sarūr
3PL.OBL ERG music in much innovation[F] and exhilaration[M]
pæd̄̄ $\boldsymbol{k}$-it- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \boldsymbol{e}$
created do-PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'He has introduced great innovation and exhilaration in music.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 104)
(9.71)


While these generalizations are based on Panjabi data, it is likely that they apply to Hindko and Saraiki as well. In the following Saraiki example, 9.72, a singular feminine noun and a singular masculine noun give masculine plural agreement.

jhang āle alāk-e ic karanṭ lagaṛ nāl bheṇ te Jhang of region-OBL in current attach.INF.OBL with sister and bhirā jã.bahak thī gæn brother dead become go.PP.PL.M+be.PRES.3PL
'In the Jhang area a brother and sister have died by electrocution.' (Sr) (adapted from https://www.pakistanpoint.com/skr/national/news/story-16080.html)

### 9.1.3 Subject and agent marking

### 9.1.3.1 The split-ergative system

All three languages show a split ergative pattern of subject marking, in which subjects of transitive verbs in perfective tenses are treated differently from other subjects. Subjects of all intransitive verbs and of non-perfective (imperfective, continuous, subjunctive, and future/presumptive) tenses of transitive verbs are in the direct case, as in examples 9.73, 9.74, and 9.75.


| salīm | karāc̄ | bic | hī | kamm | kar- $d-\bar{a}$ | hõ- $d-\bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Salim[M]DIR | Karachi | in | EMPH | work | do-IP-SG.M | be-IP-SG.M |
| éy- $\bar{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| be.PST-SG.M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Salim used to work (only) in Karachi.' (Hk) (AWT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| hakūmat | bas- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ | $d-\bar{l}$ | darāmad | laī panj arab |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| government[F]DIR | bus-OBL.Pl | GEN-SG.F | import[F] | for | five | billion |

rupae sabsiḍ̄ de-g-ī
rupee.PL.M subsidy give-FUT-SG.F
'The government will give a subsidy of five billion rupees for the import of buses.' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 32)

jis kanū mẽ kapre dho-vẽ-d- $\tilde{\bar{a}}$
REL.OBL.SG by 1SG.DIR clothes wash-CS-IP-SG.M+be.PRES.1SG
'He is the son of the woman by whom I (m.) get clothes washed.' ${ }^{6}$ (Sr) (UK)

In all three languages the subject of perfective tenses of transitive verbs appears in a non-direct case form. In Hindko it appears in the oblique case, as in example 9.76, or in the oblique case followed by the ergative postposition ${ }^{\circ} \dot{\sim} /$ /sự̂/, as in example 9.77.

tud sāinbord ṭà -yā é-ȳ̄a
2SG.OBL signboard.SG.M knock.down-PP-SG.M be.PST-SG.M
'You knocked down the signboard.' (Hk) (AWT)

darbār bicõ hik darbārī-sự uṭh-ke báũ ã adab nāl court from one courtier-ERG get.up-CP much courtesy with arz kīt-ì
request[F] do-PP-SG.F
'A courtier rose from the court gathering and made a very courteous request...' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 1)

[^65]In Panjabi the subject is in the direct form with first and second person subjects, as shown in examples 9.78 and 9.79; with third person subjects, it is in either the oblique case, or the oblique case followed by the ergative marker $\dot{L} / \mathrm{ne/}$, as shown in example 9.80. The main difference among these languages is that Panjabi employs $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ /ne/ with third person agents of transitive perfectives much more than does either Hindko or Saraiki.
(9.78)
 mẽ do amb kháá-d-e
1SG.DIR two mango-PL.M eat-PP-PL.M
'I ate two mangoes.' (Pj) (EB)
(9.79)

$t \begin{array}{llll}t u & k \bar{u} & k i ̄-t-\bar{a} & e\end{array}$
2SG.DIR what do-PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'What have you done?' (Pi) (EB)

hukām ne tækīk d-ā hukam de di-tt- $\bar{a}$
official.PL ERG investigation GEN-SG.M order[M] give give-PP-SG.M $e$
be.PRES.3SG
'The officials have ordered an investigation.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 263)

In Panjabi, a few frequently used intransitive verbs have transitive translation equivalents in English, which can sometimes cause confusion. Frequently encountered ones
 these verbs will agree with the subject, as in 9.81, where the verb agrees with the masculine singular subject 'brother', not the feminine plural object 'books'.

7 This verb is intransitive because of its origin in the fusion of a participle of transitive 'take' and a finite form of intransitive 'come'.


There is also a small class of intransitive verbs in Panjabi and Saraiki (probably Hindko as well), mostly involving bodily functions, whose third person subjects are sometimes in Panjabi marked with the ergative marker. Panjabi verbs include ( تحك /thukkṇā/ 'to




| dād-e | ne | thukk-iyā |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| grandfather-SG.M.OBL | ERG | spit-PP.SG.M |

'Grandfather spat.' (Pi) (EB)

In Saraiki, third person subjects of perfective transitives appear in the oblique case; no ergative postposition is normally employed, as in example 9.83.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { اولسليمكول كتّ كِّ }  \tag{9.83}\\
& \tilde{\tilde{u}} \text { salīm-k } \tilde{u} \text { natal kī-t-æ }
\end{align*}
$$

3SG.OBL Salim-ACC murder do-PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'She/he murdered Salim.' (Sr) (UK)

[^66]
### 9.1.3.2 Grammatical and semantic subjects: "dative subjects"

The distinction between a "grammatical subject" and a "semantic subject" is central in all these languages. The grammatical subject is that nominal argument with which the verb in all intransitive and in non-perfective transitive sentences agrees. The semantic subject refers to semantic role, and includes agents, actors, and experiencers; in some cases the verb agrees with it and in others it does not. In an ergative construction, a noun marked with $\mathcal{L}$ /ne/ is both the grammatical and the semantic subject. However, in such constructions, the verb agrees with the direct object, unless it is marked with the accusative postposition (see Section 9.1.3.1 above). In the dative subject construction, the semantic subject is marked with the dative/accusative postposition $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ /ã̃/(Hk), ${ }^{j} / n \overline{\tilde{u}} /(\mathrm{Pj})$, or $/ \mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{ku} /$ (Sr), and the grammatical subject is in the direct case. ${ }^{9}$ If a reflexive element is present in a sentence, it will refer back to the subjectwhich can be either a grammatical subject, as in examples 9.84 and 9.85 , or a semantic subject in a dative subject construction, as in example 9.86. ${ }^{10}$


| $\boldsymbol{m} \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}$ | $\boldsymbol{a p n ̣ - e}$ | sarāikī | ravāj | d-e | kapr-e | $\bar{a} p$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.DIR | REFL-PL.M | Saraiki | style | GEN-PL.M | clothes-PL.M | EMPH |

$$
\text { baṇẽn- } d-\bar{i} \quad h-\tilde{a}
$$

make-IP-SG.F be.PRES-1SG
'I (F) make my traditional Saraiki-style clothes myself.' ${ }^{11}$ (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 49)

$\tilde{\tilde{u}} \quad \bar{a} p n ̣-e \quad$ purāṇ-e sangatī $k \tilde{u}$ ciț̣hī
3SG.OBL REFL-SG.M.OBL old-SG.M.OBL friend.OBL DAT letter[F]
likh-ī-e
write-PP.SG.F-be.PRES.3SG
'He wrote a letter to his old friend.' (Sr) (UK)

[^67]
mæ-nũu $\quad \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} p n \underline{\text { an }} \quad$ kàr $\quad$ cãgā lag-d-a $\quad e$
1SG-DAT REFL.ADJ-SG.M house[M] good seem-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'I like my (own) house.' (Pj) (EB)

The dative subject construction, in which the logical and the grammatical subject are different, is centrally important. In these and many other languages of South Asia, expressions of mental and physical states and modal concepts are expressed with this construction. In this construction, the experiencer of the physical, psychological, or modal state (the semantic subject) appears in its oblique or dative case form, and the experience or state (the grammatical subject) appears in the direct case and triggers agreement on the verb. This reflects the fact that such states are conceptualized as originating from the external environment and affecting an experiencer. The use of the dative case, which expresses a generalized notion of GOAL, for such roles reflects this conceptualization. Examples of dative subject usages are given below for each language.

### 9.1.3.2.1 Dative subject - Hindko

In example 9.87, mil /mã̃/ ' 1 ' is the semantic subject (an experiencer), and ${ }^{\text {ف } / \text { /filam/ }}$ 'film, movie' is the grammatical subject, with which the verb agrees. In example 9.88, the semantic subject is $ل س /$ /assã̃/ 'we', and the grammatical subject is the infinitive المُمْ /uṭh-ṛā/ 'to get up'. Similar examples are provided below for both Hindko and Panjabi.


(9.89)

$u s-\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad b a u \tilde{\tilde{u}} \quad$ pùkh lag-d-ī éy-ī
3SG.OBL-DAT much hunger[F] attach-IP-SG.F be-PST.SG.F
'She/he was (often) very hungry. (lit. Much hunger used to afflict him/her.)' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.90)

$u s-\tilde{\bar{a}} \quad$ āap cár $\quad g a-y \bar{a}$
3SG.OBL-DAT fever[M] climb go-PP.SG.M
'He/she got a fever.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.91)

us báã pár-iyā or us- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ acchā
3SG.OBL much study-PP.SG.M and 3SG.OBL-DAT good.SG.M
natīja $\quad t h a ́ a ́-y \bar{a}$
result.SG.M be.obtained-PP.SG.M
'He/she studied a lot and got a good result.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 9.1.3.2.2 Dative subject - Panjabi

(9.92)

mæ-nũu ó mũḍā $\quad c a \tilde{a} g-\bar{a} \quad \operatorname{lag}-d-\bar{a} \quad \boldsymbol{e}$
1SG.OBL-DAT 3SG.DIST boy[M] good-SG.M seem-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'I like that boy. (lit. That boy seems good to me.)' (Pi) (EB)
(9.93)

mæ-nūu patā nä ki kithe jā-vã
1SG.OBL-DAT information NEG that where go-SBJV.1SG
'I don’t know where to go. (lit. I don't have information where I should/can go.)' ( Pj ) (EB)

mer-ī kuṛīnũ buxār cár-iyā e
1SG.GEN-SG.F girl-DAT fever[M] climb-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'My daughter has (gotten) a fever.' (Pi) (EB)

Stative and inchoative experiences are represented differently. The verb lhoṇā/ 'to be' appears with stative constructions, shown in examples 9.95 and 9.96, and /auṇā ~ āṇā/ 'to come', with inchoative constructions, shown in examples 9.97 and 9.98 .

mẽ-nũ bar- $\bar{a} \quad$ un $\quad$ guss $\bar{a} \quad s \bar{l}$
1SG.OBL-DAT great-SG.M anger[M] be.PST.3SG
'I was very angry. (lit. 'to me great anger was.')' (Pj) (EB)
(9.96)

mæ-nũ̃ cīnī khạ̣̄ā bót pasand $e$
1SG-DAT Chinese food very liked be-PRES.3SG
'I like Chinese food a lot.' ( P ) (EB)

The light verb lig'T / / T T /āuṇā ~ān̄ā/ 'to come' imparts the nuance of some new mental or conceptual content coming from the outside to the experiencer, as in examples 9.97 and 9.98 .

$s \bar{a}-n \bar{u} \quad g u s s \bar{a} \quad \bar{a}-y \bar{a}$

1PL.OBL-DAT anger[M] come-PP.SG.M
‘We became angry.' (Pi) (EB)


| $s \bar{a}-n \tilde{u}$ | kál | $d-\bar{a}$ | $n a v-\tilde{a}$ | khāṇā | pasand |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1PL.OBL-DAT | yesterday | GEN-SG.M | new-SG.M | $\operatorname{dish[M]}$ | liked |
| $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| come-PP.SG.M |  |  |  |  |  |

‘We liked yesterday's new dish.' (Pi) (EB)

A dative subject construction with a noun or an infinitive denoting some learned skill or behavior plus lig /oṇā/ 'to come’ means 'to know (how to)', as in example 9.99.
(9.99)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ó-nũ panjābī náã } \quad \text { د-nd-ī } \\
& \text { 3.DIST.OBL-DAT Panjabi[F] NEG come-IP-SG.F } \\
& \text { 'She/he doesn’t know Panjabi.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 79) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The construction لـُمْ اون / sámaj onā/ plus dative subject means for something (new) to be understood, as in example 9.100.
(9.100)


| $s \bar{a}-n \tilde{u}$ | koī | gall | náã | $s i ̄$ | ámaj |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1PL.OBL-DAT | any | matter[F] | NEG | be.PST.3SG | understanding |

ग-nd-ī
come-IP-SG.F
‘We didn’t understand anything.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 79)

### 9.1.3.2.3 Dative subject - Saraiki

Examples of the dative subject in Saraiki are given here in the following four examples.
(9.101)

$m æ-k \tilde{u} \quad b a h \tilde{u}$ treh lag-ī-e
1SG.OBL-DAT much thirst[F] attach-PP.SG.F-be.PRES.3SG
'I am very thirsty. (lit. Much thirst has affected me.)' (Sr) (UK)
(9.102)

jambīl kū̃ patā kænhā hā jo kithãa
Jamil DAT knowledge[M] NEG be.PST.SG.M that where
$v a ̃ f-n-æ$
go-GRDV-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'Jamil didn’t know where to go.' (Sr) (UK)

| $m æ-k u \tilde{u}$ | par-ẽ | pahār | dis-d-e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1SG.OBL-DAT | afar-LOC | mountains | be.seen-IP-PL.M |
| pæ-n |  |  |  |

CONT.I.PL.M-be.PRES.3PL
'I see mountains in the distance.' (Sr) (UK)

$m æ-k \bar{u} \quad k a h \bar{\imath}$ šæ $k a n \bar{u}$ dar $\quad$ ñ $\quad \operatorname{lag}-d-\bar{a}$
1SG.OBL-DAT any thing from fear[M] NEG attach-IP-SG.M
'I am not afraid of anything.' (Sr) (UK)

### 9.1.4 Object marking

Variables influencing direct object marking operate independently of the split ergative system and of perfectivity or imperfectivity. Semantic roles of the object including recipient, beneficiary, affected entity, direction; referential status; and the intensity of volitionality of the agent are indicated on the direct object with the dative/accusative
 of these postpositions can be generalized as marking some sort of GOAL-physical, abstract, or metaphorical.

All indirect objects/recipients are marked with the dative-accusative postposition, as shown in examples 9.105, 9.106, and 9.107. Note that the agent in 9.107 is expressed by the third-person plural pronominal suffix.

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { m} & a p r-\bar{\imath} & \text { kitāb salīm } & \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} & d i-t t-\bar{\imath} & e\end{array}$
1SG.DIR REFL-SG.F book[F] Salim DAT give-PP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'I have given my book to Salim.' (Hk) (AWT)

$m \tilde{æ}$ tuà̀̀-nũ $\quad$ ál $\quad k \dot{x}^{2} r$-yā $\quad s \tilde{a}$
1SG.DIR 2PL.OBL-DAT yesterday say CONT.II-SG.M be.PST.1SG
'I was saying to you yesterday.' (Pi) (EB)

dikhāl-e-ne
show-PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG-PS.3PL
‘They showed me a dead snake.' (Sr) (UK)

Direct objects can appear in either the direct case or the oblique case followed by the
 in Saraiki. The variables that determine whether an object appears in the direct case or is marked with the postposition are complex and often interact with one another. They include referential status and animacy of the object, and volitionality of the agent. In this paradigm, an object is considered epistemically specific if the speaker has a particular referent in mind for the entity denoted by the object noun phrase and definite if the listener also knows what is being referred to. This is illustrated in examples 9.108 and 9.109 from Panjabi. Sentences like that in 9.108 involving a specific indefinite, mean that the speaker knows which book he means, but assumes that the hearer does not. Sentences like this are often followed by the speaker offering further information about the object, in this case 'a book'. The speaker of a sentence like that in 9.109, on the other hand, assumes that the hearer knows which book is being referred to, i.e. the book is part of the prior discourse. In general, these same variables also affect direct object marking in Hindko and Saraiki.

mẽ ikk katāb vekh-ī
I.OBL a book[F] see-PP.SG.F
'I saw a (specific) book.' (Pj) (EB)
(9.109)

m ${ }^{2}$ katāb nũ vekh-iyā e
I.OBL book[F] ACC see-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'I have seen the (definite) book.' (Pi) (EB)

In contrast to inanimate direct objects, where the postposition is optional, definite animate direct objects, particularly human referents, are almost always marked with the dative-accusative postposition $\tilde{J} / \tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ in Hindko, shown in example 9.110, ${ }^{j} / \mathrm{g}$ un/ in Panjabi, shown in example 9.111, or $/$ /kū̃/ in Saraiki, shown in example 9.112. Human objects referred to by a proper name always take the postposition.
(9.110)

us billū $\tilde{\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}} \quad c \bar{a} k \bar{u} \quad m a \bar{r}-i y \bar{a}$
3SG.OBL Billu ACC knife strike-PP.SG.M
'She stabbed Bill.' (Hz) (AWT)

mex mũḍe nũ̃ vekh-iyā
I.OBL boy.SG.M.OBL ACC see.PP-SG.M
'I saw the boy.' (Pi) (EB)
(9.112)

au salīm kun ratal kite
3SG.OBL Salim ACC murder do.PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'She murdered Salim.' (Sr) (UK)

With specific indefinite animate objects, including humans, the accusative postposition usually does not appear, as in example 9.113, and with non-specific indefinite it never does, as in 9.114.

me ilk mũḍā vekh-iyā
I.OBL a boy.SG.M.DIR see.PP-SG.M
'I saw a (specific) boy.' (Pi) (EB)

mer-ī pæ̀ $\tilde{-}-\bar{u} \quad c \bar{u}-\bar{a} \quad m a \bar{a}-i y \bar{a}$
1SG.GEN-SG.F sister-OBL rat-SG.M kill-PP.SG.M
'My sister killed a rat.' (Hz) (AWT)

When, according to semantic criteria, both the direct object and the indirect object would be marked by the dative-accusative postposition, marking of the indirect object takes precedence over the direct object. In such clauses, if the direct object is a noun (rather than a pronoun), it may appear in the direct case, since the indirect object must be marked with the postposition, and with perfective tenses of transitives, the verb will agree with the direct object, as in example 9.115. In example 9.115, marking both the direct object (daughter) and the indirect object (my son) with $V^{j} / n \tilde{\tilde{u}} /$ would result in
an infelicitous sentence. However, if the direct object in such a sentence is a personal pronoun, both the direct and the indirect object take the accusative postposition, as in example 9.116.

ó ne āpṇ-ī kuṛi $\quad$ ī $\quad$ sā-n $\tilde{\tilde{u}} \quad$ di-tt-ī
3SG.OBL ERG REFL-SG.F daughter.SG.F 2PL.OBL-DAT give-PP-SG.F
'S/he gave her/his daughter to us (i.e. our whole family) (in marriage).' (Pj) (EB)

ó-de pyo ne ó-nũ $\quad$ āpṇ-e

3SG.OBL-GEN.SG.M.OBL father ERG 3SG.OBL-ACC REFL-SG.M.OBL
dost $d$-e puttar nũ di-tt- $\bar{a}$
friend.SG.M.OBL GEN-SG.M.OBL son DAT give-PP-SG.M
'Her father gave her to his friend's son (in marriage).' (Pi) (EB)

### 9.1.5 Negation

Sentential negation is indicated with two distinct negative markers, which usually appear in different clause types. The basic negative particle is $\bullet \sim \dot{\sim} /$ ná $\sim$ na/; the ex-
 an emphatic component and/or the present tense of the verb 'be’ (Bashir 2006). The simple negative particle $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na}$ / is used consistently in all three languages for imperatives, for subjunctives, with irrealis meanings, to negate non-finite verbal forms, and often with simple perfect sentences. When it is used in negative imperatives in Hindko and Panjabi, a high tone is usually heard, i.e. /ná/ ~/náa/. The extended particle نrبی /nahĩ/, etc. is more likely to occur with other tense-aspect forms.

In all three languages, with tenses whose affirmative forms include the present tense of 'be', as auxiliary, light verb, or copula, this form is usually omitted in negative sentences, as in examples 9.117, 9.118, 9.119, and 9.120, leaving the negative parti-
 (covert) present tense form of 'be'.

us kol koī ehjī jā nī jithe jul-e 3SG.OBL near any such place NEG where.REL go-SUBJV.3SG 'S/he doesn't have any place to go. (lit. 'where s/he could go)' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.118)

اوه كوَكَمْهي אروا

| ó | koī | kamm | naĩ̃ | kar-d- $\bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.DIST.DIR | any | work | NEG | do-IP-SG.M |
| 'He doesn't do any work.' (Pj) (EB) |  |  |  |  |


é mer-ā kàr naĭ́
3SG.PROX.DIR 1SG.GEN-SG.M house NEG
'This is not my house.' (Pi) (EB)

mã huṇe ḍākṭar kane vẽ-d- $\bar{a} \quad p-i y \tilde{a}$
1SG now doctor to go-IP-SG.M CONT.I-SG.M+be.PRES.1SG
pišāvar nahĩ vãf sad-d-ā
Peshawar NEG go be.able-IP-SG.M
'I'm going to the doctor now; I can't go to Peshawar.' (Sr) (UK)

Compare the negative forms in examples 9.118 and 9.119 with the affirmative counterparts 9.121 and 9.122.

ó bót kamm kar-d- $\bar{a}$ e
3SG.DIST.DIR much work do-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'He does a lot of work.' (Pi) (EB)
(9.122)
"ا
é mer-ā kàr e
3SG.PROX.DIR 1SG.GEN-SG.M house be.PRES.3SG
'This is my house.' (Pj) (EB)

If in such negative sentences the auxiliary or copula is included, an emphatic sense is conveyed, as in example 9.123.
(9.123)

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { é } & \text { salīm } & d-\bar{a} & \text { kàr } & \text { naĩ̃ } & \boldsymbol{e} \\ \text { this } & \text { Salim } & \text { GEN-SG.M } & \text { house[M] } & \text { NEG } & \text { be.PRES.3SG }\end{array}$
'This is not Salim's house (despite what you may think or say).' (Pj) (EB)

### 9.1.5.1 Hindko negation

In Hindko, in addition to appearing in the contexts common to all three languages (imperatives, subjunctives, and with irrealis meanings), $\dot{\sim} /$ ná/ appears more frequently
 9.125 , and $9.126, \dot{\sim} /$ ná/ occurs in the present imperfect, future, and simple perfect, respectively.
 mẽ kisī cīz-ã kol-õ ná dar-d- $\bar{a}$ 1SG.DIR any.OBL.PL thing-OBL.PL from NEG fear-IP-SG.M 'I am not afraid of anything.' (Hk) (AWT)

masūd soṭī na pàn hak-s-ī
Masud stick NEG break be.able-FUT-3SG
'Masud will not be able to break the stick.' (Hk) (AWT)

is aste mã tud- $\tilde{a}$ mil-n-e- $\tilde{a}$ ná $\bar{a}$
3SG.OBL for 1SG.DIR 2SG.OBL-ACC meet-INF-OBL-DAT NEG come hak-iyã
be.able-PP.SG.M
'This is why I was not able to come to see you.' (Hk) (AWT)

The following sentences, with نَيْ /na simple perfect in 9.128, carry emphatic senses-of annoyance in 9.127 and surprise or disappointment in 9.128.
(9.127)

raziyā cup naĩ rá sak-d-ī
Razia quiet NEG remain be.able-IP-SG.F
'Razia cannot keep quiet.' (Hk) (AWT)

ter-ā prà kyố naĩ $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
2SG.GEN-SG.M brother why NEG come-PP-SG.M
‘Why didn’t your brother come?' (Hk) (AWT)

In our corpus of Hindko sentences, the negative existential meaning 'is/are not' is consistently rendered with $\mathcal{U} \dot{\sim}$ /naĩً/ in its various spellings, as in example 9.129.


### 9.1.5.2 Panjabi negation

In Panjabi, the basic negative particle $\dot{\sim}$ is used consistently for imperative, as in example 9.130; subjunctive, as in example 9.131; irrealis conditionals; neither... nor constructions; non-finite verbal forms (infinitives and infinitive phrases/clauses, and participles); and sometimes for the simple perfect, as in example 9.132. Other tense-aspect forms are more often negated with 1 inain//. The default placement of the negative particle is immediately preceding the verb; placing it after the verb adds emphatic force, as in example 9.133.

tū ná $\quad j \bar{a}$
2SG.DIR NEG go.IMP.2SG
'(You) don’t go!' (Pi) (Bhatia 1993: 117)
(9.131)

ó cón-d-ì e ki salīm ná jā-ve
3SG.DIR want-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG that Salim NEG go-SBJV.3SG
'She does not want Salim to go.' (Pi) (EB)
(9.132)

me kaī vārī cābī mror-ī par tālā náa
1SG.DIR/OBL many times key[F] turn-PP.SG.F but lock.SG.M NEG
khul-iyā
open-PP.SG.M
'I turned the key many times, but the lock did not open.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 534)
(9.133)

$e$
be.PRES.3SG
'Benazir did not die. She has been killed.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 533)

In negative Panjabi sentences involving a past tense form of lar /hoṇā/ 'to be' as auxiliary or as light verb in a conjunct verb formation, the past tense of 'be' immediately follows the negative element and precedes the participial or nominal component of the verbal form, causing the participial or nominal component to be clause final. This happens in the past imperfect, as in example 9.134; past perfect, as in example 9.135; past continuous, as in example 9.136; or dative subject construction, making the nominal subject clause final, as in example 9.137.
(9.134)


| é | tam | $\tilde{x} j$ | nĩ̃ | si | $h o-n \bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.PROX | work | like.this | NEG | be.PST.3SG | be-INF |
| cali- $d-\bar{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| be.wanted-IP-SG.M |  |  |  |  |  |

‘This work should not have been done this way.’ (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 76)
(9.135)

mex dj suba bãk g-yā te ó hāle na й̃

1SG today morning bank go-PP.SG.M and 3SG.DIST yet NEG
si khul-iyā
be.PST.3SG open-PP.SG.M
'I went to the bank this morning but it had not opened yet.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 210)
(9.136)

ki ó pæse kithe rakh bæṭh-ī sī
that 3SG.DIR money where put sit-PP.SG.F be.PST.3SG
'She just could not remember where she had put the money.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 254)

'I did not know that he had been employed at this job for the last two years.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 512)

However, this generalization may be weakening, as exceptions are also found, in the past imperfect, as in example 9.138; past perfect, as in example 9.139; and past continuous, as in example 9.140. Whether these exceptions are the result of recent contact effects, or whether they carry some semantic nuance remains a subject for investigation.

assī markazī kābīnā vic šāmal nī̃ ho-ṇā cáá-nd-e
1PL central cabinet in included NEG be-INF want-IP-PL.M
$\boldsymbol{s}$ - $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
be.PST-1PL
'We did not want to join the Federal Cabinet.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 36)
(9.139)
 $\begin{array}{llllllll}m \tilde{æ} & \text { šikæt } & \text { læ-ke } & \text { adliyā } & \text { de } & \text { kol } & \text { naй́ } & g-y \bar{a} \\ \text { 1SG } & \text { complaint } & \text { take-CP } & \text { judiciary } & \text { GEN } & \text { near } & \text { NEG } & \text { go-PP.SG.M }\end{array}$ sī kyõki mæ-nũ æs te ætmād nü be.PST.3SG because 1SG.OBL-DAT 3SG.PROX on confidence NEG 'I did not go to the judiciary with a complaint because I didn't trust it.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 469)


| ó | mer-ī | gall | $n \tilde{\tilde{u}}$ | suṇ | $r a-\bar{\imath}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.DIST | my-SG.F | utterance.SG.F | NEG | listen | CONT.II-SG.F |

sī te āpṇ-e ì xyāl-ãa $-c \quad$ kídare
be.PST.3SG and REFL-PL.M EMPH thought-PL.OBL-in somewhere
gum sī
lost be.PST.3SG
'She was not listening to me and was lost somewhere in her own thoughts.'
(Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 494)

### 9.1.5.3 Saraiki negation

In Saraiki, in addition to the two negative particles $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} /$ and $\dot{\sim}$ there are emphatic negative elements كينظا~ /kænhā ~kænhī ~ kaenhĩ $\sim$ koīnī/, which include the /k/ 'any' element present in كُ ك /koī/ 'any', as in examples 9.141 and 9.142. The simple negative $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} / \sim \cup^{\bullet} / \mathrm{na} /$ or its emphatic counterpart كيكا /kænhā/ is regularly used to negate the subjunctive, imperfective participle functioning as irrealis, imperative forms, and future, and also sometimes with other tense forms, e.g. simple perfect, as in example 9.141, or past imperfect, as in example 9.142. . fective participles, as in example 9.143. Notice that in 9.141, the first person singular pronominal suffix indexing the agent ' I ' is attached to the negative element. ${ }^{12}$

[^68]
'I did not send you to him.' (Sr) (UK)

$t$ dihān kæ-nhā rakhē-nd-a $\quad$ u-iyā hā-vē
2SG.DIR attention NEG put-IP.SG.M CONT.I-SG.M be.PST-2SG
țukur sar $\quad g$-e
bread[M] burn go.PP-SG.M.+be.PRES.3SG
‘Weren’t you paying attention!? The bread has burned.' (Sr) (UK)

gā̃ū $d-\bar{a}$ gošt mæ-kū moafik nī
cow.OBL GEN-SG.M meat[M] 1SG.OBL-DAT suitable NEG
$\bar{a} n-d-\bar{a}$
come-IP-SG.M
'Beef does not agree with me.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 68)

### 9.1.6 Questions

### 9.1.6.1 Yes-no questions

Yes-no questions are of two types: neutral, which do not presuppose either an affirmative or a negative answer; and tag or confirmatory, which do anticipate an affirmative or a negative answer. Neutral yes-no questions can be formed simply by using a rising intonation and maintaining a normal declarative word order, as in examples 9.144 and 9.145. Intonation rises to the stressed syllable of the main element in the verbal
 may optionally be placed at the beginning of a sentence, as in example 9.146. Indicating yes-no questions by intonation is far more common in speaking, while the use of an initial 'what' occurs more often in writing.
(9.144)

tud- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ apr- $\bar{a}$ bacpan yād e
2SG.OBL-DAT REFL-SG.M childhood[M] memory be.PRES.3SG
'Do you remember your childhood?' (Hk) (AWT)

tēd-e kane dāh rupē hĕn
2SG.GEN-OBL with ten rupee.PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'Do you have ten rupees (with you)?' (Sr) (UK)
(9.146)

kî tussĩ ajj kàṇ̣ī suṇā-vo-ge
Q 2PL.DIR today story.SG.F tell-2PL-FUT.PL.M
'Will you tell a story today?' (Pi) (Bhatia 1993: 5)

The second type of yes-no question anticipates either a "yes" or a "no" answer and is called a confirmatory, or tag question. To illustrate these kinds of questions in English: a negative declarative statement is followed by a simple affirmative phrase requesting confirmation, e.g. "He wasn't here, was he?", with the expected reply, "No, he wasn't." An affirmative statement is followed by a negative tag, e.g., "He is coming, isn’t he?", with the expected confirmatory reply, "Yes, he is coming."

In Panjabi and Saraiki, the negative particle $\cup \cdot / \overline{\bar{a}} /$ is added at the end of both affirmative assertions, as in examples 9.147 and 9.149, and negative assertions, as in example 9.148, to indicate that a confirmatory answer is expected. ${ }^{13}$
انجينْ پ.ليرًة نا (9.147)

| $a j j$ | $m \overline{\tilde{l}}$ | $p a-v e-g-\bar{a}$ | $n \bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| today | rain.SG.M.DIR | fall-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M | TAG |

'It will rain today, won't it?' (Pj) (Adapted from Bhatia (1993: 7).)


13 Bhatia (1993: 4-8) includes a detailed discussion of question answering systems in Panjabi.

'(Well), love is just like this, isn't it?' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 159) citing Lashari (1971: 154).)

In Panjabi and Saraiki the uninflected interjection robl /bhalā/ Sr , / pàlā/ 'well!’ Pj often appears in rhetorical questions implying that a negative answer is expected, as in examples 9.150 and 9.151.
اوه .ههلا استِ آنرا الــ (9.150)

| ó | pàl $\bar{a}$ | ethe | $\bar{a} n-d-\bar{a}$ | $e$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.DIST | NEG.EMPH | here | come-IP-SG.M | be.PRES.3SG |

'Does he (ever) come here?' (Pi) (Bhatia 1993: 7)

sa-kũ bhalā kū̄ itrāz e
1PL.OBL-DAT NEG.EMPH any objection be.PRES.3SG
‘Should we have any objection?' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 160) citing Lashari (1971: 67).)

Frequently, yes-no questions equivalent to an English question '... or not?' are preferred, especially when pressing for an answer. To form such questions, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{yā} /$ or $/ \mathrm{ki} /$, both meaning 'or' plus the negative element, is added to the end of a positive declarative statement, as in example 9.152.

tussĩ kamm kar-o-g-e ki naî̃
2PL.DIR work do-2PL-FUT-PL.M or NEG
'Will you do the work/task or not?' (Pj) (EB)

In these 'or not' questions, the intonation contour also rises, but the peak is on the verb. The sentence-initial interrogative marker $/ \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{k} \overline{1} /(\mathrm{Pj})$ does not occur in such questions.

### 9.1.6.2 Constituent questions: Wh-phrases

A full range of open-ended questions are expressed in all three languages by question words that begin with $/ \mathrm{k} /$. The basic interrogative adjectives and adverbs in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki are given in Chapter 5, in Table 5.15, Table 5.16, Table 5.18, Table 5.32, and Table 5.33. The relationship of the interrogative phrase(s) to other pronominal adjectives and adverbs is discussed in Section 5.1.5.

The forms for both the personal interrogative pronoun ${ }^{\circ} /$ kauṇ/ 'who' and impersonal interrogative pronoun /rior /kî/ 'what' for Panjabi were presented in Table 6.8.

A few examples from Hindko follow in 9.153 through 9.155.


| us | $d-\bar{\imath}$ | $\boldsymbol{k e}$ | kīmat | $e$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.OBL | GEN-SG.F | what | price[F] | be.PRES.3SG |

'What does it cost?' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.154)

tussī kitn-e pæ̀̀r-prà̀ ho
2PL.DIR how.many-PL.M sister-brother be.PRES.2PL
'How many brothers and sisters are you? ${ }^{14}$ (Hk) (AWT)
(9.155)

ter-e kapr-eã̃ rang
2SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL clothes-PL.M.OBL GEN-SG.M color[M]

## kéoj-æ

what.kind.of-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'What color are your clothes? (lit. what is the color of your clothes?)' (Hk) (AWT)

A few simple examples from Panjabi, adapted from Bhatia (1993: 9-10), follow in 9.156 through 9.161.

[^69]
$t u a ̀ d e-\bar{a} \quad n \tilde{a} \quad$ kí̀ $\quad e$
2PL.GEN-SG.M name.SG.M.DIR what be.PRES.3SG
'What is your name?' ( Pj )

جزه كمٌ اسـ (9.157)
Hamzā kítthe $e$
hamza.SG.M where be.PRES.3SG
'Where is Hamza?' ( Pj )
(9.158)

اوه كون امـ
ó kaụ̣ $e$
3SG.DIST who be.PRES.3SG
'Who is that?' (pi)
(9.159)

tusĩ é kamm kīvẽ kī-t-ā
2PL.DIR 3SG.PROX work[M] how do-PP-SG.M
‘How did you do this work?' (Pi)
(9.160)

ابيهراكنّا ل اسـ
é-dā kinnā mull e
3SG.PROX.OBL-GEN.SG.M how.much price.SG.M.DIR be.PRES.3SG
'What is the cost of this?' ( P j )
(9.161)

$t \bar{u} \quad$ otthe kyõ $g y \bar{a}$
2SG.DIR there why go.PP.SG.M
'Why did you go there?' (pi)

The following are similar sentences from Saraiki.
(9.162)

ith-ū kan-ū lahor kihotalā parẽ he here-ABL vicinity-ABL Lahore how.much distant be.PRES.3SG
'How far is it from here to Lahore?' (Sr) (UK)

ammā das-iyā h- $\bar{a}$ jo kaprā kīvẽ
Mother tell-PP.SG.M be.PST-SG.M that cloth[M] how
rangĩ- $d-e$
dye.PASS-IP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'Mother told (me) how to dye cloth. (lit. how cloth is dyed)' (Sr) (UK)

$m æ-k \tilde{u} \quad \tilde{u} \quad$ uan-e kyū $\quad p a-t ̣ h e-i ̀$
1SG.OBL-ACC 3SG.OBL vicinity-LOC why send-PP-PS2SG
'Why did you send me to him/her?' (Sr) (UK)
(9.165)

mæ-k $\tilde{u}$ dass jo kerhele āu-ṇ-e
1SG.OBL-DAT tell that when come-GRDV-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'Tell me when to come.' (Sr) (UK)

Intonation rises in questions, with the question word itself usually receiving primary sentence stress. As in other Indo-Aryan languages, a reduplicated k-word indicates that a plural or list answer is expected, as in example 9.166. See Section 10.10 on reduplication.
(9.166)

$e \quad$ šer assã $\quad$ kinh- $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ kinh- $\tilde{a} \quad$ kitab- $\tilde{a} \quad i c-\tilde{u}$
3SG.PROX verse 1PL which.PL-OBL REDUP book-PL.OBL in-ABL
gol-ū
search-SBJV.1PL
'In which books are we to search for this verse?' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 113)

### 9.2 Compound (coordinate) sentences

Compound sentences consist of two independent clauses joined by a coordinating conjunction, like English 'and', 'or', and 'but'. These structures are similar in all three languages.

### 9.2.1 Compound (coordinate) sentences - Hindko

The most frequently occurring Hindko coordinating conjunctions are /te/ 'and’, ور/ /aur/ ‘and’, Ł/yā/ ‘or’, and ير/par/ ‘but’. The use of «九/par/ ‘but’ is illustrated in example 9.167.


1SG.DIR 3SG.OBL GEN-SG.M name[M] forget go-PP.SG.M+be.PRES.1SG
par ter-ā nā pùl-iyā
but 2SG.GEN-SG.M NEG forget.PP-SG.M
'I (m) have forgotten his/her name but I haven't forgotten yours.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 9.2.2 Compound (coordinate) sentences - Panjabi

The use of the conjunctions ধ্\%/par/ 'but’ and \. /yā/ 'or’ in Panjabi are illustrated in examples 9.168 and 9.169 , respectively.

sabzī vāl-ā baṝ der tikar gaḷī vic
vegetable NMLZ-SG.M great-SG.F time.SG.F until street in
$v \bar{a} j$ - $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ lā-nd-a $\bar{a} \quad r$-yáa $\quad$ par kise $\quad v \bar{l} \quad$ kúj
voice-PL.F put-IP-SG.M remain-SG.M but anyone even anything
na xarīd-iyā
NEG buy-PP.SG.M
'The vegetable seller kept calling in the street for a long time, but no one bought anything.' (pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 10)
(9.169)

fard ethe koī jāb kar-d- $\bar{a} \quad e$
person here some job do-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Are you here by yourself or does some other family member have a job here, too?' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 61)

### 9.2.3 Compound (coordinate) sentences - Saraiki

Saraiki coordinating conjunctions include $/$ /ate/ ~ $\sim$ /te/ ‘and’, 九/par/ ‘but’, and . /yā/ 'or’. Coordinating conjunctions can link clauses, phrases, or single words, e.g.

 /nā ... nā/ ‘neither ... nor’; ঢ. ....ك /kyā ... kyā/ 'whether ... or’ (Shackle 1976: 69).

The conjunction /ate/ ‘and’ appears in examples 9.170 and 9.171.


| $6 \bar{a} l$ | $k \tilde{u}$ | bukh | lagĩ-e | ate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| baby.SG.M | DAT | hunger[F] | attach.PP.SG.F-be.PRES.3SG | and |
| $r u \bar{u}-n-e$ |  |  |  |  |
| cry-PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG |  |  |  |  |

'The baby (m.) got hungry and (he) cried.' (Sr) (UK)
(9.171)

$\bar{u}$ kū̃ nāng dangh mār-iye ate
3SG ACC snake.SG.M sting[M] beat-PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG and
o mo-e
3SG.DIR die.PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'A snake stung him and he has died.' (Sr) (UK)

The Saraiki conjunction קک /marī/ 'but' is illustrated in example 9.172.

$m æ-k \bar{u} \quad l a-d h \bar{a} \quad n h \tilde{\imath}$
1SG-DAT be.found-PP.SG.M NEG
'I looked for the certificate yesterday, but I didn’t find it.' (Sr) (UK)

The paired conjunction C... /hike ... hike/ 'either... or’ is illustrated in example 9.173.

hike sigreṭ $p \bar{\imath}$ hike cāh
either cigarette drink.2SG.IMP or tea
'Either have a cigarette, or some tea. ${ }^{15}$ (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 161)

### 9.3 Complex sentences

Complex sentences consist of a main (matrix) clause and an embedded subordinate clause. Subordinate clauses may function as nouns, adjectives, or adverbs. Relative clauses are often adjectival, but can also function adverbially, expressing temporal, spatial, causal, or manner relations. Two types of subordinate clauses are found in these languages: finite and non-finite. Finite subordinate clauses contain a fully conjugated verb, while non-finite clauses have a form not marked for person or tense, like an infinitive or participle.

### 9.3.1 Finite subordinate clauses

### 9.3.1.1 Nominal clauses

In addition to local indigenous forms, the ubiquitous subordinating conjunction /ki/ 'that' is employed in all three languages, especially by people living in urban environments or having more formal education.

15 Inhaling (vapors) and drinking (liquids) are expressed with the same verb in all three


### 9.3.1.1.1 Finite nominal clauses - Hindko

The complementizer introducing most nominal subordinate clauses in Hindko is $\sim_{?}$ $/ \mathrm{je} /$, which fulfills the same function as $\int / \mathrm{ki} /$ in Urdu and Panjabi. $\tau_{6} / \mathrm{je} /$ also is part
 'because'. A typical sentence of this type is shown in example 9.174.

é bilkul ṭhik e je hindko hik báũ purạ̣̄̂̃ this completely right be.PRES.3SG that Hindko one very old zaban e
language be.PRES.3SG
'It is entirely correct that Hindko is a very old language.' (Hk) (Soz 2009: 6)

However, $\lesssim / \mathrm{ki} /$ is also used, especially by urban dwellers, as in example 9.175.
(9.175)


т $\quad$ kise- $\tilde{a} \quad \bar{a} x-s-\tilde{a} \quad$ ki tud- $\tilde{a}$
1SG.DIR/OBL someone.OBL-DAT say-FUT-1SG that 2SG.OBL-DAT
yād kar- $\bar{a}$-ve
memory do-CS-SBJV.3SG
'I will have someone remind you. (lit. I will tell someone that he should remind you.)’ (Hk) (AWT)

### 9.3.1.1.2 Finite nominal clauses - Panjabi

In contemporary urban Panjabi, nominal clauses are usually introduced by the subordinating conjunction (complementizer) $/ / \mathrm{ki} /$, both in written texts, as in example 9.176, and in everyday speech, as in example 9.177.

sī
be.PST.3SG
'I (f) was really surprised to hear that she was living alone in this house. ${ }^{\text {'16 ( } \mathrm{Pj} \text { ) }}$ (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 46)
(9.177)

$j \bar{a}-v e-g-\bar{a}$
go-3SG.SUBJ-FUT-SG.M
'I think that he will go.' (Pi) (Bhatia 1993: 42-43)

Older forms like $9 . / \mathrm{jo} /$, as in example 9.178 or $\underset{\sim}{2} / \mathrm{je} /$, as in example 9.179, are also found.
(9.178)

cīf jasṭis āf pākistān jasṭis anwar zahīr jamālī ne chief justice of Pakistan justice Anwar Zahir Jamali ERG $\bar{a} k h-i y \bar{a} \quad$ he jo sathã-nũ nav- $\bar{u} \quad$ soc say-PP.SG.M. be.PRES.3SG that groups-DAT new-SG.F thought[F] ate țĕknālojī d-ī lor e and technology[F] GEN-SG.F need[F] be.PRES.3SG
'The Chief Justice of Pakistan has said that groups need new thinking and technology.' (pi) (http://vehari.sujag.org/khulasa/45356)

é-ī vaḍdì vajā e je sāḍ-e
this-EMPH big.SG.F reason[F] be.PRES.3SG that our-SG.M.OBL
des d- $\bar{a} \quad$ tālīmī rutbā din-ba-din
country.SG.M.OBL GEN-SG.M educational standard.SG.M day-by-day
kàt-d- $\bar{a}$ $j \bar{a}-r y-\bar{a}$ $e$
decrease-IP-SG.M go-CONT.II-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'This the main reason that the educational standard of our country is declining day by day.' (Pj) (Rafiq 2000)
 appears in this sentence because the subject is feminine.

### 9.3.1.1.3 Finite nominal clauses - Saraiki

The subordinating conjunction mostly used in Saraiki is $9 . / \mathrm{jo} /$ 'that', as in examples 9.180 and 9.181.

$m æ-k \tilde{\bar{u}} \quad$ das jo kerh-l-e $\quad \bar{a} v-n ̣-e$
1SG.OBL-DAT tell that which-time-OBL come-GRDV-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'Tell me when to come.' (Sr) (UK)

farūk sac-ẽd- $\bar{a} \quad h-\bar{a} \quad$ jo nokarī mil ve-s-ì
Farooq think-IP-SG.M be.PST-SG.M that job be.gotten go-FUT-3SG
'Farooq thought he would get the job. ${ }^{17}$ (Sr) (UK)

### 9.3.1.2 Relative clauses

Both finite and non-finite relative clauses are freely used in all three languages. Finite (as opposed to participial) relative clauses consist of a relative (subordinate) clause and a correlative (main) clause, both of which contain conjugated verb forms. The relative clause contains a j-initial relative element (pronoun, adjective, or adverb), and the correlative (main) clause contains a distal pronominal, adjectival, or adverbial element. These constructions are thus typically called relative-correlative constructions. The forms of the various relative pronouns and adjectives are given in Section 6.7 and Section 5.1.3.3.2 respectively. The paradigm for $9 . / \mathrm{jo} /$ is given in Table 6.13. Non-finite relative clauses are formed in two principal ways: (1) with perfective or imperfective


[^70]
### 9.3.1.2.1 Adjectival relative clauses

Adjectival relative clauses modify a noun or noun phrase. In these constructions, the case of the nominal (phrase) in the relative clause is determined by its grammatical role in the relative clause; and in the same way, the case of the nominal (phrase) in the correlative (main) clause is determined by its role in the correlative clause.

In the Hindko example 9.182, the relative element in the adjectival relative clause
 appear in their oblique forms in the example since they encode the subject/agent of a perfective transitive clause in both the relative and correlative clauses.

jis jaṛ-e $\quad$ ess $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad d-\bar{\imath} \quad$ má́j chupā-ī
which.REL.OBL man-OBL 1PL.OBL GEN-SG.F buffalo[F] steal-PP.SG.F
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { us } & \text { du-e } & \text { grã̃ }-\tilde{e} & \text { bic } & \text { kòrā } \\ \text { 3SG.DIST.OBL } & \text { other-SG.M.OBL } & \text { village-OBL } & \text { in } & \text { horse[M] }\end{array}$
chupā-yā
steal-PP.SG.M
‘The man who stole our buffalo stole a horse in another village.' (Hk) (AWT)

In example 9.183, the relative element ${ }^{1}$ مُحْ unmarked direct object in the relative clause; in the correlative clause, the element اُ /us kàr-e/ 'in that house' is oblique, since it is the object of the postposition $\overparen{\text { E. }}$ /bic/ 'in'.

'I saw her/him in the house which you later bought.' (Hk) (AWT)

In example 9.184, the correlative element $\mathrm{J}^{\prime}$ /us/ is oblique because it modifies the indirect object 'boy' in the correlative (matrix) clause; the relative element $v^{\circ} \cdot / \mathrm{jis} /$ is oblique because it precedes the dative postposition ال /ã/in the relative clause.
(9.184)


| $m \tilde{æ}$ | $a p r-\bar{i}$ | $k i t a ̄ b$ | $\boldsymbol{u s}$ | jātk-e- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.OBL | REFL-SG.F | book[F] | 3SG.DIST.OBL | boy-OBL-DAT |


| di-tt-ī | $e$ | jis- $\tilde{\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}}$ | tud | foṭo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| give-PP-SG.F | be.PRES.3SG | REL.0BL-DAT | 2SG.OBL | photo[M] |

dass-iy $\bar{a}$
show.PP-SG.M
'I have given my book to the boy to whom you showed the photograph.' (Hk) (AWT)

In Panjabi, ${ }^{\text {rfan }}$ /jérā/ 'who/which.REL’ is the relative element which appears the most frequently. In examples 9.185 and 9.186, the two main word order possibilities for relative clauses are illustrated. In example 9.185, the relative clause is sentence final, and in example 9.186 , it is sentence initial. Sentence-final placement of a relative clause adds (new) information to that of the main clause, and often is employed to express an afterthought, thus often being comparable to a non-restrictive relative clause. Sentenceinitial placement of a relative clause topicalizes it and produces a restrictive relative clause, as in example 9.186. ${ }^{18}$

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ó } & \text { mũd̄ā } & \text { koṇ } & e & \text { jér- } \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} & \text { othe } \\ \text { 3SG.DIST.DIR } & \text { boy } & \text { who } & \text { be.PRES.3SG } & \text { REL.DIR-SG.M } & \text { there }\end{array}$
$b æ t ̣ h-\bar{a} \quad e$
sit-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Who is that boy, who is sitting there?' (Pj) (EB)

jér- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad$ mũḍā othe bæṭh- $\bar{a} \quad e \quad$ ó
REL.DIR.-SG.M boy there sit-PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG 3SG.DIST.DIR
kכ̣̣ $e$
who be.PRES.3SG
'The boy who is sitting there - who is he?' ( Pj ) (EB)

18 Occasionally, one may find a sentence-medial relative clause immediately following the noun
 jéṛā othe bæṭhā e kJṇ e / "Who is the boy who is sitting there", but this order is difficult to process, and is dispreferred. It is thought by many to be an influence of English.

In Saraiki，the most common relative elements are $9 . / \mathrm{jo/}$＇which／who．REL＇and ／jerhā／＇which／who．REL＇．In the adjectival relative clause in example 9．187，the correla－ tive element，${ }^{3} 6$ و $1 / \mathrm{u}$ kātī／＇that knife＇，is in the direct case since it is the subject of the intransitive main clause；and the relative element is بيل／jz̃⿸厂／＇which／who．OBL’ since it is the object of the postposition $ل \cdot /$ nāl／＇with＇．

ālū kap－ẽd－ $\bar{a} \quad p-y \bar{a} \quad h \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$
potatoes cut－IP－SG．M CONT．I－SG．M be．PST－1SG
＇Where is the knife with which I（m．）was cutting potatoes？＇（Sr）（UK）
In example 9．188，in the main clause ${ }_{3}{ }^{6}$＇بك／hikk kātī／＇a knife＇is oblique since it is the object of the postposition $ل /$ • nāl／＇with＇．It corresponds with the relative element ：بير／／jerhī／＇which＇，which is in the direct case since it is the subject of the intransitive relative clause．


In example 9．189，the relative element is 3 جيطابنر／／jerhā bandā／＇the man who＇，and the correlative element is represented by the third person singular pronominal suffix $\mathcal{V}$ $/ \mathrm{si} /$ ，which indexes the agent in the main clause．

jerh－$\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad$ band $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ asād－ī mãjh corī $k-\bar{t} t-\bar{\imath} \quad h-a \bar{l}$
REL－SG．M man our－F buffalo［F］theft［F］do－PP－SG．F be．PST－SG．F
$\tilde{u} \quad$ khoh te ghorā corī kī－t－ī－sī
3SG．DIST．OBL village in horse［M］theft［F］do－PP－SG．F－PS3SG
＇The man who stole our buffalo stole a horse in that village．＇（Sr）（UK）

The proverb in example 9.190 shows a relative correlative clause comparing quantity．
 masculine gender of $⺊^{\prime \prime} /$ guṛ／＇brown sugar＇．
(9.190)

jittī gur uttī mitten- $\bar{a}$
as.much.as brown.sugar.SG.M that.much sweet-SG.M
'The more brown sugar (you put in it), the sweeter it will be.' (Sr) (Mughal 2010: 336)

### 9.3.1.2.2 Adverbial relative clauses

Adverbial relations of time, space, reason, and manner can be expressed with finite relative clauses in all these languages.

The Hindko sentences in examples 9.191, 9.192, and 9.193 contain adverbial relative clauses that express various temporal relations.

```
*N
m\tilde{æ}\mathrm{ us vel-e tak ná khã̃-d-}\overline{a}\mathrm{ jad}
1SG.DIR 3SG.DIST.OBL time-OBL until NEG eat-IP-SG.M when[REL]
tak tu\tilde{u}}\mathrm{ ná }\overline{a}-s-\tilde{e
until 2SG NEG come-FUT-2SG
'I (m) won't eat until you come.' (Hk) (AWT)
```

In example 9.191, a negative element $i / n a /$ appears in the relative clause meaning 'until', pointing to the end point of the interval during which you have not come, as it also does in Panjabi and Urdu. However, with the meaning 'so long as', i.e. pointing to the interval during which 'it is raining', as in example 9.192, a negative element does not appear.


Although an overt correlative temporal element is absent in the main clause in exampres 9.192 and 9.193, they are nevertheless relative constructions. 9.193 also illustrates the periphrastic passive in Hindko.


'As soon as the fugitive got to the station, he was recognized.' (Hz) (AWT)

In example 9.194, a spatial relation is expressed by the correlative element lw צו|یَن /us grã̃ẽ 'in that village'. This sentence also exhibits another fairly recent developmet, the reinforcing of the j-initial relative element $/ \mathrm{F}$ /lithe/ 'where .REL' with the complementizer /ki/ 'that'.


| $o$ | $u s$ | grã̃- $\tilde{e}$ | bic | $n \tilde{\bar{l}}$ | $r \dot{æ ́ r} \tilde{r} \bar{a}$ | $c \overline{\bar{a}}-n d-\bar{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{k i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.DIR | 3SG.OBL | village-OBL | in | NEG | live.INF | want-IP-SG.M | that |

jithe bijilī ī naĩ
where. REL electricity EMPH NEG
'He doesn't want to live in that village, where there is no electricity.' (Hz) (AWT)

In Panjabi, example 9.195 expresses a spatial relation in a simple, prototypical relativecorrelative construction through the topicalized, sentence-initial relative clause.

hat cīz méng-ī e
every thing [F] expensive-F be.PRES.3SG
'Where my brother lives everything is expensive.' (Pi) (EB)

The relative element $\begin{gathered}\text { Pr } \\ \text { /jithe/ 'where .REL' could also, very felicitously, follow the }\end{gathered}$ subject of the relative clause, as in example 9.196. This observation about word-order alternatives holds true for all types of relative clauses, in all three languages.


| mer- $\bar{a}$ | prà | jithe | rǽn- $d-\bar{a}$ | $e$ | othe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.GEN-SG.M | brother | where.REL | live-IP-SG.M | be.PRES.3SG | there |

har cīz méng-ī e
every thing[F] expensive-F be.PRES.3SG
'Where my brother lives everything is expensive.' (Pi) (EB)

A temporal relative clause is illustrated in example 9.197. Note that in this example there is no overt correlative element (ووبرل /ورک /ódõ dī/ ‘since then’) corresponding to
 which an overt correlative element is absent, is increasingly frequent in the contemporary language. This sentence also illustrates the compound verb كهُ بِا /kàt jānā/ 'to decrease' (see Section 10.1 for a discussion of compound verbs.)

jadõ dī é kánd ussar-ī e gaḍdi-yãa
when.REL of this wall[F] raised-PP.SG.F be.PRES.3SG vehicle-PL.F
$d-\bar{a}$ šor kàt $g a-y \bar{a} \quad e$
of-SG.M noise[M] lessen go-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Ever since this wall was built, the traffic noise has decreased.' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 35)

In example 9.198, a relative clause compares conditions of manner. The relative ele-
 'in this way'.

'He was laughing at my remarks as if I were insane.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 135)

Saraiki temporal relations are illustrated in the relative-correlative examples 9.199 and 9.200 , and a spatial relation in 9.202. According to Nasir Abbas Syed (p.c.), Saraiki prefers relative-correlative 'until, as long as' structures in which either both clauses are negative or both are positive, as in 9.199 and 9.200.

je.taṇi tu $\quad$ s-s-ẽ $\quad m \tilde{\not{e}} \quad e \quad$ kame lar ghin-s- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ by.the.time 2SG come-FUT-2SG 1SG this work do take-FUT-1SG 'I will complete this task by the time you come.' (Sr) (NAS, p.c.)


| je.tān̄ī | $t \tilde{\tilde{u}}$ | $n \bar{a}$ | $\jmath-s-\tilde{e}$ | $m \tilde{\not}$ | $e$ | $k a m$ | $n \bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| by.the.time | 2SG | NEG | come-FUT-2SG | I | this | work | NEG |
| kare-s- $\tilde{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| do-FUT-1SG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'I will not do this task until you come.' (Sr) | (NAS, prc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |


jerh-e din- $\tilde{\imath}$ bimār hā-mī putr ghat d- $\bar{a}$
REL-PL.M day-LOC.PL ill be.PST-1SG son home GEN-SG.M
sabak kænā kar-ēd- $\bar{a} \quad h-\bar{a}$
lesson [M] NEG.EMPH do-IP-SG.M be.PST-SG.M
'While I was sick my son wasn’t doing his homework. (lit. in the days when I was sick.) ${ }^{19}$ (Sr) (UK)


| $\bar{u}$ | $\tilde{\mathbf{j}} \boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{i}$ | jain | te | $n \bar{a}$ | rain- $d-\bar{a}$ | $h-\bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.DIR | such.a-F.SG | place[F] | at | NEG | live-IP-M.SG | be.PST-SG.M |
| pith $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | bijlī | $h \bar{\imath}$ | kænhī |  |  |  |
| where.REL | electricity | even | NEG.EMPH |  |  |  |

'He wasn't willing to live in a place where there isn't even electricity.' ( Sr ) (UK)

19 Note that the spelling of يمار 'sick' would suggest the pronunciation /bīmār/. However, it is actually pronounced /bimār/. This non-correspondence between spelling and pronunciation is a result of the incorporation of many Urdu words into these languages, along with their Urdu spellings. Many such words, however, are pronounced according to the phonological patterns of the recipient languages.

### 9.3.1.3 Conditional clauses

Conditional clauses involve hypothetical relationships between events: 'if X happens, then Y'. Two basic types of conditionals-realis and irrealis-are treated here separately. Realis conditionals are those which refer to events which are as yet unrealized but are presented as possible. Irrealis conditionals refer to a situation or event that is known (or assumed) not to have happened or not to be going to happen.

### 9.3.1.3.1 Realis conditionals

In our Hindko corpus, the subordinating conjunction introducing conditional clauses is usually $/$ /kade/ 'if' when the 'if'-clause (subordinate) begins the sentence, as in examples 9.203 and 9.204, and $\int_{<} / \mathrm{je} /$ 'if' when the 'if'-clause follows the matrix clause, as in example 9.205. Many realis conditionals in Hindko have a future (as in examples 9.203 and 9.204) or a simple perfect verb (as in example 9.205) in the 'if'clause and a future form in the 'then'-clause. The correlative conjunction /te/ 'then' (usually) appears when the 'if'-clause begins the sentence, as in examples 9.203 and 9.204.
(9.203)

'If you drink cold water after eating watermelon you will get diarrhea.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.204)



In Panjabi, the 'if'-clause (protasis) of realis conditionals is formed with both the indigenous conjunction $\sum_{6}^{2}$ /je/ 'if' and Urdu $/ / /$ /agar/ 'if'. Frequently occurring tenses in the protasis are the simple perfect, as in examples 9.206 and 9.207 , subjunctive, as in 9.208, and present, as in 9.209. The future may appear in the 'if'-clause, as in the Hindko example 9.204 above. An overt 'if' element like $/ /$ /agar/ 'if' or 2 /je/ 'if' is frequently omitted, however, especially in conversation. The 'then'-clause (apodosis) can employ various tense-aspect forms, including the infinitive in its distanced imperative function, in examples 9.206 and 9.207, future, as in 9.208, subjunctive, as in 9.209, and present imperfect, as in 9.210.

je tussī udhar ga-e te mer- $\bar{a}$ xat vì pā
if 2PL there go.PP-M.PL then 1SG.GEN-SG.M letter[M] also put
de-ṇā
give-INF
'If you go that way please mail my letter as well.' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 63)
(9.207)

je sāḍle pich-ō kise $d-\bar{a}$ fon $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
if our after-ABL anyone.OBL GEN-SG.M phone[M] come-PP.SG.M
te ó $d-\bar{a}$ nã te nambar likh læ-ṇā
then 3SG.DIST GEN-SG.M name and number write take-INF
'If someone calls in our absence, take down his name and number.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 142)
(9.208)
 so dālar d-ī bacat hove-g-ī
hundred dollars GEN-SG.F saving[F] be.SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.F
'If you purchase a computer today, you will save a hundred dollars.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 91)
(9.209)
 $e \quad$ te saprīm korṭ nāl rujū kar-aṇ
be.PRES.3SG then supreme court with contact do-SBJV.3PL
'If they have an objection to this decision, then they should contact the Supreme Court.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 91)

The conjunctions meaning 'if' need not appear in clause initial position, as in 9.210, where ${\underset{\sim}{2}}^{\sim} / \mathrm{je} /$ 'if' appears in pre-verbal position. In the apodosis (then-clause) both Z /te/ 'then', as in examples 9.206, 9.207, 9.208, and 9.209, and $U / / t a \tilde{a} /$ 'then', as in 9.210, are found. $U / 4 / t \bar{a} /$ is somewhat more emphatic.
(9.210)


| pà̀rat | ate | pākistān | de | libral | lok | je | ikatthe | ho |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| India | and | Pakistan | GEN | liberal | people | if | together | be |
| jā-n |  | tãa | ó |  | $\bar{a} p n-e$ |  | mulk- $\tilde{a}$ |  |
| go-SBJV.3PL | then | 3PL.DIST | REFL-PL.M.OBL | country-OBL.PL | in-ABL |  |  |  |

mázabī nafrat mukā sak-d-e han
religious hatred finish.off be.able-IP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'If the liberal people in India and Pakistan unite, they can end religious hatred in their countries.' ( Pj ) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 45)

In Saraiki realis conditional clauses, the protasis is usually introduced by the conjunction $/ \mathrm{je}$ / or ${ }_{6}$ /jekar/ 'if', and may either precede the apodosis, as in example 9.211, or follow it, as in example 9.212. The apodosis begins with $U * / / t a \tilde{a} /$ 'then', or often no correlative conjunction at all. In both examples 9.211 and 9.212 , the verb of the 'if'-clause is a present subjunctive, and that of the 'then'-clause is in the future form.


'What will you do if your flight is late?' (Sr) (UK)

### 9.3.1.3.2 Irrealis conditionals

Irrealis conditionals refer to actions or events which are known not to have happened or assumed not to be going to happen, or to states of affairs known not to be the case. A closely related meaning, that of things wished to have happened or to be the case (wishful thinking), is also expressed by irrealis conditional forms.

The original Hindko irrealis construction (irrealis-I) consists of a subjunctive verb form plus an irrealis particle $\bar{T} / \bar{a} /$ in both the 'if' and 'then' clauses. This construction is not found in contemporary Panjabi, but it is similar to the Saraiki irrealis-I construction consisting of the subjunctive plus $!/ \mathrm{ha} /$, an irrealis particle homophonous with the masculine singular past tense of 'be'. This is a common heritage of both Hindko and Saraiki. ${ }^{20}$ Irrealis-I appears in examples 9.213, 9.214, and 9.215. Sentence 9.215, however, shows a present perfect form in the 'then'-clause followed by the irrealis particle.


20 Grierson (1919: 267), discussing "Lahndā", says about this form: "Past Conditional is formed by adding hā to the Old Present. Thus, mārā̃hā, I should have struck, (if) I had struck..." Grierson also mentions the occurrence of the imperfective participle (his "present participle") (our irrealis-II) in this meaning.

o jul-e $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ te kamm ho-ve $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ 3SG.DIR go-SBJV.3SG IRR then work become-SBJV.3SG IRR 'If he had gone, the work would have been done.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.215)

| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m \dot{\tilde{a}}$ | das-ẽ | $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | mæ̃ | bandā | pèj |
| 1SG.DAT | tell-2SG.SBJV | IRR | 1SG.DIR | person | send |
| chor-e |  | $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |  |  |  |
| leave-PP.S | G.M+be.PRES. 3 | G IR |  |  |  |

'If you had told me I would have sent someone.' (Hk) (AWT)

Irrealis conditional sentences also appear in two other construction types, which are common to these languages. (i) Both the protasis and the apodosis employ a bare imperfective participle, as in example 9.216 (our irrealis-II); (ii) the protasis verb is a perfective participle plus the imperfective participle of lyg / /honā/ 'be', as in example 9.217 (a variant of irrealis-II). Notice that the 'then'-clause also includes a past tense form of 'be’. This also happens in Panjabi.

agar us ajj $\bar{a}-\tilde{r} \tilde{a} \tilde{\bar{a}}$ hõ-d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ te o fon
if 3SG.OBL today come-INF be-IP-SG.M then 3SG.DIR phone
kar-d- $\bar{a}$
do-IP-SG.M
'If he was to come today, he would have phoned.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.217)

agar mẽ æbṭabād na $\bar{a}-y \bar{a} \quad$ hõ-d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ te
if 1SG.DIR Abbottabad NEG come-PP.SG.M be-IP-SG.M then
$m \tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ é kitāb nê mil hak-d-ī é y-ī

1SG.DAT this book[F] not be.found be.able-IP-SG.F be.PST-SG.F
'If I hadn't come to Abbottabad, I wouldn't have been able to get this book.' (Hk) (AWT)

Panjabi employs four structures for irrealis conditionals. In structure (i), the verb in both the protasis and the apodosis of irrealis conditionals appears as the bare imperfective participle, agreeing with the grammatical subject of the clause, as in examples 9.218 and 9.219.

$m æ-n \bar{u} \quad p a t \bar{a} \quad$ ho-nd- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ tã $d \bar{a} j-\bar{\imath} \quad v a \bar{r}-\bar{\imath}$
1SG.OBL-DAT knowledge be-IP-SG.M then second-SG.F time-SG.F

## na jān-d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$

NEG go-IP.SG.M
'If I had known, I would not have gone a second time.' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 124)


## $j \bar{a}-n-d-\bar{a}$

go-IP-SG.M
'He said that if I had brought a note from a minister then I would have gotten the permit. ${ }^{\text {'21 }}$ (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 237)

In structure (ii), the protasis contains a perfective participle plus the imperfective participle of • /hoṇā/ 'to be', and the apodosis contains a bare imperfective participle, as in example 9.220.

$\check{\nsim}$ din na dekh-ṇā pæ-nd- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
this day NEG see-INF befall-IP-SG.M
'If (someone) had done something, then today Punjab would not have had to see this day.' (Pj) (http://www.punjabics.com/Punjab_day_totay_nateeja. html )

In structure (iii), another variant of irrealis-II, both the protasis and the apodosis contain a perfective participle plus the imperfective participle of :بونا /hoṇā/ 'to be', as in example 9.221.

21 n these languages, the original pattern of representing reported speech is with direct speech, which reproduces the exact words of the speaker. Thus, English 'He said he would come' is expressed in Panjabi, for example as 'He said, "I will come"' as in 9.219.
 puttar tū̃ sārā din velleã khā-n dī.bajāe koī kamm son 2SG all day idly eat-OBL.INF instead.of any work tand $\bar{a}$ ki$-t-\bar{a}$ ho-nd- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ dubai jā ke do cār attentively do-PP-SG.M be-IP-SG.M Dubai go CP two four
kror ikaṭthe kī-t-e ho-nd-e
ten.million together do-PP-PL.M be-IP-PL.M
'Son, if instead of eating idly (i.e. without doing any work) all day you had done any work attentively, you could have gone to Dubai and amassed several crores (of rupees).' (Pj) (http://www.siasat.pk/forum/showthread)

In structure (iv), yet another variant of irrealis-II, illustrated in examples 9.222 and 9.223 , the protasis contains a bare imperfective participle and the apodosis a past imperfective form or simple past of 'be'. This structure, which includes a past-tense marked form in the apodosis, appears to be characteristic of Panjabi, and appears quite frequently.

je hukūmat zarā vī aqal nāl kamm læn-d-ī te if government a.bit even sense with work take-IP-SG.F then muzâirā bilkul puraman tarīq-e nāl xatam ho demonstration $[\mathrm{M}]$ completely peaceful way-OBL with finished be sak-d- $\bar{a} \quad$ sī
be.able-IP-SG.M be.PST.3SG
'If the government had shown even a little prudence, then the demonstration could have ended peacefully.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 542)

koī agar inkalāb lyā(u)-ṇā cáa r-yā ho-nd- $\bar{a}$ anyone if revolution bring-INF want CONT.II-SG.M be-IP-SG.M pākistān de.vic te $\dot{\text { ǽ }}$ ikk bétarīn vakat sī pākistān in then 3SG.PROX an excellent time be.PST.3SG 'If someone had wanted to bring revolution to Pakistan, this would've been an excellent time for it.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 60)

The imperfective participle may also occur by itself (irrealis-II) when expressing wishful thinking or unrealized alternatives, as in example 9.224.

tusī mæ-nū othe bulā læ-nd-e
2PL 1SG.OBL-ACC there call take-IP-PL.M
'You could/should have called me there. (i.e. Why didn't you call me there; I wish you had.)' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 185)

In the Panjabi of Wazirabad as documented in Bailey (1904b), the irrealis-I type, with a subjunctive followed by an irrealis particle/ $\bar{a} /$ in both clauses was also found, as in example 9.225 (the Perso-Arabic representation is ours). This type occurs today in Hindko and Saraiki.

'If you had run you would not have been beaten.' (Pi) (Bailey 1904b: 47)

In Saraiki, there are two irrealis conditional constructions: irrealis I and irrealis II. Irrealis I constructions are different from those now common in Panjabi, but are similar to the irrealis I of Hindko. In example 9.226, with present tense reference, the 'if'-clause contains the continuous subjunctive plus the irrealis particle (homophonous with the masculine singular past of 'be'); and the 'then'-clause contains the subjunctive plus the irrealis particle. In example 9.227, with hypothetical past time reference, both the 'if'-clause and the 'then'-clause use a perfective participle, plus subjunctive plus the irrealis particle.

(9.227)


| kitāb | kadhĩ | nā | ladh- $\bar{a}$ | ho-ve | $\boldsymbol{h} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| book[M] | ever | NEG | find-PP.SG.M | be-SBJV.3SG | IRR |

'If I hadn't come to Multan, I wouldn't ever have found this book. ${ }^{222}$ (Sr) (UK)

As in Panjabi, wishful thinking is expressed with an irrealis construction, shown in examples 9.228 and 9.229.

'If only you too drank alcohol.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 157)
(9.229)


| $t \tilde{\tilde{u}}$ | $n a \overline{v h e}$ | $\tilde{\tilde{a}}-\boldsymbol{d} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\boldsymbol{t} \tilde{\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}}$ | pæhle | dasā$-\tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}$ | $\boldsymbol{h} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $c \bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SG | NEG.2SG | come-IP-SG.M | then | before | tell-SBJV.2SG | IRR | HORT |

'If you weren't coming, (then) you should have told us first.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 165)

The element ${\underset{\vartheta}{*}}^{\text {/ cā/in }} 9.229$ is glossed here as a hortative particle. Our consultant (UK) describes it as a sort of "softening" element. ${ }^{23}$ Note that in this Saraiki example $\underset{\Downarrow}{\text { r }} /$ cā/ follows rather than precedes the verb. It appears that post-verbal $\underset{\Downarrow}{ } / \mathrm{ca} /$ has a softening or hortative meaning, while pre-verbal ${\underset{\nabla}{*}}^{\nabla} / \mathrm{c} a /$ is the vector in a compound verb formation. It thus appears that post-verbal cā may have a different origin than the preverbal /cā/ of Hindko (see Section 10.1.3).

Irrealis II usages, employing the bare imperfective participle, which are shared with Hindko and Panjabi, appear to be a later development due to convergence effects with Urdu and Panjabi. This is illustrated in example 9.230.

22 In UK's speech, كثّا /kitāb/ 'book' is masculine, whereas in most other varieties it is feminine.
23 This post-verbal $\underset{\forall}{6} / c \overline{ } /$ in Saraiki is very similar to the post-verbal cæ found in Dogri.
Shankar (1931: 119) describes it as being added to a verbal form in two meanings: (1) a conditional, and (2) an imperative sense with a permissive force. Shankar finds that it is used in the 1st person plural only. For example, /ho cæ/ 'if we be' and /kha cæ/ 'Let us eat'.
$m \tilde{\nsim} \quad \bar{a} p \quad n a \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$-nd-ī
1SG.DIR REFL NEG come-IP-SG.F
'Had I (F) not come myself.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 151)

### 9.3.2 Non-finite subordinate clauses

Three very common types of non-finite subordinate clauses are found in all three languages. These involve the infinitive, the conjunctive participle, and the imperfective or perfective participles. Infinitive clauses can function as subject, direct object, or objects of postpositions. ${ }^{24}$ The word order within a non-finite subordinate clause is less flexible than in a finite clause, and the order of the non-finite subordinate clause within the main clause is also more fixed. For the use of the bare infinitive as a verbal noun, see Section 10.5.1.2, Section 10.5.2.2, Section 10.5.3, and others.

### 9.3.2.1 Infinitive clauses

Infinitive clauses, that is, an infinitive with a subject and/or direct object, can function as the subject or the direct object of a sentence.

### 9.3.2.1.1 Infinitive clause as subject

The infinitive clause in each of examples9.231, 9.232, 9.233, and 9.234, includes a direct object. Where an agent of the infinitive verb is also mentioned, as in example 9.231 and 9.234, it appears with the genitive postposition $/$ / dā/ 'of'.


24 Such constructions are also sometimes analyzed as infinitive phrases, that is as noun phrases.
(9.232)

éo jíyā kamm kar-nā bar- $\bar{a}$ aukh- $\bar{a} \quad e$
this like deed do-INF.DIR very-SG.M hard-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'To do something like this is very difficult.' (Pj) (EB)
(9.233)

kya tuhā-kũ kampyūṭar calāv-ạ̣ $\bar{a}-n d-e$
Q 2PL.OBL-DAT computer run-INF.DIR come-IP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
‘Can you operate a computer?' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 41)
(9.234)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ | $d-\bar{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{\text { ® }}$ | kissā | jān-an | $d-\bar{l}$ |
| 3SG.0BL | GEN-SG.M | 3SG.PROX.DIR | story | know-INF.0BL | GEN-F.SG |
| lor nahĩ |  |  |  |  |  |
| need[F] NEG |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'His know | ring about thi | matter isn't nec | essary.' | (Sr) (UK) |  |

### 9.3.2.1.2 Infinitive clause as (direct) object

The complements of certain verbs involve what is structurally an infinitive clause functioning as direct object. Others involve such a clause appearing as the object of a postposition. Examples are presented for each language, beginning with Hindko.

Hindko examples 9.235, 9.236, and 9.237 illustrate complements of 'want', 'tell/show', and 'teach', respectively; and the infinitive clause functions as direct object of the matrix sentence. The infinitive in these complement structures is in the direct case.
(9.235)

$\begin{array}{llll}m \tilde{x} & \text { sigret } & \text { kìn- } \tilde{\tilde{a}} \bar{a} & c \dot{\bar{a}-n n-\tilde{a}} \\ \text { 1SG.DIR } & \text { cigarettes } & \text { take-INF.DIR } & \text { want-IP-SG.M+1SG }\end{array}$
'I want to get some cigarettes.' (Hk) (AWT)

māū má̃ kapr-e rang- $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \bar{a}$ dass-iyā mother.OBL 1SG.DAT cloth-PL.M dye-INF.DIR tell-PP.SG.M 'Mother told/showed me how to dye clothes.' (Hk) (AWT)
$\square$
nikk-e jātk-e- $\tilde{a} \quad$ ṭur-nā $\quad$ sikh- $\bar{a}$
little-OBL.SG.M boy-OBL-DAT walk-INF.DIR learn-CS.IMP.SG
'Teach the little boy to walk!' (Hk) (AWT)

The complement of 1 , g /deṛāa/ 'to give > to allow', as in example 9.238, involves an oblique infinitive, while those of l• $\cdot \mathbf{j} /$ /inkār karnā/ 'to refuse', as in example 9.239,
 infinitive plus a postposition.

because we-OBL this.OBL language.OBL-DAT ahead advance-INF.OBL
$h \bar{i} \quad n \bar{\imath} \quad$ di-tt- $\bar{a}$
EMPH NEG give-PP-SG.M
'Because we didn’t let this language advance at all.' (Hk) (Soz 2009: 6)

hindko-ã kabūl kar-n-e tõ inkār hī
Hindko-ACC accepted do-INF-OBL from refusal[M] EMPH
$k i \overline{-}-\bar{a} \quad e$
do-PP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'...have completely refused to accept Hindko.' (Hk) (Soz 2009: 6)

The oblique infinitive with the verb 'say' and the postposition $/$ / /dā/ 'of' frequently expresses the idea 'tell (someone) to do something'. All three languages do this similarly; they use the postposition $/$, /dā/ 'of' with an oblique infinitive as the complement of 'to say'—1 آ /āx shown in 9.242; and ${ }^{\text {T }}$ /ākhaṇ/for Saraiki, shown in examples 9.254 and 9.255 below.


| $m \tilde{æ}$ | $u s-\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ | kapre | tuà̀- $\tilde{r}-\boldsymbol{e}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I.OBL | 3SG.DIST.OBL-DAT | clothes | wash.CS-INF-OBL | GEN-SG.M |

$\bar{a} x-i y \bar{a}$
say-PP.SG.M
'I told him/her to get the clothes washed.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.241)


| vālid | $s a \bar{b}$ | $m \tilde{\tilde{a}}$ | $k u t t-e \tilde{a}$ | $d-e$ | nas- $\bar{a}-\tilde{r}-\tilde{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| father | HON | 1SG.DAT | dog-M.PL.DAT | GEN-SG.M.OBL | run-CS-INF-OBL |

d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \bar{a} x-i y \bar{a}$
GEN-SG.M say-PP.SG.M
'Father had me chase the dogs away. (lit. 'told me to make the dogs run away')' (Hk) (AWT)

mẽ salīm nū šāmal kar-an d-ā $\quad \bar{a} k h-i y \bar{a}$ 1SG.OBL Salim DAT included do-INF.OBL GEN-SG.M say-PP.SG.M 'I said to include Salim.' (Pi) (EB)



> ó kál ग-ṇā có-nd-e nẽ
> 3PL.DIST.DIR tomorrow come-INF.DIR want-IP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'They want to come tomorrow.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 78)

In transitive complement clauses, as in 9.244, if the direct object is unmarked, the infinitive may (or may not) be inflected like an adjective to agree with this argument. In Panjabi (and Urdu), this behavior is often described as an "agreeing infinitive." One reason for speaker variation and vacillation is the structural similarity between the infinitive (a verbal noun) and the gerundive (a verbal adjective). In Panjabi (and Urdu), this distinction has been weakened, whereas it (mostly) remains in Saraiki (Shackle 1976: 71). For some speakers of Panjabi, agreement or non-agreement in these constructions depends on the referential status of the object. Example 9.244 shows a case of agreement, while 9.245 shows a non-agreeing case.


| ó | citțth-ī | likh-n-ī- | kadõ | šurū |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.SG.DIST | letter-SG.F | write-INF-SG.F | when | beginning |

kar-e-g- $\bar{a}$
do-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M
'When will he begin to write the letter [definite]?' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 78)


| of | citțth-ī | likh- $\boldsymbol{n}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | kadõ | šurū |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.SG.DIST | letter-SG.F | write-INF-SG.M | when | beginning |
| kar-e-g- $\bar{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| do-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M |  |  |  |  |

'When will he begin to write letters (i.e. to do letter-writing) [nonspecific indefinite, generic]?' (Pi) (EB)

Oblique infinitive complements occur with $\bullet^{\circ}$ '/ /lagṇā/ 'to be attached, applied' and
 'to give' expresses permission for the action of the infinitive. If the infinitive complement is intransitive, as in 9.246, the form of singular. If the infinitive complement is transitive and has a direct object, in perfective tenses the form of object, as in 9.247 . But if the direct object is marked with the accusative postposition , وينا /deṇā/ 'to give' is default masculine singular, as in 9.248.

'They allowed us to go.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 88)

$\begin{array}{llllll}m \tilde{a} & \text { ón- } \tilde{a} & n \tilde{u} & \text { do } & \text { kitāb- } \tilde{a} & \text { xarīd-an } \\ \text { 1SG.OBL } & \text { 3PL.DIST-OBL } & \text { DAT } & \text { two } & \text { book-F.PL } & \text { buy-INF.OBL }\end{array}$
di-tt-iy $\tilde{a}$
give-PP-F.PL
'I let them buy two books.' ( Pj ) (LB)
(9.248)

$a b b \bar{u} \quad m æ-n \tilde{u}$ salīm nũ šāmal kar-an di-tt-ā
Father 1SG.OBL-DAT Salim ACC included do-INF.OBL give-PP-SG.M 'Father let me include Salim.' (Pi) (EB)

Panjabi also employs the postposition لَّ /laī/ 'for' in the "tell to/instruct" construction 9.249.
(9.249)

$a s$ áa ón- $\bar{a} \quad n \bar{u} \quad$ л-ṇ $\quad$ laī ākh-iyā
1PL.OBL 3PL.DIST-OBL DAT come-INF.OBL for say-PP-SG.M
'We told them to come.' (pi) (Shackle 1972: 89)

The oblique infinitive $+\succ^{*} /$ lagṇā/ 'to be attached to' indicates the (imminent) inception of an action 9.250.
(9.250)

ó kamm kar-an lag-e
3PL.DIST.DIR work do-INF.OBL begin-PP.PL.M
'They began to work.' or 'They are about to begin work.' (Pi) (EB)

In Saraiki, the direct and the oblique form of the infinitive are homophonous. Consequently, the case of the infinitive is ambiguous unless it is followed by a postposition, allowing it to be identified as oblique. Judging by form alone, the infinitives /sikhāvaṇ/ 'to teach', as in example 9.251, چاكولٌ /cāhvaṇ/ 'to want', as in example
 rect or oblique case.
(9.251)

mẽ āpṇ-ī bheṇ-kū̃ puc sīv-ạ̣ sikhā.li-y $\bar{a}$
1SG REFL-SG.F sister-DAT clothes stitch-INF teach.take-PP.SG.M
'I taught my sister how to stitch clothes.' (Sr) (UK)
(9.252)

tū kithã vãf-an cah-ẽd-ẽ
2SG where go-INF want-IP-2SG
'Where do you (sg.) want to go?' (Sr) (UK)

asād-è pyū ass $\bar{a} \quad \bar{u} \quad$ kitāb nh ez ghin-aṇ
our-PL.M father 1PL.OBL that book[M] NEG take-INF
$d i-t-\bar{e}$
give-PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
‘Our father didn't let us buy that book.' (Sr) (UK)

Several Saraiki complement structures involve an oblique infinitive plus the genitive postposition 1 , /dā/ 'of'. With the simple verbs 'tell/instruct to', as in examples 9.254 and 9.255, and 'agree', in 9.256, l / /dā/immediately follows the oblique infinitive and has default masculine singular agreement, whereas with the conjunct verb كوش ك /košiš karnā/ 'to try/attempt', as in example 9.257, l / dā/ precedes and agrees in gender with the nominal element $/$ Sis $/$ košiš/ 'attempt[F]'.

med-ī $\quad a m m \tilde{a} \quad$ mæ-ku $\quad k i t a ̄ b ~ t æ-k \tilde{u} \quad$ de-van
1SG.GEN-SG.F mother.SG.F 1SG.OBL-DAT book 2SG-DAT give-INF.OBL
$\boldsymbol{d}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \bar{a} k h-i y \bar{a}$
GEN-SG.M say-PP.SG.M
'My mother told me to give the book to you.' (Sr) (UK)

ustād šāgird-ẽ kun wardiy- $\tilde{a} \quad$ pa kar-e
teacher pupil-OBL.PL DAT uniform-PL.F put.on do-CONN?
$\overline{\boldsymbol{a} v-\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{n}} \quad \boldsymbol{d} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \bar{a} k h$-ie
come-INF.OBL GEN-SG.M say-PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'The teacher told the students to put on their uniforms and come.'25 (Sr) (UK)

25 The oblique plural in /-ez/ on 'pupils' is a feature of the Southern variety (Shackle 1976: 45-46).

assã sabhĩ savel de dah vafe mil-an d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
1PL all morning GEN ten o'clock meet-INF.OBL GEN-SG.M $m u k \bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
agree-PP.SG.M
'We all agreed to meet at 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.' (Sr) (UK)
(9.257)

| $\tilde{\bar{u}} \quad$ ithã ${ }^{\text {a }}$ vakt-ī | pz̃c-an | d-ī | košiš |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3SG.DIST.OBL here time-LOC | arrive-INF.OBL | GEN-SG.F | attempt[F] |

$k \bar{i}-t-\bar{i} \quad m a r i ̄ \quad p a f \quad n \bar{a} \quad s a d-i y \bar{a}$
do-PP-SG.F but reach NEG be.able-PP.SG.M
'He tried to reach here on time, but couldn't.' (Sr) (UK)

Several meanings involving prevention or cessation of an action are expressed with either an infinitive in the ablative case or the oblique infinitive plus the ablative postposition ${ }^{2} /$ kanū̃/ 'from'. These include 'stop/cease', shown in example 9.258, 'refuse/decline', in example 9.259, 'forbid', in example 9.260, and 'prevent', in example 9.261.
(9.258)

$m \tilde{\imath} h \quad$ vas-n- $\tilde{u} \quad$ khar $g y-\bar{a}$
rain[M] rain-INF.OBL-ABL stand go.PP-SG.M
'It stopped raining.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 134) , cited from Lashari (1971: 200).)
(9.259)

t $\tilde{\not}$ med-e nāl āw-aṇ kanū kyõ aliyā
2SG.OBL 1SG.OBL with come-INF.OBL from why say.PP.SG.M
$c \bar{a}$
HORT
'Why did you refuse to come with me?' (Sr) (UK)

aттй $\quad$ ax-kū $\tilde{\bar{u}} j h e \quad$ kapre ghin-ạ̣ kanū
mother 1SG-ACC that.kind.of clothes take-INF.OBL from
haṭk-ie
forbid-PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'Mother forbade me to wear (lit. from taking) that kind of clothes.' (Sr) (UK)

$\begin{array}{lllllll}t \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} & \text { mæ-k } \tilde{\bar{u}} & \bar{u} & \text { kar-an } & \text { kanü } & \text { jhal-iy- } \bar{a} & h \bar{a} \\ \text { 2SG.OBL } & \text { 1SG-ACC } & \text { that } & \text { do-INF.OBL } & \text { from } & \text { stop-PP-SG.M } & \text { be.PST.SG.M }\end{array}$
'You stopped me from doing that.' (Sr) (UK)

## 

In all three languages, an oblique infinitive may be followed by the suffix $\sqrt{\text { I }} /$ والا $/$ vāāā $\sim$ āḷā $\sim$ vālā $\sim \bar{a} l \bar{a} /$ yielding a marked adjectival form. ${ }^{26}$ These adjectives function both adjectivally-attributively or predicatively-and frequently, like other adjectives, as nouns. والل، آلا /vāḷā ~āḷā ~ vālā ~ālā/ occurs with nouns, adjectives, postpositions, postpositional phrases, and verbs.

When these forms are used as predicate adjectives, they often carry a gerundival (desiderative) sense, as in example 9.262.

é filam vekh-aṇ vāl-ī e
3SG.PROX.DIR movie[F] see-INF.OBL NMLZ-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'This movie is worth seeing (should be seen).' (Pi) (EB)

If the action of a verb is anticipated but has not yet occurred, this construction can denote imminence, and is often translated with the phrase 'about to', as in examples 9.263, 9.264, 9.265, and 9.266.

26 Forms of والا /vāla/ are glossed as NMLZ, even though the primary function of this suffix is to form adjectives.
(9.263)

$\bar{a} l-\bar{\imath}$ éy-ī
NMLZ-SG.F be.PST-SG.F
'I bought the wheat - which was about to rot.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.264)

gaḍd-ī tur-an văl-ī e
train-SG.F leave-INF.OBL NMLZ-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'The train is about to leave.' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 95)
(9.265)

ا
afkal med- $\bar{a}$ imtihān thī-vaṇ vāl- $\bar{a}$
these.days 1SG.GEN-SG.M exam[M] become-INF.OBL NMLZ-SG.M
he
be.PRES.3SG
'My exam is about to take place now.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 138), cited from Lashari (1971: 112).)
(9.266)

jang chir-an āl-ī e
war[F] break.out-INF.OBL NMLZ-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'War is about to break out.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 68)

When an infinitive clause with $\tilde{\text { I }}$ precedes a noun, in attributive position, it functions like a participial relative clause, as in examples 9.267 (Hindko), 9.268 (Panjabi), and 9.270 (Saraiki).

šǽr bic mulāzmat kar-n-e vāl-e lok city in employment do-INF-OBL NMLZ-PL.M people.PL.M
subo savere grã-ẽ bic-õ suzūkī ute šǽr
morning early village-OBL in-ABL Suzuki on city
jul-d-en
go-IP-PL.M+be.PRES.3PL
'People who work in the city go to the city early in the morning by Suzuki. ${ }^{\text {'27 }}$ (Hk)

kamm kar-an vāl-iy $\tilde{a}^{\tilde{a}}$ kur-iyã ne sā-nū
work do-INF.OBL NMLZ-PL.F girl-PL.F ERG 1PL.OBL-ACC
vekh-iyā
see-PP.SG.M
'The girls who were working saw us.' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 95)

When ${ }^{\text {IT }}$ follows a postposition, as in example 9.269 (Hindko), or a noun, as in example 9.270 (Saraiki), it conveys various adjectival or adverbial relations.

$\begin{array}{cccc}d-\bar{\imath} & a v a ̄ z & \bar{a}-n d-\bar{\imath} & e\end{array}$
GEN-SG.F sound[F] come-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'I hear a rat in the next room.' (Hk) (AWT)

$e \quad$ amb tokrī āl-e $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ kanū ḍher mitṭh- $\bar{a}$
this mango.SG.M basket NMLZ-PL.M.OBL than very sweet-SG.M he
be.PRES.3SG
'This mango is sweeter than the ones in the basket. ${ }^{288}(\mathrm{Sr})$ (UK)

As with other adjectives, these forms are freely employed as nouns. They can indicate agents, or indicate other relations between a noun and another noun or the action of the infinitive verb. The resulting construction-including any arguments, complements, or modifying adverbs the infinitive may have-is a noun phrase, as in exampes 9.271, 9.272, and 9.273. In examples 9.271 and 9.272, the nominalization creates an agent of the verbs 'beg' and 'watch', respectively, ie. 'one who begs' or 'those who watch', while in example 9.273, the nominalized phrase لV / $ل$ relationship of "possession" to the noun 'shop', and means 'one who owns a shop'.


| é | roṭī | us | mans- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}-\boldsymbol{e}$ | $\boldsymbol{v a ̄ l}-\boldsymbol{e}-\tilde{\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.PROX | bread | 3SG.DIST.OBL | beg-INF-OBL | NMLZ-SG.M.OBL-DAT |

ca de
lift give
'Give this bread to that beggar.' (Ak) (AWT)
(9.272)

flam vekh-aṇ-vāl-e $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ ne hor pæs-e na й
film see-INF.OBL-NMLZ-PL.M.OBL ERG more money-PL.M NEG
san di-tt-e
be.PST.3PL give-PP-PL.M
'Those who were watching the film (lit. the film-watchers) didn’t pay any more money.' (pi) (Shackle (1972: 95); translation modified slightly.)
(9.273)


28 This example also illustrates the comparative construction of adjectives.

### 9.3.2.3 Conjunctive participial clauses in Hindko and Panjabi

Conjunctive participial clauses in all three languages can express relations of temporal sequence, as in examples 9.274, 9.277, and 9.278; causality, as in 9.275 and 9.276; or manner, as in 9.279 and 9.281, below. As is seen in examples 9.279 and 9.281 , these conjunctive participial clauses sometimes function as simple adverbial expressions.

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { salīm } & d \text {-e } & \text { kàr } & x a t & \text { dex } & \text { ke } & m \dot{\tilde{a}} & \text { patā } \\ \text { Salim } & \text { GEN-SG.M.OBL } & \text { house[M] letter } & \text { see } & \text { CP } & \text { 1SG.DAT } & \text { knowledge }\end{array}$ cal-iyā
move-PP-SG.M
'I found out when I saw the letter in Salim's house.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.275)

$t u d-\tilde{a} \quad m i l ~ k e ~ m \tilde{x} \quad b a \tilde{u} \quad x u s ̌ \quad \tilde{a}$
2SG.OBL-ACC meet CP 1SG.DIR very happy be.PRES.1SG
'I am very happy to have met you.' (Hk) (AWT)

tū har roz ṭàk-e cár cár ke thak jul-d-ă
2SG every day mountain-OBL climb climb CP tire go-IP-SG.M
ho-s-ẽ
be-FUT-2SG
'You must get tired of climbing mountains every day.' (Hk) (AWT)

In Panjabi, where some third-person ergative subjects (of perfective tenses of transitive verbs) are marked differently from non-ergative subjects, if the transitivity of the matrix clause and the participial clauses differs, subject marking depends on the transitivity and tense-aspect of the finite matrix verb, not that of the participial form. In example 9.277, the matrix verb ject is marked with the ergative postposition $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ /ne/. Contrast this with example 9.278, where the transitivity of the matrix and the participial clause is reversed. In example 9.278 , the subject appears in the direct case since the matrix verb 'go' is intransitive.
(9.277)

ó ne rat $\bar{a}$ le likh-iyā
3SG.OBL ERG letter [M] come CP write-PP.SG.M
'She wrote the letter after coming. (lit. having come, she/he wrote the letter.)' (Pi) (Adapted from Bhatia 1993: 69)
(9.278)

ó lat likh le ga-yā
3SG.DIR letter write CP go-PP-SG.M
'He left after writing the letter (lit. having written the letter, he left.)' (Pi) (EB)
(9.279)

kass le bán
tighten CP tie.2SG.IMP
'Tie it tightly.' (Pi) (EB)

Since Saraiki has three distinct types of these joining participles, these are treated separately in the following section.

### 9.3.2.4 Saraiki catenative, conjunctive, and connective participles

Shackle (1976: 82-83) identifies three types of participles which serve to connect verbs or clauses. In addition to the conjunctive participle common to all three languages (Section 9.3.2.3), it has two other forms: the catenative and connective participle.

The marker of the conjunctive participle in Saraiki is either $\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{ke} /$ (more characteristic of the innovative Central variety), illustrated in example 9.280, or $\mathcal{Z} / \mathrm{te} /$ (characteristic of the Southern or more conservative varieties), illustrated in example 9.281, which is homophonous with /te/ 'and'. In some cases with the appearance of /te/ it is difficult for the analyst to determine whether a given construction consists of a stem imperative plus /te/ 'and', yielding a conjoined construction, or a stem imperative plus the conjunctive participle $己$, yielding a subordinate clause. This is an interesting question for further research.

kīmt- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ ghaṭā ke hukūmat dher cāval vik-vẽd-ī
price-PL.F reduce CP government much rice sell-CS.IP-SG.F
$p a-i \quad e$
CONT.I-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Having reduced the price, the government is causing much rice to be sold.' (Sr) (UK)

ganḍhrī ghaṭ tē banh rakh-o
bundle pull CP tie keep-2PL.IMP
‘Tie up the bundle tightly.' ( Sr ) (UK)

The catenative participle (Shackle 1976: 82-83) is now identical to the stem, for example stem /kar-/, catenative participle /kar/ 'having done'. Its older form ended in /-i/, which has caused disyllabic stems ending in $/ \mathrm{r} /, / \mathrm{r} /$, and $/ \mathrm{l} /$ to change a second, unstressed /a/ to /i/ by retrogressive vowel harmony, for example stem /'ni.kal-/ > catenative participle /'ni.kil/. Two important exceptional forms add /ī/ to the stem: stem اُُه
 > catenative participle ${ }^{\text {مै }}$, /vathīi/ 'having seized. Two important intransitive verbs with vowel-final stems have exceptional catenative participles: stem $\widetilde{T} / \overline{\mathrm{a}}$-/ 'come' > catena-
 /pæ/ ‘having fallen/lain’.

The connective participle (Shackle 1976: 85) is formally identical to the feminine singular of the perfective participle, and is usually formed only from transitive verbs, e.g. سُنُّ / سُنُّ / / / /sunnāī/ 'having caused to be heard', fromanan/ 'to cause to be heard'. It links verbal sequences with the closest temporal connection between the actions. This form is not found in most varieties of Panjabi. ${ }^{29}$

Actions can be linked with the catenative, connective, or conjunctive participles, depending upon the closeness of the connection between the actions of the two verbs. The closest connection is expressed with the connective participle. In linkages with the catenative participle, the action of the first verb precedes that of the second, and is

29 It appears that the frozen Urdu (and Hindi) collocations سنا 2 سنا $/$ / sunāī denā/ 'to be heard' and , / dikhāī denā/ 'to be visible' are fossilized remnants of a formerly more widespread usage.
"subordinate to it" (Shackle 1976: 125). With the conjunctive participle, the two actions are still less closely connected than with the catenative participle. These distinctions are quite subtle, and are illustrated in examples 9.282, 9.283, and 9.284, all selected by Shackle, arranged in descending order of closeness. ${ }^{30}$

- Connective participle - closest temporal connection

$\begin{array}{llllll}e & \bar{a} t \bar{a} & \text { te ghiū ghat ca- } \bar{i} & v \tilde{a} f-o \\ \text { 3SG.PROX } & \text { flour and ghee home } & \text { pick.up-CONN } & \text { go-2PL.IMP }\end{array}$
'Take this flour and ghee home. (lit. 'Pick up this flour and ghee and go home.')' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 126), cited from Clvi (1972: 30))
- Catenative participle - slightly less close connection

kitāb- $\tilde{a} \quad v \bar{i} \quad$ ghin di-t-on-is book-PL also buy.CAT.P give-PP-PS3PL-PS3SG
'He bought books too, and gave them to him.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 125), cited from Clvi (1972: 8))
- Conjunctive participle - least close connection
(9.284)

me vãf te kārd bhij-vẽd-ī $\tilde{a}$
1SG go CP card send-CS.IP-SG.F be.PRES.1SG
'I (F) am going and getting the card sent.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 127), cited from Lashari (1971: 163))

30 The present authors do not know the extent to which these distinctions remain in the language of 2019.

### 9.3.2.5 Imperfective participial phrases

Imperfective participial phrases can employ either an agreeing direct case, or the masculine singular oblique form of the participle, as in examples 9.285 and 9.286 , respectively. These constructions are found in all three languages. Oblique imperfective participial phrases can refer either to the direct object, as in examples 9.287 and 9.288, or to the subject of a sentence, as in examples 9.286, 9.289, 9.290 and 9.291. In example 9.285, the direct form of the adjectival participle modifies the masculine singular direct object of the sentence, 'him’. In example 9.286, a masculine singular oblique imperfective participle (adverbial) highlights the temporal relationship between the two actions of the agent 'they'. In this sentence, nal suffix indexing the agent ('they'). In example 9.287, the masculine singular oblique participle indicates the temporal relationship between the object's act of writing and the agent's act of seeing him/her writing. Note that the endings for the masculine singular oblique form of the imperfective participle are الول/ /eũ/ in Hindko (9.286, 9.287); Uالی/ /eã/ in Panjabi (9.288, 9.289); and اليّيك) /iẽ/ in Saraiki (9.290, 9.291).

| m ${ }_{\text {æ }}$ | $u s-\bar{a}$ | $e$ | barrhā-nd- $\bar{a}$ | dex-iyā |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1SG.DIR | 3SG.OBL-ACC | 3SG.PROX | build-IP-SG.M.DIR | see-PP.SG.M |


 3SG.OBL-ACC catch-IP-SG.M.OBL EMPH jail in put-PP.SG.M PS3PL 'Having been arrested, he was put in prison. (lit. as soon as they caught him they put him in jail.)' (Hk) (AWT)

$m \tilde{\not r} u s-\tilde{a} \quad$ likh-d-eũ $\quad$ un $\quad$ dex-iyā
1SG.DIR 3SG.OBL-ACC write-IP-SG.M.OBL see-PP.SG.M
'I saw him/her writing.' (Hk) (AWT)

mथ̃ os mũḍ-e nũ kuṛī d-e kàr
1SG.OBL 3SG.DIST.OBL boy-OBL ACC girl GEN-SG.M.OBL house.OBL
jā-nd-e $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad v e k h-i y \bar{a} \quad s i \bar{l}$
go-IP-SG.M.OBL see-PP.SG.M be.PST.3SG
'I saw that boy going to the girl's house.' (Pj) (EB)
(9.289)

‘The boy was seen going to the girl's house.' (Pi) (http://www.wichaar.com/ news/122/ARTICLE/13421/2009-04-03.html)

A reduplicated masculine singular oblique imperfective participle carries the sense of 'right in the middle of V-ing, ...[something else happened]', as in the Saraiki examples 9.290 and 9.291. In 9.291, the person to whom sleep came is indicated by the third person singular pronominal suffix /-us/.
(9.290)

$\begin{array}{llllll}\boldsymbol{k} h \bar{a} n-\boldsymbol{d}-\boldsymbol{i} \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} & \boldsymbol{k} h \bar{a} \boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{d}-\mathbf{i} \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} & o & \text { bīmar } & \text { th io } & \text { ga-y } \bar{a} \\ \text { eat-IP-SG.M.OBL } & \text { REDUP } & \text { 3SG.DIST } & \text { ill } & \text { become } & \text { go-PP.SG.M }\end{array}$
‘While eating he fell ill.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 142)
(9.291)

pat ā na ũ kyā bak-d-iẽ bak-d-iẽ nindr knowledge NEG what talk.nonsense-IP-SG.M.OBL REDUP sleep [F]
$\bar{a}-g a-\bar{i}-u s$
come-go.PP-SG.F-PS3SG
'He was overcome by sleep, talking heaven knows what nonsense.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 142) , cited from Clvi (1972: 66))

### 9.3.2.6 Perfective participial phrases

Perfective participial phrases also appear in all of these languages, either in the direct case form, as in examples 9.292 and 9.293, or in the masculine singular oblique, as in examples 9.294 and 9.295.

ó ne mũḍ-e nũ̃ draxat thalle bæṭh-ā ho-y $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
3SG.OBL ERG boy-OBL ACC tree under sit-PP.SG.M be-PP.SG.M vekh-iyā
see-PP.SG.M
'She/he saw the boy seated under the tree.' (Pj) (adapted from Bhatia (1993: 71))

é am pachī bic p-é d-e
3SG.PROX mango sack in lie-PP.SG.M.OBL STAT-SG.M.OBL
am-e kolõ zyādā mițth-ā e
mango.SG.M-OBL from more sweet-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'This mango is sweeter than the one (lying) in the sack.' (Hk) (AWT)

1SG.OBL 3SG.OBL village-OBL in come-PP.SG.M.OBL-STAT-SG.M.OBL
do sāl ho ga-e an
two year.PL.M become go-PP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'Two years have passed since I came to this village.' (Hk) (AWT)
(9.295)

$\begin{array}{lllll}\bar{u}-k \tilde{u} & \text { ith } \tilde{a} & \bar{a}-y-\tilde{a} & h o-y-\tilde{a} & \text { af }\end{array}$
3SG.DIST.OBL-DAT here come-PP-SG.M.OBL be-PP-SG.M.OBL today pandrvhã dĩh hā
fifteenth day be.PST.SG.M
‘Today it was a fortnight since he’d come.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 142), cited from Lashari (1971: 50))

## 10 Morphosemantics

This chapter treats a variety of topics dealing with relationships between form (morphology) and meaning (semantics). Some of these questions have been touched on in the preceding chapters as well, but a more thorough treatment is given here.

### 10.1 Complex predicates

All three languages have complex predicates of two types: (1) Noun or adjective + verbalizing light verb (conjunct verbs), and (2) main verb + vector verb (compound verbs). Both conjunct and compound verbs consist of a combination of elements that form a semantic unit taking a single subject and expressing a single event. Small, closed classes of items serve as the light verb element in both types of complex predicates.

### 10.1.1 Conjunct verbs, or N/ADJ - V, light verb constructions

Conjunct verbs, that is, verbs consisting of a noun or adjective plus a verbalizer, or light verb, are increasingly numerous in all three languages, largely because of increasing numbers of borrowings. The most commonly used light verbs in all three languages are those meaning 'do', 'be/become', 'give', 'take', and 'beat'. Pairs constructed with 'be/become' and 'do’ form predictable intransitive-transitive pairs.

As with many other South Asian languages, conjunct verb formation is the main mechanism by which new verbs enter these languages today. They typically involve a borrowed nominal element (previously mostly from Arabic or Persian, now increasingly from English) plus an indigenous light verb. Predictably, such items are often shared by multiple languages. Illustrative examples are given in this section. These formations vary in the degree to which they can be considered transparent verbal notions, or idiomatic collocations. For example, several verbal concepts involving negative experiences are expressed with the verbalizer 6 /khā-/ 'eat'. These constructions with 'eat' are all grammatically transitive, but can have either intransitive semantics, as in 6 6 6,0 , /tòkā khā-/ 'be deceived' (lit. 'eat a deception'), or transitive semantics, as in ${ }^{6} /$ sir khā-/ 'pester' (lit. 'eat [someone’s] head'). ${ }^{1}$

Table 10.1 and Table 10.2 show conjunct verbs constructed with the adjective صافت /sāf/ ‘clean’ and transitive and intransitive verbalizers, and conjunct verbs consisting of noun + verbalizer, respectively.

[^71]ADJ - V
/ صافـ بونا / sāf hoṇā/ 'to be clean; to become clean' Pj (intransitive)

مافـ ،ووْرا / / sāf hoịā/ 'to be clean; to become clean' Hk (intransitive)
صافت : بوونُ / sāf hovaṇ/ 'to be clean' Sr (stative) (intransitive)
/ صāf thīvaṇ/ 'to become clean' Sr (change-of-state) (intransitive)
صافـرْ / sāf karnā/ 'to clean 'Hk, Pj (transitive)
صافـ كُ / sāf karaṇ/ 'to clean' Sr (transitive)

Table 10.1: ADJ - V conjunct verbs

N-V
/ كم،و. /kamm hoṇā/ 'work to be done/take place' Pj (intransitive)
/كم، كوزو1/kamm hoب̣ā/ 'work to be done/take place' Hk (intransitive)
كُصّونُ / Kamm thīvaṇ/ 'work to be done/take place' Sr (intransitive)
/ كمر /kamm karnā/ 'to work' Hk, Pj (transitive)
/ كمركُ /kamm karaṇ/ 'to work' Sr (transitive)

كهر وينا /dukh deṇā/ 'to cause grief' Pj (transitive)
U6 , , , /tòkā khāṇā/ 'to be deceived' Pj (transitive) ${ }^{2}$
慅 ${ }^{6}$ / sir khāṇā/ 'to pester, bother' Pj (transitive)

Table 10.2: $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{V}$ conjunct verbs

### 10.1.2 Compound verbs, or V-V light verb constructions

All three languages make important use of compound verb formations, as do most other South Asian languages (Masica 1976). By "compound verbs" ${ }^{3}$ we mean complex predicates consisting of the stem of a main verb which bears the main lexical meaning, plus a conjugated form of a vector verb (light verb), which contributes additional meanings. Our preferred term, "vector verb," recognizes the fact that these light verbs include either a concrete or abstract motional component. They form a small closed class, including intransitive verbs meaning 'go', 'come', 'fall', 'sit', 'get up/rise'; and transitives meaning 'give', 'take', 'leave', 'keep'. The transitivity of the vector verb determines the transitivity of the clause.

These light verbs are homophonous with verbs having full lexical meaning. However, when used as vector verbs, they are semantically bleached, losing their normal lexical meaning, and instead contributing an extra semantic component to the main verb in the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {main }}$ - $\mathrm{V}_{\text {vector }}$ sequence. The vector verb can add a range of meanings to an utterance, including other-benefactive, self-benefactive, mirative, completive, volitional, and intensive. Vector verbs often come in pairs that reflect complementary or opposing meanings. For example, when used as a vector verb, ?. /jul-/ (Hk), ج. /jā-/ (Pj), $\dot{\text { Con }}$ و/vãf/ (Sr) 'go’ conveys action away from a deictic center; whereas $\tilde{I} / \overline{\mathrm{a}}-/(\mathrm{Pj}$, $\mathrm{Hk}, \mathrm{Sr}$ ), و/ / $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{-}(\mathrm{Pj})$ 'come’ conveys action toward a deictic center. Similarly, when used as a vector verb, $\quad$, /de-/ ( $\mathrm{Pj}, \mathrm{Hk}$ ), ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\xi}} / \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{de}-/(\mathrm{Sr})$ 'give’ often indicates an action performed for the benefit of someone other than the agent; whereas $\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{læ-/}(\mathrm{Pj})$,
 precise nature of the additional elements of meaning depends on the individual main verb, the vector, and the context.

Compound verb usages are exemplified here for Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki.

### 10.1.2.1 Compound verbs - Hindko

### 10.1.2.1.1 Vector C . /jul-/ 'go'

The most frequently occurring vector in Hindko is $ل$. /jul-/ 'go'. The meanings it usually conveys are of change of state, or completion. It frequently indicates events that are in some way anticipated, as in examples 10.1-10.4.
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farūk soc-iyāáy- ē ki us- $\tilde{a}$ nokrī
Farooq[M] think-PP.SG.M be.PST-SG.M that 3SG.OBL-DAT job
thá jul-s-ī
be.obtained go-FUT-3SG
'Farooq thought that he would get a/the job.' (Hk) (AWT)

mer- $\bar{a} \quad$ prà̀ $\bar{a} x i r \quad \bar{a}-h \bar{l} \quad g a-y \bar{a}$
my-SG.M brother finally come-EMPH go-PP.SG.M
'My brother finally arrived.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.3)

ter-ā tyà̀n kịr-e pās-e
2SG.GEN-SG.M attention[M] which-SG.M.OBL side-SG.M.OBL
ve roṭī sar ga-ī e
be.PRES.3SG bread[F] burn go-PP.SG.F be.PRES.3SG
‘Why aren’t you paying attention? The bread has burned.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.4)

us- $\bar{a}$ sapp lar-iyā te o mar ga-yā
3SG.OBL-ACC snake sting-PP.SG.M and 3SG.DIR die go-PP.SG.M
'A snake stung him and he died.' (Hk) (AWT)
10.1.2.1.2 Vector $\underset{\Downarrow}{\sim} / \mathrm{p} æ-/$ 'fall, lie'
(10.5)

billī dúdd pị̛-e- $\tilde{a}$ lagg pa-ī
cat[F] milk drink-OBL.INF-DAT attach fall-PP.SG.F
'The cat began to drink milk.' (Hk) (EB 1989, unpublished field notes, Abbottabad)

### 10.1.2.1.3 Vector ${ }^{⺊}$

 , /de-/ 'give' is seen in other languages, as shown in examples 10.6-10.11. It conveys nuances of finality.

jis vel-e tu ū ithe $\bar{a}-y \tilde{a} \quad u s$
which.OBL time-OBL 2SG.DIR here come-PP.SG.M+2SG 3SG.OBL
má̃ xat de chor-iyā éy- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
1SG.DAT letter[M] give leave-PP.SG.M be.PST-SG.M
'By the time you came here he had given me the letter.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.7)

ترضرور كــ آل كثّاب ,
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { tud } & \text { zarūr } & \text { kise- } \tilde{a} & \text { kitāb } & \text { de } & \text { chor-ī } \\ \text { 2SG.OBL } & \text { definitely } & \text { someone.OBL-DAT } & \text { book[F] } & \text { give } & \text { leave-PP.SG.F }\end{array}$
ho-s-ī
be-FUT-3SG
'You must have given the book to someone.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.8)

or kise kolõ puch kìn kuī das
and someone.OBL from ask take.2SG.IMP anyone tell
chor-s-ī- $\bar{a}$
leave-FUT-3SG-PS2SG
'Where is the river? Go straight ahead one kilometer and ask someone. Anyone will tell you!'4 (Hk) (AWT)
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(10.10)

$e$ xat likh-ṛ-e tõ bād m $\tilde{æ}$ us- $\tilde{a}$ post
this letter write-INF-OBL from after 1SG.DIR 3SG.OBL-ACC post
kar chor-s- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
do leave-FUT-1SG
'After writing this letter I will post it.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.11)

aksar lok änr̃-ē̃̃ $\quad$ kàr- $\tilde{a} \quad d-\bar{a} \quad$ kūṛā kirkat most people REFL-OBL.PL house-OBL.PL GEN-SG.M garbage trash bắr saṭ chor-d-e-n
outside throw leave-IP-PL.M-be.PRES.3PL
'Most people throw the garbage and trash of their houses outside.' (Hk) (Ayub (2015), Term 4, Story 7, ${ }^{\text {T }}$ [Pollution])
10.1.2.1.4 Vector 4 © /kìn-/ 'take'
(10.12)

'Yesterday I finally found the certificate.' (Hk) (AWT)

5 The form الن /an/ appears to be a variant third person plural, present tense form of 'be'.
(10.13)

raziyā jaldī kapre sịr kìn-s-ī
Razia quickly clothes stitch take-FUT-3SG
'Razia will sew the clothes quickly.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 10.1.2.1.5 Vector / /rakh-/ 'put/keep'

In perfective tenses, this vector imparts a nuance of volitional action with the intent that the result be permanent. Example 10.14, with its Urdu-influenced journalistic style, could be considered Hindko, Panjabi, or Saraiki.
(10.14)

'Pakistan has resolved to maintain moral, political, and diplomatic support for the Kashmiri people.' (Hk, Pj, Sr) (http://www.pakistanpoint.com/skr/ national/news/story-24836.html)

### 10.1.2.1.6 Vector سرط / saṭ-/ 'throw'

(10.15)


| ún- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ | makān | barì̀ $\overline{-}-\tilde{a} \bar{a}$ | šurū | kar | sat-iyā |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL.DIST-OBL | house | make-INF.DIR | beginning | do | throw-PP.SG.M | 'They started to build a house.' (Hk) (AWT)



| māl.movešī | sāre | bec | sat-e | par | fir | bī |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cattle[PL.M] | all | sell | throw-PP.PL.M | but | again | even |

tasallī na ho-ī
satisfaction[F] NEG become.PP.SG.F
'She sold all her cattle, but she still wasn't satisfied.' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 12)
(10.17)

æpal kisī vī kisam d-ā tabsarā kar-aṇ tõ apple any EMPH kind GEN-SG.M comment do-INF.OBL from
inkār kar sat-iyā ve
refusal[M] do throw-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Google and Apple have refused to make any comment on this entire matter.'


### 10.1.2.2 Compound verbs - Panjabi

### 10.1.2.2.1 Vector ${ }^{\text {? } / j a ̄-/ ~ ' g o ' ~}$

Examples 10.18 and 10.19 provide a simple illustration of the difference between the use of a simple verb and of a compound verb with lob /jāṇā/ 'to go'. In 10.19, the vector verb adds the sense of completion of an anticipated event.
(10.18) آك
ikk xat $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
one/a letter[M] come-PP.SG.M
'A letter came (unexpectedly).' (Pi) (EB)

$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { xat } \\ \bar{a} & g a-y \bar{a} & e\end{array}$
letter come go-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'The (awaited) letter has come.' (Pi) (EB)

In example 10.20, the vector 'go' adds a directional component, away from the deictic center 'home'.
(10.20)

'Because of some insignificant matter he left home.' (pi)
10.1.2.2.2 Vector ${ }^{\text {T }} \sim$ ا $/$ /au- $\sim \overline{\mathbf{a}}$-/ 'come'

Notice how in example 10.21 the vector 'come' is associated with an unexpected event affecting the observer, in contrast to 10.19 above, in which the vector 'go' is associated with an anticipated event.


| gusal | xān-e | vic- $\tilde{o}$ | ikk | che | fut- $\bar{a}$ | sapp | nikal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bath | room-OBL | in-ABL | a | six | foot-SG.M | snake[M] | emerge |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ - $\boldsymbol{\overline { a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| come-PP.SG.M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'A six-foot snake (suddenly) came out of the bathroom.' (Pj) (http://www. wichaar.com/news/123/ARTICLE/6313/2008-06-22.html)

In 10.22, auto-benefactive and completive nuances are imparted by the vector 'come'.
(10.22)


| bas | duā | kar-o | ki | huṇ | kō̄ | hal | nikal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| just | prayer | do-IMP.2PL | that | now | some | solution | emerge |

```
a}-\boldsymbol{e
come-3SG.SBJV
```

'Just pray that some solution may emerge now.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 296)

In example 10.23, the force of the vector is directional. Here, the motional component is oriented toward the deictic center ' I ', and the discourse continues describing the next actions of this person ('I'). Compare 10.23 with 10.20 above, in which the motion is away from the deictic center 'home'.

$\bar{a} y-\bar{a}$
come-PP.SG.M
'I came out of his/her/their room.' ( P ) (http://www.wichaar.com/news/123/ ARTICLE/6313/2008-06-22.html)

### 10.1.2.2.3 Vector بی /bǽ-/ ‘sit’

The following pair of examples-10.24 with a simple verb and 10.25 with a compound verb-illustrate the kind of meaning contributed by the vector $\mathbf{/} / \mathrm{b}$ ǽ-/ 'sit'. In example 10.24, the verb 'told' is a neutral statement, without any particular emotional affect. In 10.25 , however, the sense is of dismay about an action that could have unexpected negative consequences.

ón- $\tilde{a}$ mæ-nũ ikk navĩ gall dass-ī
they-OBL 1SG.OBL-DAT a new-SG.F matter[F] tell-PP.SG.F
'They told me something new.' ( P ) (EB)

gall ó-nũ dass bée-ṭh-ī $\tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}$ matter.SG.F 3SG.OBL-DAT tell sit-PP-SG.F be.PRES.2SG
'I just don’t understand why you've told her everything! (Now she will really exaggerate it and tell the whole city!)’ ( Pj ) (Kanwal Bashir, p.c. to T. Conners.)

Since luru/bǽṇā/ 'to sit' is intransitive, the entire compound verb is intransitive. Consequently, the verb agrees with the subject. Therefore, since the verb in 10.25 is feminine, it implies a female agent, the addressee 'you'. The interpretation of the gender of the person to whom 'everything' was told as feminine (told 'her') depends on (cultural) context, and is not determined by the form of the sentence.
10.1.2.2.4 Vector $\underset{\sim}{\sim} /$ pæ-/ 'fall, lie'

This vector can add meanings of inception as in 10.26, chance, suddenness as in 10.27, or finality, as in example 10.27.

gaḍdī ṭur pa-ī
train[F] move fall-PP.SG.F
‘The train began to move.' ( Pj ) (Malik 1995: 315)
(10.27)

pàṝ̄ rá te gaḍ̣̣̄ drævar tõ be-kābū ho-ke
hilly road on car.SG.F driver from without-control become-CP
khāī vic digg pa-ī
ravine in fall fall-PP.SG.F
'On the hilly road, the car went out of control [lit. from the driver] and fell in a ravine.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 487)
10.1.2.2.5 Vector $\sim$, /de-/ 'give'

The vector $\quad$, /de-/ 'give' adds completive or allo-benefactive meanings. Compare examples 10.28 and 10.29.
(10.28)

ó ne ikk xat likh-iyā e
3SG ERG one/a letter[M] write-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'S/he has written a (specific) letter.' (Pj) (EB)

ó ne bāb-e vāste xat likh di-tt- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ 3SG ERG old.man-OBL for letter[M] write give-PP-SG.M
'S/he wrote a/the letter for the old man.' (Pi) (EB)

Example 10.30 shows the vector, in this case $\int$, /de-/ 'give', preceding the main verb, a non-default word order making the statement more forceful.
(10.30)

ó pyālā bar-e zor nāl faraš te 3SG.DIST.DIR bowl[M] great-SG.M.OBL force.OBL with floor on
de-mār-iyā
give-beat-PP.SG.M
' $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{he}$ threw the bowl on the floor forcefully.' ( Pi ) (http://www.wichaar.com/ news/119/ARTICLE/1411/2007-12-05.html)

### 10.1.2.2.6 Vector L/ /æ-/ 'take'

The vector 'take', on the other hand generally imparts auto-benefactive senses.
(10.31)

bacc-ēã ne āpn-ā sār-ā homvark kar
child-OBL.PL ERG REFL-SG.M all-SG.M homework[M] do
$l i-y \bar{a} \quad e$
take-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'The children have done all their homework.' (Pi) (EB)

### 10.1.2.2.7 Vector / / suṭt- ~ saṭt/ 'throw'

The vector سـط /suṭt-/ 'throw' can add a sense of recklessness or carelessness, as in example 10.32, or of a vehement deliberate action, as in example 10.17.

10.1.2.2.8 Vector $\delta /$ /rakh-/ 'keep, put’
(10.33)

us ne apn-iyãa much- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ nū kamāl safāī
3SG.OBL ERG REFL-PL.F mustaches-PL.F ACC perfect neatness
nāl tāo de rakh-iyāa sī
with curl[M] give keep-PP.SG.M be.PST.3SG
'He had kept his mustaches perfectly curled.' (Pi) (http://www.punjabikahani. punjabi-kavita.com/ChhabbiAadmiAteIkKuriMaximGorkyShahmukhi.php)

### 10.1.2.2.9 Vector / / mār-/ 'beat, kill'

The light verb l'ر /mārnā/ 'to beat' conveys vehemence of a deliberate action, as in 10.34.
(10.34)


| ón- $\tilde{a}$ | d-e | xilāf kālam likh mār-iyā |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL.DIST-OBL | GEN-SG.M.OBL against column[M] | write | beat-PP.SG.M |
| '(someone) (forcefully) wrote a column against them/him/her.' (Pj) (http:// |  |  |  |
| wichaar.com/news/127/ARTICLE/12057/2009-02-08.html) |  |  |  |

### 10.1.2.2.10 Vector ${ }^{\text {burw }}$ /chaḍad-/ 'leave, let go'

In 10.35 , the sense of finality, with a negative sense of indifference, is conveyed.
(10.35)

'This habit of that careless person has ruined our life (lit. condition.)' (Pi) (lovely124.blogspot.com)

### 10.1.2.3 Compound verbs - Saraiki


 Some examples follow.

### 10.1.2.3.1 Vector $\dot{\mathscr{C}}$ و /vãf-/ 'go'

The vector $\dot{\text { g. }}$ /vãf-/ 'go' often adds the meaning of (anticipated) change of state, as in 10.36, or of completion, as in 10.37.

zyāt-iy $\tilde{a} \quad$ ạher mungphaliy- $\tilde{a} \quad n \bar{a} \quad k h \bar{a} \quad t æ-k \tilde{u}$
too.many-PL.F many peanut-PL.F NEG eat.IMP.2SG you-DAT
khang thī væ-s-ī
cough.SG.F become go-FUT-3SG
'Don't eat too many peanuts. You will get a cough.' (Sr) (UK)
(10.37)

jih tān̄ī t $\tilde{\not} \quad m æ-k \tilde{u} \quad$ citṭh-ì di-t-ī $\quad h-\bar{\imath}$
when by 2SG.OBL 1SG-DAT letter-SG.F give-PP-SG.F be.PST-3SG.F

| 0 | $\bar{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{g}$-i्iya | $\boldsymbol{h}-\bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.DIST.DIR | come | go-PP.SG.M | be.PST-3SG.M |

'By the time you gave me the letter he had (already) come (here).' (Sr) (UK)
10.1.2.3.2 Vector ${ }^{\text {T }} / \mathrm{a}$ - // 'come'

6 These formations are called "intensive catenative compounds" in Shackle (1976: 123).
(10.38)

'Churn the milk until butter is formed. (lit. keep on churning)' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 34)

### 10.1.2.3.3 Vector $\%$ /po-/ /fall, lie'

This vector occurs with intransitive verbs, often referring to sudden actions or to events in past time, as in 10.39. Passive stems can also be employed in compound verb formations, as in example 10.40. In 10.41, the vector signifies inception.
(10.39)

pa-ī he
fall-PP.SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'A plate of lentils now costs 150 rupees.' (lit. 'A plate of lentils has become of 150 rupees).' (Sr) (adapted from https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/siraiki/conversations/topics/20\#)
(10.40)

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { khīr } & \text { vit-- } \bar{i} j & \boldsymbol{p i}-\boldsymbol{y} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \\ \operatorname{milk}[\mathrm{M}] & \text { spill-PASS } & \text { fall-PP.SG.M }\end{array}$
'The milk was spilt.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 124)
(10.41)

tū roz pahār carh-aṇ kanū thak po-s-ẽ
2SG daily mountains climb-INF.OBL from tire fall-FUT-2SG
'You will get tired of climbing mountains every day.' (Sr) (UK)

### 10.1.2.3.4 Vector r.. /bah-/ ‘sit'

The verb 'sit' functions as a vector in Saraiki following the stem (= "catenative participle") (see Section 9.3.2.4 above), usually in perfective tenses, as in 10.42. According to Shackle (1976: 122), the meaning contributed is 'have finished doing, have already done'. It is not clear to us yet whether it has the nuance of (negative) unintended consequences that it does in Panjabi.

'She had already spoken.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 122), cited from Lashari (1971: 141))
10.1.2.3.5 Vector $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} /$ ghin-/ 'take'

The vector $\mathcal{C}^{6}$ /ghin-// 'take' expresses agent-directed, or self-beneficial action, as in examples 10.43 and 10.44.
(10.43)

| كاتسان |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k y \bar{a}$ | tussã | skūl | $d-\bar{a}$ | kamm | kar |
| Q | 2PL.OBL | school | GEN-SG.M | work[M] | do |
| ghi-d-æ |  |  |  |  |  |
| take-PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG |  |  |  |  |  |

‘Have you done your schoolwork?’ (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 24)
(10.44)

hath dho ghin-o
hands wash take-IMP.2PL
'Wash your hands!' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 28)

### 10.1.2.3.6 Vector ${ }_{\text {tat }} /$ /de-/ 'give'

The vector $\underset{t}{\neq} /$ /de-/ 'give', on the other hand, contributes a meaning of other-directed action, as in example 10.45.
(10.45)

pakhā band kar-de-vo
fan closed do-give-IMP.2PL
'Turn off the fan (for my benefit/at my request).' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 27)

### 10.1.2.3.7 Vector / /rakh-/ 'put, keep'

In Saraiki, this vector appears in construction with the connective participle (stem + $/ \overline{\mathrm{i}} /$ ), as in examples 10.46 and 10.47 , or the catenative participle (= stem) 10.48. The sense imparted is of emphatic continuity, or with simple perfective forms, permanence.

parh-ī rakh
read-CONN keep.2SG.IMP
'Go on reading (don't stop now).' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 131)
(10.47)

jìve in-h $\tilde{a} \quad$ hath- $\tilde{a} \quad$ bahū $\quad \operatorname{ars} \bar{a} \quad \bar{u}-k \tilde{u}$
as.if these-OBL.PL hand-OBL.PL much time 3SG.OBL-ACC
sãbhāl-ī rakh-iyā ho-ve
look.after-CONN keep-PP.SG.M be-SBJV.3SG
'As if these hands had long been looking after her.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 128), cited from Lashari (1971: 288).)
(10.48)


| hikk | dānd | $\bar{u}$ | bold | sat | rakh-iy-æ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | bull | 3SG.DIST.DIR | board[M] | throw | keep-PP-SG.M+PRES.3SG |

'A bull knocked down that signboard.' (Sr) (UK)

## 

The vector ${ }^{5}{ }^{5}$ \% $/$ /chor-/ 'leave' contributes a meaning of other-directed action similar to that of ${ }_{2}^{\prime} /$ /de-/ 'give', as in example 10.49.

pyū mede kanū kutt-ē̃̄̄$\quad k \tilde{\bar{a}} \quad d r u k-v \bar{a}$
father 1SG.GEN-OBL from dog-PL.M.OBL ACC be.chased.away-CS
chor-ie
leave-PP.SG.M + be.PRES.3SG
'(My) father had me chase the dogs away.' (Sr) (UK)

### 10.1.2.3.9 Vector /هת /ghat-/ 'throw, cast'

This vector, seen in 10.50, imparts senses similar to but more forceful than $C_{\mathfrak{z}} / \mathrm{Ge} / \mathrm{/}$


akhtar husæn xān kũ razāmand kar ghat-iu-s
Akhtar Husain Khan ACC agreeable do cast-PP.SG.M-PS3SG
'He/she forced Aktar Husain Khan to agree.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 125), cited from Lashari (1971: 72))

### 10.1.2.3.10 Vector سر//saṭ-/ 'throw'

(10.51)
نازو ا
nāzū apṇ-e hãjũ pũjh satt-iye
Nazu REFL-PL.M tears.PL.M wipe throw-PP.PL.M
'Nazu wiped away her tears. ${ }^{7}$ (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 125), cited from Lashari (1971: 259))

7 Here, 'tears' is treated as an unmarked masculine (see Shackle 1976: 49)

### 10.1.3 The invariant form $\underset{\forall}{\mathrm{v}} / \mathrm{ca} /$ / lift , raise’

The invariant element $\underset{\Downarrow}{\mathrm{b}} / c \bar{a} /$ occurs in Hindko, Saraiki, and some varieties of western Panjabi. Although it imparts nuances similar to those of the vector verbs in compound verb collocations, the grammatical behavior of $\underset{\forall}{6} / \mathrm{ca} /$ is different. It can be analyzed as either the stem (for Hk ) or catenative participle ( Sr , Shackle's term) of the verb for 'lift, raise'. This element occurs before the main verb, where it has semantic effects similar to those of vector verbs, which usually come after the main verb.. A homophonous form occurs clause finally, where it seems to function more like a discourse particle.

### 10.1.3.1 Hindko $\underset{\text { b }}{\text { / }}$ / a -/ ' 'lift, raise’

 verbs: (i) it precedes the main verb, rather than following it; and (ii) it is invariant. Varma (1936:54-55) commented on this form, noting that it can be used with any verb and in any tense or mood in the active voice. Varma compares the effect of pre-verbal $\underset{\Downarrow}{\mathrm{V}} / \mathrm{c} \overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ to the use of the vectors $\leftharpoonup / \mathrm{le}$-/ 'take' and $<$, /de-/ 'give' in Hindi, Urdu, or
 in example 10.52. Examples 10.52, 10.53, and 10.54 are from 1936, but the form is still robustly in use today; see examples $10.55,10.56$ and $10.57 .{ }^{8}$

vat cā kin
again lift take
'Take it again.' (Hk) (Varma 1936: 84)
(10.53)

'I gave it away.’ (Hk) (Varma 1936: 54)
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$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { mæ̃ } & u s-\tilde{a} & \text { utthe } & \boldsymbol{c} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} & \text { rakh-s- } \tilde{a} \\ \text { 1SG.DIR } & \text { 3SG.OBL-ACC } & \text { there } & \text { lift } & \text { put-FUT-1SG }\end{array}$
'I will put it down there.' (Hk) (Varma 1936: 54)
(10.55)


| kade | salīm | $\bar{a} v-e$ | $e$ | katab | us-ko | $c \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| if | Salim | come-SBJV.3SG | 3SG.PROX | book | 3SG.OBL-DAT | lift |

do
give.IMP.2SG
'If Salim comes, give him this book.' (Hk) (EB field notes, Mansehra usage, 1989)
(10.56)

o pæse us kolõ cā kìn
3PL.DIST money 3SG.OBL from lift take.IMP
'Take that money from him!' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.57)

é roṭī us mang-n-e vāl-e- $\tilde{a} \quad$ cā de this bread 3SG.OBL beg-INF-OBL NMLZ-OBL-DAT lift give chor jis- $\tilde{a}$ jātak cher-d-e nẽ leave.2SG.IMP who.OBL-ACC boy.PL.M tease-IP-PL.M be.PRES.3PL 'Give this bread to that beggar whom the boys are teasing.' (Hk) (AWT)
 indicating that invariant چֶ /cā/ 'lift’ does not occupy exactly the same slot as vector
 native participle (Shackle's definition) in Saraiki.

Our contemporary Hindko attestations of $\underset{\forall}{ } /$ cā/ show it in pre-verbal position, but post-verbal $\underset{\Downarrow}{6} /$ cā/ also appeared in the Hindko of 1936, as in example 10.58. In this example, it seems to have the hortative force found in Saraiki and pointed to in footnote 11.

likh~X $\quad \boldsymbol{c} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
write just
'Just write!’ (Hk) (Varma 1936: 77)

### 10.1.3.2 Saraiki $\underset{\text { b }}{\text { / cā-/ 'lift, raise' }}$

Invariant $\underset{\forall}{\square}$ /cā/ 'lift, pick up’ patterns differently in Saraiki than do its vector verbs, and possibly also differently from the way it does in Hindko. In Saraiki, $\underset{\sharp}{6} / \mathrm{ca} /$ can freely either precede or follow, the verb. Shackle (1976: 158) finds a pronunciation dif-
 verb the word preceding / cā/ is stressed, as in 10.59, in which sentence stress falls on © /pakkā/ 'firm'.

'She (quickly) composed her features.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 158), cited from Lashari (1971: 62).)

However, the majority of the contemporary attestations we have found show $\underset{\text { v. }}{\text { / }}$ / following the finite main verb. Some of these are (10.61)-(10.66). The element $\underset{\Downarrow}{7} /$ cā/ is left unglossed here, since its contribution seems so varied, and we have not yet been able to find a satisfactory general gloss for it. In some cases it seems to function as a hortative particle, as in (10.61), (10.62), (10.63). Our consultant (UK) describes it as a sort of "softening" element. It might appear to originate in the verb $\underset{\Downarrow}{ } / \mathrm{ca} /$ / 'lift' as does invariant pre-verbal $\underset{\Downarrow}{ } / c \bar{a} /$; but in post-verbal position it seems to convey different meaning(s). While pre-verbal $\underset{\succcurlyeq}{ } / c \bar{a} /$ contributes meanings similar to those of the vectors in compound verb constructions, post-verbal $\underset{\Downarrow}{\mathrm{V}} / \mathrm{ca} /$, conveys hortative, softening, or perhaps even evidential meanings. Thus it seems possible that post-verbal $\underset{\%}{ }$ /cā/ has a different etymology. ${ }^{9}$

[^75]

3SG.DIR bread 3SG.OBL beggar-DAT give-cā who.REL-ACC boys
chidend-e $\quad p-e-n$
tease.IP-PL.M CONT.I-PL.M-be.PRES.3PL
'Give that bread to that beggar whom the boys are teasing.' (Sr) (UK)

$t \tilde{\bar{u}} \quad n a \bar{a} h e \quad \tilde{a}-d-\bar{a}, \quad t \tilde{a} \quad p æ h l e ~ d a s a \bar{a}-\tilde{e} \quad h \bar{a} \quad c \bar{a}$
2SG.DIR NEG-2SG come-IP-SG.M then first tell-SBJV.2SG IRR cā 'If you weren't coming, than you should have told us first.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 165)

siddhī tarã naca dasā cā $\tilde{\bar{a}} \quad$ mašīn $d-\bar{a}$
straight way name tell.2SG.IMP cā 3SG.DIST.OBL machine of-SG.M 'Tell me its name properly - that machine’s!’ (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 158), translaton slightly modified, cited from Lashari (1971: 62).)

sa-kū vi apnā atā.patā dasso ca
1PL-DAT also REFL.SG.M whereabouts[M] tell-IMP.PL cā
'(Please) also tell us your whereabouts.' (Adapted from https://www.facebook.com/kohe.sulaiman.baloch/posts/947684331973777)

$m \tilde{æ}$ kitāb salīm-kũ dit-æ cā
1SG.DIR book Salim-DAT give-PP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG cā
'I gave the/my book to Salim. (unintentionally, by mistake, in a confused state of mind).' (Sr) (UK)
 This points to (i) the nuance of volitionality contributed by چچحُ \% /chord/ 'leave' and (ii)
the possible involvement of post-verbal $\underset{\Downarrow}{6} /$ cā/in the evidentiality or mirativity-marking system of Saraiki. ${ }^{11}$

'I gave the/my book to Salim. (intentionally)' (Sr) (UK)

### 10.2 Complex durative verbal constructions

Several complex durative/continuative/iterative verbal constructions are found in these languages. They are variously built on the imperfective participle, perfective participle, or verb stem, including constructions consisting of a main verb in several possible
 remain’ pi or ركُّ ركُ /rakhaṇ/ 'to put, place’ Sr . A few of the most common are illustrated in the following subsections.

### 10.2.1 Forms using the imperfective participle

10.2.1.1 Imperfective participle + 'remain'

All three languages have complex durative constructions consisting of the imperfective participle plus a conjugated form of 'remain', as shown in 10.66-10.68.

'This house is better than the one in which we have been living.' (Hk) (AWT)

[^76]

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { ó } & m \bar{a} l & c \bar{a} r-d-\bar{a} & r y-\bar{a} \\ \text { 3SG.DIR } & \text { cattle } & \text { graze-IP-SG.M } & \text { remain.PP-SG.M }\end{array}$
'He continued to graze cattle.' (Pij) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 95)
\%
parh- $d-\bar{a} \quad$ rah
read-IP-SG.M remain.2SG.IMP
'Keep reading/studying (over a period of time)' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 131)

### 10.2.1.2 Imperfective participle + 'go' or 'come'

Constructions consisting of the imperfective participle plus the verbs for 'go' and 'come' are also found in all three languages. This is shown for Hindko in 10.69 and 10.70, for Panjabi in 10.71 and 10.72, and for Saraiki in 10.73 and 10.74. The constructions with 'go' express actions moving forward from a deictic viewpoint, often toward some implied culmination; those with 'come' express actions begun in the past and continuing up to the (present) deictic center.

muhabbat bâd-d-ī ga-ī
love[F] increase-IP-SG.F go.PP-SG.F
'(Their) love kept on increasing.' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 6)

mariam de dāj dikh-aṛ dayār̄̄ bố
Mariam of dowry be.seen-INF.OBL GEN-SG.F preparation many
sāl-ã to kar-d-ī $\bar{a}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad$ éy-ī
year-OBL.PL from do-IP-SG.F come-PP.SG.F be.PST-SG.F
'She had been preparing for many years for Mariam's dowry to be seen.' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 18)
(10.71)


politician-OBL.PL DAT any care NEG that country GEN-SG.F
hālat vigar-d-ī jā-nd-ī e
condition[F] deteriorate-IP-SG.F go-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Politicians don't care that the country's situation keeps deteriorating.' ( P ) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 212)
(10.72)
"ّن اونو.
huṇ óho kúc p-yā ho-nd- $\bar{a} \quad e \quad$ jérā now the.same something CONT.I-SG.M be-IP-SG.M be.PRES. 3 S which pākistān baṇ-an tõ ho-nd- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \boldsymbol{r}$-y言
Pakistan be.made-INF.OBL from be-IP-SG.M come CONT.II-SG.M

## e

be.PRES. 3 S
'The very same thing is happening now which has been happening since Pakistan's creation.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 230)

vakt tezī nāl bhaf-d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad$ vẽed- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \boldsymbol{h} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ time[M] speed with run-IP-SG.M go-IP.SG.M be.PST.SG.M
'Time was swiftly racing past.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 130), cited from Lashari (1971: 78))

sindh vādī vic hazār-ãa sāl-ẽ tū šāirī
Sindh valley in thousand-OBL.PL year-OBL.PL from poetry[F]
thī-nd-īue $\quad \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}-\bar{i} \quad e$
become-IP-SG.F come-PP.SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Poetry has been created for thousands of years in the Indus Valley.' (Sr) (http:
//www.wichaar.com/news/153/ARTICLE/30414/2013-12-28.html)

### 10.2.1.3 Imperfective participle + both 'remain' and ' $g$ o'

Example 10.75 from Saraiki shows the imperfective participle of the main verb with both the stem of 'remain' and a conjugated form of 'go'.

'Please bring him for a monthly checkup.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 40)
10.2.2 Forms using the perfective participle: Perfective participle + $\delta /$ karnā/ 'to do'

In Panjabi, ${ }^{12}$ these perfective participles are invariant masculine singular, and in this construction they are the regular formations كلوه /khloěā/, as in 10.76, /nằ-yā/in 10.77, and /kar-ēā/ in 10.78, instead of the irregular forms used in finite conjugations (كورّا


ethe khlo-ěā kar
here stand-PP.SG.M do.2SG.IMP
'Stand here (regularly).' (Pi) (Adapted from Cummings and Bailey (1912: 96).)
(10.77)

$r o z \quad$ nà̀-yā kar sardiy $\tilde{a}$ vic $v \bar{l}$
daily bathe-PP.SG.M do.2SG.IMP winter in also
'Bathe every day, even in winter.' (Pi) (EB)

[^77](10.78)

```
و
gussā na kar-ĕā kar-o
anger NEG do-PP.SG.M do-2PL.IMP
'Don't get angry (repeatedly)!' (Pi) (EB)
```

Saraiki’s construction differs in at least two ways from that of Panjabi. Notice that in
 regular form 6 ج. $/ j a \overline{y a ̄ / / ~ w h i c h ~ w o u l d ~ a p p e a r ~ i n ~ t h e ~ P a n j a b i ~ e q u i v a l e n t . ~ A l s o, ~ i n ~ S a r a i k i ~}$ the perfective participial form is not invariant masculine singular; rather, it agrees with the subject of an intransitive verb or the unmarked direct object of a transitive. In 10.79 , the subject is masculine singular, but in 10.80 , the subject is masculine plural. In the transitive sentence 10.81, the participle agrees with the unmarked feminine plural direct object 'words'.
(10.79)

ghar $\bar{a} \quad$ ga-y $\bar{a} \quad$ kar
home come go-PP.SG.M do.2SG.IMP
'Keep coming home.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 132)
(10.80)

تساטرُن نـ,
tussã rune na kar-o
2PL cry.PP.PL.M NEG do-2PL.IMP
'Don’t keep crying!' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 132)

bhol-iy $\tilde{a}$ gālh-ĩ na kit-iy $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad$ kar
silly-PL.F words-PL.F NEG do-PP.PL.F do.2SG.IMP
'Don't keep saying such silly things!' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 132)

### 10.2.3 Stem + /-ī/ + ‘go', 'remain', or ‘keep’

A construction consisting of verb stem $+/-\bar{i} /+$ ' go ' is widely attested in Panjabi and Saraiki. ${ }^{14}$ This construction is analyzed in different ways by several scholars. Cummings and Bailey (1912: 95), who do not comment as to the origin of this /-i/, say, when discussing Panjabi: "Continuance is expressed also by prefixing the root (with -ī added) to the various parts of /jāṇā/ and /calnā/," and give example 10.82. Examples 10.83 10.85 are from contemporary Panjabi. We are analyzing these as "CONNECTIVE PARTICIPLES" (CONN) on the model of Shackle's analysis of Saraiki (see Section 9.3.2.4).

sun- $\bar{a}-\bar{i} \quad$ cal
hear-CS-CONN move.2SG.IMP
'Keep on telling.' (Pj) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 25)


| kamm | kar- $\overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}}$ | jā |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| work | do-CONN | go.2SG.IMP |

'Keep on working.' (Pj) (EB)


| ó | bandā | cupp | naй́ | $s \bar{\imath}$ | kar- $d-\bar{a}$ | bol- $\bar{\imath}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.DIR | man | quiet[F] | NEG | be.PST.3SG | do-IP-SG.M | speak-CONN | $\begin{array}{lll}j \bar{a} & r-y \bar{a} & s i\end{array}$

go CONT.II-SG.M be.PST.3SG
'That man wouldn't keep quiet, he kept on talking.' (Pi) (EB)
 merı̄ siṭ hilā-ī ja-ré o my.F seat move-CONN go-CONT.II be.PRES.2PL
'What kind of (good) behavior is this, sir! (Implied: this is not good behavior) You keep on moving my seat.' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 448)

14 Probably in Hindko as well, but we do not have attestations.

Shackle (1976: 85) calls the form consisting of stem $+\sqrt{ } /-\bar{i} /$ in Saraiki the "connective participle", describing it as "formally identical to the f.sg. of the past p[ar]ti[c]iple" , in other words, as ending in /-i/, but not commenting on the origin of the form. Discussing Saraiki connective compounds consisting of stem $+/-\overline{1} /+0 \rho / \mathrm{rah}-/$ 'remain',
 type have a strongly continuative sense, as in 10.86.

yulām nabī moṭar bhaf- $\bar{a}-\bar{i}$ vẽ-dā hā
Ghulam Nabi car run-CS-CONN go-IP.SG.M be.PST.SG.M
‘Ghulam Nabi kept the car racing along.’' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 128)

Because the connective participle ending $\mathcal{\checkmark} /-\bar{i} /$ and the emphatic particle $\leqslant /-\bar{i} /$ are homophonous, this construction has been analyzed for Panjabi by Bhardwaj (2016: 280) as stem + /-ī/ 'emphatic'; he gives the examples in 10.87.

baccā ro-ī jā-nd- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad e$
child cry-EMPH go-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'The child goes on crying.' (Pj) (Bhardwaj 2016: 280)

### 10.2.4 Main verb + 'do' in the same TAM form

Panjabi has a class of complex iterative constructions consisting of a main verb +a form of $ا$ /karnā/ 'to do', in which both the main verb and 'do' appear in the same tense-aspect form. For example:

- Imperative + imperative

ethe nā hass- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}$ kar- $\tilde{\bar{z}}$
here NEG laugh-SG.POL.IMP do-SG.POL.IMP
'Don't make a habit of laughing here.' (Pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 96)
- Infinitive/gerundive + infinitive/gerundive
(10.89)

‘One shouldn’t come here repeatedly.' (Pi) (Adapted from Cummings and Bailey (1912: 96).)
- Subjunctive + future

Regarding this construction, Cummings and Bailey (1912: 96) say, "In the future the terminations -gā, etc., of the first verb are omitted." This yields an analysis like: [subjunctive of the main verb, subjunctive of 'do'] + /-gā/, in which the main verb and 'do’ form a structural as well as semantic unit. Cummings \& Bailey's statement also suggests that the construction is to be found in all persons and numbers. However, the only example they provide (10.90) is in the first person singular. It seems that in contemporary Panjabi too, first person singular usages are most frequent, as in 10.91.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m̃ | kàll- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | kar- $\tilde{\tilde{a}}-\mathrm{g}-\bar{a}$ |
| 1SG | send-SBJV.1SG | do-SBJV.1SG-FUT-SG.M |

'I (M) shall make a habit of sending.' (Pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 96)
(10.91)

m $\tilde{\nsim} \quad \bar{a}-v \tilde{a} \quad$ kar- $\tilde{a}-g-\bar{\imath}$
1SG[F] come-SBJV.1SG do-SBJV.1SG-FUT-SG.F
'I (F) will come (repeatedly).' ( Pj ) (EB)

### 10.3 Causativization and intransitivization: transitivity sets

Causative morphology was introduced in Chapter 8, in Section 8.3.1.1, Section 8.4.2.1, and Section 8.5.1. The three-way stem alternation described there allows for the construction of three distinct clause types: intransitive, shown in example 10.92, derived transitive (= first causative), shown in 10.93, and double causative, in 10.94. These examples are from Panjabi, but the same transitivity relations hold in Hindko and Saraiki.

é kār tez cal-d-ī e
this car[F] fast move-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'This car moves fast.' (Pj) (Bhardwaj 1995: 142)


1SG.DIR car fast move-CS-IP-SG.M be.PRES.1SG
'I (M) drive the car fast.' (Pj) (Bhardwaj 1995: 142)
(10.94)

m $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$ āpn-e puttar koḷ-õ kār
1SG.OBL REFL-SG.M.OBL son near-ABL car[F]
cal-v- $\bar{a}-\bar{\imath}$
move-CS2-CS1-PP.SG.F
'I made my son drive the car' (Pi) (Bhardwaj 1995: 142)

Non-volitional actions are usually expressed with intransitive verbs, illustrated in examples 10.95 and 10.96, where the Hindko and Panjabi intransitive verb /pàjṇā/ 'to break' is employed rather than its transitive counterpart ${ }^{\circ}$. /pànṇā/. In such cases, the involuntary agent, as in 10.95 , is often marked with كولو /koḷõ/ 'from', the same postposition used for the causee or secondary actor as in 10.94. Compare this with the transitive sentences in $10.97,10.98$, and 10.99 which express volitional acts.
(10.95)

pileṭ mere koḷ̃̃ pàjj-i
plate-SG.F.DIR my-SG.M.OBL from break(INTRANS)-PP.SG.F
'I broke the plate (accidentally).' (Pi) (EB)
(10.96)

kāṭhī truṭ-ī
stick[F] break(INTRANS)-PP.SG.F
'The stick broke (by itself).' (Sr) (UK)


| us | kurī | mer-ī | pile | pàn- $\overline{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.OBL | girl | my-SG.F | plate[F] | break(TRANS)-PP.SG.F |

'That girl broke my plate (intentionally).' (Hz) (AWT)


masūd kāṭhī tror-ī
Masud stick[F] break(TRANS)-PP.SG.F
'Masud broke the stick (intentionally).' (Sr) (UK)

The discussion of transitivization and causativization in Chapter 8 presented the intransitive verb as the basic form, from which transitives and causatives are derived. However, with some verbs, the basic form is the transitive, from which the intransitive and the causative are derived. A few Panjabi examples follow here.


Table 10.3: Derived intransitives

Two points should be noted about these verbs. (1) In the derived intransitive forms the stem vowel is shortened. (2) The causative forms are constructed on the derived intransitive stem, not the basic transitive stem. This has the semantic consequence that the causative forms mean 'to have/get an action done (by someone), rather than
to have someone do an action. The secondary agent in such causative constructions is thus more instrumental than agentive. Shackle (1976: 75) notes the same point for Saraiki, "The simple causative . . . of a transitive represents its conversion to the causal of its passive."

Not all verbs participate in the same derivational relationships. For example, the basic transitive verb meaning 'to catch, grasp', Hindko and Saraiki $\stackrel{\%}{\%} /$ pakar-//, Panjabi ${ }^{5} p_{v} /$ phar-/ has no derived intransitive form and has only the derived first and sec-

 someone'.

### 10.4 Passive constructions

Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki all distinguish active and passive voice. When the agent of an action is the grammatical subject, the sentence is in the active voice (e.g. The police caught the thief.), while if the patient is the grammatical subject, the sentence is in the passive voice, (e.g. The thief was caught by the police.). The relationship between active and passive voice in these languages, however, is not a simple transformational one, as it sometimes would appear from English-language examples. For instance, the English example The thief was caught by the police does not translate felicitously to a passive sentence in any of these three languages. Rather, an active construction, 'the police caught the thief', is used.

All three languages have a periphrastic passive construction consisting of the perfective participle of the main verb plus a conjugated form of that language's verb for
 agrees in number and gender with the grammatical subject of the sentence, and the conjugated form of 'go' agrees with it potentially in number, gender, and person, except that if the subject (patient) of the passive sentence is marked with the accusative
 and the form of ' go ' default to the masculine singular form.

In addition, Saraiki retains a morphological passive inherited from Middle Indic. Vestigial traces of this morphological passive are also found in Panjabi. ${ }^{16}$

[^78]
### 10.4.1 Passive construction - Hindko

Hindko's periphrastic passive construction is typical of the general pattern for the three languages. In example 10.100, for instance, the subject of the sentence is كُط /kapre/ 'clothes (PL.M)'. The perfective participle $/$ /sīte/ 'stitched' is masculine plural, and $\begin{aligned} \text { جل } / \text { julsan/ 'will go' is third person plural. Thus the full verb form in this }\end{aligned}$ sentence agrees with the subject in person, number, and gender. In 10.101, where the subject is marked with the accusative $\tilde{U} / \tilde{\tilde{a}} /$, both the perfective participle of 'do' and the conjugated form of 'go' are default masculine singular, even though the grammatical subject (the patient) 'language' is feminine.


| kapr-e | jaldī | sī-t-e | jul-s-an |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| clothes-PL.M | quickly | stitch-PP-PL.M | go-FUT-3PL |

(10.101)

| is | $z u b a ̄ n$ | $\tilde{a}$ | nazar.andāz | $k \bar{i}-t-\bar{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3SG.PROX.OBL | language[F] | ACC | ignored | do-PP-SG.M |

```
ga-y\overline{a}}\quad
go-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
```

'This language has been ignored.' (Hk) (Soz 2009: 6)

In spoken Hindko, however, the passive is infrequently used. For example, 10.102, which appears naturally with a passive in English, is spontaneously rendered in Hindko with a third person plural, impersonal subject in an active construction.

'If you (sg.) go there, you will be murdered. (lit. They will murder you.)' (Hk) (AWT)

### 10.4.2 Passive constructions - Panjabi

Three passive constructions are found in Panjabi: (1) periphrastic lo /jāṇā/ 'goo passide, ${ }^{17}$ (2) vestigial morphological passive, and (3) infinitive plus tyg: /honā/ 'be passive. For discussion of types (2) and (3), see Section 10.5 .1 below on the expression of ability.

The periphrastic passive is almost never used to passivize transitive constructions mentioning both patient and agent; rather, an active construction is preferred. The passive typically appears in written texts, particularly news reports, as in 10.103, but still without naming the agent.
(10.103)
 polīs kārvāī vic aṭh šarpasand mār-e gate police action in eight miscreant.PL.M kill-PP.PL.M go-PP.PL.M jadki bākī farār ho gate while rest escaped become go.PP-PL.M
'Eight miscreants were killed in the police action, while the rest escaped.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 87)

The subject of a passivized transitive verb can either appear in the direct case or be marked with the accusative postposition ${ }^{\prime} /$ nu $/$ /Compare 10.104 and 10.105. In 10.104, with the direct case subject, the sentence is unmarked for volitionality, whereas in 10.105, with the accusative marked subject, it is clear that the thief's being caught is the result of a directed, volitional action.
(10.104)

'Last night a thief was caught in our street.' (Pi) (EB)

17 A second type of periphrastic passive, constructed with the verb stem plus a conjugated form of the transitive verb / 84, 90), e.g. Lb/ / / mār kàttiyā/ 'he was killed', but this type is no longer heard in urban Panjabi.
(10.105)

$\begin{array}{lllll}\bar{a} x a r & \text { cor } & n \bar{u} & \text { phar-iy } \bar{a} & \text { gay } \bar{a} \\ \text { finally } & \text { thief } & \text { ACC } & \text { catch-PP.SG.M } & \text { go.PP-SG.M }\end{array}$
'The thief was finally caught.' (Pi) (EB)

When an agent is mentioned, as in 10.106, passivized transitives yield an abilitative reading, usually in negative contexts.


Intransitive verbs can also be passivized. Passivized intransitives express ability (usually negative), as in 10.107. With passivized intransitives, both the perfective participle and the finite form of 'go' are in the default masculine singular.
(10.107)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lllll}
\text { mere } & k o l o \tilde{o} & t ̦ u r-i y \bar{a} & n a \tilde{\tilde{q}} & j \bar{a}-e-g-\bar{a} \\
\text { 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL } & \text { from } & \text { walk-PP.SG.M } & \text { NEG } & \text { go-3SG.SBJV-FUT-SG.M }
\end{array} \\
& \text { 'I wont be able to walk.' (pi) (Bhatia 1993: 177) }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 10.4.3 Passive constructions - Saraiki

Saraiki has two types of passive construction: (1) morphological passives, formed on the passive stem in /-ii/ (see Section 8.8.5 for the paradigms), and (2) periphrastic passives like those of Hindko and Panjabi.

### 10.4.3.1 Saraiki morphological passive

The Saraiki passive stem in $\mathfrak{C H} /$ /- $\mathrm{ij} /$ is inherited from the Middle Indic passive in /-ijja-/, which itself came from the Old Indo-Aryan passive in /-ya-/ (Bubenik 1998: 118). Compound verbs are readily formed from passive stems, as in examples 10.108-10.111.
(10.108)


| sțešaṇ | te $\quad$ pohc-aṇ | set | mafrūr | sãfan- $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| station | to | reach-INF.OBL | with | fugitive | recognize-PASS |

$\boldsymbol{g}$-æ
go-PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'As soon as the fugitive got to the station, he was recognized.' (Sr) (UK)
(10.109)

khīr vit-ij p-iyā
milk.SG.M spill-PASS fall-PP.SG.M
'The milk was spilt.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 124)
(10.110)

sandūk $\begin{array}{llllll}d-\bar{a} & k u \tilde{u} d ̣ a \bar{a} & \boldsymbol{m u r}-\bar{i} j & \boldsymbol{g}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \boldsymbol{h}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}\end{array}$
box GEN-SG.M lock.SG.M twist-PASS go.PP-SG.M be.PST-SG.M 'The lock of the box had been twisted (open).' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 124)

apr-ij̀-aṇ de bād ō
apprehend-PASS-INF.OBL GEN-SG.M.OBL after 3SG.DIST.DIR
dhak-īj $\quad \boldsymbol{g}$-æ
imprison-PASS go-PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'After being arrested, he was imprisoned.' (Sr) (UK)

The morphological passive also conveys capabilitative meanings in Saraiki, as in 10.112.
(10.112)

mede kanū e van na kap-ī-s-ī
1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL by 3SG.PROX.DIR tree NEG cut-PASS-FUT-3SG
'I will not be able to cut this tree.' (Sr) (UK)

### 10.4.3.2 Saraiki periphrastic passive

The periphrastic passive is also employed in Saraiki (example 10.113). It is often found in formal or written registers, and is increasing in frequency under the influence of Panjabi and Urdu. Example 10.114 is from a Saraiki text written in what Shackle considers the formal style, in the central variety of Saraiki (Shackle 1976: 167). In Shackle's time, however, the morphological passive was the preferred form, at least in speech.
(10.113)


## $\boldsymbol{s a g}-\boldsymbol{d}-\bar{a}$

 be.able-IP-SG.M'This parcel cannot be given to you.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 77)
(10.114)


| $d h i y-\tilde{a}$ | $k \tilde{u}$ | ghar | $d-e$ | $k a m m . k a ̄ r$ | ic masrūf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| daughter-PL.F.OBL | ACC | home | GEN-PL.M | work | in busy |


| rakh-iy $\bar{a}$ | $v \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}-\boldsymbol{d}-\bar{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}-\bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| keep-PP.SG.M | go-IP-SG.M | be.PST-SG.M |

‘Daughters were kept busy in household tasks.' (sr) (Shackle (1976: 167), cited from Haq (1974: 44-45))

There is no significant difference in meaning between the morphological /-ij/ passive and the periphrastic 'go' passive. Passive meanings can be expressed with the passive stem plus pronominal suffixes (10.114 and 10.115). Both of these sentences mean 'If I am killed/beaten'. Importantly, both of them involve the first person singular pronominal suffix / /-um/, which indexes a first-person singular patient.
(10.115)

je mar-ij ge-um
if kill-PASS go.PP.SG.M-PS1SG
'if I am killed' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 132)
(10.116)

je mār-iyā ge-um
if kill-PP.SG.M go.PP.SG.M-PS1SG
'if I am killed' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 132)

### 10.5 Deontic and epistemic modality

Deontic modality refers to ideas of ability, desirability, or necessity with respect to acts by an agent having conscious choice. Epistemic modality includes concepts of possibility, probability, speaker confidence in the truth of an assertion, and inferentiality. Even in Late Middle Indo-Aryan, gerundives were used to express both deontic and epistemic modality (Bubenik 1998: 190), as continues to be the case today. It is often difficult to determine (without access to rich context) which type of modality is conveyed by a particular utterance. For this reason, a range of specific modal meanings are discussed in this section.

### 10.5.1 Ability

Several different construction types are employed to express ability. (1) The most transparent of these involves the stem of the main verb plus a conjugated form of the verb meaning 'be able'. (2) Formally passive, but semantically abilitative, constructions are employed. (3) The verb 'come' is employed to express ability to perform learned skills.

### 10.5.1.1 The verb 'to be able'

 and $\int_{4^{2}}^{\%}$ /sagaṇ/ sr , meaning 'to be able' or 'to be possible'. In all three languages, the simplest expression of ability consists of the stem of the main verb plus a conjugated form of the verb 'to be able'. Most attested instances of this verb are negative sentences, since if a person is able to do something, that usually results in a statement expressing the performance of the action, rather than the ability to do it. Examples follow.

'I (M) cannot go with you to Peshawar.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.118)

assĩ $\bar{a} p n ̣-\bar{a}$ vādā naĩ tor sak-d-e
1PL.DIR REFL-SG.M promise[M] NEG break be.able-IP-PL.M
'We cannot break our promise.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 14)

$e$ bhalā thī sag-d-e
this really become be.able-IP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
‘Can this possibly happen?’ (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 160), cited from Lashari (1971: 58))
(10.120)

'I (M) can't go to Peshawar.' (Sr) (UK)

o țur nā sag-d- $\bar{a} \quad h-\bar{a}$
3SG walk NEG be.able-IP-SG.M be.PST-SG.M
'He wasn’t able to walk.' (Sr) (UK)

### 10.5.1.2 Other intransitive abilitative constructions

As seen in Section 10.3.2.1 above, periphrastic passivization of both transitive and intransitive verbs yields abilitative meanings; see examples 10.106 and 10.107 above, and 10.122 below.


| huṇ mer-e | kolõ | te | naй́ | $j \bar{a}-y \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-\underline{a} \bar{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL | by | TOP | NEG | go-P | F |
| able to go now | Pj) ( | hir | nd Ka | mi |  |

In addition, another class of intransitive abilitative constructions consisting of a nominal plus a conjugated form of l'gr: /hoṇā/ 'to be' are employed in Panjabi. In these constructions, the agent can either be indicated by the postposition كول /kolõ/ 'by', as in example 10.122, or appear in its genitive form, as in 10.123. These construction types are as follows:

- Oblique infinitive + conjugated form of 'be', as in examples 10.123 through 10.129. Bhatia (1993: 235) and Malik (1995: 299) discuss this construction. Bashir and Kazmi (2012) provide more recent attestations. In 10.123 the oblique infinitive of كهِالو /khlonā/ 'to stand' is followed by the negated present imperfect of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{r}}$ / /hoṇā/ 'to be'. The other examples are similarly constructed.

ajj mer-ā khlo-n $\quad$ aī naй $h o-n d-\bar{a}$
today 1SG.GEN-SG.M stand-OBL.INF even NEG be-IP-SG.M
'Now I cannot even stand.' (pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 93)
(10.124)

ter-e kol-õ baksā cukk (cukk-aṇ) naü
2SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL vicinity-ABL box[M] lift (lift-INF.OBL) NEG
$h o-e-g-\bar{a}$
be-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M
'You will not be able to lift the box.' (pi) (Malik 1995: 299)
(10.125)

o d-e kol-õ katāb naĩ
3SG.DIST.OBL GEN-SG.M.OBL vicinity-ABL book[F] NEG
pár-aṇ ho-nd-ā
read-INF.OBL be-IP-SG.M
'Books/the book cannot be read by him. (i.e. He is not able to read books/the book.)' (Pi) (Bhatia 1993: 235)
(10.126)

ó k̇́x-nd- $\bar{a} \quad$ e mer-e kolõ
3SG.DIST.DIR say-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL by
naî̀ enn-ī dūr tak ṭur-aṇ hon-d-ā
NEG this.much-SG.F distance[F] up.to walk-INF.OBL be-IP-SG.M
'He says that he cannot walk that far.' (Pij) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 635)
(10.127) $\square$
mẽ $k$-yắ enn-ī bal-d-ī garmī ic
1SG.OBL say-PP.SG.M this.much-SG.F burn-IP-SG.F heat[F] in
mer-e kolõ naи̃ jā-n no ho-yā
1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL by NEG go-INF.OBL become-PP.SG.M
'I said I could not go out in that scorching heat.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012:

636) 

(10.128)

シ
acchā vekh-o je $\bar{a}-\underline{n}$ ho-ȳ̄a te $\bar{a}$
okay see-2PL.IMP if come-INF.OBL become-PP.SG.M then come $j \bar{a}-v \tilde{\tilde{a}}-g-e$
go-SBJV.1PL-FUT-PL.M
'All right, let's see. If we can come, we will.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 636)
(10.129)

har ikk de jéra piche ṭur pa-e ó de
every one of who behind walk fall-SBJV.3SG 3SG.OBL GEN
piche jā-ṇ naй ho-nd-ā
behind go-OBL.INF NEG be-IP-SG.M
'(One) cannot follow (a person) who follows behind everyone.' (pi) (http:// www.aruuz.com/mypoetry/poetry/111)

- Verb stem (+ NEG) + conjugated form of 'be', as in 10.130 and shown as an option in example 10.124.
(10.130)

mæ-thõ kúc ká naи̃ ho-nd-ā - bas 1SG.OBL-ABL something say NEG be-IP-SG.M - enough
'I cannot say anything - that's all.' (Pj) (http://www.wichaar.com/news/125/ ARTICLE/21393/2010-08-10.html)
- A common noun referring to some sort of activity, like كم /kamm/ 'work, task' + a conjugated form of 'be', as in 10.131.
(10.131)

'We can’t do this work of studying and book learning.' (Pi) (www.siasat.pk > Forum > Lounge > Non-Siasi)


### 10.5.1.3 Ability to perform learned skills: the verb 'to come'

With learned behaviors, like learning foreign languages or driving a car, ability is expressed in all three languages with a construction involving a dative subject construeton and the verb 'to come' (Section 9.1.3.2 above.)

thud- $\tilde{a} \quad$ cin̄ $\bar{i} \quad \bar{a}-n d-\bar{i} \quad e$
2SG.OBL-DAT Chinese[F] come-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Do you (sg. informal) know Chinese?’ (Hz) (AWT)
(10.133)

'I don’t know Sindhi.' (Pi) (EB)
(10.134)

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { unhā-k } \tilde{u} & \text { Sarāiki} & n h \bar{\imath} & \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}-\boldsymbol{n d}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \\ \text { 3PL.DIST.OBL-DAT } & \text { Saraiki[F] } & \text { NEG } & \text { come-IP-SG.F }\end{array}$
‘They do not know Siraiki.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 146)

### 10.5.2 Desirability or advisability

### 10.5.2.1 Vestigial morphological passive

A vestige of the morphological passive (still common in Saraiki) is used in Panjabi, with a deontic modal sense, as in examples $10.135-10.137$. This is a frequently used construction, appearing now only with the imperfective participle, and usually found in negative contexts with a prohibitive sense. ${ }^{18}$

[^79](10.135)


home GEN-PL.F matter-PL.F outside NEG do-PASS-IP-PL.F
'One should not discuss domestic matters outside the home.' (Pi) (EB)
(10.136)

$\tilde{x} j \quad n a \overline{\tilde{y}} \quad k a r-\bar{i}-d-\bar{a}$
like.this NEG do-PASS-IP-SG.M
‘One shouldn't do like this. (lit. It isn’t done like this.)' (Pi) (EB)

bótā hass-ī-d-ā naй
too.much laugh-PASS-IP-SG.M NEG
'One should not laugh too much. (i.e. Don't laugh too much.)' (Pi) (Bhardwaj 2016: 169)

The older attestation in 10.138 shows the construction in an affirmative sentence, apparently without the modal sense.

$\bar{a} k h-\bar{i}-d-\bar{a} \quad h u-n d-\bar{a} \quad s \bar{\imath}$
say-PASS-IP-SG.M be-IP-SG.M be.PST.3SG
'It used to be said.' (Pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 85)

### 10.5.2.2 The verb 'to be wanted'

In Hindko and Panjabi, an infinitive or gerundive followed by a form of wanted/needed' indicates desirability; it is usually translated in English with 'should' or 'ought to'. This form is the imperfective participle (marked adjective) of the vestigial passive of the verb $\begin{aligned} & \text { چֶ / cá-/ 'want' (Section 10.5.2.1). The agent appears with the dative }\end{aligned}$ postposition. Examples follow for Hindko in 10.139, and Panjabi in 10.140 and 10.141. With intransitive complements like those in 10.139 and 10.140, the infinitive form is default masculine singular. With transitive complements like that in 10.141, the infinitive/gerundive agrees in number and gender with an unmarked direct object. ${ }^{19}$

19 In example 10.139, the verb form an ellipsis: ā +e > æ.

tud- $\tilde{a} \quad t \quad$ ææm te uthe ho-ṛā cấi-d-æ
2SG.OBL-DAT time on there be-INF be.needed-IP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG 'You should be there on time.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.140)

mæ-nũ kál jā-ṇā cầī-d-ā sī
1SG.OBL-DAT yesterday go-INF be.wanted-IP-SG.M be.PST.3SG
'I ought to have gone yesterday.' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 83)
(10.141)

$\begin{array}{llll}o ́-n \tilde{u} & \tilde{u} g r e z i ̄ & s i k h-\underline{\imath}-\bar{\imath} & c \hat{a} \bar{i}-\boldsymbol{d}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \\ \text { 3.DIST.OBL-DAT } & \text { English[F] } & \text { learn-INF-SG.F } & \text { be.wanted-IP-SG.F }\end{array}$
e
be.PRES.3SG
'He should learn English.' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 83)

In Saraiki, the regular passive form of /hovaṇ/ 'to be' expresses the meaning 'should, ought to', as in 10.142.
(10.142)

saraikī ṭīcar foram hov-aṇ-ā cāh- $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ - $\boldsymbol{d}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
Saraiki teacher forum.SG.M be-GRDV-SG.M want-PASS-IP-SG.M
hæ
be.PRES.3SG
'There should be a Saraiki teacher's forum.' (sr) (http://sunjjan.blogspot. com/2015/01/blog-post.html)

### 10.5.3 Prospective meanings: Weak obligation, need, desire, intended or expected activity

This category is fuzzy, including a variety of meanings expressed by the infinitive/gerundive, and has been so for a long time. In late Middle Undo Aryan (MIA), the gerundive was reanalyzed as an infinitive (Bubenik 1998: 120), and today in these languages, the infinitive (nominal) and gerundive (adjectival) forms are often homophonous, and often overlap in use. ${ }^{20}$ Sometimes it is not clear whether a given form is an instance of the infinitive or of the gerundive. In such cases we will refer to the infinitive/gerundive. Cases in which the infinitive functions nominally, as subject of the sentence 10.143, or when its oblique form is used in a verbal construction, as in 10.144, are clear instances of the infinitive.

cùth bol-nā $\quad$ pier- $-\bar{a} \quad e$
lie speak-INF.DIR bad-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'It is wrong to lie. (lit. lying is bad)' ( (pi) (Shackle 1972: 78)
(10.144)

kuril ron lag-ī
girl[F] cry-INF.OBL begin-PP.SG.F
'The girl began to cry.' ( P ) (BB)

Since the gerundive occurs in predicative adjectival position, we can conclude that a sentence like 10.145, with the subject 'books', involves the (historical) gerundive. The adjectival form in $\operatorname{ll}$ /vālā/ performs a similar function in both predicative and attribufive adjectival position, as in 10.146.
(10.145)

é katāb-ã pár-n-iyã̃ $n e ̃$
3PL.PROX book-PL.F read-GRDV-PL.F be.PRES.3PL
'These books should be/are to be read.' (Pi) (EB)

20 Bhardwaj (2016: 223) calls the gerundive a "potential participle", and the infinitive a "gerund". The term "gerundive" employed by Shackle, Bubenik, and by us here, comes from the Indological tradition. Other terms encountered for this form are "future passive participle" and "participle of obligation" (Masica 1991: 288).
(10.146)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { é pár-an vāl-iy } \tilde{\tilde{a}} \text { katāb-ã nẽ } \\
& \text { 3PL.PROX read-OBL.INF NMLZ-PL.F book-PL.F be.PRES.3PL } \\
& \text { 'These are books worth reading [lit. worth-reading books].' (Pi) (EB) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Regarding the semantic interpretation of sentences with gerundives, we find ambiguity even at earlier stages of the language. Discussing Late MIA Apabhraṃśa, Bubenik (1998: 193) says, "we cannot be quite sure whether we are dealing with the modal category or the future tense. These are the cases involving the 1st Pers[on] where one hesitates between the volitional 'I want to V' and the future 'I will V' interpretation." This cluster of meanings can be subsumed under a more general category of prospectivity. These meanings are realized in similar but somewhat varying ways in Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki.

### 10.5.3.1 Weak obligation, need, desire, intention, expectation - Hindko

A construction consisting of the oblique or dative form of the actor/experiencer with the direct form of the infinitive or gerundive carries meanings of (a) (weak) obligation, (b) intention, (c) desire, or (d) expectation. Examples involving necessary/intended activity are given as $10.147,10.148$, and 10.149.

mãã ḍākțar kol jul-ṛā éy-ā
1SG.DAT doctor to go-INF.SG.M be.PST-SG.M
'I had to go to the doctor.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.148)

kídar jul-ṛā
where go-INF.SG.M
'Jamil didn’t know where to go.' (Hk) (EB 1989, unpublished field notes, Abbottabad)
(10.149)

| é | kapr-e | tò- $\tilde{-}$-e | $\boldsymbol{n} \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL.PROX | garment-PL.M | wash-GRDV-PL.M | be.PRES.3PL |

'These clothes need to be washed.' (Hk) (EB 1989, unpublished field notes, Abbottabad)
10.5.3.2 Weak obligation, need, intention, expectation, future - Panjabi

An infinitive followed by a conjugated form of 'و̌ / /hoṇā/ 'to be' can indicate desire, intention, futurity, or necessity; the construction is usually translated by English expressions like 'wants to', 'is going to', or 'has to'. When the subject is a human, having agentivity and conscious choice, it appears in the oblique case, as in examples 10.150 and 10.151; or, with some third-person subjects, followed by the postposition $\dot{L} / \mathrm{ne} /$, as in 10.152. Although we continue to label the postposition $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ as ERG(ative) for the sake of consistency, its meaning is clearly different in this type of Panjabi construction from the usual understanding of "ergative" as marking the subjects of perfective transitive verbs. ${ }^{21}$ In the constructions discussed in this section, it marks agentivity. If the subject, typically denoting an inanimate entity, does not possess agentivity, however, it appears in the direct case, as in 10.153. In transitive sentences of this type, e.g. 10.150, the form / لكن /likh-ṇ-ī/ agrees in number and gender with its direct object. In Saraiki, this would be a clearly gerundive construction. We are labelling usages with desiderative nuances GRDV for Panjabi as well. This convergence of the categories, forms, and meanings of the infinitive and gerundive in Panjabi continues a process begun in Middle Indo-Aryan (Bubenik 1998: 190-193).
(10.150)

m $\quad$ ciț̣̣h-ī likh-ṇ-ī e
1SG.OBL letter-F write-GRDV-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'I want to/am going to write a letter.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 84)
(10.151)

'I don't want to/won’t eat (it) (SG.F object, usually ${ }^{3}$, ر/roṭī/ bread, food)' (Pi) (EB)
(10.152)

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { kur- } \bar{i} & n e & j \bar{a}-n \bar{a} & \boldsymbol{e} \\ \text { girl-SG.F.OBL } & \text { ERG } & \text { go-GRDV } & \text { be.PRES.3SG }\end{array}$
'The girl has to/wants to/is going to go.' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 84)

21 See Bashir (1999) on the evolving role of the postposition $\mathcal{L}$ /ne/ in Urdu.
(10.153)


In the Panjabi examples 10.154 and 10.155 , the infinitive refers to an anticipated or predicted action or state.
(10.154)

hāl.cāl puch-iyā te ākh-iyā tussĩ kith-ō
condition ask-PP.SG.M and say-PP.SG.M 2PL.DIR where-ABL
$\bar{a}-e \quad o \quad$ te huṇ kithe jā-ṇā hæ come-PP.PL.M be.PRES.2PL and now where go-INF be.PRES.3SG
'(Someone) asked about (someone's) condition and said, "Where have you come from and now where are you going?"' ( P ) (www.hin.islamicsources.com ... (قص-هֶار-ورويشال-وا)

This anticipated/predicted action can be situated at any time vis-à-vis the moment of speech. For example in 10.155 it is in past time, and in 10.156 and 10.157 it is situated in future time.
(10.155)

my-SG.M.OBL son ERG today ten o'clock come-INF sī par aje nī $\quad \overline{\tilde{l}}-y \bar{a}$ be.PST.3SG but still NEG come-PP.SG.M
'My son was (supposed/going) to come at ten o'clock today, but he still hasn't come.' (Pi) (EB)


| mer-e | puttar | ne | kal | das | vaje | $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}-\underline{n} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| my-SG.M.OBL | son | ERG | tomorrow | ten | o'clock | come-INF |

'My son is (going/supposed) to come at ten o'clock tomorrow.' (Pi) (EB)
(10.157)


| huṇ mer-e | kolõ | te naĩ̃ | jāy $\bar{a}$ | jā-n̄ā |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| now my-SG.M.OBL | by | TOP | NEG | go.PP.SG.M | go-INF |
| 'I won't be able to go now!' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 470) |  |  |  |  |  |

In the example above, the form $\backslash \backslash /$ ج. jāyā/ is the regularly formed perfective participle of جط / l jāṇā/ 'to go'. It appears here in the periphrastic passive construction indicating (in)ability. Compare 10.157 with 10.107 above.

### 10.5.3.3 Weak obligation, need, desirability, intention, expectation - Saraiki

In Saraiki too, the categories of weak obligation, need, desirability, and expectation overlap. The meanings 'need to, be supposed to, have to (in the weak sense)' were expressed in Shackle's time (1976) with the oblique (or direct) case of the person who is to do something (the non-volitional experiencer/agent) plus the gerundive of the verb expressing the action that needs/is desired to be done. In 10.158, with the verb /milan/ 'to meet', you (PL. OBL) is the person who needs to do the action, and the verb $/$ /milaṇ/ 'to meet' appears in its masculine singular gerundive form. The analysis of this example reflects elision of the masculine singular ending $1 / \bar{a} /$ and $<1$ /e/, the third person singular present of 'be'. In example 10.159, with the transitive verb لكم /likhaṇ/ 'to write', the gerundive agrees in number and gender with its direct object كتّاب /kitāb/ ‘book (F)’. ${ }^{22}$ Sentences (10.160-10.164) give further examples. The agreement patterns appearing in 10.162 and 10.163 need to be explored. In 10.162 the third singular pronoun $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{m}} / / \tilde{\overline{1}} /$ ' it ' is clearly oblique, whereas in 10.163 the feminine


$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { تسان واك }  \tag{10.158}\\
& \text { tuss } \tilde{a} \quad \text { lākțtar sæhib kũ mil-ṇ-æ } \\
& \text { 2PL.OBL doctor HONORIFIC ACC meet-GRDV-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG } \\
& \text { 'You must meet the doctor.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 139) }
\end{align*}
$$

22 Shackle (1976), along with most others, treats كثّ /kitāb/ 'book' as feminine, but our consultant (UK) treats it as masculine.
(10.159)


च̄ kitāb likh-ṇ-ī ha-ī
3SG.OBL book.SG.F write-GRDV-SG.F be.PST-SG.F
'S/he was (supposed) to write a book.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 148)
(10.160) (
$t æ \quad v a \tilde{f}-n \bar{a} \quad h \bar{a}$
2SG.OBL go-GRDV.SG.M be.PST.SG.M
'You should have gone.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 147)

It appears that this construction may have changed somewhat since Shackle's time. Compare 10.161, in which the experiencer takes the dative case, with 10.158 , in which the experiencer is in its oblique form.
(10.161)

$\boldsymbol{t æ - k u \tilde { u } \quad \text { lākțar kane vãf-ṇ-æ }}$
2SG.OBL-DAT doctor near go-GRDV-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'You have to go to the doctor.' (Sr) (UK)
(10.162)

$\tilde{\boldsymbol{i}} \quad$ dah vafe ravānā thī-vuṇã $\tilde{a}$
3SG.PROX.OBL ten o'clock departed become-GRDV.SG.M
$h a-i$
be.PST-SG.F
'It was supposed to depart at ten o'clock.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 47)

gaḍ̣̣ı̄ rāt de aḍhā̄ vafe rāvalpinḍī puf-ṇ-ā train.F.OBL night GEN $21 / 2$ o'clock Rawalpindi reach-GRDV-SG.M
$h-\bar{a}$
be.PST-SG.M
'The train was to reach Rawalpindi at 2:30 a.m.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 139), cited from Lashari (1971: 120))

In 10.164 a volitional agent 'the child' appears in the oblique case; compare 10.164 with 10.161 above.
(10.164)


| par | bāl | $n a$ | $6 æ h i r$ | $\bar{a} v-n-\bar{a}$ | $h \bar{a}$ | $t e$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| but | child.M.OBL | NEG | out | come-GRDV-SG.M | be.PST.SG.M | and |
| $n a$ | $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEG | come-PP.SG.M |  |  |  |  |  |

'But the child was not going to come out, and didn't.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 139) cited from Lashari (1971: 99).)

Desirable but unrealized acts or states are expressed with irrealis constructions. In 10.165 we see irrealis I, and in 10.166 irrealis II.
(10.165)

$\begin{array}{lllll}t \tilde{\bar{u}} & \bar{o} & \text { kitāb } & \text { ghin- } \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}} & \boldsymbol{h}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \\ \text { 2SG.DIR } & \text { that } & \text { book[M] } & \text { take-SBJV.2SG } & \text { be.PST-SG.M }\end{array}$
'You (SG) should have bought that book.' (Sr) (UK)

```
او بو! بـ يّ وولت ونر ،ونرا
```

o-ho! je mẽ dolatvand ho-nd- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
oh if 1SG.DIR rich be-IP-SG.M
'Oh! Would that I (M) were rich.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 70)

### 10.5.4 Presumption (epistemic modality)

A presumptive statement is one that speakers make based on their best knowledge of a likely state of affairs. It overlaps in some cases with the meaning we have called "expectation" in Section Section 10.5.3.2, but in some cases is distinctively presumptive. The infinitive/gerundive is frequently used with this meaning similarly to the way in which presumptive meaning is conveyed by a future/presumptive form. For example, in 10.167, the infinitive/gerundive phrase وّ و، /vekhī hoṇī/ conveys the meaning of 'must have seen'. It is feminine singular, agreeing with its direct object 'video'.
(10.167)


| swāt | de | tæsīl | kabal | $d-\bar{\imath}$ | kur- $\bar{\imath}$ | $n \tilde{\bar{u}}$ | tore |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Swat | GEN | sub-district | Kabul | GEN-SG.F | girl-SG.F | ACC | lashes |

mār-aṇ $\quad d-\bar{\imath} \quad$ vidieiyo tussĩ $\quad v \bar{\imath} \quad$ vekh-ī
beat-INF.OBL GEN-SG.F video[F] 2PL.DIR also see.PP-SG.F
ho-ṇ-ī $\bar{a}$
be-GRNDV-SG.F HORT
'You too must have seen the video of the girl from Tehsil Kabal in Swat being lashed.' (Pi) (http://www.wichaar.com/news/122/ARTICLE/ 13422/2009-04-03.html)
(10.168)


'You must not even have informed (anyone), otherwise it (F) would have happend.' (Pi) (http://www.hamariweb.com/poetries/poetry.aspx?id=16940)

### 10.5.5 Strong obligation or compulsion

In all three languages an infinitive or gerundive followed by a conjugated form of the
 or lack of choice; this construction is usually translated as 'must', 'be obliged to', or 'have to' (in the strong sense). This is another instance of the dative or oblique subject construction, in which the person compelled to do something appears in the oblique or dative case, and the compelled action is an infinitive (or gerundive) which is the grammatical subject of the sentence. If that infinitive/gerundive is of a transitive verb, it agrees in number and gender with an unmarked direct object, as in 10.169 ; if it is intransitive, the infinitive/gerundive is default masculine singular, as in 10.170 and 10.171.
10.5.5.1 Strong obligation or compulsion - Hindko In Hindko, a form of the verb 1 瓷/pæṛãa/ 'to fall' is used.

assã jaldī kar-n-ī pæ-s-i
1PL.OBL hurry.SG.F do-GNDV-SG.F fall-FUT-3SG
'We will have to hurry.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.170)

ass kal fazrī saver-e uth-r̄ā pæ-s-ī
1PL.OBL tomorrow dawn morning-OBL rise-INF.DIR fall-FUT-3SG
'We will have to get up very early tomorrow morning.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 10.5.5.2 Strong obligation or compulsion - Panjabi

In Panjabi, a form of the verb
(10.171)

kál $\quad$ ā-nũ $\quad j \bar{a}-n ̣ \bar{a} \quad$ a $a-v e-g-\bar{a}$
tomorrow 1PL.OBL-DAT go-INF.DIR fall-SBJV.3SG-FUT-SG.M
'We will have to go tomorrow.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 84)
(10.172)

اوتنال نون مثّا بيا
ón- $\tilde{a} \quad n \bar{u}$ mur-nā $\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{y} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$
3PL.DIST-OBL DAT turn-INF fall-PP.SG.M
'They had to turn back.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 84)

### 10.5.5.3 Strong obligation or compulsion - Saraiki

In Saraiki, the compelled action is in the gerundive form. In the case of transitive verbs, both the gerundive and the form of object (examples 10.173 to 10.175 ). As with the other languages, when a direct object bears the accusative marker, as in 10.176, or when the infinitive is of an intransitive verb, as in 10.177, both the gerundive and the form of masculine singular.
(10.173)

$\bar{u}-k \tilde{u} \quad$ bahũ sāre xat likh-n-e po-s-in
3SG.OBL-DAT very all letter.PL.M write-GRDV-PL.M fall-FUT-3PL 'S/he will have to write lots of letters.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 148)
(10.174)

(10.175)

mæ-k $k$ kitn-e pæse jamā
1SG.OBL-DAT how.much-PL.M money.PL.M deposited

## kar-у-ṇ-e po-s-in <br> do-CS-GRDV-PL.M fall-FUT-3PL

'How much money will I have to pay.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 57)
(10.176)

ī nikk-ī ku$\quad t æ-k \tilde{u}$
3SG.PROX.OBL little.one-SG.F ACC 2SG.OBL-DAT
pāl-ṇā
po-s-ī
bring.up-GRDV-SG.M fall-FUT-3SG
'You will have to bring up this little girl.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 149), cited from Lashari (1971: 12).)
(10.177)


| tuhā-k $\tilde{\bar{u}}$ | sĩgāpor | ruck- $\boldsymbol{n}-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\boldsymbol{p o - s - i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2PL.OBL-DAT | Singapore | stop-GRDV-SG.M | fall-FUT.3SG |

'You will have to stop in Singapore.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 48)

### 10.5.6 Infinitive/gerundive as distanced (softened) imperative

In all three languages, the infinitive or gerundive may be used as a distanced imperative, where distance may be spatial, temporal, and/or social. ${ }^{23}$ For instance, it can be used to tell someone to do something at some (hypothetical or unspecified) time in the future; thus it is appropriate for public notices, announcements, and instructions. It is unmarked with regard to social status and formality or politeness distinctions. In gerundive constructions like those illustrated in the preceding sections, the gerundive is followed by a finite verb (conjugated form of 'be' or 'befall'). When the infinitive/gerundive is used as a distanced imperative, it appears without a finite auxiliary, as a bare gerundive/infinitive.
10.5.6.1 Hindko and Panjabi infinitive/gerundive as distanced (softened) imperative


'You go with me tomorrow instead of him.' (Hz) (Peshawar Hindko, Taker (2014: 113), cited from Mali 2003: 141)

In Panjabi and Hindko, negatives are formed with $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} /$, as shown in examples 10.179 - 10.181. See also Section 8.5.1.
(10.179)

éthe samān na rakh-ṇā
here luggage.M NEG put-INF/GRDV.SG.M
‘Don’t put luggage here!' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 78)

23 Bashir and Kazmi (2012: 653) call this usage the "urbanized future imperative."
(10.180)
 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { pākistān } & j \bar{a}-k e & \text { mer-e } & \text { vāste } & \text { panjābī } & d \text {-iyã } & \text { kúc } \\ \text { Pakistan } & \text { go-CP } & \text { 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL } & \text { for } & \text { Panjabi } & \text { GEN-PL.F } & \text { som }\end{array}$
Pakistan go-CP 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL for Panjabi GEN-PL.F some
katāb- $\tilde{a} \quad$ læ-ṇ-iy $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
book-PL.F buy-INF/GRDV-PL.F
'When you go to Pakistan, buy some Panjabi books for me.' (Pj) (EB)
(10.181)

siraf skūl d-iy $\tilde{a} \quad$ katāb- $\bar{a} \quad i \quad$ pár-n-iy $\overline{\tilde{a}}$
only school GEN-PL.F book-PL.F EXCL read-INF/GRDV-PL.F
‘Only read (your) schoolbooks.' (Pi) (http://www.sanjhapunjab.net/ajitkaur/)

### 10.5.6.2 Saraiki gerundive as imperative

In Saraiki, the comparable construction involves the gerundive, as in 10.182. Especially in negative contexts, it functions as a forceful command, e.g. 10.183. Notice that with this emphatic prohibition, the negative element is ative imperatives or subjunctives the simple negative particle $\dot{\sim} / \mathrm{na} /$ appears, as in $10.184 .{ }^{24}$
(10.182)

rahmat k $\tilde{u}$ ākh jo $d \bar{u}$ ser ālū
Rahmat DAT say.2SG.IMP that two seer.PL.M potato.PL.M
$\bar{a}-\underline{n}-e$
bring-GRDV-PL.M
‘Tell Rahmat to bring two seers of potatoes.' (Sr) (UK)

24 Homophony between the gerundive and the infinitive, and the use of $\mathcal{U}$ " /naĩ/ 'is not, NEG.EMPH' with the gerundive as a negative imperative in Saraiki example 10.183 may have influenced the recent appearance of $4 \times{ }^{\dot{B}} /$ naĩ/ 'not, do not' with ordinary imperatives in Panjabi (and also Urdu), e.g.
(10.183)

nã̃ vãf-ṇā
NEG go-GRDV.SG.M
'Don’t go!’ (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 139)
(10.184)

$\tilde{i}-k \tilde{u} \quad$ bhul $n a \quad v a \tilde{a} f-\tilde{e}$
3SG.PROX.OBL-ACC forget NEG go-SBJV.2SG
'Don't forget this.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 26)

### 10.6 Referentiality: Definiteness, indefiniteness, genericity

Neither Hindko, Panjabi, nor Saraiki has either a definite article like English 'the' or a single indefinite article like English 'a’. Definiteness (i.e. unique referential status of a given noun phrase) is marked in different ways, distributed through the grammar. One means of marking definiteness is by positioning a nominal in sentence-initial (topic) position, signifying that it is old information, hence definite, e.g. 10.185 and 10.186. Marking an animate direct object with the accusative postposition can also indicate definiteness, as in 10.187. Demonstrative adjectives also indicate definiteness, as in 10.188. Inanimate direct objects, even when definite, often appear in the direct case, as in 10.188.

rājā āp-e tõ báar ho ga-yā
king self-OBL from outside become go-PP.SG.M
'The king was beside himself (with rage).' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 7)
(10.186)

katāb- $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \mathrm{mez}$ de thalle nẽ
book-PL.F table GEN below be.PRES.3PL
'The books are underneath the table.' (Pj) (EB)
(10.187)

$\tilde{u} \quad \operatorname{cor}-k \tilde{u} \quad$ hikk kātī nāl
3SG.DIST.OBL thief.OBL-ACC a knife with
mār-iye
kill-PP.SG.M+ be.PRES.3SG
'S/he killed the thief with a knife.' (Sr) (UK)
(10.188)

e ghar mẽ baṇā-ye
3SG.PROX.DIR house 1SG.DIR make-PP.SG.M+ be.PRES.3SG
'I built this house.' (Sr) (UK)

Definite noun phrases tend to occur with certain tense-aspect forms (Sections 8.6.2.2 and 8.9.3.4.7), like the continuous-I and II forms, because of the strong sense of actuality they convey, as in 10.189. In contrast, non-specific indefinite nominals tend to occur with imperfective habitual tense-aspect forms, as in 10.190.
(10.189)

kuriy- $\quad$ à̀ $n d ̣ e ~ t o ̀ n-d-i y a ̃ ̃ ~ p-i y \tilde{\tilde{a}} \quad n e \tilde{a}$
girl-PL.F vessels wash-IP-PL.F CONT.I-PL.F be.PRES.3PL
‘The girls are washing dishes.' (Pi) (EB)
(10.190)

kuriy- $\quad$ äa $\quad d-\bar{a} \quad$ kamm kar-d-iyã $\quad$ ho-nd-iy $\tilde{a}$
girl-PL.F house GEN-SG.M work[M] do-IP-PL.F be-IP-PL.F
$n e ̃$
be.PRES.3PL
'Girls (usually) do housework.' (Pi) (EB)

Non-specific indefinite noun phrases can either be unmarked, as in 10.190, or marked with the word كَك /koī/ ‘some, any’, as in 10.191. Specific indefinites are usually marked with the word also meaning ‘one’, كب /hikk/ Hk, Sr , ال؟ /ikk/ Pj , as in 10.187.

$\begin{array}{cccc}o-n u ̄ & \text { ü } \\ \text { ãā } \\ c i ̄ z & d e-n ̣-i ̄\end{array}$
3SG.DIST.OBL-DAT some/any good.SG.F thing[F] give-INF/GNDV-SG.F
cẫi-d-ī e
be.wanted-IP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'She/he should be given something good (or) Someone should give him/her something good.' (Pj) (EB)

Generic referents are usually expressed with a singular noun phrase, as in 10.192.
(10.192)

parind-e $\quad d$-iy $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ do lath- $\tilde{a}$ ho-nd-iyãa $n e$
bird-SG.M.OBL of-PL.F two leg-PL.F be-IP-PL.F be.PRES.3PL
'Birds have two legs.' (Pj) (EB)

### 10.7 Evidentiality and mirativity

Evidentiality and mirativity distinctions are not morphologically marked in these languages. Rather, they are indicated syntactically or lexically. Compare the following set of Hindko sentences. In each case, the basic sentence is the same, regardless of whether the reported event was witnessed by the speaker or hearsay, or whether it is old or newly acquired information. Thus the Hindko sentence in 10.193 could be followed by any of the continuations in 10.194, 10.195, and 10.196.
(10.193)


| salīm | $d-e$ | pyo | é | kàr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Salim | GEN-SG.M.OBL | father | 3SG.PROX | house[M] $\bar{a} y \bar{a}$ |
| make-PP.SG.M |  |  |  |  |
| éy- $\bar{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| be.PST-SG.M |  |  |  |  |
| 'Salim's father made this house.' (Hk) (AWT) |  |  |  |  |

(10.194)


jis tarhãa mã sự-iyā e
which.REL.OBL matter 1SG hear-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'. . . as I have heard' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.196)


| mer-e | ilam | bic | hur | $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL | knowledge.OBL | in | now | come-PP.SG.M |

$e$
be.PRES.3SG
'. . . I have just learned this.' (Hk) (AWT)

However, like the indication of referentiality status, mechanisms indicating evidentiality and mirative semantics are distributed throughout the grammar in these languages. Mirative semantics are associated with the compound verb vs. simple verb distinction; see examples 10.18 and 10.19 above. Although this has not been investigated specifically for the languages discussed here, it is also likely that the choice between a tensemarked perfective and a simple perfective functions the same way in them as it does in Hindi and Urdu. That is, simple perfectives tend to occur with unexpected or new information, ${ }^{25}$ while tense-marked perfectives express already established information. Also, recall that the use of the vector r. /bǽ-/ 'sit', at least in Panjabi, is often associated with unanticipated (negative) consequences, as illustrated in 10.25 above. See also footnote 18 in Section 10.1.3.2 on post-verbal $\underset{\Downarrow}{\mathrm{q}} / \mathrm{c} \overline{\mathrm{a}} /$ in Saraiki.

25 For discussion of this effect in Hindi and Urdu, see Montaut (2001) and Bashir (2006).

### 10.8 Expression of "possession"

In all three languages discussed here, different types of "possession"-inalienable, alienable, and intangible/abstract-which in English are generally expressed with the transitive verb 'to have', are conceptualized as intransitive, locative relations and are expressed with the verb 'to be' and various postpositions. ${ }^{26}$ The specific construction depends on whether the "possession" is permanent (inalienable) or temporary (alienable), and whether the entity "possessed" is concrete or abstract.
 ~ dī ~ diyã// 'of' or وسَ وשׁ /de vic/ 'in' for third person "possessor" entities, and the genitive forms (marked adjectives) of the first and second person pronouns are used to express inalienable possession-a permanent relation between things that are usually, customarily, or intrinsically connected, such as relatives, body parts, a home, a quality, a permanent part or quality of some entity. Alienable, or temporary, possession or control of tangible things is expressed in all three languages with a postposition mean-
 abstract entities or states is often expressed with a dative subject construction.

### 10.8.1 Inalienable possession


ter-e kitn-e pæ̀ $\tilde{r}$ prà̀ nẽ
2SG.GEN-PL.M how.many-PL.M sister brother be.PRES.3PL
'How many brothers and sisters do you have?' (Hk) (AWT)

os band-e vic/d-ī bar-ī himmat e that.OBL man-OBL in/of-SG.F great-SG.F courage[F] be.PRES.3SG 'That man has great courage.' (Pi) (EB)

Notice that the Saraiki expression in 10.199 , corresponding to 10.197 in Hindko, shows a dative subject construction.

26 These languages thus fall into the 'B-language' type in the widely discussed 'be' vs. 'have' typology (e.g. Isacenko 1974).
(10.199)

$\boldsymbol{t æ}$-kũ cokhe bheṇ bhirā hĕn
2SG.OBL-DAT how.many sister brother be.PRES.3PL
'How many brothers and sisters do you have?' (Sr) (UK)
(10.200)


For inanimate "possessors", only inalienable possession is possible, as shown in 10.201.
(10.201)

الّ
æs kamr-e d-e /vic cār darvāz-e nẽ
this room-OBL GEN-SG.M.OBL /in four door-PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'This room has four doors.' (Pi) (EB)

### 10.8.2 Alienable possession

(10.202)

ter-e kol dah rupe hæn
2SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL with ten rupees.PL.M be.PRES.3PL
'Do you have ten rupees (with you now)?' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.203)

ó d-e kol panjābī d-iyã cār kitāb-ã
3SG.OBL GEN-SG.M.OBL with Panjabi GEN-PL[F] four book-PL[F]
nẽ
be.PRES.3PL
'S/he has four Panjabi books (with her/him now).' (Pj) (EB)
(10.204)

ted-e kane dāh rupe hĕn
2SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL with ten rupees be.PRES.3PL
'Do you have ten rupees (with you now)?' (Sr) (UK)

### 10.8.3 Abstract "possession"

In all three languages a non-direct case form is used to express the temporary "possession" of (i.e. being affected by) intangible or abstract things such as environmental, bodily, or emotional states; like feeling heat or cold, illnesses, and emotions. In Hindko it is the oblique case, and in Panjabi and Saraiki the dative.
(10.205)

mẫ buxār e
1SG.OBL fever be.PRES.3SG
'I have a fever.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.206)

mæ-n $\tilde{u} \quad$ bar-ī $\quad$ xušī $\quad$ ho-ī ki

1SG.OBL-DAT much-SG.F happiness[F] become-PP.SG.F that
ter- $\bar{a}$ puttar pās ho ga-y $\bar{a}$
2SG.GEN-SG.M son pass become go-PP.SG.M
'I am very happy that your son passed (the examination).' (Pj) (EB)
(10.207)

mæ-kи̃ buxār he
1SG-DAT fever be.PRES.3SG
'I have a fever.' (Sr) (UK)

### 10.9 Causal relations

### 10.9.1 Expressions of reason/cause (SOURCE)

Reasons are expressed with several constructions. Subordinate clause structures are frequently used, as illustrated in examples 10.208, 10.209, and 10.210. In 10.208, the subordinating conjunctions كيو. son)’ appear. Urdu كيونك /kyõki/ is also frequent in Panjabi, as in 10.209. These same conjunctions are also used in Saraiki, as in example 10.210 from Shackle (1976: 70).
(10.208)
 $\begin{array}{lllllll}t o ̃ & v a k h r-e & s a n & \text { æs } & \text { laī } & \text { bādšā } & \text { kise } \\ \text { from } & \text { separate-PL.M } & \text { be.PST.3PL } & \text { 3SG.PROX.OBL } & \text { for } & \text { king } & \text { any.OBL }\end{array}$ nạ̄ vī sǽmat na ho-yā
with EMPH agreeable NEG become-PP.SG.M
'Because their replies to all the questions differed from each other, the king did not agree with anyone.' (pi) (http://monthlyanhad.blogspot.com/2016/ 06/blog-post.html)
(10.209)
 mer- $\bar{a} \quad x y \bar{a} l \quad e \quad$ tussī $\bar{a} p n-\bar{a} \quad$ kot 1SG.GEN-SG.M opinion be.PRES.3SG 2PL.DIR REFL-SG.M coat.SG.M $p \bar{a}$ la-vo kyõki bá́r kāfī ṭhand $e$ put.on take-IMP.2PL because outside much cold be.PRES.3SG 'I think you should put your coat on because its very cold outside.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 135)

kyũ.jo mī̄h $e \quad a s s a \tilde{a}$ bāhir nā ve-s-u because rain be.PRES.3SG 1PL.DIR outside NEG go-FUT-1PL
'Since it's raining we won't go out.' (Sr) (UK)

Postpositional expressions with a noun or oblique infinitive expressing the reason for something are a second major construction type, illustrated in 10.211-10.213. Ablative postpositions like وّول / إول /tõ/ in 10.211 or pārõ/ in 10.213 clearly show reason conceptualized as an abstract SOURCE.
(10.211)


| taklif | $d-i$ | váj $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\boldsymbol{t} \tilde{\boldsymbol{o}}$ | $o$ | $z a r a ̄$ | $v \bar{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pain | GEN-SG.F | reason[F] | from | 3SG.DIST | bit | EMPH |

țur-n-e jog- $\bar{a} \quad n a \tilde{\imath} \quad r$-y $\overline{\bar{a}}$
walk-INF-OBL fit.to-SG.M NEG remain-PP.SG.M
'Because of feeling such pain, he wasn't able to walk at all.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.212)

قاسم
kāsim ne soc-iyā šæd o dar
Qasim ERG think-PP.SG.M perhaps 3SG.DIST.DIR fear
d-e märe andar luk ga-ī e
GEN-SG.M.OBL because.of inside hide go-PP.SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Qasim thought, maybe she has hidden inside because of fear.' (Pj) (http://www.punjabikahani.punjabi-kavita.com/ SharifanSaadatHasanMantoShahmukhi.php)

band sīvarej pārõ gaḷi vic gandā pāṇī phæl-iyā
blocked sewers because.of street in dirty water spread-PP.SG.M
ho-iyā sī
become-PP.SG.M be.PST.3SG
'There was dirty water in the street because of blocked sewers.' (pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 108)

All three languages have postpositions derived from grammaticized forms of $\int /$ kar/ 'do’. Hindko’s كَّ /kīte/ is an oblique masculine singular perfective participle, as in 10.214; Panjabi has a grammaticized conjunctive participle $/$ /karke/ 'having done', as in 10.215; and Saraiki has the form ${ }^{\bullet}$ b/ , /de kāṇ/ 'because of', as in 10.216.


| is | kīte | har | kise |  | $\bar{a} s t e$ | havā̄ | jà̀z |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG.PROX | because.of | each | someone.OBL | for | air | ship |  |
| $d-\bar{a}$ | safar | kar-nā | mumkin | $n \bar{l}$ | ho-nd- $\bar{a}$ |  |  |
| GEN-SG.M | travel[M] | do-INF | possible | NEG | be-IP-SG.M |  |  |

'For this reason, it isn't possible for everyone to travel by air.' (Hk) (Ayub


bimār ho-ṇ karke ó náã $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
ill be-INF.OBL because.of 3SG.DIST NEG come-PP.SG.M
'Because he was ill he didn’t come.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 89)
(10.216)

$\begin{array}{llllllll}m æ-k \tilde{u} & m i ̃ h & \text { ic } & \text { țur-an } & \text { de } & \text { kān } & z u k \bar{a} m & t h \bar{\imath} \\ \text { 1SG.OBL-DAT } & \text { rain } & \text { in } & \text { walk-INF.OBL } & \text { GEN } & \text { reason } & \text { cold[M] become }\end{array}$
$g-e$
go-PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'I got a cold from walking in the rain yesterday.' (Sr) (UK)

A repeated participle, as in 10.217, often has a causal interpretation.
(10.217)

ho-s-ẽ
be-FUT-2SG
'You must get tired of climbing mountains every day.' (Hk) (AWT)

### 10.9.2 Expressions of purpose (GOAL)

Purpose is often expressed as an abstract GOAL, with the same morphological devices used for concrete goals. A concrete goal of motion receives oblique case marking, as in 10.218. Purpose clauses with simple verbs of motion (e.g., 'come', 'go', ‘sit') are constructed with the oblique infinitive, shown in examples 10.219-10.224. In Hindko and Panjabi (examples 10.224 and 10.225 , respectively), the oblique infinitive can be additionally marked with the dative postposition.
(10.218)

mथ̃ ó de kàr ga-yā
1SG.DIR 3SG.DIST.OBL GEN-SG.M.OBL home.OBL go-PP.SG.M $s \tilde{a}$
be.PST.1SG
'I (M) went to his/her house.' (Pj) (EB)
(10.219)
.
najma kapre tò-ṇ ga-ī e
Najma clothes wash-INF.OBL go.PP-SG.F be.PRES.3SG
'Najma has gone to wash clothes.' (Pi) (EB)
(10.220)

اوْ
ó pāṇī pī-ṇ ga-yā
3SG.DIST.DIR water.SG.M drink-INF.OBL go.PP-SG.M
'He went to drink (some) water.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 88)
(10.221)

تساル ثيكون طلُ آسو
tuss $\tilde{\bar{a}} \quad$ mæ-k $\overline{\tilde{u}} \quad$ mil-an $\quad \bar{a}-s-o$
2PL.DIR 1SG.OBL-ACC meet-INF.OBL come-FUT-2PL
'Will you come to meet me?' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 23)

$\begin{array}{llll}\bar{u} & \text { sir } & \boldsymbol{b a n}-\boldsymbol{v} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \text {-uй } & \boldsymbol{g æ} \\ \text { 3SG.DIR } & \text { head } & \text { be.made-CS-INF.OBL } & \text { go.PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG }\end{array}$
'He has gone to get a haircut.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 75)
(10.223)

$\bar{u}-k \tilde{u} \quad$ thap-an $\quad$ Əæh $\quad$ ga-i
3SG.DIST.OBL-ACC stroke-INF.OBL sit go.PP-SG.F
'She sat down to stroke her.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976), cited from Lashari (1971:
57))
(10.224)

jadõ tusī bimār éy-o tusã̃ dex-r̄-e-e $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
when 2PL.DIR sick be.PST-2PL 2PL.OBL see-INF-OBL-DAT
$k u \bar{u} \quad n \overline{\tilde{u}} \quad \bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
anyone NEG come-PP.SG.M
'When you (plural) were ill, no one came to visit you.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.225)

ó bār-ī mār-aṇ nũ uṭth-iyā
3.SG.DIST.DIR window[F] close-INF.OBL DAT get.up-PP.SG.M 'He got up to close the window.' (Pj) (Shackle 1972: 88)
 10.227, or $\begin{gathered} \\ / l a i ̄ / ~ ' f o r ’, ~ a s ~ i n ~ 10.228, ~ c a n ~ s u p p l e m e n t ~ t h e ~ o b l i q u e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~ t o ~ e x p r e s s e s ~\end{gathered}$ the purpose of performing an action.
(10.226)

jis vel-e tū sẽ-r̄-e āste ga-y $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$
which.REL time-OBL 2SG.DIR sleep-INF-OBL for go-PP.SG.M+2SG
'when you went (in order) to sleep.' (Hk) (AWT)
(10.227)

ó pæse kamau-ṇ laī/vāste kamm kar
3.DIST money-PL.M earn-INF.OBL for work do
$r$-yáa $\quad s \bar{a}$
CONT.II-SG.M be.PST.3SG
'He was working to earn money.' (Pi) (Shackle 1972: 89)

'Every year, thousands of tourists come here to see this mosque.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 8)

When the purpose of an action is expressed in a full clause, the conjunctions 2
 10.231, appear in a $\checkmark$ clause with a subjunctive verb.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { zarī šob-e nū mazbūt banā-ṇ }  \tag{10.229}\\
& \text { agricultural department-OBL ACC strong make-INF.OBL } \\
& \text { zarī pædāvār vic vádā ho-ve } \\
& \text { agricultural production in increase be-SBJV.3SG } \\
& \text { 'A decision has been made to strengthen the Department of Agriculture so } \\
& \text { that agricultural production may increase.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 174) }
\end{align*}
$$

(10.230)

ikānomī klās d-e karāy-ã vic kàṭo kàt economy class GEN-SG.M.OBL fare-PL.OBL in less REDUP $\begin{array}{cccccc}i z a ̄ f a ̄ a \\ k-i ̄ t-\bar{a} & g a-y \bar{a} \quad e \quad \text { tà.ki } \bar{a} m\end{array}$ increase do-PP-SG.M go-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG so.that ordinary pablik te kàt bój p-æ
public on less burden fall-SBJV.3SG
'The least possible increase has been made in economy class fares so that the general public is less burdened.' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 112)
(10.231)

pahle m $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$ kahĩ cãg-e idār-e nāl first 1SG.DIR some.OBL good-SG.M.OBL institution-SG.M.OBL with kam kar-aṇ cāh-nd- $\tilde{a}$ tã̃.jo kujh tajarbā work do-INF.DIR want-IP-SG.M+be.PRES.1SG so.that some obtain hāsal kar sag-- $\tilde{a}$ obtain be.able-SBJV.1SG
'First, I hope to find a job with a good company so that I can gain some experience.' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 62)

### 10.10 Reduplicative processes

All three languages make extensive use of both full and partial reduplicative processes. ${ }^{27}$ Nominal elements, adjectives, adverbs, postpositions, and verbal forms can be freely reduplicated. Numerous senses are conveyed by full reduplication, including distributivity, emphasis, duration, repetition, multiplicity, and make-believe. Partial reduplication is most often seen in the constructions usually referred to as "echo compounds," but also appears in other distinctive construction types.

### 10.10.1 Full reduplication

### 10.10.1.1 Reduplication of nouns

Reduplication of nouns performs a number of functions, a few of which are illustrated here. In 10.232 and 10.233, multiplicity and distributivity are conveyed.
(10.232)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { kašmīr } & \text { tõ.kìn.ke } & \text { sind } & \text { tak } & \text { jā-ī } & \text { jā̄} & \text { hind } \bar{u} \\
\text { Kashmir } & \text { from } & \text { Sindh } & \text { up.to } & \text { place-LOC } & \text { REDUP } & \text { Hindu }
\end{array} \\
& \text { rāj-e hukmarān éye } \\
& \text { king-PL.M ruler.PL.M be.PST.PL.M } \\
& \text { 'From Kashmir to Sindh Hindu kings were rulers in many places.' (Hk) (Soz } \\
& \text { 2011: 1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^80](10.233)

katr-e katre
drop-OBL REDUP
‘drop by drop’ (Hk) (Sakoon 2002)

Reduplication of a singular nominal can convey exhaustive meaning, as in examples 10.234 and 10.235 .

baccā baccā é xabar jān-d-a $\quad e$
child REDUP 3SG.PROX news know-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Every child knows this news.' (Pj) (Bhatia 1993: 277)
(10.235)

$e \quad$ kon kon in
3PL.PROX.DIR who REDUP be.PRES.3PL
'Who are all these people?' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 113), cited from Lashari (1971: 358))

In 10.236, plurality, exhaustivity, and emphasis are all conveyed, making this expression idiomatic and more forceful than the equivalent expression takar pāṇī sī/ 'water was up to the knees'.
(10.236)

sāḍ-ī galī vic godee gode-e pāṇī sī
our-SG.F street[F] in knee-OBL REDUP water be.PST.3SG
'There was water (all the way) up to the knee in our street.' (Pi) (EB)

Reduplication of a plural noun can can convey exclusivity, as in 10.237, as well as multiplicity, as in 10.238.
(10.237)

mũḍ-e mũde $\bar{a}-e \quad$ kuriy- $\tilde{a}$ vic-õ koī
boy-PL.M REDUP come-PP.PL.M girl[F]-OBL.PL among-ABL any
naй̃ $\quad \bar{a}-\bar{i}$
NEG come-PP.SG.F
'Only the boys came; none of the girls came.' (Pi) (EB)
(10.238)

gālh-ī gālhĩ vic sārā vakt zāya ho-nd-ā
talk[F]-LOC.PL REDUP in all time wasted become-IP-SG.M $v æ-n d-æ$
go-IP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'All (our) time is being wasted in this talking.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 113)

Reduplication of a noun referring to a role or an institution, as in 10.239, generates a class of expressions referring to imaginative play of children, e.g. 'to play house', 'to play doctor', 'to play school'.
(10.239)

kuril- ${ }^{\text {ar }}$ kàr kàr kheḍ-d-iy $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ san
girl-PL.F house REDUP play-IP-PL.F be.PST.3PL
'The girls were playing "house".' (Pi) (EB)

Some meaning relations of reduplication are iconic. Example 10.240 is a Hindko idiom, apparently having an iconic relation to the agitated motion of a restless person. Reduplication is extremely common with transparently onomatopoetic forms, as in 10.241 and 10.242 .
(10.240)

utsū utsū karnā
ONOM REDUP do.INF
'to be upset, anxious, restless' (Hz) (Sakoon 2002: 8)

bur bur karnā
ONOM REDUP do.INF
'to mumble, grumble (lit. to make the sound of bubbling liquid, e.g. boiling water or smoking a hookah)' (Hz) (Sakoon 2002: 40)
(10.242)

phusar phusar
whispering REDUP
'(secretive) whispering (Pi) (EB)

### 10.10.1.2 Reduplication of adjectives

Reduplication of plural adjectives emphasizes the multiplicity of the modified noun, as in 10.243 and 10.244.

búḍḍ-e búḍ̣e bāb-e tùp-e bæ-ṭh-e old-PL.M REDUP old.man-PL.M sunshine-LOC sit-PP-PL.M san
be.PST.3PL
'Several old men were sitting in the sun.' (Pi) (EB)

A reduplicated reflexive adjective gives a distributive meaning. The reduplication of $\dot{خ}_{\because}^{\prime}$ اāpne/ in (10.217) allows the pronoun to refer back to each group separatelyfeudal lords, landlords, and religious leaders.
 te kanṭrol sī and control be.PST.3SG
'Feudal lords, landlords, and religious leaders had great influence and control over their respective areas.' (Pj) (Madgavkar 2012)

Reduplicated adjectives referring to quantity, as in 10.246, and numerals, as in 10.247, usually convey distributivity and iterativity. Also, expressions like ور / رو /do do so/ 'two hundred each', وو سوتّه تيّه /do so tî tíl 'two hundred thirty each', and so on, are common.
(10.246)

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { dave } & d-\bar{a} & \operatorname{camc} \bar{a} & \text { thoria } & \text { thor ia } & \text { der } \\ \text { medicine[F] } & \text { GEN-SG.M } & \text { spoon[M] } & \text { little } & \text { REDUP } & \text { time[F] }\end{array}$
$d-e \quad b a \bar{d} d e-n d-\bar{i} \quad r a h-e ̃$
GEN-SG.M.OBL after give-IP-SG.F remain-SBJV.2SG
'Keep giving him a dose of medicine at short intervals.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976:
114), cited from Lashari (1971: 249))
(10.247)


child-PL.M.OBL DAT two REDUP book-PL.F give-GRDV-PL.F
ne
be.PRES.3PL
'The children are to be given two books each.' (Pi) (EB)

Repetition of question words conveys plurality and asks for a reply in the form of a list, as in 10.248.
(10.248)

$\begin{array}{llllll}k e ́ r-i y \tilde{a} & k e ́ r i y a \tilde{a} & c i z-a \tilde{a} \quad d-\bar{i} \quad \text { lo r } \quad e\end{array}$
which-PL.F REDUP thing-PL.F GEN-SG.F need[F] be.PRES.3SG
'Which things do (you) need? / Which things are needed?' (Pi) (EB)

Sometimes reduplication can emphasize a positive perception of something, as in examples 10.249 and 10.250 , or a negative one, as in 10.251 , depending on its default desirable state.
(10.249)

gram gram cáa
hot REDUP tea
'nice hot tea.' (Pi) (EB)
(10.250)


ṭhanḍā ṭhanḍā pāṇī
cold.SG.M REDUP water[M]
'nice cold water' (Pi) (EB)

| ó | d-e | pil-e | pile | dant | bar-e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3SG.OBL | GEN-PL.M | yellow-PL.M | REDUP | teeth[M] | very-PL.M |
| рæ̀r-e | lag-d-e | san |  |  |  |
| bad-PL.M | seem-IP-P | .M be.PST.3PL |  |  |  |
| 'His/her y | ellowish tee | h looked very b | ad.' (Pi) (EB) |  |  |

### 10.10.1.3 Reduplication of adverbs and postpositions

Reduplicated adverbs of space or time suggest (intermittent) iteration. When a negative element $\dot{\sim} /$ na/ intervenes between the first and second elements, the meaning becomes indefinite. Some examples of the common reduplicated adverbs $ك \mathcal{W} /$ kadī/
 trasted in the list below.

-     - /kadī kadī/ 'from time to time’
- كـ~ $/$ /kite kite/ 'here and there'
- كאى •نیאى/kadī na kadī/ 'sometime or other'
- كُשׁ~ ك /kite na kite/ 'somewhere or other’

Iterative actions expressed via reduplicated adverbs are exemplified in examples 10.252 and 10.253 below.
(10.252)
 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { kade } & \text { kade } & \text { te } & \text { ĩjo } & \text { lag-d-æ } \\ \text { sometimes } & \text { REDUP } & \text { TOP } & \text { like.this } & \text { seem-IP-SG.M+be.PRES.3SG }\end{array}$

| jĩjo | kis-ī | jin | $y \bar{a}$ | dev | sur̃ | é | bațā |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| like.REL | some-OBL | jinn | or | demon | ERG | 3SG.PROX | stone[M] | kis-ī hor jā-ì tõ ãr-ke ethe rax some-OBL other place-OBL from bring-CP here put chor-iæ

leave.PP.SG.M+be.PRES.3SG
'Sometimes it seems like some jinn or demon has brought this stone from some other place and put it here.' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 9)
(10.253)

ó boz doz tang kar-d- $\bar{a} \quad e$
3SG.DIST daily REDUP tight do-IP-SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'He pesters/annoys (me) every single day.' ( Pj ) (LB)

In 10.254, the reduplicated question adverb asks for a list of places.
(10.254)

tussã sæl vāste kith an kith $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ væ-s-o 2PL.DIR outing for where REDUP go-FUT-2PL
'Which places are you going to visit?' (Sr) (Zahoor 2009: 64)

Reduplication of qualitative adverbs conveys intensification of the basic meaning, as in 10.255 and 10.256. Where the basic meaning is of slowness, reduplication adds the sense of gradualness; where the basic meaning is of speed, reduplication conveys increased speed.
(10.255)

assĩ hoḷī hoḷi vichar g-ae
1PL slowly REDUP separate go-PP.PL.M
'We gradually became separated.' (Pi) (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v= hCT48PLcITE)
(10.256)

min chetī chetī $\bar{a} p n ̣-\bar{a} \quad$ cam mu- $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
1SG.DIR quickly REDUP REFL-SG.M work be.finished-CS-PP.SG.M
'I quickly finished my chore...' (Pi) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 73)

The postposition $ل \cdot /$ nāl/ $\mathrm{Hk}, \mathrm{Sr}, / \mathrm{nā} l / \mathrm{Pj}$ 'with, next to is frequently repeated.
(10.257)

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { te } \quad \text { kaī } & \text { vel-e } & d-e & \text { nāl } & \text { nāl } & \text { xatam } \\ \text { and } & \text { many } & \text { time-OBL } & \text { GEN-SG.M.OBL } & \text { with } & \text { REDUPl } & \text { finished } \\ \text { ho-ke } & \text { rá } & \text { ga- } \tilde{\imath} & & & \\ \text { become-CP } & \text { remain } & \text { go-PP.PL.F } & & & \end{array}$
'and many have gradually died out with the passage of time.' (Hz) (Coz 2011:
16)
(10.258)
-踠
nāl nā! $b$-ó
with REDUP sit-IMP.2PL
'Sit right next (to each other).' (Pi) (EB)

### 10.10.1.4 -o- reiteration

A noun or adjective followed by $9 / 0 /$ and a reduplicated copy of the word conveys emphatic, totalizing, or distributive meanings. This morphological device is used in all three languages.
(10.259) (
$s \dot{\bar{a}}-O-s$ áa
breath-o-REDUP
'out of breath, panting' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 19)

ولُو ؤ (10.260)
vatt-o-vatt
wrinkle-o-REDUP
'all wrinkled' (pj) (EB)
(10.261)

kàtt-o-kàṭt
less-o-REDUP
'at least' (Pj)

انظّ اوانگّ
anj-o-anj
separate-o-REDUP
‘quite separate’ (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 119)

dih-o-dih
day-o-REDUP
'from day to day' (Sr)

### 10.10.1.5 Reduplication of participial forms

Reduplication of a conjunctive participle can signify continuity, as in 10.264 and 10.265, or iteration of an action, as in 10.266 . When the conjunctive participle is repeated like this, the marker $\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{ke} /(\mathrm{Hk} \mathrm{Pj})$ or $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{Sr})$ appears only after the second iteration of the participle.
(10.264)

becār-ī mænā tarap tarap-ke mar ga-ī
helpless-SG.F myna[F] writhe REDUP-CP die go-PP.SG.F
'The poor myna flopped around and died.' (Hk) (Soz 2011: 7)
(10.265)

| tū | kithe | ga-i | s $\check{\nsim}$ | mẽ | $t æ-n \bar{u}$ | lább |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2SG | where | go.PP-SG.F | be.PST.2SG | 1SG.DIR | 2SG.OBL-ACC | search |

lább-ke pāgal ho ga-yā vã
REDUP-CP crazy become go-PP.SG.M be.PRES.1SG
'Where did you go? I've gone crazy searching continuously for you.' (Pj) (EB)
(10.266)

واكمر اوزارحپ

doctor instruments lift lift-CP out go-IP-SG.M.OBL
ho-iyã $\quad \bar{a} k h-i y \bar{a}$
be-PP.SG.M.OBL say-PP.SG.M
'The doctor picked up (each of) his instruments (in turn) and said as he went out.' (Sr) (Shackle (1976: 127), cited from Lashari (1971: 228))

Repetition of a direct case form of the imperfective participle tends to focus attention on the actor, in 10.267 'the boy' and in 10.268 'he'.
(10.267)

mũḍā nas-d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad$ nas-d- $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \quad \bar{a}-y \bar{a}$
boy run-IP-SG.M REDUP come-PP.SG.M
'The boy came running (very fast).' (Pij) (Bhatia 1993: 69)


| $\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{h} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}-\boldsymbol{n d} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { a }} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}-\boldsymbol{n d} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\overline { \boldsymbol { a } }}$ | 0 | dhæ | $p-y \bar{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eat-IP-SG.M | REDUP | 3SG.DIST | fall | fall-PP.SG.M |

'While eating he fell down.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 141)

Repetition of a masculine singular oblique imperfective participle focuses on the femporal relationship between two events or actions. In 10.269 and 10.270, it indicates the occurrence of an event happening during or interrupting an ongoing activity or state.


| ikk | din | tam | kar-d-ě̃ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | kardě̃ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | mer-e |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| one | day | work | do-IP-SG.M.OBL | REDUP | 1SG.GEN-SG.M.OBL |
| hath-õ | kalat | digs | pa-ī |  |  |
| hand-ABL | pen [F] | fall | fall-PP.SG.F |  |  |

'One day as I was working I dropped my pen. (lit. ...my pen fell from my hand)' (Pi) (http://www.punjabikahani.punjabi-kavita.com/ TeraKamraMeraKamraDalipKaurTiwanaShahmukhi.php)
(10.270)

bareṛi suṛ šarmā-nd-e šarmānde $\bar{a} x-i y \bar{a}$
Bareri ERG feel.bashful-IP-SG.M.OBL REDUP say-PP.SG.M
'Overcoming her embarrassment, Bareri said ...' (Hz) (Coz 2011: 11)

The perfective participle of change of position verbs is frequently reduplicated, sometimes suggesting a causal relation between two events, as in 10.271.
(10.271)
.
bæ-ṭhe bæṭhe tang pr ga-yā
sit-PP.SG.M.OBL REDUP annoyed fall go-PP.SG.M
'He got tired of sitting (for a long time).' (Pi) (EB)

### 10.10.2 Partial reduplication

### 10.10.2.1 Echo formations

Echo words involve partial reduplication of a lexical item by replacing its initial consonant in the echoing form. These are the most productive type of partial reduplicative structures in these languages. The echo elements are in themselves meaningless, but taken together the complete echo formations extend and blur the boundaries of meaning, as in the following commonly occurring formations.

In Panjabi and Saraiki, the copy usually begins with $\dot{山} /$ š/, as in examples 10.272 and 10.273. Some words, themselves beginning with $\dot{\cup} /$ š/, form an m-initial echo copy, as in 10.273.
(10.272)

$\begin{array}{llllllll}c \bar{a} & \check{s} \bar{a} & \text { kamm } & \text { šamm } & \text { roṭi } & \text { šoṭi } & \text { sap } & \text { šapp } \\ \text { tea } & \text { ECHO } & \text { work } & \text { ECHO } & \text { bread } & \text { ECHO } & \text { chat/gossip } & \text { ECHO }\end{array}$
'tea and what goes with it'; 'work and what goes with it'; 'food and the rest of the meal'; 'chat, light conversation' (Pi) (EB)
(10.273)

ghiū šiū aṇ̣̣e šaṇ̣de šiše mise
ghee ECHO eggs ECHO mirrors ECHO
'ghee (or something like it)'; ‘eggs (familiar or jocular)'; 'mirrors (and assocrated items)’ (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 118)

In several words referring to spatial concepts, an echo component in which the initial consonant of the base word is dropped precedes the base word.
(10.274)

ECHO neighborhood
'all around, nearby' (Hz) (Sakoon 2002: 7)
(10.275) (T)
āḷe duāḷe
ECHO surrounding
'on all sides of' (Pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 61)

## (10.276) آ T T

āmhṇe sāmhṇe
ECHO facing
'right in front' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 119)

### 10.10.2.2 Stem-vowel alternation

In addition to alternation of a initial consonant, stem-vowel alternation while maintaining the same syllable structure is common in Panjabi, as in 10.277 and 10.278.
(10.277)

cup cāp ṭhīk ṭhāk pā pū-ke
quiet V.ALT.ECHO good V.ALT.ECHO put.on V.ALT.ECHO-CP
'very quietly’; ‘okay, fine’; ‘having put on (e.g. clothes)’ (Pj) (EB)
(10.278)

šũ $\tilde{u}$ s̃ã
'pomp and show, vanity' (Pj)

### 10.10.2.3 Alliterative partial reduplicates

In items of this type, the initial consonant remains constant, but the alliterative element differs in syllable structure from the first.
(10.279)

cup capītā
quiet ALLIT.ECHO
'deceptively silent’ (Hk) (Sakoon 2002: 115)

كارُ مْنّ (10.280)
mārā maṛang
weak ALLIT.ECHO
'extremely weak’ (Hk) (Sakoon 2002: 225)

شورشر! (10.281)
šor šarābā
noise ALLIT.ECHO
'noisy uproar, clamor, disturbance' (Pj) (EB)

corı̄ cikārā
theft ALLIT.ECHO
'theft (and what is generally understood to accompany it)' (Sr) (Shackle 1976:
118)

تونُّها سورُّها (10.283)
soṇh $\bar{a} \quad$ savaḍ̣̣h $\bar{a}$
beautiful ALLIT.ECHO
'very beautiful.' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 118)

### 10.10.2.4 Rhyming partial reduplicates

In items of this type, the initial consonant differs, but the final syllable rhymes.
(10.284)

卢
latṭar pattar
'miscellaneous household items' (Hk, Pj) (Sakoon 2002: 216)
(10.285)

laggar baggar
‘a fearsome creature/monster’ (Hk) (Sakoon 2002: 219)
(10.286)

baṇ ṭhaṇ-ke
be.made RHYM.REDUP-CP
'all dressed up'28 (Pi) (EB)

28 The $\mathcal{P}^{\delta^{b}}$ element might possibly come from the verb C women's bangles)'.
(10.287)

ووّا سطـ
vaṭā saṭā
exchange RHYM.REDUP
'mutual exchange of daughters and sons in marriage' ${ }^{29}(\mathrm{Pj})(\mathrm{EB})$
(10.288)

navã $\operatorname{sav} \tilde{\tilde{a}}$
new fresh
'quite new' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 119)

There are a few fixed reduplicated phrases in which the reduplicated element begins with $/ \mathrm{m}-/ .{ }^{30}$ In some cases there appears to be a semantic connection between the first and second elements; for instance in 10.289, the second element $\mathbf{\zeta}^{5}$ /murī/ is likely
 'condition, how one is doing', there is such a semantic relation, conveying both state and activity. In other cases, though, no semantic connection is apparent.

kàṝ muṛi
moment PART.REDUP
'repeatedly' (Pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 58)
(10.290)

sacī mucī
true PART.REDUP
'really and truly' (Pj) (EB)
(10.291)


29 For example, family A and family B each have a son and a daughter. An exchange relationship is established in which daughter $A$ is married to son $B$, and son $A$ is married to daughter $B$.
30 In several languages in the northwest of Pakistan, as well as in Persian, echo-formations in $/ \mathrm{m} /$ are common.

### 10.10.3 Semantic reduplication

### 10.10.3.1 Same or similar meanings

A common process involves semantic reduplication; that is, two words having the same or very similar meaning are joined in a quasi-compounding process. Often the two words involved come from different lexical stocks, as in 10.292, where $\mathcal{G} \dot{\boldsymbol{j}}{ }^{\prime}$ /fazrī/ comes from the Perso-Arabic side (< Ar. $\underset{\text { co }}{ }$ /fajar/ ‘dawn’) and morning/ from the Indo-Aryan side ( ا موري/ /saverā/ 'dawn').
(10.292)

ass kal fazr-ī saver-e uṭh-riā pæ-s-i
1PL.OBL tomorrow dawn-OBL dawn-OBL get.up-INF befall-FUT-3SG
'We will have to get up very early tomorrow morning.' (Hk) (AWT)
In the Saraiki semantic doublets in 10.293 and 10.294, the first elements ${ }_{5}$ /kuṛ/ 'lies' and / كنّ /kannī/ 'edge' are of Indo-Aryan origin, while the second elements فّيب/ /fareb/ 'deceit' and كناره /kinārā/ 'edge' are of Perso-Arabic origin.
(10.293)

كر فاريب
kur fareb
lies deceit
'lies' (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 119)
(10.294)

kannī kinārā
edge edge
‘edge’ (Sr) (Shackle 1976: 119)

The doublets in 10.295 and 10.296 involve a redundant semantic copy of the base word, while 10.297 combines different words having very similar meaning. In these examples, both elements are Indo-Aryan in origin.
چار پ\% (10.295) (
cār cufer-ī
four four.sides-LOC
'on all sides' (Hk) (Sakoon 2002: 119)

cār cufer-e
four four.sides-LOC
'on all sides' (Pi) (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 61)
(10.297)

cãg-ī pàl-ī
good-SG.F good-SG.F
'perfectly fine' ( P ) (EB)

Color terms are salient in this category. For example 'red' in 10.298 and 'black' in 10.299.

 syáa/ is usually glossed literally as 'jet black' or 'pitch black', but it its actual sense is usually 'very dark', as in 10.299.

malikā d-ā mū̃ guss-e nāl lāl sû̀ā
queen GEN-SG.M face[M] anger-OBL with red(<Ar.) red(IA)
$h o-y \bar{a} \quad e$
become-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'The queen's face is flushed (lit. bright red) with anger.' (Pi) (Example from http://www.hin.islamic-sources.com/book/6- ورويثال -پֶر - تصص)
(10.299)
,
tùp-e phir phir-ke ter- $\bar{a} \quad$ rang kālā
sunshine-LOC roam REDUP-CP 2SG.GEN-SG.M color[M] black (IA)
syấ ho ga-yā e
black(<Prs.) become go-PP.SG.M be.PRES.3SG
'Your complexion has become very dark from roaming around in the sun.' (Pi) (EB)

### 10.10.3.2 Intransitive-causative participial doublets

Another common type of reduplicative structure consists of a form of an intransitive verb coupled with the same form of its transitive/causative counterpart. Example 10.300 involves the conjunctive participle, and 10.301-10.303 involve the perfective participle.
(10.300)

chup chup- $\bar{a}$-ke
to.be.hidden(INTR) to.be.hidden-CS-CP
‘stealthily’ (Hk) (Soz 2011: 3)

baṇ-e baṇ-ā-e kapr-e
be.made-PP.PL.M be.made-CS-PP.PL.M garment-PL.M
'ready-made clothes' (Pi) (EB)
(10.302)

pakk-ī pak-ā-ī roṭī
be.cooked-PP.SG.F be.cooked-CS-PP.SG.F bread[F]
'ready-cooked bread' (pi) (EB)
(10.303)

sun- $\bar{\imath}$ sun $-\bar{a}-\bar{\imath} \quad$ gall
hear-PP.SG.F hear-CS-PP.SG.F utterance[F]
'hearsay' (Pi) (EB)

### 10.10.3.3 Different or opposite meanings

When words of different or even opposite meanings are combined, the effect is to extend the meaning and make it less specific. Three such items involve the concept of size, as in examples 10.304-10.306.
(10.304)

janūbī panjāb vic pacà̀l d-e càṭe
southern Punjab in earthquake GEN-PL.M shock.PL.M
imārt- $\tilde{a} \quad n \tilde{u} \quad \boldsymbol{m a} r a \bar{a} \quad \operatorname{mot}+\bar{a} \quad n u k s a ̄ n$ building-OBL.PL DAT weak fat damage
'Earthquake shocks in southern Punjab, slight damage to buildings' (pj) (faisalabad.sujag.org/khulasa/27378)
(10.305)


| $k a r z-e \tilde{a}$ | $d-e$ | $n a v-\tilde{e}$ | progrām | šuru |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| loan-PL.M.OBL | GEN-PL.M | new-PL.M | program.PL.M | beginning |

k-īt-e jān-g-e tã̃.je be-zamīn do-PP-PL.M go.SBJV.3PL-FUT-PL.M so.that without-land
$\log -\tilde{\bar{a}} \quad n \tilde{u}$ apṇ-e choṭ-e moṭ-e people-PL.M.OBL DAT REFL-SG.M.OBL small-PL.M fat-PL.M
kārobār šurū kar-an ic madad mil sak-e business[M] beginning do-INF.OBL in help get be.able-SBJV.3SG 'New loan programs will be launched so that landless persons can get help to start their own small businesses.' (Pj) (Bashir and Kazmi 2012: 252)

nikk- $\bar{a} \quad$ mott- $\bar{a} \quad$ kamm
small-SG.M fat-SG.M work[M]
'any type of work' (Pj) (Bhardwaj 2016: 404)

Other such items generalize meaning from other types of subordinate to superordinate classes, as in 10.307. The Panjabi slang term طبا طا ط / /mājā sājā/, which compounds two common nicknames for males, means something like English 'Tom, Dick and Harry' or 'hoi polloi'.
(10.307)

'winged insects’ (pi) (Bhardwaj 2016: 403)

### 10.11 Discourse particles

In all three languages the three discourse particles-exclusive or emphatic $\mathcal{G} / / \overline{1} /$; in-
 follow the element to which they apply.

### 10.11.1 Emphatic or exclusive particle

The emphatic or exclusive particle can follow nouns, as in 10.308; pronouns, in 10.309; or adjectives, adverbs, and verbal forms, as in 10.310. Although this particle is an enclitic, it is usually written separately from the word it follows (except in Saraiki). ${ }^{31}$ Examples 10.308 and 10.309 show the exclusive meaning, and 10.310 the emphatic sense.

l̀̀r vāle $\overline{\mathbf{i}} \quad \bar{a}-e$
Lahore NMLZ-PL.M EXCL come-PP.PL.M
‘Only the people from Lahore came.' (Pj) (EB)
(10.309)

mẽ ī vekh-iyā
1SG EXCL see-PP.SG.M
‘Only I saw (it).' (Pi) (EB)

[^81](10.310)

' $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{m})$ will go (and nothing will stop me).' ( P j$)(\mathrm{EB})$

In Saraiki, some emphatic forms of direct and oblique pronominal forms involve contractions with the emphatic particle $\mid$ | $/ \overline{1} /$ forming single words; for example, direct
 ral استيّ /asaĩ/ 'we indeed', تسّيت second plural /tusaĩ// 'you.pl indeed' (Shackle 1976: 58). The only distinctive oblique form is second person singular

### 10.11.2 Inclusive particle

All three languages have the inclusive particle $و$ و /vī/ $\mathrm{Pj}, \mathrm{Sr}, \boldsymbol{\zeta} . / \mathrm{bi} / \mathrm{Hk}$. In affirmative contexts this means 'also', 'too', as in 10.311. In negative contexts it usually means 'even', 'despite, in spite of', as in 10.312, or can add an exhaustive meaning, as in 10.313.

sær te vī ful-s-ūu
walk on/for INCL go-FUT-1PL
'We shall be going for a walk/outing too.' (Sr) (Adapted from Shackle (1976: 133))
(10.312)

sáb kúj hon-d-ĕyãa vī ó $\quad$ ā $\quad$ xuš nẽ
all something be-IP-SG.M.OBL INCL 3SG.DIST happy NEG
sī
be.PST.3SG
'In spite of having everything, $\mathrm{s} /$ he wasn't happy.' (Pj) (EB)
 and distal demonstrative pronouns. In the singular direct form, masculine and feminine forms


(10.313)

unh-ã kale bi hindko nun bol-ì
3PL.DIST-OBL ever INCL Hindko[F] NEG speak-PP.SG.F
'They have never spoken Hindko.' (Hz) (AWT)

### 10.11.3 Topic marker

In all three languages a topic marker, appearing in two forms- $\cup \mathscr{H}$ (pronounced /tan/ with a short vowel), ${ }^{33}$ and /te/-both topicalizes the element it follows marking it as old information, and implies a contrast. Its use is illustrated in $10.314,10.315$, and 10.316.
(10.314)

par é bī te hikk hakīkat e
but this INCL TOP a reality be.PRES.3SG
'But this too is a reality...' (Hz) (Coz 2009: 6)
(10.315)

(10.316)

$\tilde{u}-d-\bar{a} \quad n \tilde{a} \quad t \tilde{a} \quad m æ-k \bar{u} \quad$ visar
3SG.DIST.OBL-GEN-SG.M name[M] TOP 1SG.OBL-DAT be.forgotten
$\begin{array}{lllll}g-i y \bar{a} & h \bar{a} & m u r \bar{i} & t e d-\bar{a} & k æ n h \bar{a} \\ \text { go-PP.SG.M } & \text { be.PST.SG.M } & \text { but } & \text { 2SG.GEN-SG.M } & \text { NEG }\end{array}$
visār-iyā $\quad h \bar{a}-m \overline{ }$
forget(TRANS)-PP.SG.M be.PST-PS1SG
'I forgot his name but I didn't forget yours.' (Sr) (UK)

[^82]
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## Index

Absolutive see Participles conjunctive
Adi Granth 17, 18, 80
Adjectives

- adjectival postpositions 248
- agreement of 431
- attributive
- infinitive clauses as 497
- Persian 135
- stative perfective participle as 287
- comparative 142
- relative clause of comparison 474
- demonstrative see Demonstrative adjectives
- derivation of 80
- gender of 136
- indefinite 156
- interrogative 149, 463
- as pronouns 211
- in four-term set 151
- reduplication of 465,581
- marked 135, 136
- imperfective participle 285
- in genitives 190, 196
- infinitives as 496
- Layer II postpositions as 248
- perfective participle 286
- possessive 137
- table of inflections 140
- order of 425
- reduplication of 580
- color terms 592
- reflexive 160
- reduplication of 580
- relative 148, 217
- in four-term set 151
- relative clauses 472
- stem vowel alternation in 139
- unmarked 135, 138
- "black" see Adjectives marked
- "red" see Adjectives unmarked
- "unfast" 135, 139

Adverbs 178

- as postpositional elements 256
- conjunctive participial clauses as 500
- in complex postpositions 258, 266
- in four-term set 183,187
- indefinite 180, 183, 187
- interrogative 180, 183
- reduplication of 583
- oblique noun phrases as 180
- reduplication of 582
- relative
- relative clauses 475

Affixes

- adjective-forming 129, 131
- as grammatical postposition 249
- with infinitives 496
- approximating 176
- assigning feminine gender 81
- causative
- double 284
- first 284
- diminutive 86
- infinitival 83, 285
- izāfat 89
- locative 104
- negative 133, 134, 225
- noun-forming 81-89
- abstract 82-86
- agentive 81-82, 87
- locational 87-88
- ordinal 174
- Persian 86-88
- Perso-Arabic 85-86, 88-89, 91, 131, 134
- plural 91
- pronominal suffixes see Pronouns
- totalizing 176
- vocative 98-101

Agency

- and deontic modality 545
- derivation of agent nouns 81-82
- in prospective expressions 554

Akbar the Great 15
Animacy

- and definiteness 564
- and gender assignment 93, 94
- and pronominal suffixes 219
- and vocative 97
- determining postposition in goal constructions 262
- in compound subjects 436, 438
- in direct objects 451

Arabic 79, 80

- broken plurals 92
- definite article 80
- dual 92

Aspect 279
Auxiliaries

- copula
- in affirmational and existential sentences 427
- in negative sentences 427, 428, 453
- in stative constructions 448
- with compound subjects 437
- in inchoative constructions 448
- order of 423
- with pronominal suffixes 236
- 'to become' 293
- 'to be' 279, 287
- in sense 'to become' 290
- negation of 288
- past tense forms 290, 328
- present tense forms 287,328
- simple perfect tense forms 290
- subjunctive forms 292
- subjunctive mood forms 329
- see also Auxiliaries; copula

Balochi 12, 79
Brahmi 18

Case 96-128

- ablative 103, 259, 263
- adverbial use 180
- of comparison 143
- of infinitives 495
- of personal pronouns 193
- of postpositions 258, 263, 267
- accusative 97, 244-246, 259, 434, 450
- dative 219, 259, 450
- dative subjects 230, 232, 239, 243, 245, 430, 446, 549, 559, 568
- marked by postposition 198, 244-246
- marking experiencers 557
- direct 97
- direct objects in 451, 452
- indicated by pronominal suffixes 219
- of first- and second-person pronouns 196
- of gerundives 553
- of infinitives 491, 553
- ergative 196
- and person of subject 442
- ergative subjects 229
- marked by postposition 196, 244
- of interrogatives 209
- genitive
- assigned by postposition 248
- of pronouns 190, 196
- with reflexive pronouns 201
- in relative clauses 472
- locative 104-105
- adverbial use 122, 180, 181
- as instrumental 108
- locative forms as postpositions 257
- oblique 97, 442, 443
- adverbial use 180
- marking experiencers 557
- of agents in perfective tenses 432
- of infinitives 490
- role of postpositions in 243
- vocative 97

Conjunctions

- coordinating 466
- correlative 479
- subordinating 468
- expressing causation 571
- introducing purpose clauses 576

Converb see Participles conjunctive
Deference 189
Demonstrative

- adjectives 147
- in four-term set 151
- pronouns
- as third-person pronouns 189
- distal 195, 279
- proximal 195

Dialects

- Abbottabad 1, 44, 47, 48, 53, 56
- Awankari 60
- big city 10,16
- dialectal variation
- in Hindko 12
- in Panjabi 14, 16, 226
- Gujrat 226
- Hazara 9, 10, 13, 26, 33, 39, 49, 76
- Lahore 1, 9, 16, 24, 46, 59, 195, 196, 219, 226
- Majhi 9, 16, 226
- Multan 1, 9, 10, 16, 60
- Peshawar 9, 10, 26
- vs languages 9

Diphthongs see Phonology diphthongs
Distance 151, 189, 195
Dogri 15

English 12, 17, 79, 443
Evidentiality 566

Gender 92-96

- affixes assigning 81
- agreement in compounds 436
- of addressee 516

Gerundives 562

- as distanced imperative 563
- expressing modality 545
- presumption 558
- prospective meanings 552, 556
- see also Verbs; infinitive

Glossing 4-7
Gujarati 12
Hindi 12, 16
Hindko

- name 10, 12
- promotion of 14
- speakers 14
- where spoken 12, 13

Hindko-Panjabi-Saraiki language area 12, 16

Infinitive see Verbs infinitive

Kinship terms see Nouns Class VI

Lahnda 10, 16, 33
Loanwords 79

- Arabic 53, 60, 66, 75, 79, 80, 92
- English 79, 81, 92, 104
- gender of 93
- in conjunct verb constructions 507
- orthography see Orthography of loanwords
- Persian 53, 60, 69, 70, 74, 79, 80, 92
- semantic reduplication with 591
- sounds unique to 21
- Urdu 57, 79

Marathi 12

Modality 545

- ability 545
- deontic 545
- desirability 549
- expressed with subjunctive 295
- epistemic 545, 558
- prospective 289, 552

Modals see Auxiliaries
Mutual intelligibility 16

Negation

- copula deletion with 453
- emphatic 459
- negative particles 453
- as question tag 461
- of imperatives
- distanced (softened) 562, 563
- of indefinite pronouns 214
- of pronominal suffixes 225, 233
- of reduplicated elements 582
- of statements of ability 545
- of statements of desirability 549
- of 'to be' 288
- sentential 453
- taking place of copula in present tense 427
- with affixes see Affixes; negative

Nouns 79-128

- abstract 82-86
- animacy see Animacy
- broken plurals 92
- case see Case
- Class I 108, 113, 115, 124
- endings as adjectival inflections 136
- Class II 109, 114, 116, 119, 124
- Class III 111, 115, 117, 125, 126
- endings as adjectival inflections 136
- Class IV 108, 110, 115, 117, 126
- Class V 108, 111
- Class VI 108, 112
- Class VII 108, 127
- endings as adjectival inflections 139
- Class VIII 123, 125, 128
- compound 86, 435
- declension classes 106-108, 113, 121
- derivation of 80-89
- diminutive 86
- feminine 110, 120, 126
- semantic classes of 94
- gender assignment in see Gender
- masculine 108, 123
- semantic classes of 94
- see also Nouns Class II
- see also Nouns Class I
- noun phrases 425
- infinitive clauses as 499
- possessive 90
- postpositions derived from 254, 257
- proper 68, 80, 89
- reduplication of 577

Number

- broken plurals 92
- dual 92
- in adjectives 136
- in nouns 90-92
- ablative 103
- locative 105
- oblique 97
- in pronouns 189

Numbers 162

- cardinal 166
- fractional 164
- greater than one thousand 163
- indefinite 176
- one (as specific indefinite) 426,565
- ordinal 172
- orthography 163
- reduplication of 580
- vigesimal 164

Orthography 19, 60-77

- baب̣ī he 66,73
- bạ̣ī ye 70
- choṭi he 66, 69, 73, 75, 76
- choṭi ye 70, 75
- do cašmī he 66, 72, 76
- Gurmukhi 18, 61
- hamza 70, 75
- Hindko 18, 48, 76, 281
- izāfat 69, 74
- names and forms of letters 62, 67
- Naskh 61, 67, 75
- Nasta 'lïq 18, 61
- negative particle 76
- of aspirates $66,72,77$
- of consonants 64-67
- of geminates 71
- of implosives 77
- of loanwords 66, 68-70, 74
- of nasalization 71, 76, 197, 281
- of numerals 163
- of postpositions 246
- of pronominal suffixes 227
- of retroflexes $28,66,76,77$
- of third-person pronouns 76
- of tone 66, 72-73
- of vowels 67-70
- of 'to be' 290
- representations of /h/ 73
- Saraiki 18, 28, 29, 77
- Shahmukhi 18
- standardization 18, 69, 76, 77
- tashdīd 71
- transcription vs transliteration 19, 20


## Panjabi

- literature 16, 17
- name 10
- speakers 1, 14
- status of 17
- where spoken 12, 14

Participles

- catenative 501, 522, 523, 525
- conjunctive 285, 501
- clauses 500
- expressing causation 572
- reduplication of 585
- connective 501, 523, 535
- imperfective 285, 324
- clauses 504
- expressing desirability 549
- in complex durative contructions 529
- in irrealis conditionals 484
- reduplication of 585
- perfective 286
- agreement of 533
- as simple perfect 290
- clauses 505
- in complex durative constructions 532
- in irrealis conditionals 484
- in passive periphrastic 539, 540
- irregular 286
- reduplication of 586
- regular vs irregular forms 532
- Persian 135
- reduplication of 585
- stative perfective 286

Particles

- emphatic 535, 595
- in contracted pronominal forms 596
- hortative 294, 487, 527
- inclusive 596
- topic marker 597

Partition of India 16
Pashto 12, 61, 79
Persian 12, 17, 79, 80, 86-88, 135, 200
Phonology 19-60

- allophones 24, 35
- assimilations 24
- consonants 21-33
- aspirated 28, 47, 48
- geminate 50,52-56, 71
- implosive 28, 60
- retroflex 24, 26, 28, 57
- diphthongs 38-43
- epenthesis 58-60
- Hindko 21-27, 33-34, 39, 44-45, 47-49, 53, 56
- nasalization 44, 121, 137, 197, 217
- of loanwords 21,56,58-60
- Panjabi 21-24, 35-36, 39-40, 45, 48-59
- phonotactics 55-60
- Saraiki 28-33, 36-38, 41-43, 46, 49, 52, 55, 59-60
- segment inventory of Hindko 26, 33-35, 44
- segment inventory of Panjabi 35, 45
- segment inventory of Saraiki 30, 37, 46
- sound correspondences $26,27,47,57$
- stem vowel alternation
- as reduplicative process 588
- stress 46, 49-53, 55, 58
- syllables 50, 52, 56-57, 59
- tone 46-49, 72
- transcription 19, 20, 25, 36
- vowels 33-43
- centralized 33, 35, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 57, 58
- elision of $34,37,58$
- harmony 502
- length 35, 36, 50
- nasalized 33, 43-46, 57, 71
- peripheral 33, 35, 36, 39-41, 43-46, 57, 58
- stem vowel alternation $120,123,128$, 137, 139, 538
Plurals see Number
Possession
- of abstract entities 568
- possessive adjectives 137
- possessive pronouns 190
- types of 568
- with genitive postpositional phrase 90
- see also Nouns; possessive

Postpositions 243-277

- ablative 103, 143, 193, 258, 263, 495
- expressing casuation 571
- agentive see Postpositions ergative
- and animacy of goal 262
- assigning oblique case 97
- comparative 144, 146, 147
- dative-accusative 198, 244-246, 259, 539-541
- in purpose clauses 573
- marking definiteness 564
- marking direct and indirect objects 450
- obviates verbal agreement 434
- with animate referents 451
- with dative subjects 445
- derived from nouns 254,257
- derived from verbs 254
- ergative 196, 244-246, 442, 443
- intransitive verbs taking 444, 452
- marking agentivity 554
- genitive 89, 90, 248
- expressing inalienable possession 568
- in infinitive clauses 488, 494
- in purpose clauses 575
- instrumental 271
- Layer I 243
- Layer II 243-253
- Layer III 253, 266, 267, 273
- Layer IV 258, 267, 273
- locative 254
- adverbs as 256
- of accompaniment 269
- of purpose 273
- of similarity 275
- reduplication of 582
- temporal 258
- with infinitive complements 493

Pothwari 15
Prefixes see Affixes
Pronouns

- demonstrative see Demonstrative pronouns
- emphatic 596
- indefinite 212
- adjectival use of 214
- compound forms of 216
- specific vs non-specific 426, 452
- with inclusive meaning 214
- with partitive meaning 214
- interrogative 207, 211, 463
- case marking with 207, 209, 212
- pronominal suffixes as 234
- reduplication of 465
- omission of (pro-drop) 428, 430
- personal 55, 191, 198
- as direct objects 453
- genitive 190, 193, 196, 568
- with negative particle 288
- pronominal suffixes 218-240
- and transitivity 221
- and word order 434
- as imperatives 233
- as interrogatives 234
- enabling pro-drop 429
- in negative sentences 233
- summary of functions 240
- with auxiliaries 236
- reciprocal 203
- reflexive 199
- as emphatic 200, 201
- spelling of 76
- with dative subjects 445
- relative 217
- relative clauses 471

Quantifiers 155

- order of 426

Reduplication 577

- -o- reiteration 584
- echo formations 587
- in indefinite and relative adverbials 183
- intransitive-causative doublets 593
- of adjectives 580
- of adverbs 183,582
- of interrogatives 465
- of nouns 577
- of oblique imperfective participle 505
- of participles 573, 585
- of postpositions 582
- of verb forms in complex iterative constructions 535
- semantic 591

Referentiality 564

Sandhi see Phonology vowels elision of Sanskrit 16
Saraiki

- literature 16, 17
- name 10
- promotion of 14
- speakers 14
- where spoken 12,14

Sindhi 12, 16, 61, 79, 221
Split ergativity see Case ergative, 431, 441
Suffixes see Affixes
Syntax

- complex durative constructions 529
- conditional clauses 479
- irrealis 482
- realis 479
- conjunctive participal clauses 500
- coordination 466
- direct objects 450
- in direct case 451
- infinitives as 489
- marked by postposition 450
- indirect objects 450
- in ergative case 229
- marked by postposition 450
- preferential marking of 452
- infinitive clauses 488
- pro-drop 428
- questions
- rhetorical 462
- with interrogative words 463
- yes-no 460
- relative clauses 471
- infinitival clauses as 497
- of comparison 474
- omission of correlative in 477
- subjects
- agreement of 441
- dative 549
- see also Case dative dative subjects
- ergative 229
- experiencer 446
- impersonal 540
- stative vs inchoative 448
- types of 445
- subordination 468
- non-finite clauses 488
- word order 421, 434
- cleft constructions 425
- in noun phrases 425
- in realis conditionals 481
- in relative clauses 473, 476
- indicating definiteness 564
- of discourse particles 426
- of negatives 456
- of non-finite subordinate clauses 488
- scrambling 424
- with compound subjects 436
- with pronominal suffixes 434

Tense 279

- continuous 279
- continuous future 397
- continuous II forms 298
- continuous irrealis 342
- continuous past 309, 338, 396
- continuous present 308, 337, 395
- habitual continuous past 339
- indicating definiteness 565
- past continuous II forms 298
- present continuous II 298
- subjunctive 340, 398
- future 280, 296, 297, 335, 379
- hypothetical, with infinitive 562
- of 'to be' 289
- pro-drop in 428
- pronominal suffixes in 226
- habitual 280
- indicating indefiniteness 565
- imperfect
- agreement in 431
- future imperfect 307, 349, 391
- future imperfect passive 384
- imperfective participle 285
- past imperfect 304, 346, 389
- past imperfect passive 384
- past imperfect-habitual 305, 347, 390
- present imperfect 301, 302, 344, 387
- present imperfect passive 383
- present imperfect-habitual 303, 345, 388
- subjunctive imperfect $306,348,392$
- imperfective
- imperfective participial clauses 504
- in complex durative constructions 529
- in irrealis conditionals 483
- irrealis
- imperfect irrealis 350, 393
- in conditional clauses 482
- in prospective expressions 558
- irrealis I 381
- passive 385
- simple irrealis 343
- past
- negation in 428
- of 'to be' 290, 328
- perfect
- agreement in 432
- future perfect 317, 357, 411, 412
- future perfect-stative 413
- irrealis perfect 315, 316, 358, 418, 419
- irrealis perfect-stative 420
- of 'to be' 290
- past perfect 314, 315, 354, 407
- past perfect-stative 355, 408, 409
- past stative habitual perfect 410
- perfective participle 286
- present perfect 311, 352, 400-402
- present perfect-stative 313, 353, 403, 404
- present stative habitual perfect 405, 406
- pro-drop in 429
- simple perfect 219, 351
- stative perfective participle 286
- subjunctive perfect $356,414,415$
- subjunctive perfect-stative 416, 417
- perfect-stative 280
- perfective
- expressive mirativity 567
- in complex durative constructions 532
- in irrealis conditionals 483
- perfective participial clauses 505
- present
- negation in 427
- of /hoṇā/ 328
- of 'to be' 287
- present perfect 312
- realis
- in conditional clauses 479

Transitivity 382

- and pro-drop 429
- and volition 537
- and word order 421
- derived intransitives 538
- in conjunctive participial clauses 500
- in light verb constructions 507
- intransitive-causative doublets 593
- of vector verbs 509
- split ergativity 431, 441
- verbs of body functions (unergative verbs) 444

Urdu 12, 79

- influence of $12,16,58,73,79,80,88,90$, 196, 244, 487, 513, 544
- orthography $18,19,61,71,76,77$
- phonology 21, 26,51,53
- sound correspondences 47, 57, 58
- status of 17

Verbs 280

- agreement of 435
- split ergativity 431
- with addressee 516
- with dative subjects 446
- with direct objects 434
- causative 284, 382
- intransitive-causative doublets 593
- transitivity sets 536
- compound 509
- expressive mirativity 567
- on passive stems 543
- passive stems in 521
- conjunct 507
- derivation of
- intransitive 538
- finite 281
- imperative 280, 294
- distanced (softened) 294, 562, 563
- pronominal suffix reanalyzed as 233
- with conjunctive participles 501
- infinitive 285
- ablative 103, 495
- as distanced imperative 562
- as marked adjective 496
- direct 491
- expressing ability 546
- expressing presumption 558
- expressing prospective meanings 552, 554
- in inceptive constructions 493
- in nominalized phrases 499
- in purpose clauses 573
- infinitive clauses 488
- inflection of 97
- oblique 490, 492
- verbal noun 83
- with adjectival inflection (agreeing infinitive) 491
- with gerundival function 285
- light verb
- 'beat/kill' 519
- light verbs
- in compound verb constructions 509
- in conjunct verb constructions 507
- in experiencer constructions 448
- order of 424
- vector verbs 509
- 'come' 515, 521, 545, 549
- 'fall, lie' 307, 369, 396, 510, 517, 521
- 'give' 517, 523
- 'go' 284, 509, 514, 520
- 'leave, let go’ 511, 519, 524
- 'lift, raise’ (invariant) 525
- 'put/keep' 513, 519, 523
- 'sit' 516, 522
- 'take' 512, 518, 522
- 'throw, cast' 524
- 'throw' 513, 518, 524
- 'to be able' 545
- 'to be wanted' 550
- passive 539, 541
- in compound verbs 521
- morphological 381, 541, 543, 544, 549
- periphrastic 284, 286, 475, 539-542, 544, 546
- with infinitive + 'to be' 541
- postpositions derived from 254
- principal parts 281
- roots see Verbs stems
- stems 284, 321
- passive 521,543
- subjunctive 280, 295, 380
- of 'to be' 292
- passive 385
- table of forms 319, 361, 371
- Hindko 282
- this grammar's naming conventions for 280
- transitivity
- derived with causative affixes 284
- see also Participles
- see also Tense
- 'to be' see Auxiliaries; 'to be'
- future tense forms 289

Vowels see Phonology vowels
Writing systems see Orthography


[^0]:    1 "Big city speech" is discussed for German dialects in Leopold (1968).
    2 In this book, the name of the language is consistently spelled Panjabi, while the spelling Punjabi refers to political or administrative units or to institutions related to such units; for example, Punjab Province or Punjab University.
    3 Comparison of these three spellings using Google's Ngram viewer yields a picture of the historical trends in their use.

[^1]:    5 http://www.censusindia.gov.in/Census_Data_2001/Census_Data_Online/Language/ Statement5.aspx. Figures from the 2010-2011 Census are not yet available.

[^2]:    6 See http://www.dawn.com/news/632447/another-daily-in-punjabi for historical discussion of Panjabi-language journalism in Pakistan.
    7 The Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, Chapter 4, Section 251, Clause 1-2 stated that both Urdu and English could function as official languages, but that English was to be phased out within fifteen years. In response to complaints that English was still being predominantly used in official matters, a Supreme Court of Pakistan decision ruled on September

[^3]:    8, 2015 that Section 251 should be implemented with all possible speed and English be replaced with Urdu for all official functions.

[^4]:    1 In this book, we use a phonemic transcription, which represents the sounds that distinguish meaning in these languages, rather than a more fine-grained, phonetic transcription, which captures objective differences between sounds that may not be used to distinguish meaning in them.

[^5]:    3 Shackle (1980:500) cites the form إ / apṛā/ 'one's own', which occurs in Abbottabad Hindko, as an instance of loss of nasalization from phonetic [r̂].

[^6]:    5 Shackle presents this as /pandhrã/, but Mughal (2010: 233) and Zahoor (2009: 79) give it as
    

[^7]:    7 Some of the apparent differences we have noted between the Saraiki and the Panjabi vowel systems are at this point mainly anecdotal. Instrumental study remains to be done.

[^8]:    9 In Table 3.15, IPA <ə> represents our <a>, IPA <a> represents our <ā>, IPA I represents our <i>, and IPA 〈ひ> represents our <u>.

[^9]:    10 Whereas according to the criterion that diphthongs pattern as single vowels and vowel sequences consist of two distinct vowels, the classification would seem to us to be the reverse of what Shackle said.

[^10]:    11 NAS, (p.c.) notes 'If we have a sequence of two long vowels in underlying representation, either the first shortens, or they are treated as nuclei of two different syllables in very rare cases.' This analysis would rule out many of the sequences that Shackle calls diphthongs.

[^11]:    16 Tonal, or pitch accent, systems are common in the languages of the Hindukush and the Himalayan foothills (Baart 2014: 5).
    17 Hindko has many dialects, each of which has slightly different phonology. Treatments of Awankari (Bahri 1963), and Peshawar and Kohat Hindko (Shackle 1980) describe systems which differ from that of Abbottabad Hindko. Those treatments indicate that Awankari and Kohat Hindko do not have the low-rising tone characteristic of Panjabi, but that Peshawar Hindko has low tone to a certain extent. Varma (1936) discusses tone in many varieties of "Lahnda", but does not focus on Hazara Hindko

[^12]:    18 Stress patterns in compound words are not treated here.
    19 Stress is, however, not in itself contrastive in Panjabi.
    20 A mora can be understood as a unit of time, i.e. how long a syllable takes to utter.

[^13]:    21 Although this is what Bahri says, presumably he means that geminates do not occur in the onsets of stressed syllables, as in these examples the initial element of the geminate falls in the coda, and hence in a stressed syllable.

[^14]:    1 Since the Adi Granth forms were written in an old form of Gurmukhi, not in Perso-Arabic, we have given them here in Roman representation only.
    2 For more extensive lists of Panjabi derivational elements, see Bhatia (1993) and Malik (1995).

[^15]:    4 While OIA had three number categories- singular, dual, and plural-all modern IA languages have only singular and plural.
    5 Case refers to the different forms that nouns can take depending on their grammatical function in a sentence-subject, direct object, indirect object, possessor, or an adverbial function.

[^16]:    7 This word is feminine in Panjabi but masculine in Urdu.
    8 An asterisk indicates an ungrammatical form.
    9 In the case of some words that usually occur in the plural, like matches, the word is borrowed along with its plural suffix /-is/, but the word is treated as singular and in this case inflected as an unmarked feminine noun. However, there is also an increasing tendency to optionally use the English / $s \sim z$ / plurals when English loans are used in code-mixed discourse.
    10 Notations of the form 'Tnnnn' refer to the entries in Turner's Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages (Turner 1962-1966).

[^17]:    11 Persian does not have grammatical gender.

[^18]:    15 This case is also sometimes called nominative case, e.g. in Cummings and Bailey (1912).
    16 Some authors have referred to the oblique case as accusative, e.g. Malik (1995).
    17 Although the vocative is most commonly used for animate nouns, it can also be used metaphorically, as in poetry.

[^19]:    21 The dative-accusative marker $\tilde{U} / \tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ is interesting; it seems to behave at some times like a postposition and at others like a Level 2 case ending-perhaps in a transitional stage from one status to another.
    22 The forms in Table 4.7 and Table 4.12 were provided by our consultant, but not observed in actual usage.

[^20]:    23 With respect to Table 4.10, the spelling $/$ / $/$ à̀r/, indicating a long vowel, appears when the word occurs in its direct case form (i.e. citation form). However, when it is followed by a case ending, the vowel sound shortens, and in the data in Table 4.10, this change is represented in the written forms of the word.

[^21]:    1 Prescriptively, this word is /kitāb/ in Urdu as well as in educated, urban Panjabi pronunciation, but it is often pronounced with / $\mathrm{i} /$ changed to /a/. Some authors (e.g. Bhatia 1993) show this reduction of / $\mathrm{i} /$ to schwa. The same thing is found in /āxar/ instead of /āxir/ 'finally'.

[^22]:    2 See the discussion of nouns of this form in Chapter 4, and the note on transcription in Section 3.6.1.2.

[^23]:    3 The word يمار / bimār/ ‘sick’ is spelled here as it usually is in Panjabi (< Urdu). It is possible that some Hindko writers may choose to spell it $\quad$; /bimār/ to reflect its actual pronunciation.

[^24]:    6 See Markey (1985) on the distinction between absolute and relative comparison.

[^25]:    7 The interrogative adjectives for Hindko and Panjabi are spelled in two ways, illustrated by 1 $\sim$ in the Hindko paradigm. A rough Google search on March 2, 2017 shows that the spelling is more frequently encountered.

[^26]:    10 The word for 'six' is always pronounced /che/, but under the influence of Urdu, spelling is more frequently $\mathscr{B}_{*}$ rather than $\underset{\gtrless}{\mathscr{Z}}$. However, a large number of people writing on the internet do spell it as $\underset{\forall}{\stackrel{\ell}{\gtrless}}$.

[^27]:    11 It occurs in the title of a well－known collection of short stories，Munnā Koh Lahore［Three quarters of a koh to Lahore］by Afzal Ahsan Randhava（Randhava 2007）．A ${ }_{\circ}$／kó／is a measure of distance equivalent to about 2.4 kilometers．

[^28]:    14 The spellings of some number names vary．For example，the words for＇six＇and＇ten＇appear
    

[^29]:    15 Notations of the form 'Tnnnn' refer to the entries in Turner's Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages (Turner 1962-1966).

[^30]:    16 Spelling of this element is problematic in Perso-Arabic, since most words do not end in short vowels. The Gurmukhi spelling $\bar{\sigma} / \mathrm{ku} /$ shows the short vowel easily.

[^31]:    17 * The form marked with an asterisk in Table 5.31, given by Shackle, is not accepted by Nasir Abbas Syed, who says that in actual practice, the expression is used in this sense. He thinks that there is no totalizing form for 'all nine'.
    ** The form marked with a double asterisk is rare.

[^32]:    1 The /s/ in the Saraiki forms appears as non-geminated in Shackle (1976) but as geminated in Grierson (1919). Our consultant, UK, maintains that it should be geminated.

[^33]:    6 See Section 9.1.4 for discussion of direct object marking.
    7 They do not occur at all in Bashir \& Kazmi's (2012) dictionary of contemporary Pakistani Panjabi. Bhatia (1993: 229) points out that in some dialects, the postposition $\mathrm{U}^{\circ} /$ /tõ/ appears as /thõ/ when combined with pronouns (Bhatia 1993: 229). A 2016 internet search indicated

[^34]:    8 This example is given as in Zahoor 2009, showing the full form of the present tense of 'be', yielding a somewhat emphatic sense. Usually the short form appears as auxiliary, in non-emphatic contexts.

[^35]:    9 Based on its frequent appearance with $\mathcal{F}$ g /vicc/ 'in’, Cummings and Bailey (1912: 53) consider , آֶ/ /āpo/ as a locative plural. The same reasoning apparently underlies Shackle's designation of Sr آپت//āpat/ as a locative plural.

[^36]:    11 The vowel sound in the final syllable of 9 وينر in example 6.26and phonetically short /ě/, represented in Perso-Arabic as /i/ because of Perso-Arabic writing conventions.

[^37]:    14 This sentence would normally be written, and spoken, with elipsis between the masculine
    
    
    15 Sakoon (2002: 109) spells the Hindko relative adjective forms with the short vowel /i/, and
     the masculine plural, feminine singular, and masculine singular of the relative adjective.

[^38]:    17 Compare 6.50 and 6.67 for an example of dialectal and individual variation in the use of pronominal suffixes.
    18 It is important to note that all three of these examples involve a first- or second-person agent acting on a third-person patient/object. Whether it is possible to index a first-person patient acted on by a second- or third-person agent with a pronominal suffix is not yet clear to us.

[^39]:    20 Cummings and Bailey 1912 suggest that the second-person singular suffix is / $\tilde{u} /$ when the subject of the verb is in the first person singular or plural, /i//when the subject is in the third-person singular, and /ī/ or / $\tilde{\tilde{a}} /$ when the subject is in the third-person plural. For the third-person singular and the second and third-persons plural, all forms are equally frequent. Cummings and Bailey also say that the form of the suffix used depends on the tense, aspect, and transitivity of the verb. Thus the verb lor / hoṇā/ 'to be' is replaced by the suffixes in the present tense, whereas in the past tense, the suffixes contract with the ordinary past tense form of lyr /hoṇā/ 'to be’ include ساس / ساس / / sājē̃e/, and so on (Cummings and Bailey 1912: 352). However, these forms are as yet unattested in modern Panjabi and require further investigation.

[^40]:    28 In 2016, 6.100 is virtually identical to the Urdu sentence with the same meaning, and would probably be understood as an Urdu-influenced Panjabi sentence, with Urdu first-person singular
     object must be inferred from context. Perhaps this homophony between the Panjabi second-person singular pronominal suffix اول/ / / / / and the Urdu first-person singular subjunctive is a factor leading to the disuse of this pronominal suffix in future forms.

[^41]:    1 The term "ethical dative" is used in some literature for the meanings which we indicate with "dative subject."

[^42]:    $2 \dot{L} / \mathrm{ne} /$ is, however, sometimes seen in the writing of those writers whose style is influenced by Urdu, and fairly frequently in the Hindko of Peshawar. Agent marking and the ergative construction are discussed in Chapter 9.
    3 Bailey (1920: 89), discussing the language of the Kaghan Valley, says, "The agent preposition suN which is not used with the 1st and 2nd singular pronouns, is interesting. Its use is optional, as the simple oblique is sufficient. The commonest ending for the obl. sg . is -e or -u, for the plural it is always aaN." This description fits the situation in present-day Hazara Hindko very closely.

[^43]:    7 Shackle (1976: 55-62) gives these forms with the final /a/ nasalized, an observation confirmed by Nasir Abbas Syed, while some of our examples do not show nasalization. Syed comments that, in general rural Saraiki speakers tend to use more nasalized forms than do urban dwellers, who are influenced by Urdu and Panjabi pronunciation, which, according to Syed, is less inclined to nasalization.

[^44]:    8 This word occurs spelled in either of these ways. The spelling with $b$ reflects a partial carryover of Urdu spelling into Panjabi. This is the usual practice with Panjabi and Saraiki writers, but not all agree with it. Also, the prominent non-phonemic nasalization is reflected here in both spellings. Since the originally Arabic noun $\mathcal{\mathcal { C }} \ell$ /tarah/ 'way, method' is feminine, the feminine form of the genitive occurs.

[^45]:    9 The form ئ:/pyā/, glossed here as CONT(INUOUS) is the grammaticalized masculine singular
     Section 8.5.6.3 for its use in Panjabi, Hindko, and Saraiki, respectively.

[^46]:    11 Nasir Abbas Shed has nasalized $\mathcal{U}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} / \tilde{\sqrt{I}} /$ for the second person singular pronominal suffix
    12 Example 7.36 is interesting in that it employs both the (original) morphological passive in -j and the (later) analytical passive formed with 'go'.

[^47]:    13 In Shackle (1976: 132) this example is cited from Lashari (1971: 65). The verb form in this sentence is a future augmented with the perfective participle of وولٌ /povaṇ/ 'to fall', which lends a sense of immediacy to the future action. Compare this form to other progressive tenses discussed in Chapter 8.

[^48]:    15 See Section 10.1.3 for discussion of constructions with $\underset{\Downarrow}{6} / \mathrm{cā}-/$ 'lift'.

[^49]:    19 We have left the word /saĩ/ untranslated since it is one of the culturally important terms that cannot be glossed with a single English word or phrase. It is a highly honorific term of reference or address which conveys heartfelt respect, reverence, and affection for elders and teachers. It is also used with God (Shackle 1976: 133).

[^50]:    1 The forms now called "subjunctive" are the historical reflexes of a former present-future form.

[^51]:    2 This use of the particle $l$ / dā/is found in several other languages-some Western Pahari varieties, and to a certain extent in Dogri (see Bashir 2018). Its source poses interesting questions.

[^52]:    4 It does appear in the language spoken in Mianwali, which is closer to Saraiki and considered a Saraiki variety by many. Jukes (1900) includes words from Dera Ghazi Khan and the Salt Range, and gives the meaning 'to be done' for thī- (p. 95).

[^53]:    6 The Perso-Arabic forms are as spelled by AWT; the roman representations follow the Perso-Arabic.

[^54]:    7 Hindko, Panjabi, and Saraiki all have agreement for person and number in the past tense of 'be', which is different from the situation in Urdu.

[^55]:    11 In addition to this original perfective participle, the regular formation l /mariyā/ is also found.

[^56]:    15 Various scholars have characterized imperative formations in different ways. Bashir and Kazmi (2012) and Gill and Gleason (1969) call them "informal" and "polite" imperatives; Shackle (2003), however, calls the two imperative forms "present" and "aorist," in view of the distancing function of the second imperative form, which softens its force and causes it to be interpreted as less immediate, hence more polite than the present or informal imperative.

[^57]:    17 Analogously, the imperfective tenses could be analyzed as predicative adjectival uses of the participles.

[^58]:    18 Bashir and Kazmi (2012: 649) call this form an "Emphatic Habitual Present". Their "emphatic" refers to the characteristic which we call "persistence" here.

[^59]:    =http\%3A\%2F\%2Fgloss2.lingnet.org\%2Fproducts\%2Fgloss\%2Fpjb_cul430\%
    2Fpjb_cul430.xml\&usg=AFQjCNHZcdqzmnWvb7TWrsRoN2L8r4Bd7A)
    23 This example was taken from
    (http://www.wichaar.com/news/153/ARTICLE/31260/2014-09-23.html) . This URL is sometimes not available directly.

[^60]:    29 We have tried to represent this consistently in the paradigms in Chapter 8, but we have not attempted to normalize spelling in sentences provided by our consultant.
    30 In order to distinguish the third person plural present /h\{ě~i\}n/from the third person plural past / han/ it is necessary either to use the zēr diacritic to represent /ě~i/, or to use $\mathcal{G}$ to represent this vowel sound. The second solution is not ideal, since $\mathcal{G}$ normally represents a long vowel. However, the first is also not ideal, since diacritics are usually not printed, and the lack of any diacritic could cause confusion between the third person plural present/h\{ě~i\}n/ and the third person plural past /han/. We have chosen to use the zēr diacritic in our examples.
    31 First and second person forms in Table 8.80 were provided by UK, and the third person forms are from Shackle (1976: 107).

[^61]:    1 According to Shackle (1976: 101), the third person singular oblique pronominal suffix is $/-s /$. The /-ī/ in /-sī/ here may be euphonic, or it may be a dialectal variant.

[^62]:    3 In 9.28, the pronunciation with /e/ is characteristic of UK's speech; that with /æ/ is more characteristic of the "big city" language of Multan.

[^63]:    4 This sentence can also be expressed with the first person singular pronominal suffix expressing the agent, as: /agalī rāt ajīb xāb di-ṭh-e-mi/.

[^64]:    5 UK’s sentence has /نُ̉l/ /anḍā/, the Urdu word for ‘egg’. Zahoor (2009: 28) has Lب̣̂/ /ānhā/, while NAS has 位 /ānā/.

[^65]:    6 The ablative ending is consistently given by Shackle (1976) as /-ũ/. However UK consistently spells it as /-ū/. Nasir Abbas Syed has previously noted that there is some dialectal difference with regard to this.

[^66]:    8 These verbs have been discussed by various authors, including Barker (1967); Tuite, Agha, and Graczyk (1985); Butt and King (1991); and Basher (1999) in the context of ne-marking in Hindi and Urdu.

[^67]:    9 These constructions are sometimes also referred to as "indirect constructions."
    10 There may be some differences among the ways the reflexive adjective is used in the three languages; this topic requires further detailed study.
    11 Notice the Urdu form of the reflexive here; this is evidence of the language contact effects operating in Multan.

[^68]:    12 NAS prefers kæ-nhā-m instead of kæ-nhā-mā in example 9.141.

[^69]:     first element of the compound, whereas in English 'brother' usually comes first, as in 'brothers and sisters'.

[^70]:    17 The proper name Farooq is usually spelled فاروق, but here it is spelled in P-A as it sounds.

[^71]:    1 For comparative and diachronic discussion of 'eat' expressions, see Hook and Pardeshi 2009.
    2 Although English uses intransitives to express the concepts 'to be deceived' and 'to jump' , they are grammatically transitive in these languages.

[^72]:    3 We continue to use the traditional South Asianist term "compound verbs", rather than the more recently introduced "converbs", to maintain continuity in discussion of these constructions for the non-specialist reader.

[^73]:    4 The sentence-final particle $\widetilde{l} / \bar{a} /$ in this sentence is unclear to us at this time, but we think it may be a second person singular pronominal suffix functioning as a dative (see Cummings and Bailey 1912: 109).

[^74]:    8 Smirnov (1975: 118-119) also discusses $\underset{7}{ } / \mathrm{ca} /$, giving several examples but without specific provenance for them. He comments that "on rare occasions the component/cā/ may be inversed" and gives the example $\begin{aligned} \text { / } / \text { /āve cā/ 'let him come', with post-verbal /cā/. This }\end{aligned}$ post-verbal /cā/ also seems to have the hortative sense found in 10.58 and in Saraiki.

[^75]:    9 Some possibilities are suggested in T486 and T4533 with the meaning of 'and', T4775 with the meanings 'see, look for, desire', or T11759 with the meaning 'attach to, apply'.

[^76]:    11 Shackle (1976: 158) observes that: "With tenses other than the imperative /cā/ often implies sudden action, sometimes casual action." Though apparently incompatible, the meanings of sudden, and casual action can both be considered as actions cut off from their origin in volitionality or planning, as in 10.64. This is is consistent with it being analyzed as a mirativity marker in some contexts.

[^77]:    12 It is likely that these forms occur in Hindko, but we do not have any attestations.
    

[^78]:    15 See Schokker (1969) and Bubenik (1998) for discussion of the origins of the periphrastic 'go' passive in NIA languages.
    16 We do not have enough data yet to know whether or not Hindko retains vestiges of the morphological passive discussed for Panjabi and Saraiki.

[^79]:    18 This construction has received different analyses by various authors. For example, Malik (1995: 268-269) calls this form the "optative" and considers it different from the passive, while Cummings and Bailey (1912: xiv, 85) consider it an "organic passive" and give an infinitive for an organic passive stem. Bhardwaj (2016:168) calls this construction a "subtractive phase" of the verb, since an agent is not named.

[^80]:    27 See Abbi (1992) for detailed treatment of reduplication in South Asian languages.

[^81]:    31 Although some writers spell the emphatic particle with an initial o/h/ as in Urdu, in these three languages, the particle is always pronounced and usually spelled آ// آك/.

[^82]:    33 The spelling of this word in Hindko and Panjabi is the same as that of the word meaning 'then' /tã//, 'then', frequently encountered in the then-clause of conditional clauses. In Saraiki the word for 'then' is different.

